medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532) this version posted September 14, 2023. The copyright holder for this<br>preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

#### 27 **Abstract**

28 Inhibitory control represents a core executive function that critically facilitates 29 adaptive behavior and survival in an ever-changing environment. Non-invasive 30 transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) has been hypothesized to 31 improve behavioral inhibition performance, however the neurocomputational 32 mechanism of taVNS-induced neuroenhancement remain elusive. In the current study, 33 we investigated the effect of taVNS on inhibitory control in a pre-registered 34 sham-controlled between-subject functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) 35 experiment with an emotional face Go/No-Go paradigm in ninety subjects. After data 36 quality check, eighty-two subjects were included in the final data 37 analysis. Behaviorally, the taVNS improved No-Go response accuracy, together with 38 computational modeling using Hierarchical Bayesian estimation of the Drift Diffusion 39 Model (HDDM) indicating that it specifically reduced the information accumulation 40 rate for Go responses, and this was negatively associated with increased accuracy of 41 No-Go responses. On the neural level, taVNS enhanced engagement of the bilateral 42 inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) during inhibition of angry expression faces and modulated 43 functional couplings (FCs) within the prefrontal inhibitory control network. Mediation 44 models revealed that taVNS-induced facilitation of inhibitory control was critically 45 mediated by a decreased information accumulation for Go responses and 46 concomitantly enhanced neurofunctional coupling between the inferior and orbital 47 frontal cortex. Our findings demonstrate a striking potential for taVNS to improve 48 inhibitory control via reducing pre-potent responses and enhancing FCs within 49 prefrontal inhibitory control networks, suggesting a promising therapeutic role in 50 treating specific disorders characterized by inhibitory control deficits.

#### 51 **Keywords**

52 Inhibitory control; Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation; Computational 53 modelling; Dynamic functional connectivity; Mediation model

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

### <sup>55</sup>**1. Introduction**

56 Inhibitory control is a core executive function vital for adaptive behavioral regulation 57 via suppression of inappropriate responses. In everyday life it allows us to control 58 automatic urges at perceptual, cognitive, and behavioral levels (Diamond, 2013). 59 Prefrontal cortical (PFC) circuits critically implement inhibitory control on the neural 60 level (Goldstein *et al.*, 2007), particularly the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) (Munakata 61 *et al.*, 2011; Zhuang *et al.*, 2022). Interestingly, deficits in inhibitory control (e.g. 62 impulsivity, hyperactivity) are the primary transdiagnostic characteristics of 63 individuals with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Polanczyk *et al.*, 64 2007), substance use disorders (Hildebrandt *et al.*, 2021), posttraumatic stress disorder 65 (PTSD) (Catarino *et al.*, 2015) and obesity (Jasinska *et al.*, 2012). Improving 66 inhibitory control thus represents a highly promising therapeutic target for clinical 67 application.

68 Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) - a novel 69 non-invasive neuromodulation technique - has been hypothesized to promote 70 inhibitory control via its regulation of the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine (LC-NE) 71 network and GABAergic system (Burger *et al.*, 2020; Zhu *et al.*, 2022b). The LC-NE 72 network plays a pivotal role in inhibitory control. Accumulating evidence from brain 73 imaging studies indicates that the neural activity of LC-NE system could modulate 74 functional connectivity within the prefrontal inhibitory control network (Chamberlain 75 *et al.*, 2007, 2009; Passamonti *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2020; Tomassini *et al.*, 2022). 76 Recently, one study reported that oral atomoxetine (i.e. a noradrenergic reuptake 77 inhibitor) improved reaction times during inhibition in Parkinson patients with lower 78 LC integrity (O'Callaghan *et al.*, 2021). Consistent with this, taVNS has been found 79 to increase the activation of brainstem regions, including the LC (Frangos *et al.*, 2015; 80 Yakunina *et al.*, 2017), suggesting a modulatory role of taVNS in inhibitory control 81 ability via its impact on the LC-NE network. Moreover, the neurotransmitter <sup>82</sup>γ-amnobutyric acid (GABA) also plays a key role in modulating cognitive 83 performance with demands for inhibitory control. Using magnetic resonance

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

84 spectroscopy, Hermans and colleagues found that older adults with lower GABA 85 levels exhibited a prolonged stop-signal responses time (Hermans *et al.*, 2018), 86 indicating a negative relationship between inhibitory response and GABA levels, 87 particularly in inferior frontal regions (Murley *et al.*, 2020). More importantly, taVNS 88 significantly increased GABA-A receptor activity (Capone *et al.*, 2015). Taken 89 together, these findings suggest that taVNS may be an effective neuromodulator to 90 improve inhibitory control by regulating activity of the LC-NE network and 91 GABAergic system.

92 Some initial studies provided preliminary although inconsistent evidence for 93 beneficial effects of taVNS on inhibitory control. For instance, although Borges et al 94 (2020) suggested that taVNS can increase cognitive flexibility in a set-shifting task, 95 no improvement on inhibitory performance in either the Flanker task (i.e. for selective 96 attention measurement) or in the Spatial Stroop task were found (Borges *et al.*, 2020). 97 However, it has been subsequently reported that taVNS improved adaption to conflict 98 in the Simon task (Fischer *et al.*, 2018). Additionally, although some initial behavioral 99 studies have consistently shown that response inhibition in a Go/No-Go task was 100 enhanced by active taVNS (Beste *et al.*, 2016; Keute *et al.*, 2020; Pihlaja *et al.*, 2020), 101 the neural mechanism of the potential beneficial effects of taVNS on inhibition has 102 not been explored.

103 To better elucidate the potential for taVNS to enhance inhibitory control 104 performance and the underlying neurocomputational mechanism, we here investigated 105 the impact of taVNS on inhibition ability in combination with functional near-infrared 106 spectroscopy (fNIRS). fNIRS is increasingly used as an optical neuroimaging method 107 based on the hemodynamic response (i.e. concentration of oxygenated hemoglobin; 108 HbO) due to a higher temporal resolution and lower sensitivity to movement artifacts 109 which are important for fast response tasks such as the Go/No-Go task (Ferrari and 110 Quaresima, 2012; Sakai, 2022). In the present study, we adopted a modified 111 emotional Go/No-Go paradigm with neutral expression faces as Go and emotional 112 expression faces (i.e., angry and happy faces) as No-Go stimuli. Most importantly, we 113 further applied a well-validated computational model, the diffusion decision model

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

114 (DDM), which characterizes within- and between-subject differences in the 115 Go/No-Go paradigm (Gomez *et al.*, 2007; Zhang *et al.*, 2016; Huang-Pollock *et al.*, 116 2017; Ratcliff *et al.*, 2018; de Gee *et al.*, 2020; Weigard *et al.*, 2020; Gorka *et al.*, 117 2022) to fully reveal the critical role of taVNS in modulating inhibitory control 118 performance from both neurocomputational and behavioral levels. Overall, we 119 hypothesized that taVNS relative to a sham-control stimulation (earlobe) would 120 enhance behavioral inhibition in the emotional Go/No-Go task and that this would be 121 associated with altered neural responses and connectivities in the prefrontal cortex 122 circuitry important for executive control.

