## 1 TITLE PAGE

# 2 Evaluation of School Vaccine Coverage and Medical Vaccine Exemptions

# Following the Repeal of School Entry Nonmedical Vaccine Exemption Options in New York State

- John W. Correira, B.S.<sup>a</sup>, Rhiannon Kamstra, M.Sc.<sup>b</sup>, Nanqing Zhu, M.Sc.<sup>b</sup>, and Margaret
   K. Doll, Ph.D., M.P.H.<sup>a</sup>
- Affiliations: <sup>a</sup>Department of Allied Health Sciences, Albany College of Pharmacy &
   Health Sciences, Albany, NY, USA; <sup>b</sup>Precision Analytics, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
- 9 Address correspondence to: Margaret K. Doll, Ph.D., M.P.H.; Albany College of

10 Pharmacy & Health Sciences, 106 New Scotland Ave, HAB121A, Albany, NY 12208 •

- 11 Email: <u>margaret.doll@acphs.edu</u> Phone: 518.694.7731.
- 12 Article Type: Original Investigation
- 13 Word Count: 2,987 of max 3000
- 14 **Tables/Figures:** 5 of max 5
- 15 **References:** 20
- 16

# 17 **KEY POINTS (**99 of max 100)

**Question:** Was the New York State (NYS) law eliminating nonmedical vaccine exemption options from school entry vaccine requirements effective to increase vaccine coverage among NYS schools (outside of New York City)?

Findings: Using interrupted time-series analyses, we found the implementation of the NYS law was associated with an increase in mean required vaccine coverage at NYS schools; small, but significant declines in medical exemptions were also observed in relation to the law.

Meaning: State legislation eliminating nonmedical vaccine exemption options from school entry vaccine laws can be effective to improve school vaccine coverage without replacement by medical vaccine exemptions.

28

29

# 31 **ABSTRACT** (350 of max 350)

Importance: Following the repeal of nonmedical vaccine exemption options from school entry immunization laws in California, gains in vaccine coverage were partially offset by increases in medical vaccine exemptions. Although several U.S. states, including New York State (NYS), recently adopted similar legislation, the impact of these laws on school vaccine coverage and medical vaccine exemptions has not yet been examined.

37 **Objective:** To estimate the effects of NYS legislation eliminating nonmedical school 38 entry vaccine exemptions on required vaccine coverage and the uptake of medical 39 vaccine exemptions at NYS schools outside of New York City (NYC).

40 **Design:** Interrupted time-series analyses using generalized estimating equations to 41 examine longitudinal school immunization compliance data from the 2012-13 through 42 2021-22 school years.

43 **Setting:** New York State public and nonpublic schools outside of NYC.

44 Participants: Schools that submitted ≥1 compliance report in the time periods before
 45 and after the legislative repeal of nonmedical exemptions with publicly available student
 46 enrollment data.

Exposure: NYS Senate Bill 2994A was passed in June 2019, eliminating school entry
nonmedical vaccine exemptions; since compliance with the law was evaluated for most
students during the following school year, we considered the 2019-20 school year as
the law's effective date.

51 **Main Outcomes and Measures:** Main outcomes examined were school required 52 vaccine coverage, defined as the percentage of students at each school who completed 53 all grade-appropriate NYS vaccine requirements, and the percentage of students with a 54 medical vaccine exemption.

**Results:** Among 3,525 eligible schools, the implementation of NYS Senate Bill 2994A was associated with an increase in mean required vaccine coverage of 5% and 1% among nonpublic and public schools, respectively, with additional annual increases in coverage observed through the 2021-22 school year. The law's implementation was also associated with a 0.1% (95% CI: 0.0%, 0.1%) decrease in medical vaccine exemptions at both public and nonpublic schools, and small, but significant mean annual declines in medical vaccine exemptions through the end of the study period.

62 Conclusion and Relevance: The NYS elimination of school entry nonmedical vaccine
 63 exemption options was effective to improve required vaccine coverage; coverage gains
 64 were not replaced by increases in medical vaccine exemptions.

