

Supplementary file

Two-way ANCOVA detailed explanation

A two-way ANCOVA was applied on each biomarker in each region with two main factors (disease status, and SDB condition) and four covariates to control, including age, sex, APOE ε4 existence, and BMI. For each ANCOVA, we have the below factors and their degree of freedom

	Samples	Disease status	SDB condition	Disease-SDB interaction	Age	Sex	APOE ε4	BMI
Degree of freedom	59	2	1	2*1=2	1	1	1	1

We used partial eta squared to estimate the effect size of the disease-SDB interaction on the biomarkers in different regions, as shown in Supplementary Figure 1. The null distribution differed around its mean, which was approximately 0.04. Additionally, according to the mathematics of partial eta squared, we expected the null effect size mean to be around 0.04, as shown in Equations 1¹ and 2².


$$\eta_p^2 \sim \beta \left(\frac{df_{effect}}{2}, \frac{df_{error}}{2} \right), \quad df_{error} = df_{samples} - df_{other\ factors} \quad (1)$$

$$E(\beta) = \frac{a}{a+b} \quad (2)$$


Similarly, when investigating only the SDB condition effect, regardless of disease status (Supplementary Figure 2), we expected the mean value for the null distribution to be around 0.02.



Supplementary Figure 1. Disease-SDB interaction effect sizes on AD biomarkers. The mean effect size of the *interaction* between disease status (Alzheimer’s disease, mild cognitive impairment and cognitively unimpaired) and SDB condition (SDB-positive, and SDB-negative) for each cortical and subcortical parcel’s neuroimaging biomarker (amyloid-plaque burden (Aβ), regional fluorodeoxyglucose (rFDG), grey matter volume (GMV)). The black dashed line indicates the arbitrary threshold, and null model distribution represented with a violin plot. Colored points which have passed the dashed color and are larger than the null model distribution has been considered as medium effect sizes.



Supplementary Figure 2. SDB condition effect sizes on AD biomarkers. The mean effect-size of SDB condition (SDB-positive, and SDB-negative) *alone* for each cortical and subcortical parcel’s neuroimaging marker (amyloid-plaque burden (Aβ), fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG), grey matter volume (GMV)). The black dashed line indicates the arbitrary threshold, and the null model distribution has been represented by a violin plot. Colored points which have passed the dashed color and are larger than the null model distribution has been considered as medium effect sizes.



Supplementary Figure 3. Association between disease-SDB interaction and CSF biomarkers. Highlighted regions are significantly associated with disease-SDB interaction effect size on CSF biomarkers (CSF A β 42, CSF p-tau).

Supplementary Table 1. Linear regression model findings between mini-mental state examination (MMSE) effect size of interaction between cognitive status (Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment and cognitively unimpaired) and SDB condition (with and without sleep-disordered breathing) as response variable and the effected regions, which have medium effect size (based on Fig. 1) as explanatory variables. (*) represents significant explanatory variables.

Biomarker	Region	Est.	Standard Error	T-value	p-value
A β	Left Precuneus Cortex	0.15	0.13	1.15	0.25
	Left Occipital Fusiform Gyrus	0.11	0.05	2.28	0.02*
	Left Middle Temporal Gyrus	0.1	0.13	0.8	0.42
	Left Lateral Occipital Cortex	0.07	0.09	0.78	0.43
	Right Posterior Thalamus	0.06	0.07	0.88	0.38
	Left Nucleus Accumbens	0.05	0.07	0.64	0.52
	Left Cingulate Gyrus	0.04	0.11	0.34	0.73
	Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	0.03	0.07	0.43	0.67
	Right Superior Frontal Gyrus	0.02	0.08	0.25	0.8
	Left Superior Frontal Gyrus	0.01	0.06	0.08	0.94
	Right Frontal Pole	-0.02	0.07	-0.36	0.72
	Left Posterior Thalamus	-0.05	0.08	-0.66	0.51
	Left Angular Gyrus	-0.14	0.12	-1.11	0.27
	Left Middle Temporal Gyrus	-0.18	0.09	-2.09	0.04*
	Right Precuneus Cortex	-0.22	0.11	-1.98	0.05*
rFDG	Left Precuneus Cortex	0.04	0.06	0.68	0.5
	Left Lateral Occipital Cortex	0.04	0.07	0.56	0.57
	Left Postcentral Gyrus	0.01	0.05	0.12	0.9
	Left Inferior Temporal Gyrus	0.01	0.05	0.18	0.86
	Right Lateral Occipital Cortex	0.01	0.06	0.15	0.88
	Right Precuneus Cortex	0	0.07	0.03	0.97
	Left Superior Parietal Lobule	-0.01	0.07	-0.17	0.87
	Right Middle Frontal Gyrus	-0.01	0.04	-0.32	0.75
	Left Precuneus Cortex	-0.02	0.07	-0.32	0.75

	Left Frontal Pole	-0.02	0.06	-0.3	0.76
	Right Superior Parietal Lobule	-0.02	0.06	-0.35	0.73
	Left Superior Frontal Gyrus	-0.04	0.06	-0.57	0.57
GMV	Left Central Opercular Cortex	0.06	0.04	1.54	0.12
	Right Temporal Fusiform Cortex	0.05	0.04	1.07	0.28
	Right Anterior Thalamus	0.04	0.04	0.95	0.34
	Right Intracalcarine Cortex	0.04	0.04	1.22	0.22
	Left Superior Frontal Gyrus	0.03	0.04	0.75	0.45
	Right Angular Gyrus	0.03	0.04	0.76	0.45
	Right Superior Frontal Gyrus	0.02	0.04	0.4	0.69
	Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	0	0.04	0.06	0.96
	Left Angular Gyrus	-0.01	0.04	-0.17	0.87
	Right Supramarginal Gyrus	-0.01	0.04	-0.24	0.81
	Right Precentral Gyrus	-0.02	0.04	-0.6	0.55
	Right Occipital Fusiform Gyrus	-0.02	0.04	-0.41	0.68
	Right Frontal Pole	-0.04	0.04	-0.91	0.37
	Right Postcentral Gyrus	-0.04	0.04	-1.01	0.31
	Left Temporal Pole	-0.05	0.04	-1.07	0.29
	Left Middle Temporal Gyrus	-0.07	0.04	-1.73	0.08