## <sup>123</sup>**2. Materials and methods**

#### 124 **2.1. Participants**

125 We recruited 90 healthy adult Chinese university students for the current study with 126 all reporting being free from medical or psychiatric disorders or current or regular 127 medication, and who were required not to consume any alcohol, caffeine or nicotine 128 on the day of the experiment. All participants had normal or corrected to normal 129 vision. Data from 8 participants were excluded due to not recognizing facial emotions 130 in the Go/No-Go task  $(n=5)$  or technical problems of recording fNIRS data  $(n=3)$ , 131 leaving a total of 82 participants (42 females, mean age 19.61±2.01 years) for the 132 final analyses. Each participant provided written informed consent for the study 133 protocol approved by the ethical committee of the University of Electronic Science 134 and Technology of China. The study was pre-registered as a clinical trial 135 (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT05468385).

### 136 **2.2. Procedure**

137 In a sham-controlled, single-blind, between-subject design, participants were 138 randomly assigned to two groups, receiving real taVNS or sham stimulation 139 respectively. Upon arrival, all subjects completed a number of validated psychometric 140 questionnaires (details see in supplementary information) to exclude confounding 141 effects of personality traits. In addition the Positive and Negative Affect 142 Schedule(PANAS) was administered twice (before and immediately after the

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

143 experiment) to access mood changes (Watson *et al.*, 1988). Next, stimulation intensity 144 was adjusted according to participants' subjective feelings (see section 2.3). 145 Participants were then asked to rest for 5 minutes after familiarizing themselves with 146 the emotional Go/No-Go tasks. Subsequently, resting-state brain activity was 147 recorded using fNIRS while participants were instructed to relax and fixate on a white 148 cross centered on the black screen during 15-minute period of stimulation (not 149 reported here). Finally, following another 15 minutes' stimulation, participants were 150 asked to complete the emotional Go/No-Go task (see section 2.4). At the end of the 151 experiment, subjects were asked to report side effects of stimulation including 152 headache, nausea, skin irritation under the electrode, relaxed, vigilant, unpleasant 153 feelings, dizziness, neck pain, muscle contractions in the neck, and stinging sensation 154 in the ear on a seven-point Likert scale (1: not at all, to 7: very much). An illustration 155 of the procedure is presented in **Fig.1A**.

### 156 **2.3. Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation**

157 In line with our previous study (Zhu *et al.*, 2022a), taVNS was implemented via a 158 modified transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation device with an ear-clip 159 electrode being attached to the left tragus for vagal stimulation in the taVNS group 160 and to the left earlobe for sham stimulation in the control group. Electrical pulses 161 (width, 500 μs; frequency, 25 Hz) were delivered for 30 s, alternated by a 30 s pause 162 for a total duration of 30 minutes (i.e., two stimulation periods with each lasting 15 163 minutes). The auricular branch of vagus nerve (VN) is related to touch sensation, 164 stimulation intensity was therefore individually calibrated to a level above the 165 detection threshold but not generating any discomfort feeling to ensure VN activation 166 (Ellrich, 2011). During the calibration procedure, participants received increasing and 167 decreasing series of stimulation trials, and reported their subjective sensation of the 168 stimulation on a 7-point Likert scale (1: feeling nothing, to 7: painful). The increasing 169 series of trials started from 0 mA and elevated in steps of 0.1 mA until participants 170 reported a "painful" sensation of 7. On the other hand, in decreasing series of trials, 171 the "painful" intensity was repeated and then reduced in steps of 0.1 mA until a

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

172 subjective sensation of "feeling nothing" was experienced. This procedure was 173 performed twice and the final stimulation intensity for each participant was calculated 174 based on the average of four intensity values (i.e., two from increasing and two from 175 decreasing trials) that were rated as 5 (i.e., obvious "tingling" sensation but not 176 painful). The average stimulation intensity is 0.77 mA (0.3-1.2 mA) for the taVNS 177 group, and is 0.94 mA (0.6-1.4 mA) for the sham-controlled group.

#### 178 **2.4. Emotional Go/No-Go task**

179 The present study adopted an emotional face Go/No-Go task (**see Fig. 1A**). Each trial 180 consisted of a white fixation cross  $(1250 \pm 250 \text{ ms})$  on a black background and a 181 random presentation of emotional faces (i.e., angry, happy and neutral) for 500 ms in 182 the center of a 24-inch monitor at a resolution of 1024×768 pixels (60Hz) using 183 E-prime 3.0 (Psychology Software Tools, Inc). Participants were required to respond 184 to neutral faces as "Go" stimuli by pressing the button as fast as possible (i.e., before 185 next trial) but to withhold responses when angry or happy faces as "No-Go" stimuli 186 were presented. All 96 face images (48 neutral, 24 angry and 24 happy) were 187 grayscale images with equivalent size and cumulative brightness, which were selected 188 from the Chinese facial affective picture system (Gong *et al.*, 2011). This task 189 consisted of 144 "Go" trials (each neutral face was presented 3 times) and 48 "No-Go" 190 trials (each angry or happy face was presented once).

### 191 **2.5. Measurements**

### 192 **2.5.1 Behavioral performance and computational modeling of inhibitory ability**

193 To evaluate the effects of taVNS on response inhibition, behavioral performance 194 including reaction time in Go trials (RT\_Go) and accuracy of No-Go trials 195 (ACC\_No-Go) were measured. We also explored inhibitory ability using Hierarchical 196 Bayesian estimation of the Drift Diffusion Model (HDDM, implemented in Python 197 3.8 (Wiecki *et al.*, 2013)). The HDDM was fit to trial-by-trial measures of response 198 type (i.e., "Go" vs. "No-Go") and reaction times, and four parameters including drift 199 rate (v, the rate of information accumulation toward the correct choice), starting point 200 (z, a response bias for "Go" response or "No-Go" response), boundary separation (a,

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

201 how much information is required to make a decision (i.e. the separation between the 202 upper and lower boundary), and non-decision time (Ter, which reflects aspects of 203 processing unrelated to decision making and represents sensory encoding and motor 204 execution) were estimated across taVNS and sham-controlled groups respectively. For 205 details of model framework **see Fig. 1B**, model estimation and model simulation see 206 in Supplementary information.