#### 66 MAIN TEXT (2,987 of max 3,000 words)

#### 67 Introduction

Following two large measles outbreaks in New York State (NYS) that threatened the 68 69 United States' (US) measles elimination status, the NYS legislature passed Senate Bill 70 2994A (SB2994A) in June 2019, repealing nonmedical vaccine exemption options from its school entry vaccine requirements.<sup>1-3</sup> With the law's passage, New York became the 71 72 fifth US state to address increasing pediatric undervaccination by eliminating all school 73 nonmedical vaccine exemptions, and the third US state, behind California and Maine, to adopt such a law in recent decades.<sup>4-7</sup> Since the passage of NYS SB2994A, other 74 states have considered similar legislation, including Connecticut, where nonmedical 75 76 vaccine exemption options were repealed from school entry vaccine requirements in 2021.8 Yet, unlike recent laws passed in California, Maine, and Connecticut, NYS 77 78 SB2994A became effective immediately, and did not include a grandfather clause provision excusing students with existing nonmedical exemptions from compliance.<sup>8-10</sup> 79

While school entry vaccine requirements are effective to promote and maintain high US pediatric vaccine coverage,<sup>11,12</sup> less is known regarding the effects of legislative repeals of nonmedical vaccine exemption options from these requirements. Evaluations of the California repeal of school nonmedical vaccine exemptions suggest that although the legislation was net effective to increase the total percentage of kindergartners up-todate for vaccinations, these increases were partially offset by simultaneous increases in student medical vaccine exemptions.<sup>4,10,13,14</sup> In addition, new medical vaccine

exemptions were found to spatially cluster, suggesting that the California legislation did
not have a uniform impact on school vaccine coverage.<sup>4,15</sup>

To date, the effects of other state repeals of nonmedical vaccine exemptions have not yet been evaluated, including in NYS. Further, given differences in the structure, implementation timelines, and target populations of these laws, it is feasible that their impact may differ by jurisdiction. In this study, we evaluated the effects of the NYS SB2994A repeal of nonmedical vaccine exemptions on vaccine coverage and the uptake of medical vaccine exemptions among NYS schools outside of New York City (NYC).

#### 96 Methods

#### 97 Study setting

NYS SB2994A was signed into law on June 13, 2019.<sup>2</sup> Although the bill became effective immediately, students were given a 14-day grace period to demonstrate compliance or a pathway towards compliance (*i.e.*, receipt of the first vaccination for all required vaccinations) prior to exclusion from NYS schools.<sup>2</sup> Due to the timing of this grace period and school summer recess, compliance with the legislation for most students was not assessed until the 2019-20 school year.

104 Study design, data sources, and population

105 We conducted interrupted time-series analyses to examine the effects of NYS SB2994A 106 on annual school required vaccination coverage during the 2012-13 through 2021-22 107 school years, using the 2019-20 school year as the legislation's effective date. Annual

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.23294227; this version posted August 23, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

108 school vaccination coverage was ascertained using publicly available datasets from the NYS Department of Health (NYSDOH) School Immunization Survey.<sup>16</sup> Briefly, this 109 110 annual survey requires schools to report the number of students with medical and 111 religious vaccine exemptions, and those who met grade-appropriate proof of immunity requirements for 7 mandatory school entry immunizations (diphtheria, hepatitis B, 112 measles, mumps, polio, rubella, varicella).<sup>16,17</sup> NYSDOH publicly releases aggregated, 113 114 annual survey data for each school reporting the percentages of students for each 115 variable along with school identifying information. To create a longitudinal dataset, we 116 linked schools across annual survey files using combinations of school ID, name, and 117 address; data were then linked with publicly available school enrollment datasets from the NYS Education Department (NYSED) to identify annual school populations.<sup>18</sup> 118

Due to differences in governance and reporting structures among New York City schools compared with other NYS locations, NYC schools were excluded from all analyses. Among non-NYC schools, schools must have submitted  $\geq$ 1 NYSDOH School Immunization Survey in the time periods before (*i.e.*, 2012-2013 through 2018-19 school years) and after (*i.e.*, 2019-20 through 2021-22 school years) the implementation of NYS SB2994A with linkages to annual NYSED enrollment data to be eligible for study inclusion.

#### 126 Statistical analyses

127 In separate analyses, we investigated the effects of NYS SB2994A on the annual 128 percentage of students who completed grade-appropriate requirements for all 7 129 required vaccines (*i.e.*, required vaccine coverage) and the percentage of students who

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.23294227; this version posted August 23, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

130 received a medical exemption at each school as our primary outcomes of interest. In 131 crude analyses, we explored these outcomes as both: (i) unweighted estimates, where each school contributed equally to the analysis, to inform vaccination levels across 132 133 school clusters, and (ii) weighted estimates, where outcomes were weighted by a school's student enrollment, to inform vaccination levels across student populations. In 134 adjusted analyses that accounted for the annual repeated sampling of schools, we used 135 binomial generalized estimating equations (GEE) with an identity link function and first-136 137 order autoregressive structure to estimate the absolute effect of the law on our primary 138 outcomes with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In these analyses, the law was modeled as a binary variable, representing 0 and 1 in the time periods before and after the law's 139 140 implementation, respectively. Because we anticipated that the law's effects may change 141 over time, we considered adjustment for a change in trend in the period after the law's 142 implementation using a product term between the binary law variable and a linear variable accounting for the school year after the law. Additionally, since we anticipated 143 that the law's effects may differ by school type,<sup>19,20</sup> we considered inclusion of a binary 144 145 variable accounting for nonpublic and public school status and separate product terms to allow for differences in trend by school type in both the time periods before and after 146 147 the law's implementation. In secondary analyses, we examined the percentage of students with any vaccine exemptions (*i.e.*, nonmedical and medical exemptions) as our 148 149 outcome variable of interest.

All analyses were conducted using RStudio with R version 4.2.2 (The R Foundation forStatistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

152 **Results** 

#### 153 Study population

Figure 1 depicts a flow diagram of annual NYSDOH School Immunization Survey 154 155 reports considered for study inclusion. Briefly, we identified 3,938 distinct non-NYC, 156 NYS schools (2,907 public, 1,031 nonpublic) that completed  $\geq$ 1 survey during the study 157 period. Of these, 3,525 (89.5%) schools submitted  $\geq 1$  survey during the time periods 158 before and after the law's implementation, with linkages to NYSED enrollment data. 159 Among these eligible schools, 2,733 (94%) public and 792 (77%) nonpublic schools 160 were represented, submitting a mean of 9.3 (95% CI: 9.3, 9.4) and 7.9 (95% CI: 7.8, 8.1) reports across the 10-year study period, respectively. Stratified by time period, 161 public and nonpublic schools submitted a mean of 6.4 (95% CI: 6.4, 6.5) and 5.4 (95% 162 163 CI: 5.3, 5.6) reports, respectively, during the 7 school years prior to SB2994A 164 implementation; during the 3-year period following the law, these schools submitted a 165 mean of 2.9 (95% CI: 2.9, 2.9) and 2.6 (95% CI: 2.5, 2.6) reports, respectively. A total of 166 31,718 distinct surveys from all schools were included in analyses.

#### 167 Completion of required vaccines

Table 1 presents crude analyses of mean required vaccine coverage. At the beginning of the study period (2012-13 school year), overall mean coverage weighted by student enrollment was 98.4% (95% CI: 98.2%, 98.5%) and fell to 97.0% (95% CI: 96.7%, 97.2%) in 2018-19, or the school year prior to NYS SB2994A implementation; during this same time period, unweighted coverage started at 96.8% (95% CI: 96.4%, 97.1%) and fell to 95.1% (95% CI: 94.7%, 95.5%). In both weighted and unweighted estimates, vaccine uptake was consistently lower among nonpublic schools during the pre-

175 implementation period. In the year before NYS SB2994A implementation (2018-19), 176 weighted coverage was 97.6% (95% CI: 97.5%, 97.7%) and 90.8% (95% CI: 88.6%, 177 93.0%) among public and nonpublic school students, respectively, while unweighted 178 coverage was 97.6% (95% CI: 97.5%, 97.7%) and 85.7% (95% CI: 84.1%, 87.2%) at 179 public and nonpublic schools, respectively. Following the law's implementation, weighted mean vaccine coverage increased by 0.7% (95% CI: 0.5%, 0.9%) and 4.1% 180 (95% CI: 1.8%, 6.5%) among public and nonpublic school students, respectively, while 181 unweighted coverage increased by 0.6% (95% CI: 0.4%, 0.8%) at public schools and 182 183 3.7% (95% CI: 1.5%, 5.9%) at nonpublic schools. Small, annual gains in vaccine 184 coverage were also observed through the end of the study period (2021-22), with weighted mean vaccine coverage of 98.4% (95% CI: 98.3%, 98.5%) and 96.3% (95% 185 186 CI: 95.5%, 97.1%) among public and nonpublic school students, and unweighted 187 estimates of 98.5% (95% CI: 98.4%, 98.6%) and 92.4% (95% CI: 91.0%, 93.9%) among 188 public and nonpublic schools.