#### 207 **2.5.2 fNIRS data collection and neural measurements**

208 During the emotional Go/No-Go task, a NIRSport2 system (NIRx Medical 209 Technologies LLC, Berlin, Germany) was utilized to measure the hemodynamic 210 activity of each participant at a sampling frequency of 6.78 Hz. Thirty channels (12 211 sources and 11 detectors) were placed bilaterally over the prefrontal cortex (**Fig. 1C**) 212 based on fNIRS Optodes' location decider toolbox (fOLD v2.2) (Zimeo Morais *et al.*, 213 2018). Notably, these regions are highly related to emotional inhibitory control based 214 on previous studies (Munakata *et al.*, 2011; Zhuang *et al.*, 2021), including 215 orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), medial prefrontal cortex 216 (mPFC), and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC). Each source-detector pair defined 217 a single measurement channel with a distance of 3.0 cm and placement of fNIRS 218 optodes was according to the 10-10 International System. Hair was manually parted 219 under the optodes to improve signal detection.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

#### A Procedure timeline



#### **B** Drift Diffusion Model framework

Trial diagram of face emotional Go/No-Go task



#### C Overview of dFC analysis



221 222

223 **Fig. 1** Schematic illustration of experimental protocol and data analysis. A, Procedure 224 timeline. B, Drift Diffusion Model framework. C, Overview of dynamic functional 225 connectivity (dFC) analysis.

226

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

#### 228 **2.6. Data analyses**

#### 229 **2.6.1 Self-reported measures**

230 To exclude the potential confounding effects of self-reported mood and personality

- 231 traits, independent *t* tests were performed separately with treatment (taVNS vs. sham)
- 232 as the between group variable. Independent *t* tests for the side effect ratings between
- 233 taVNS and sham stimulation group were also performed.

#### 234 **2.6.2 Behavioral performance and computational model indices analyses**

- 235 Firstly, independent *t* tests were used to compare taVNS and sham groups for 236 model-free indices (i.e., RT\_Go, and ACC\_No-Go) and HDDM-based indices (a, v, z, 237 and Ter). Pearson correlation analyses were performed to investigate the relationship 238 between the accuracy of No-Go and model-based indices. Finally, we further 239 conducted a mediation analysis to investigate whether the drift rate for Go stimuli 240 mediated the effect of taVNS on response inhibition performance by means of a 241 bootstrapping method.
- 242 **2.6.3 Functional NIRs data analyses**

243 The fNIRS data were preprocessed and converted to time series of oxyhemoglobin 244 (HbO) for each channel using NIRS-KIT (Hou *et al.*, 2021) (Supplementary Methods: 245 fNIRS data preprocessing). Given HbO is a more sensitive indicator of 246 task-associated changes relative to deoxyhemoglobin (HbR) (Ferrari and Quaresima, 247 2012), we only focused on HbO in the further analyses.

248 **2.6.3.1 Generalized linear model analyses** 

249 The contrasts between "No-Go" and "Go" trials (i.e., angry vs. neutral, and happy vs. 250 neutral) within 7 ROIs (i.e., left & right OFC, left & right IFG, left & right dlPFC and 251 mPFC) were measured at the individual-level using generalized linear model (GLM) 252 approach (see Supplementary information). The data were then subjected to a 253 group-level analysis by means of repeated-measures ANOVA with ROI and emotion 254 as two within-subject factors, and treatment as a between-subject factor. Bonferroni 255 correction was applied to post hoc comparison tests.

#### 256 **2.6.3.2 fNIRS-Based dynamic functional connectivity analyses**

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

257 Dynamic functional connectivity was constructed using a sliding-window correlation 258 analysis, and k-means clustering was applied to generate the key brain connectivity 259 states under taVNS and sham conditions, respectively (Tang *et al.*, 2021; Lu *et al.*, 260 2023). Firstly, dynamic functional connectivity analysis using the sliding window 261 method (window length: 252 data points, ~37.1s) was conducted based on time series 262 of HbO data from a total of 30 channels per participant to investigate the transient 263 functional coupling (FC) within prefrontal regions during the whole emotional 264 Go/No-Go task. Further, we adopted k-means algorithm (L1 distance) to cluster all 265 the calculated functional connectomes to estimate the reoccurring functional states 266 (patterns) of task-based time-varying oscillations of HbO signal (details see 267 Supplementary information). Finally, treatment groups were compared on FCs within 268 each state using independent *t* tests with permutations for multiple comparisons 269 (Nichols and Holmes, 2002; Camargo *et al.*, 2008). We further employed Pearson 270 correlation with permutation tests (10000 permutations) to identify which prefrontal 271 FCs was highly contributed to inhibition performance. Mediation analysis was 272 performed to investigate whether these FCs mediated taVNS effects on inhibition 273 ability. Overview of the analyses was illustrated in **Fig. 1C**.

<sup>274</sup>**3. Results**

### 275 **3.1. Comparable participant characteristics in the treatment groups**

276 Participant characteristics are summarized in **Table 1**, the two treatment groups did 277 not differ significantly in terms of age  $(p= 0.744)$ , gender  $(p= 0.659)$ , mood  $(ps > 0.15)$ , 278 personality traits (*p*s >0.17), and subjective ratings for the stimulation adverse effects 279  $(ps > 0.13)$ .

# 280 **3.2. Effects of taVNS on response inhibition performance and its**

281 **computational mechanism** 

282 Repeated measures ANOVA was conducted for ACC\_No-Go with emotion (i.e., 283 angry and happy) as a within-subject factor and treatment as group factor, 284 independent *t* tests were performed to investigate taVNS effects on RT\_Go and 285 HDDM-based indices (a, v, z, and Ter) respectively. Results showed no significant

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

286 interaction between emotion and treatment  $(F<sub>[1, 80]</sub> = 5.12, p = 0.256)$  but a significant treatment effect on ACC\_No-Go ( $F_{[1, 80]} = 5.18$ ,  $p = 0.026$ , partial  $\Box^2 = 0.061$ ), 288 indicating an increased accuracy of No-Go trials following taVNS compared to sham 289 treatment. The ANOVA results did not change with the stimulation intensity as a 290 covariate factor. No change in reaction time for correct Go trials was found under 291 treatment ( $t_{[80]} = 0.38$ ,  $p = 0.703$ , **Fig. 2A**). Additionally, drift rate for Go trials decreased in the taVNS relative to the sham group  $(t_{[80]} = -2.29, p = 0.025, \text{Cohen's } d$  $293 = 0.506$ , Fig. 2B) and there were no group differences in the rest of the HDDM 294 indices (a:  $t_{[80]} = -0.50$ ,  $p = 0.621$ ; v\_nogo:  $t_{[80]} = -0.60$ ,  $p = 0.552$ ; Ter:  $t_{[80]} = 0.50$ ,  $p$  $295 = 0.618$ ; z:  $t_{[80]} = 1.26$ ,  $p = 0.212$ , each individual plot of observed vs. simulated 296 responses across taVNS and sham is shown in Supplementary information **Figure**  297 **S1-S18**), and an example of the HDDM simulation results are presented in **Fig. 3**. 298 Mediation results showed that taVNS decreased drift rate for Go stimuli (path *a* = 299  $0.57, p = 0.025$  and drift rate was negatively associated with accuracy of No-Go trials 300 (path  $b = -2.95$ ,  $p = 0.014$ ). Importantly, the indirect effect (path a×b) reached 301 significance, suggesting drift rate for Go stimuli mediated taVNS effects on 302 increasing inhibition performance (indirect effect = −1.68, 95% CI = [−3.98, −0.10],



303 bootstrap = 5000, see **Fig. 2C**).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

304

305 **Fig. 2** taVNS effects on behavioral index. A, Accuracy of No-Go response (mean ± 306 SEM) under two treatment groups. B, Computed Hierarchical Bayesian estimation of 307 the Drift Diffusion Model (HDDM) parameter differences between treatment groups. 308 C, Drift rate of Go response mediated taVNS effects on the accuracy of No-Go 309 response.  $\gamma p < .05$ .  $\gamma p < .01$ ,  $\gamma p < .001$ , ns: no significant difference.



310

311 **Fig. 3** HDDM simulation results. Representative plot of observed vs. simulated 312 responses (i.e., accuracy and reaction times) across taVNS and sham condition. 313 Columns 1 and 3 represent probability densities. Columns 2 and 4 represent 314 percentage of correct responses in each reaction time quantile. Obs = observed. Sim = 315 simulated. Corr = correct. Incorr = incorrect.

316

### 317 **3.3. taVNS effects on brain activity during response inhibition**

318 A three-way repeated-measures ANOVA was performed on brain activity with ROI 319 and emotion (i.e., angry vs. neutral, happy vs. neutral) as two within-subject factors, 320 and treatment as a between-subject factor. Results revealed there was a significant 321 three-way interaction effect ( $F_{[6, 480]} = 2.69$ ,  $p = 0.033$ , partial  $\Box^2 = 0.033$ ), suggesting 322 that taVNS increased the activity of both right IFG ( $p = 0.015$ , Cohen's  $d = 0.547$ ) and 323 left IFG ( $p = 0.044$ , Cohen's  $d = 0.453$ , Bonferroni correction) in response to angry 324 No-Go faces (**Fig. 4A**). In addition, the results did not change if we averaged the left 325 and right regions (i.e. 4 ROIs, IFG, mPFC, dlPFC and OFC,  $F_{[3, 240]} = 3.271$ ,  $p =$ 326 0.031, partial  $\Box^2 = 0.039$ ).

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

### 327 **3.4. taVNS effects on task-related prefrontal functional couplings**

328 Four states were determined by k-means clustering and independent permutation *t* test 329 (10000 permutations) results showed that taVNS increased both between FCs 330 including dlPFC- IFG/OFC/mPFC, OFC-IFG/mPFC couplings and within FCs among 331 these four regions in state 1, and increased IFG-mPFC FCs in state 2. Notably, taVNS 332 increased FCs including IFG-OFC/dlPFC, dlPFC- mPFC/OFC and FC within dlPFC 333 while decreasing specific FCs including mPFC- dlPFC/OFC, OFC-dlPFC, and FC 334 within OFC in state 3. In state 4, taVNS increased FCs including IFG 335 -OFC/dlPFC/mPFC, dlPFC-OFC/mPFC, and FCs within dlPFC and mPFC while 336 decreasing specific FCs including OFC-dlPFC/mPFC (all *p*s< 0.05, **Fig. 4B** and more 337 details see Supplementary information Table S1- Table S4).

338 Mediation analyses indicated that taVNS increased FC between IFG and OFC in 339 state 4 (path  $a = -0.15$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and this FC was positively correlated with 340 increased ACC\_No-Go (path  $b = 21.35$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ). Notably, the indirect effect was 341 significant showing that the coupling between IFG and OFC in state 4 mediated 342 taVNS effects on increasing responses inhibition performance (indirect effect = −3.26, 343 95% CI = [−6.92, −0.61], bootstrap = 5000, see **Fig. 4C**).

# 344 **3.5. Computational modeling of taVNS effects on inhibition**  345 **performance**

346 To further determine the underlying neurocomputational mechanism of 347 taVNS-induced enhanced response inhibition, a parallel mediation analysis was 348 conducted with drift rate for Go stimuli and FC between IFG and OFC in state 4 349 serving as mediating variables. The results revealed that the total effect of treatment 350 on accuracy of No-Go trials was significant (path *c* = −6.15, *p* = 0.026) while the 351 direct effect was not (path  $c' = -1.78$ ,  $p = 0.518$ ). Specifically, the impact of taVNS on 352 inhibition performance was mediated by drift rate for Go stimuli (indirect effect  $1 =$ 353 −1.42, 95% CI = [−3.51, −0.02]) and FC between IFG and OFC in state 4 (indirect 354 effect 2 = −2.95, 95% CI = [−6.53, −0.32). A further comparison regarding the size of 355 the mediation effect (Indirect 1 – Indirect 2 = 1.53, 95% CI =  $[-1.67, 5.24]$ , bootstrap

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

356 = 5000) showed that decreased drift rate for Go stimuli and enhanced FC between

357 IFG and OFC equally mediated the effect of taVNS on response inhibition (see **Fig.** 

358 **4D**).



359

360 **Fig. 4** fNIRS results. A, HbO differences of bilateral IFG for inhibition on angry and 361 happy faces under taVNS and sham treatment. B, Different effects of treatment on 362 FCs in determined State 1, State 2, State 3, and State 4 respectively, red line/box: 363 taVNS > sham; blue line/box: taVNS < sham. C, Mediation analysis between 364 treatment, FC between IFG and OFC, and accuracy of No-Go response. D, Parallel

medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532) this version posted September 14, 2023. The copyright holder for this<br>preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

- 365 mediation analysis between treatment, FC between IFG and OFC, drift rate for Go
- 366 stimuli and accuracy of No-Go response. FC-functional connectivity; IFG-Inferior
- 367 frontal gyrus; OFC- Orbitofrontal cortex.  $\dot{p} < .05$ ,  $\dot{p} < .01$ ,  $\dot{p} < .001$ .