189 Final selected GEE models examining school required vaccine coverage contained 190 terms for school type and separate product terms to allow for differences in trend by 191 school type in both the pre- and post-implementation periods (Supplemental Appendix 192 1). Figure 2 displays modelled vaccine coverage estimates. In general, trends were 193 similar to crude analyses. Briefly, baseline coverage was higher among public versus 194 nonpublic schools; while both school types experienced annual declines in coverage 195 during the pre-implementation period, declines in mean coverage among nonpublic 196 schools were greater. Upon implementation of SB2994A, mean required vaccine 197 coverage increased by 0.8% (95% CI: 0.7%, 1.0%) among public schools and 4.6%

(95% CI: 3.7%, 5.6%) among nonpublic schools. Both public and nonpublic schools
continued to experience annual increases of 0.3% (95% CI: 0.2%, 0.4%) and 1.3%
(95% CI: 0.8%, 1.7%), respectively, during the post-implementation period.
Supplemental Appendix 1 includes a table of model results.

202 Uptake of vaccine exemptions

203 Figure 3 examines weighted and unweighted mean medical vaccine exemption uptake 204 among public and nonpublic schools over time. In both weighted and unweighted 205 analyses, medical exemptions were more common among nonpublic schools compared 206 to public schools during the pre-implementation period and increased slightly across the 207 time period prior to the law's implementation. However, in general, medical exemptions 208 were rare, accounting for a weighted average of 0.2% (95% CI: 0.2%, 0.2%) and 0.3% 209 (95% CI: 0.2%, 0.3%) of public and nonpublic school students, respectively, in the year 210 prior to SB2994A implementation. Following the repeal, the weighted average of 211 medical exemptions among public and nonpublic school students remained unchanged. 212 Final GEE models examining this outcome contained a term for school type and 213 separate terms to allow for differences in trend in both the pre- and post-implementation 214 periods (Supplemental Appendix 2). Among public and nonpublic schools, a small, but 215 significant increase in medical vaccine exemptions was observed in the time period 216 before NYS SB2994A. In the school year following the law's implementation, these 217 schools experienced a 0.1% (95% CI: 0.0%, 0.1%) decrease in medical vaccine 218 exemptions, with annual declines of 0.02% (95% CI: 0.01%, 0.03%) observed through 219 the end of the study period.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.23294227; this version posted August 23, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Figure 4 examines crude data for the uptake of any vaccine exemptions over time by 220 221 school type (*i.e.*, public or nonpublic). Overall, weighted estimates found 1.0% (95% CI: 222 1.0%, 1.0%) of public students and 3.4% (95% CI: 2.9%, 4.0%) of nonpublic students 223 reported a vaccine exemption in the year prior to NYS SB2994A implementation; 224 unweighted estimates found mean uptake of vaccine exemptions was 1.1% (95% CI: 225 1.0%, 1.1%) among public schools and 8.4% (95% CI: 7.1%, 9.7%) among nonpublic 226 schools. Following NYS SB2994A implementation, the mean percentage of school 227 vaccine exemptions decreased in both weighted and unweighted estimates. At the end 228 of the study period (2021-22), the weighted uptake of any vaccine exemptions was 229 0.2% (95% CI: 0.1%, 0.2%) among public school students and 0.2% (95% CI: 0.2%, 230 0.3%) among nonpublic school students, with unweighted estimates of 0.1% (95% CI: 231 0.1%, 0.2%) and 0.4% (95% CI: 0.2%, 0.5%) among public and nonpublic schools, 232 respectively. Final GEE models examining this outcome contained terms for school type 233 and separate product terms to allow for differences in trend by school type in both the 234 pre- and post-implementation periods (Supplemental Appendix 3), with similar results in 235 comparison with crude analyses. Upon the law's implementation, public schools 236 experienced a 1.0% (95% CI: 1.0%, 1.0%) decline in all vaccine exemptions, while 237 nonpublic schools experienced an 8.1% (95% CI: 6.8%, 9.4%) decline; additional 238 annual declines of 0.1% (95% CI: 0.1%, 0.1%) and 0.3% (95% CI: 0.2%, 0.4%) among 239 these schools, respectively, were observed through the 2021-22 school year.