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

### <sup>369</sup>**4. Discussion**

370 In the current study, we explored the potential of taVNS as a non-invasive 371 neuromodulation technique to enhance inhibitory control. In line with our hypothesis, 372 taVNS improved inhibition accuracy and increased the activation of bilateral IFG for 373 inhibition during presentation of angry expression faces. During the entire emotional 374 Go/No-Go task, four states were determined by k-means clustering and taVNS 375 modulated the functional coupling within four key regions including OFC, dlPFC, 376 mPFC and IFG regions in the respective states. Most importantly, mediation results 377 suggested that the decreased rate of information accumulation for correct Go response 378 calculated by HDDM computational modeling combined with increased IFG-OFC 379 functional coupling equally mediated the taVNS-induced enhancement of inhibition.

380 In line with preliminary evidence (Beste *et al.*, 2016; Keute *et al.*, 2020; Pihlaja 381 *et al.*, 2020), our study found that taVNS increased accuracy of correct No-Go 382 responses (i.e., ACC\_No-Go) compared to sham stimulation, indicating a beneficial 383 effect of taVNS on improving inhibitory control ability (Wright *et al.*, 2014). 384 Furthermore, the computational model assumes that the individual's decision-making 385 process after encoding the corresponding stimulus (such as sensory information 386 encoding, etc.) begins from a starting point and accumulates information along the 387 direction of two decision options until reaching the response boundary (Gomez *et al.*, 388 2007; Ratcliff *et al.*, 2018). The HDDM findings consistently showed that taVNS 389 specifically decreased speed of information accumulation toward Go response (drift 390 rate) in turn facilitating inhibitory control. These results suggest that taVNS may 391 decelerate the top-down cognitive processing for Go responses during the present 392 emotional Go/No-Go task, thereby breaking the pre-potent Go responses to improve 393 response inhibition performance.

394 On the other hand, GLM results revealed increased activity in bilateral IFG, 395 although stronger in the right side for angry expression faces, was found under active 396 taVNS. It has been proposed that IFG, especially the right side, is a pivotal neural hub 397 in regulating behavioral inhibition, and the activation of IFG was positively correlated

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

398 with inhibitory control ability (Rubia *et al.*, 2003; Aron *et al.*, 2007; Chevrier *et al.*, 399 2007; Zhuang *et al.*, 2022). Considering that ADHD children show reduced activation 400 in right IFG relative to normal controls during Go/No-Go task (Monden *et al.*, 2012). 401 taVNS may be a promising approach as treatment for ADHD. Additionally, activation 402 of the right IFG is also associated with anger processing (Nomura *et al.*, 2004; Taylor 403 *et al.*, 2018; Iarrobino *et al.*, 2021; Sorella *et al.*, 2021). By contrast, there were no 404 significant changes under inhibition for happy expression faces following taVNS 405 which may due to different pathways involved in inhibition of angry and happy 406 stimuli. For example, differences in subcortical regions (i.e. striatum) activation have 407 been found in positive and negative inhibition responses (Zhuang *et al.*, 2021), 408 although these regions cannot be detected using fNIRS. Thus overall, the 409 enhancement of IFG activation suggests a critical role of IFG in modulating the effect 410 of taVNS on emotional response inhibition, especially in the context of negative 411 angry expression stimuli.

412 Notably, dynamic functional connectivity analyses also suggested that functional 413 couplings (FCs) within prefrontal cortex including IFG, OFC, dlPFC and mPFC 414 during the whole emotional inhibition task were significantly modulated by taVNS. 415 This approach helps us quantify the experimental paradigm-based variations of brain 416 functional networks affected by taVNS regardless of conditions (Tang *et al.*, 2021; Lu 417 *et al.*, 2023). Among these couplings, taVNS significantly strengthened dlPFC-418 mPFC/ IFG/OFC/ dlPFC and OFC-IFG links in States1, 3 and 4, suggesting that such 419 dlPFC- and OFC- related functional couplings played primary role in taVNS effects 420 on emotional inhibitory processes. Most importantly, the positive impact of taVNS on 421 inhibition performance was mediated by the increased functional coupling between 422 IFG and OFC. Findings indicate that IFG-OFC coupling is involved in emotional 423 inhibitory control. For example, individuals with higher strength IFG -OFC coupling 424 were more flexible in regulating cognitive control and emotional processing (Shi *et al.*, 425 2019) and the OFC plays a key role in serving inhibitory control in emotional context 426 (Robertson *et al.*, 2015; Stalnaker *et al.*, 2015; Zhuang *et al.*, 2021). Taken together,

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

427 the increased FC between IFG and OFC might be the neural basis of enhanced 428 inhibitory control for emotional faces under taVNS.

429 To further elucidate the neurocomputational mechanisms underlying the taVNS 430 effect on emotional inhibitory control, a parallel mediation model was used and 431 revealed that the positive effect of taVNS on inhibition performance was mediated by 432 decreased drift rate for Go response in conjunction with increased FC between IFG 433 and OFC. These results indicated that taVNS may improve response inhibition 434 performance via its modulation of control ability for making Go responses more 435 precise and enhancing FC within the prefrontal inhibitory control network.

436 Some limitations of the current study should be acknowledged. Firstly, some 437 evidence from both animal and clinical studies proposed that taVNS would improve 438 inhibitory control ability via modulating the LC-NE system (Burger *et al.*, 2020; 439 Colzato and Beste, 2020). Although prefrontal cortex circuits have been widely 440 regarded as the center network of inhibition control ability (Goldstein *et al.*, 2007) 441 and LC-NE system could modulate the functional connectivity within the prefrontal 442 inhibitory control network (Passamonti *et al.*, 2018; Tomassini *et al.*, 2022), given 443 neural activities from subcortical regions cannot be detected through fNIRS technique, 444 subcortical networks, such as basal ganglia, hypothalamus could be further 445 investigated under taVNS, for example using deep brain stimulation techniques. Pupil 446 diameter is also related to NE-LC system (Burger *et al.*, 2020) although in our 447 previous study we did not find any significant effects of taVNS on this (Zhu *et al.*, 448 2022a). In addition, the current study only recruited healthy subjects and more clinical 449 samples, especially individuals with deficits in inhibition control (e.g., ADHD, PTSD, 450 addiction disorders) should be included to confirm and validate the positive effects of 451 taVNS and their therapeutic potential.

452 In conclusion, the present study demonstrated a beneficial effect of taVNS on 453 improving inhibitory control and further revealed the neurocomputational 454 mechanisms underlying this effect in healthy individuals, suggesting a therapeutic 455 potential of taVNS as a promising neuromodulation technique in the intervention of

medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532) this version posted September 14, 2023. The copyright holder for this<br>preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

- 456 psychiatric disorders characterized inhibitory control deficits, such as attention deficit
- 457 hyperactivity disorder, substance abuse disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

## <sup>459</sup>**Acknowledgments**

460 This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province [grant 461 number 2022NSFSC1375 - WHZ], Fundamental Research Funds for the Central 462 Universities, UESTC [grant number ZYGX2020J027 - WHZ], National Natural 463 Science Foundation of China (NSFC) [grant number 31530032 - KMK], the Special 464 Fund for Basic Scientific Research of Central Colleges (grant number 465 ZYGX2021J036 - KMK) and Key Scientific and Technological projects of 466 Guangdong Province [grant number 2018B030335001 - KMK].

467

### <sup>468</sup>**Disclosure**

469 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

470

## <sup>471</sup>**Availability of Data and Materials**

- 472 Data in the present study can be made available upon request to the primary contact
- 473 author, and code will be shared upon publication through a GitHub repository.
- 474
- 475
- 476
- 477
- 
- 478
- 479
- 480
- 481

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

### <sup>482</sup>**References**

- 483 **Aron AR, Behrens TE, Smith S, Frank MJ, Poldrack RA** (2007) Triangulating a 484 Cognitive Control Network Using Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging 485 (MRI) and Functional MRI. Society for Neuroscience *Journal of Neuroscience* **27**, 486 3743–3752.
- 487 **Beste C, Steenbergen L, Sellaro R, Grigoriadou S, Zhang R, Chmielewski W,**
- 488 **Stock A-K, Colzato L** (2016) Effects of Concomitant Stimulation of the GABAergic 489 and Norepinephrine System on Inhibitory Control – A Study Using Transcutaneous
- 490 Vagus Nerve Stimulation. *Brain Stimulation* **9**, 811–818.
- 491 **Borges U, Knops L, Laborde S, Klatt S, Raab M** (2020) Transcutaneous Vagus 492 Nerve Stimulation May Enhance Only Specific Aspects of the Core Executive 493 Functions. A Randomized Crossover Trial. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **14**.
- 494 **Burger AM, D'Agostini M, Verkuil B, Diest IV** (2020) Moving beyond belief: A 495 narrative review of potential biomarkers for transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. 496 *Psychophysiology* **57**, e13571.
- 497 **Camargo A, Azuaje F, Wang H, Zheng H** (2008) Permutation based statistical 498 tests for multiple hypotheses. *Source Code for Biology and Medicine* **3**, 15.
- 499 **Capone F, Assenza G, Di Pino G, Musumeci G, Ranieri F, Florio L, Barbato C,**
- 500 **Di Lazzaro V** (2015) The effect of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation on cortical
- 501 excitability. *Journal of Neural Transmission* **122**, 679–685.
- 502 **Catarino A, Küpper CS, Werner-Seidler A, Dalgleish T, Anderson MC** (2015)
- 503 Failing to Forget: Inhibitory-Control Deficits Compromise Memory Suppression in 504 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. SAGE Publications Inc *Psychological Science* **26**, 505 604–616.
- 506 **Chamberlain SR, Campo N del, Dowson J, Müller U, Clark L, Robbins TW,**  507 **Sahakian BJ** (2007) Atomoxetine Improved Response Inhibition in Adults with 508 Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Elsevier *Biological Psychiatry* **62**, 977–984.
- 509 **Chamberlain SR, Hampshire A, Müller U, Rubia K, Campo N del, Craig K,**  510 **Regenthal R, Suckling J, Roiser JP, Grant JE, Bullmore ET, Robbins TW,**  511 **Sahakian BJ** (2009) Atomoxetine Modulates Right Inferior Frontal Activation 512 During Inhibitory Control: A Pharmacological Functional Magnetic Resonance 513 Imaging Study. Elsevier *Biological Psychiatry* **65**, 550–555.
- 514 **Chevrier AD, Noseworthy MD, Schachar R** (2007) Dissociation of response 515 inhibition and performance monitoring in the stop signal task using event-related 516 fMRI. *Human Brain Mapping* **28**, 1347–1358.

517 **Colzato L, Beste C** (2020) A literature review on the neurophysiological 518 underpinnings and cognitive effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation: 519 challenges and future directions. American Physiological Society *Journal of*  520 *Neurophysiology* **123**, 1739–1755.

- 521 **Diamond A** (2013) Executive Functions. *Annual review of psychology* **64**, 135–168.
- 522 **Ellrich J** (2011) Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. *European Neurological*  523 *Review* **6**, 254–256.
- 524 **Ferrari M, Quaresima V** (2012) A brief review on the history of human functional 525 near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) development and fields of application. 526 *NeuroImage* **63**, 921–935.
- 527 **Fischer R, Ventura-Bort C, Hamm A, Weymar M** (2018) Transcutaneous vagus 528 nerve stimulation (tVNS) enhances conflict-triggered adjustment of cognitive control. 529 *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience* **18**, 680–693.
- 530 **Frangos E, Ellrich J, Komisaruk BR** (2015) Non-invasive Access to the Vagus 531 Nerve Central Projections via Electrical Stimulation of the External Ear: fMRI 532 Evidence in Humans. *Brain Stimulation* **8**, 624–636.
- 533 **de Gee JW, Tsetsos K, Schwabe L, Urai AE, McCormick D, McGinley MJ,**  534 **Donner TH** (2020) Pupil-linked phasic arousal predicts a reduction of choice bias 535 across species and decision domains. ed. J. I. Gold, M. Grüschow and R. B. Ebitz 536 eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd *eLife* **9**, e54014.
- 537 **Goldstein M, Brendel G, Tuescher O, Pan H, Epstein J, Beutel M, Yang Y,**  538 **Thomas K, Levy K, Silverman M, Clarkin J, Posner M, Kernberg O, Stern E,**  539 **Silbersweig D** (2007) Neural substrates of the interaction of emotional stimulus 540 processing and motor inhibitory control: An emotional linguistic go/no-go fMRI study. 541 *NeuroImage* **36**, 1026–1040.
- 542 **Gomez P, Ratcliff R, Perea M** (2007) A model of the go/no-go task. US: American 543 Psychological Association *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* **136**, 544 389–413.
- 545 **Gong X, Huang Y-X, Wang Y, Luo Y-J** (2011) Revision of the Chinese Facial 546 Affective Picture System. China: Chinese Mental Health *Chinese Mental Health*  547 *Journal* **25**, 40–46.
- 548 **Gorka AX, Philips RT, Torrisi S, Claudino L, Foray K, Grillon C, Ernst M** (2022)
- 549 The Posterior Cingulate Cortex Reflects the Impact of Anxiety on Drift Rates During
- 550 Cognitive Processing. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and*  551 *Neuroimaging*.

**Hermans L, Leunissen I, Pauwels L, Cuypers K, Peeters R, Puts NAJ, Edden RAE, Swinnen SP** (2018) Brain GABA Levels Are Associated with Inhibitory 554 Control Deficits in Older Adults. Society for Neuroscience *Journal of Neuroscience* **38**, 7844–7851.