#### 240 **Discussion**

Using interrupted time-series analyses, we examined the effects of NYS SB2994A on required vaccine coverage and the uptake of medical vaccine exemptions among non-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.23294227; this version posted August 23, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

NYC, NYS schools. Prior to NYS SB2994A implementation, we observed consistent 243 244 declines in school required vaccine coverage over time, with unweighted coverage of 245 85.7% among nonpublic schools and 97.6% among public schools in the school year 246 prior to the law's adoption. Using longitudinal GEE models, we estimated that NYS 247 SB2994A implementation was associated with absolute increases in mean required 248 vaccine coverage of 4.6% among nonpublic schools and 0.8% among public schools, 249 with additional, annual mean increases of 1.2% among nonpublic schools and 0.3% 250 among public schools estimated through the 2021-22 school year. Simultaneously, we 251 also found that NYS SB2994A implementation was associated with a small, but 252 significant absolute decline of 0.1% in medical vaccine exemption uptake among 253 nonpublic and public schools, with subsequent annual mean declines of 0.02% among 254 these schools through the 2021-22 school year. Collectively, these results demonstrate 255 that the NYS SB2994A repeal of nonmedical vaccine exemptions was effective to 256 address declining required vaccine coverage at non-NYC, NYS schools, and that 257 coverage gains were not replaced by increases in the uptake of medical vaccine 258 exemptions.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the impact of NYS SB2994A on required vaccine coverage and the uptake of medical exemptions. While evaluations of the repeal of nonmedical exemptions in California suggest that declines in school nonmedical vaccine exemptions were partially offset by the uptake of new medical vaccine exemptions,<sup>4,10,13,14</sup> we found that non-NYC, NYS schools did not share these experiences. Since NYS SB2994A did not change school policies regarding medical exemptions, we hypothesize that the small, but significant declines we observed in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.23294227; this version posted August 23, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

266 medical vaccine exemptions after the implementation of the law may be due to the 267 adoption of stricter documentation or validation requirements for these exemptions by 268 schools. Conversely, our results may also suggest that increasing medical exemptions 269 observed after the California repeal of nonmedical vaccine exemptions may not solely 270 be related to the repeal of nonmedical exemptions, but rather, could be an artifact of the 271 structure of the California legislation. For example, the California Senate Bill 277 272 (SB277) that repealed nonmedical vaccine exemptions contained explicit provisions 273 broadening the use of medical exemptions for reasons that do not represent contraindications to vaccination, such as a family medical history.<sup>5,14</sup> The apparent 274 275 differences between our findings related to medical exemptions and the experiences of 276 California may have important implications for policymakers in other jurisdictions 277 considering similar legislation. Nonetheless, additional research to examine longitudinal 278 trends and spatial clustering of medical exemptions among NYS schools is warranted to 279 understand whether these findings remain stable into the future.

280 Our crude analyses examining weighted versus unweighted mean required vaccine 281 coverage demonstrate the public health challenges of addressing undervaccination to 282 prevent vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks in the population. While our weighted 283 estimates demonstrate high overall required vaccine coverage of 97% among NYS 284 school children in the year prior to NYS SB2994A implementation, unweighted mean 285 required vaccine coverage during the same time period was only 86% at nonpublic 286 schools. This contrast highlights apparent clustering of vaccine exemptions by school, 287 which has important implications for the control and spread of vaccine-preventable 288 diseases in the population. Furthermore, although our study provides strong evidence

289 that NYS SB2994A was effective to increase mean vaccine coverage, we also found 290 differences in required vaccine coverage between public and nonpublic schools 291 persisted, with public schools having 2% higher mean weighted required vaccine 292 coverage or 6% higher mean unweighted required vaccine coverage in comparison with 293 nonpublic schools at the end of our study period. While these differences by school type 294 were narrowed in comparison with the year prior to NYS SB2994A implementation, 295 these gaps may suggest greater susceptibility of nonpublic schools to vaccine-296 preventable disease outbreaks.

#### 297 Limitations

Our results should be considered with regard to several limitations. First, our study 298 299 period is limited to 3 school years following NYS SB2994A implementation, which 300 includes years affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. Due to these limitations, our results 301 may not represent longitudinal school vaccination trends, and NYS SB2994A effects 302 should be evaluated into the future. Nonetheless, these limitations are partially offset by 303 our study design, which allowed us to consider longitudinal trends in school vaccine 304 coverage in the 7 years prior to the implementation of the law; further, based on the 305 timing of the COVID-19 pandemic and school closures in March 2020, initial vaccine 306 coverage for the 2019-20 school year, or the first year following NYS SB2994A 307 implementation, was less likely to be affected by the pandemic. Second, due to 308 differences in reporting and governance structures, our analyses did not include NYC 309 schools; therefore, our findings may not be generalizable to this region. Third, 10% of 310 non-NYC schools that submitted ≥1 compliance report during the 10-year study period 311 were excluded from our analyses because they did not meet study eligibility criteria.