556 **Hildebrandt MK, Dieterich R, Endrass T** (2021) Neural correlates of inhibitory 557 control in relation to the degree of substance use and substance-related problems - A 558 systematic review and perspective. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **128**, 559 1–11.

560 **Hou X, Zhang Z, Zhao C, Duan L, Gong Y, Li Z, Zhu C** (2021) NIRS-KIT: a 561 MATLAB toolbox for both resting-state and task fNIRS data analysis. SPIE 562 *Neurophotonics* **8**, 010802.

563 **Huang-Pollock C, Ratcliff R, McKoon G, Shapiro Z, Weigard A, Galloway-Long**  564 **H** (2017) Using the Diffusion Model to Explain Cognitive Deficits in Attention 565 Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* **45**, 57–68.

566 **Iarrobino I, Bongiardina A, Dal Monte O, Sarasso P, Ronga I, Neppi-Modona M,**  567 **Actis-Grosso R, Salatino A, Ricci R** (2021) Right and left inferior frontal opercula 568 are involved in discriminating angry and sad facial expressions. *Brain Stimulation* **14**, 569 607–615.

- 570 **Jasinska AJ, Yasuda M, Burant CF, Gregor N, Khatri S, Sweet M, Falk EB** 571 (2012) Impulsivity and inhibitory control deficits are associated with unhealthy eating 572 in young adults. *Appetite* **59**, 738–747.
- 573 **Keute M, Barth D, Liebrand M, Heinze H-J, Kraemer U, Zaehle T** (2020) Effects 574 of Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation (tVNS) on Conflict-Related Behavioral 575 Performance and Frontal Midline Theta Activity. *Journal of Cognitive Enhancement* 576 **4**, 121–130.

577 **Li J, Yang X, Zhou F, Liu C, Wei Z, Xin F, Daumann B, Daumann J, Kendrick**  578 **KM, Becker B** (2020) Modafinil enhances cognitive, but not emotional conflict 579 processing via enhanced inferior frontal gyrus activation and its communication with 580 the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. Nature Publishing Group 581 *Neuropsychopharmacology* 6 **45**, 1026–1033.

**Lu J, Zhang X, Wang Y, Cheng Y, Shu Z, Wang J, Zhu Z, Liu P, Yu Y, Wu J, Han J, Yu N** (2023) An fNIRS-Based Dynamic Functional Connectivity Analysis 584 Method to Signify Functional Neurodegeneration of Parkinson's Disease. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **31**, 1199–1207.

586 **Monden Y, Dan H, Nagashima M, Dan I, Tsuzuki D, Kyutoku Y, Gunji Y,**  587 **Yamagata T, Watanabe E, Momoi MY** (2012) Right prefrontal activation as a

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

- 588 neuro-functional biomarker for monitoring acute effects of methylphenidate in ADHD
- 589 children: An fNIRS study. *NeuroImage: Clinical* **1**, 131–140.
- 590 **Munakata Y, Herd SA, Chatham CH, Depue BE, Banich MT, O'Reilly RC** (2011) 591 A unified framework for inhibitory control. Elsevier *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **15**, 592 453–459.
- 593 **Murley AG, Rouse MA, Jones PS, Ye R, Hezemans FH, O'Callaghan C, Frangou**  594 **P, Kourtzi Z, Rua C, Carpenter TA, Rodgers CT, Rowe JB** (2020) GABA and 595 glutamate deficits from frontotemporal lobar degeneration are associated with
- 596 disinhibition. *Brain* **143**, 3449–3462.
- 597 **Nichols TE, Holmes AP** (2002) Nonparametric permutation tests for functional 598 neuroimaging: A primer with examples. *Human Brain Mapping* **15**, 1–25.
- 599 **Nomura M, Ohira H, Haneda K, Iidaka T, Sadato N, Okada T, Yonekura Y** 600 (2004) Functional association of the amygdala and ventral prefrontal cortex during 601 cognitive evaluation of facial expressions primed by masked angry faces: an 602 event-related fMRI study. *NeuroImage* **21**, 352–363.
- 603 **O'Callaghan C, Hezemans FH, Ye R, Rua C, Jones PS, Murley AG, Holland N,**  604 **Regenthal R, Tsvetanov KA, Wolpe N, Barker RA, Williams-Gray CH, Robbins**  605 **TW, Passamonti L, Rowe JB** (2021) Locus coeruleus integrity and the effect of 606 atomoxetine on response inhibition in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* **144**, 2513–2526.
- 607 **Passamonti L, Lansdall C, Rowe J** (2018) The neuroanatomical and neurochemical 608 basis of apathy and impulsivity in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Current*  609 *Opinion in Behavioral Sciences* **22**, 14–20.
- 610 **Pihlaja M, Failla L, Peräkylä J, Hartikainen KM** (2020) Reduced Frontal 611 Nogo-N2 With Uncompromised Response Inhibition During Transcutaneous Vagus 612 Nerve Stimulation—More Efficient Cognitive Control? *Frontiers in Human*  613 *Neuroscience* **14**.
- 614 **Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, Biederman J, Rohde LA** (2007) The 615 Worldwide Prevalence of ADHD: A Systematic Review and Metaregression Analysis. 616 American Psychiatric Publishing *American Journal of Psychiatry* **164**, 942–948.
- 617 **Ratcliff R, Huang-Pollock C, McKoon G** (2018) Modeling individual differences in 618 the go/no-go task with a diffusion model. US: Educational Publishing Foundation 619 *Decision* **5**, 42–62.
- 620 **Robertson CL, Ishibashi K, Mandelkern MA, Brown AK, Ghahremani DG,**  621 **Sabb F, Bilder R, Cannon T, Borg J, London ED** (2015) Striatal D1- and D2-type 622 Dopamine Receptors Are Linked to Motor Response Inhibition in Human Subjects. 623 Society for Neuroscience *Journal of Neuroscience* **35**, 5990–5997.

624 **Rubia K, Smith AB, Brammer MJ, Taylor E** (2003) Right inferior prefrontal cortex 625 mediates response inhibition while mesial prefrontal cortex is responsible for error 626 detection. *NeuroImage* **20**, 351–358.

- 627 **Sakai J** (2022) Functional near-infrared spectroscopy reveals brain activity on the 628 move. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *Proceedings of the National*  629 *Academy of Sciences* **119**, e2208729119.
- 630 **Shi L, Sun J, Wei D, Qiu J** (2019) Recover from the adversity: functional 631 connectivity basis of psychological resilience. *Neuropsychologia* **122**, 20–27.

632 **Sorella S, Grecucci A, Piretti L, Job R** (2021) Do anger perception and the 633 experience of anger share common neural mechanisms? Coordinate-based 634 meta-analytic evidence of similar and different mechanisms from functional 635 neuroimaging studies. *NeuroImage* **230**, 117777.

636 **Stalnaker TA, Cooch NK, Schoenbaum G** (2015) What the orbitofrontal cortex 637 does not do. Nature Publishing Group *Nature Neuroscience* 5 **18**, 620–627.

638 **Tang TB, Chong JS, Kiguchi M, Funane T, Lu C-K** (2021) Detection of Emotional 639 Sensitivity Using fNIRS Based Dynamic Functional Connectivity. *IEEE Transactions*  640 *on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **29**, 894–904.

641 **Taylor MJ, Robertson A, Keller AE, Sato J, Urbain C, Pang EW** (2018) 642 Inhibition in the face of emotion: Characterization of the spatial-temporal dynamics 643 that facilitate automatic emotion regulation. *Human Brain Mapping* **39**, 2907–2916.

644 **Tomassini A, Hezemans FH, Ye R, Tsvetanov KA, Wolpe N, Rowe JB** (2022) 645 Prefrontal Cortical Connectivity Mediates Locus Coeruleus Noradrenergic Regulation 646 of Inhibitory Control in Older Adults. Society for Neuroscience *Journal of*  647 *Neuroscience* **42**, 3484–3493.

648 **Watson D, Clark LA, Carey G** (1988) Positive and negative affectivity and their 649 relation to anxiety and depressive disorders. US: American Psychological Association 650 *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **97**, 346–353.

651 **Weigard A, Soules M, Ferris B, Zucker RA, Sripada C, Heitzeg M** (2020) 652 Cognitive Modeling Informs Interpretation of Go/No-Go Task-Related Neural 653 Activations and Their Links to Externalizing Psychopathology. *Biological Psychiatry:*  654 *Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* **5**, 530–541.

- 655 **Wiecki T, Sofer I, Frank M** (2013) HDDM: Hierarchical Bayesian estimation of the 656 Drift-Diffusion Model in Python. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* **7**.
- 657 **Wright L, Lipszyc J, Dupuis A, Thayapararajah SW, Schachar R** (2014) 658 Response inhibition and psychopathology: A meta-analysis of go/no-go task

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

659 performance. US: American Psychological Association *Journal of Abnormal*  660 *Psychology* **123**, 429–439.

661 **Yakunina N, Kim SS, Nam E-C** (2017) Optimization of Transcutaneous Vagus 662 Nerve Stimulation Using Functional MRI. *Neuromodulation: Technology at the*  663 *Neural Interface* **20**, 290–300.

664 **Zhang J, Rittman T, Nombela C, Fois A, Coyle-Gilchrist I, Barker RA, Hughes**  665 **LE, Rowe JB** (2016) Different decision deficits impair response inhibition in

666 progressive supranuclear palsy and Parkinson's disease. *Brain* **139**, 161–173.

667 **Zhu S, Qing Y, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Ding F, Zhang R, Yao S, Kendrick KM,**  668 **Zhao W** (2022a) Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation increases 669 eye-gaze on salient facial features and oxytocin release. *Psychophysiology* **59**, 670 e14107.

671 **Zhu S, Zhang X, Zhou M, Kendrick KM, Zhao W** (2022b) Therapeutic 672 applications of transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation with potential for 673 application in neurodevelopmental or other pediatric disorders. *Frontiers in*  674 *Endocrinology* **13**.

675 **Zhuang Q, Qiao L, Xu L, Yao S, Chen S, Zheng X, Li J, Fu M, Li K, Vatansever**  676 **D, Ferraro S, Kendrick KM, Becker B** (2022) The right inferior frontal gyrus as 677 pivotal node and effective regulator of the basal ganglia-thalamocortical response 678 inhibition circuit. bioRxiv 2022.05.26.493546.

679 **Zhuang Q, Xu L, Zhou F, Yao S, Zheng X, Zhou X, Li J, Xu X, Fu M, Li K,**  680 **Vatansever D, Kendrick KM, Becker B** (2021) Segregating domain-general from 681 emotional context-specific inhibitory control systems - ventral striatum and 682 orbitofrontal cortex serve as emotion-cognition integration hubs. *NeuroImage* **238**, 683 118269.

- 684 **Zimeo Morais GA, Balardin JB, Sato JR** (2018) fNIRS Optodes' Location Decider 685 (fOLD): a toolbox for probe arrangement guided by brain regions-of-interest. Nature 686 Publishing Group *Scientific Reports* 1 **8**, 3341.
- 687

688

medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.23295532) this version posted September 14, 2023. The copyright holder for this<br>preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

# <sup>690</sup>**Tables**





It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

# <sup>693</sup>**Figure legends**

694

695 **Fig. 1** Schematic illustration of experimental protocol and data analysis. A, Procedure

- 696 timeline. B, Drift Diffusion Model framework. C, Overview of dynamic functional
- 697 connectivity (dFC) analysis.
- 698

699 **Fig. 2** taVNS effects on behavioral index. A, Accuracy of No-Go response (mean  $\pm$ 700 SEM) under two treatment groups. B, Computed Hierarchical Bayesian estimation of 701 the Drift Diffusion Model (HDDM) parameter differences between treatment groups. 702 C, Drift rate of Go response mediated taVNS effects on the accuracy of No-Go 703 response.  $\gamma p < .05$ .  $\gamma p < .01$ ,  $\gamma p < .001$ , ns: no significant difference.

704

705 **Fig. 3** HDDM simulation results. Representative plot of observed vs. simulated 706 responses (i.e., accuracy and reaction times) across taVNS and sham condition. 707 Columns 1 and 3 represent probability densities. Columns 2 and 4 represent 708 percentage of correct responses in each reaction time quantile. Obs = observed. Sim = 709 simulated. Corr = correct. Incorr = incorrect.

710

711 **Fig. 4** fNIRS results. A, HbO differences of bilateral IFG for inhibition on angry and 712 happy faces under taVNS and sham treatment. B, Different effects of treatment on 713 FCs in determined State 1, State 2, State 3, and State 4 respectively, red line/box: 714 taVNS > sham; blue line/box: taVNS < sham. C, Mediation analysis between 715 treatment, FC between IFG and OFC, and accuracy of No-Go response. D, Parallel 716 mediation analysis between treatment, FC between IFG and OFC, drift rate for Go 717 stimuli and accuracy of No-Go response. FC-functional connectivity; IFG-Inferior 718 frontal gyrus; OFC- Orbitofrontal cortex.  $\dot{p} < .05$ ,  $\dot{p} < .01$ ,  $\dot{p} < .001$ .

719

720