Should these schools have differing experiences with the legislation, our findings may be biased; however, our analyses remain important as they represent the experiences of 90% of non-NYC, NYS schools. Finally, our analyses did not examine whether NYS SB2994A was associated with changes in school enrollment. Where NYS SB2994A prompted unvaccinated students to leave schools to avoid compliance, these changes could have implications for the spread of vaccine-preventable diseases in the population; future research is necessary to examine these potential effects.

#### 319 Conclusions

320 Using interrupted time-series analyses, we found that the NYS SB2994A repeal of 321 nonmedical exemptions was associated with increases in mean required vaccine 322 coverage at non-NYC NYS schools. Among these schools, we did not find evidence that 323 coverage gains were offset by increasing medical vaccine exemptions; instead, we 324 observed a small, but significant decline in medical vaccine exemptions associated with 325 the law. These findings suggest that the legislative repeal of school entry nonmedical 326 vaccine exemptions can be effective to improve vaccination compliance without 327 replacement by new medical vaccine exemptions. Although our study period includes 3 328 years of data following the implementation of NYS SB2994A, continued research is 329 necessary to examine the law's long-term effects.

#### 330 **Funding**

This work was supported by a new faculty start-up award from the Albany College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences. No other sources of funding were secured for this work.

### 334 **Declaration of Interest Statement**

MKD has received a U.S. National Institute of Health subaward and St. Luke's Wood River Community Grant funds for unrelated research. RK and NZ are employed by Precision Analytics, Inc., a consultancy that receives research grants and consulting fees from other clients and entities for unrelated work. The other authors have stated they do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

## 341 **REFERENCES**

 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Division of Viral Diseases. Measles Cases and Outbreaks: Measles Cases in 2019. Updated 9/16/19. Accessed September 18,, 2019. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/measles/cases-</u> <u>outbreaks.html</u>

346 2. Statement on Legislation Removing Non-Medical Exemption from School Vaccination347 Requirements (2019).

- 348 3. Patel M, Lee AD, Clemmons NS, et al. National Update on Measles Cases and Outbreaks 349 United States, January 1-October 1, 2019. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. Oct 11
  350 2019;68(40):893-896. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6840e2
- Delamater PL, Pingali SC, Buttenheim AM, Salmon DA, Klein NP, Omer SB. Elimination of
   Nonmedical Immunization Exemptions in California and School-Entry Vaccine Status. *Pediatrics*.
   Jun 2019;143(6)doi:10.1542/peds.2018-3301
- Mohanty S, Buttenheim AM, Joyce CM, Howa AC, Salmon D, Omer SB. California's Senate
   Bill 277: Local Health Jurisdictions' Experiences With the Elimination of Nonmedical Vaccine
   Exemptions. *Am J Public Health*. Nov 29 2018:e1-e6. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2018.304768
- 357 6. Maine House of Representatives. HP586. June 5, 2023. 358 <u>https://legislature.maine.gov/legis/bills/bills\_129th/billtexts/HP058601.asp</u>
- 359 7. State of California. SB-277 Public health: vaccinations. Accessed June 7, 2023.
   360 <u>https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201520160SB277</u>
- 361 8. Connecticut House of Representatives. HB6423. Accessed June 5, 2023.
   362 <u>https://legiscan.com/CT/bill/HB06423/2021</u>
- 363 9. Maine House of Representatives. HP586. Accessed June 5, 2023. 364 <u>https://legislature.maine.gov/legis/bills/bills\_129th/billtexts/HP058601.asp</u>
- 36510.StateofCalifornia.SB277.AccessedJune5,2023.366<a href="https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201520160SB277">https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201520160SB277</a>
- 11. Lee C, Robinson JL. Systematic review of the effect of immunization mandates on uptake
  of routine childhood immunizations. J Infect. Jun 2016;72(6):659-666.
  doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2016.04.002
- Greyson D, Vriesema-Magnuson C, Bettinger JA. Impact of school vaccination mandates
  on pediatric vaccination coverage: a systematic review. *CMAJ Open*. Jul-Sep 2019;7(3):E524E536. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20180191
- 373 13. Mello MM, Studdert DM, Parmet WE. Shifting Vaccination Politics--The End of Personal374 Belief Exemptions in California. *N Engl J Med.* Aug 27 2015;373(9):785-7.
  375 doi:10.1056/NEJMp1508701
- 376 14. Delamater PL, Leslie TF, Yang YT. Change in Medical Exemptions From Immunization in
  377 California After Elimination of Personal Belief Exemptions. *JAMA*. Sep 5 2017;318(9):863-864.
  378 doi:10.1001/jama.2017.9242
- 37915.Gromis A, Liu KY. The Emergence of Spatial Clustering in Medical Vaccine Exemptions380Following California Senate Bill 277, 2015-2018. Am J Public Health. Jul 2020;110(7):1084-1091.
- 381 doi:10.2105/AJPH.2020.305607

382 16. New York State Department of Health. School Immunization Survey: Beginning 2012-

383 2013 School Year. Updated 2022. Accessed 2022, <u>https://health.data.ny.gov/Health/School-</u>
 384 <u>Immunization-Survey-Beginning-2012-13-Schoo/5pme-xbs5</u>

385 17. New York State Department of Health. NYSDOH School Immunization Survey Overview.
 386 https://health.data.ny.gov/api/views/5pme-xbs5/files/038a2ab6-a9fc-4825-b838-

387 <u>deaa3173c615?download=true&filename=NYSDOH\_School\_Immunization\_Rates\_Overview.pdf</u>

18. New York State Department of Education. FINAL School Level Data by Grade 2012-2022.
 Accessed June 7, 2023. <u>https://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/statistics/enroll-n-</u>
 <u>staff/ArchiveEnrollmentData.html</u>

- 391 19. Omer SB, Salmon DA, Orenstein WA, deHart MP, Halsey N. Vaccine refusal, mandatory
  392 immunization, and the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases. N Engl J Med. May 7
  393 2009;360(19):1981-8. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa0806477
- 20. Lai YK, Nadeau J, McNutt LA, Shaw J. Variation in exemptions to school immunization requirements among New York State private and public schools. *Vaccine*. Dec 12 2014;32(52):7070-6. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.10.077

397

Table 1. Required vaccine coverage among New York State (NYS) schools (excluding
 New York City) before and after the NYS Senate Bill (SB) 2994A repeal of nonmedical
 exemptions.

- 402
- 403 404

Start of Academic Year		Mean % Required Vaccinations: Unweighted Percentages			Mean % Required Vaccinations: Weighted Percentages		
		Overall	Public	Nonpublic	Overall	Public	Nonpublic
Pre-SB 2994A	2012	96.8%	98.5%	88.9%	98.4%	98.6%	95.1%
	2013	96.7%	98.5%	88.9%	98.3%	98.6%	94.9%
	2014	95.9%	97.7%	88.2%	97.6%	97.9%	94.1%
	2015	95.8%	97.8%	87.8%	97.6%	98.0%	93.7%
	2016	95.5%	97.6%	87.2%	97.0%	97.5%	92.6%
	2017	95.0%	97.3%	86.1%	96.8%	97.3%	91.4%
	2018	95.1%	97.6%	85.7%	97.0%	97.6%	90.8%
Post- SB 2994A	2019	96.5%	98.2%	89.4%	98.0%	98.3%	94.9%
	2020	97.7%	99.0%	93.2%	98.7%	99.0%	96.1%
	2021	97.4%	98.5%	92.4%	98.2%	98.4%	96.3%

- **Figure 1.** Flow diagram of included schools.
- *Legend:* NYSED = New York State Education Department.






Figure 2. Generalized estimating equations (GEE) modeled estimates of school
 required vaccine coverage by school type. The New York State Senate Bill 2994A
 repeal of nonmedical exemptions affected students in the 2019-20 school year.



412 *Legend:* CI = confidence interval.

Figure 3. Medical vaccine exemption uptake by school type. The New York State (NYS)
Senate Bill (SB) 2994A repeal of nonmedical exemptions affected students in the 201920 school year. Variables represent weighted and unweighted mean percentages with
95% confidence intervals (CI).

420



421 422

Figure 4. Uptake of school vaccine exemptions by school type. Line transparency has
been adjusted by student enrollment, with lower enrollment depicted as a more
transparent line. For visualization purposes, vaccine exemption percentages above 80%
are not displayed.

428