Supplementary Table 2. Linear regression model findings between cerebrospinal fluid amyloid-beta 42 (CSF-A β 42) effect size of interaction between disease status (Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment and healthy control) and SDB condition (with and without sleep-disordered breathing) as response variable and the effected regions, which have medium effect size (based on Figure 2) as explanatory variables. (*) represents significant explanatory variables. Region labels are based on Schaefer-100 parcellation.

Biomarker	Region	Est.	Standard Error	T-value	p-value
A β	LH-Vis-2	-0.03798	0.083681	-0.454	0.650111
	LH-Vis-7	-0.05291	0.156136	-0.339	0.734884
	LH-DorsAttn-FEF-1	-0.16765	0.1081	-1.551	0.121599
	LH-Default-Temp-1	-0.15419	0.148949	-1.035	0.30112
	LH-Default-Temp-2	0.33224	0.21691	1.532	0.126275
	LH-Default-Par-1	0.051915	0.205687	0.252	0.800845
	LH-Default-PFC-2	-0.10194	0.11196	-0.91	0.363049
	LH-Default-PFC-3	-0.01948	0.179244	-0.109	0.913489
	LH-Default-pCunPCC-2	-0.04921	0.224227	-0.219	0.826396
	RH-Default-PFCdPFCm-2	-0.05388	0.112498	-0.479	0.632234
	RH-Default-PFCdPFCm-3	-0.02148	0.133863	-0.16	0.872617
	RH-Default-pCunPCC-2	0.497442	0.193063	2.577	0.010284*
	pTHA-lh	0.091159	0.136083	0.67	0.503267
	NAc-lh	-0.12881	0.121517	-1.06	0.28967
	pTHA-rh	-0.14733	0.142632	-1.033	0.30218
FDG	LH-DorsAttn-Post-3	0.148146	0.120521	1.229	0.219612
	LH-DorsAttn-Post-4	0.05369	0.078963	0.68	0.496879
	LH-DorsAttn-Post-5	-0.22373	0.112403	-1.99	0.047122*
	LH-SalVentAttn-PFCl-1	-0.13149	0.103054	-1.276	0.202621
	LH-Limbic-TempPole-2	0.072824	0.081422	0.894	0.371569
	LH-Cont-Par-1	-0.1099	0.120543	-0.912	0.36239
	LH-Cont-pCun-1	0.169778	0.105097	1.615	0.106891

	LH-Default-PFC-7	0.187169	0.105842	1.768	0.077652
	RH-DorsAttn-Post-4	0.005778	0.097272	0.059	0.952659
	RH-DorsAttn-Post-5	0.045968	0.102115	0.45	0.652801
	RH-Cont-PFC1-4	0.036623	0.074259	0.493	0.622114
	RH-Cont-pCun-1	-0.05298	0.118016	-0.449	0.653695
	<hr/>				
	LH-SomMot-3	-0.02794	0.060799	-0.459	0.646094
	LH-DorsAttn-FEF-1	-0.08079	0.062484	-1.293	0.196649
	LH-Limbic-TempPole-1	-0.07086	0.074177	-0.955	0.339922
	LH-Default-Temp-1	-0.11685	0.065949	-1.772	0.077085
	LH-Default-Par-1	0.13821	0.0637	2.17	0.030534*
	LH-Default-PFC-2	0.111411	0.06092	1.829	0.068066
	RH-Vis-2	-0.22414	0.068245	-3.284	0.001099*
	RH-Vis-5	0.021723	0.059128	0.367	0.713492
GMV	RH-SomMot-6	0.074479	0.068594	1.086	0.278135
	RH-DorsAttn-Post-2	-0.01901	0.065891	-0.288	0.773107
	RH-DorsAttn-FEF-1	0.007002	0.067522	0.104	0.917456
	RH-Limbic-TempPole-1	0.070916	0.071552	0.991	0.322147
	RH-Cont-Par-1	-0.09106	0.068424	-1.331	0.183881
	RH-Cont-PFC1-1	-0.11583	0.069764	-1.66	0.097528
	RH-Default-Par-1	0.224837	0.064812	3.469	0.000571*
	aTHA-rh	0.054284	0.064756	0.838	0.402301

Supplementary Table 3. Linear regression model findings between cerebrospinal fluid phosphorylated tau (CSF-ptau) effect size of interaction between disease status (Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment and healthy control) and SDB condition (with and without sleep-disordered breathing) as response variable and the effected regions, which have medium effect size (based on Figure 2) as explanatory variables. (*) represents significant explanatory variables. Region labels are based on Schaefer-100 parcellation.

Biomarker	Region	Est.	Standard Error	T-value	p-value
A β	LH-Vis-2	-0.06027	0.054585	-1.104	0.27011
	LH-Vis-7	0.20152	0.101849	1.979	0.04845
	LH-DorsAttn-FEF-1	-0.15907	0.070514	-2.256	0.02454
	LH-Default-Temp-1	-0.01814	0.09716	-0.187	0.85196
	LH-Default-Temp-2	0.118438	0.141492	0.837	0.40298
	LH-Default-Par-1	-0.15522	0.134171	-1.157	0.24791
	LH-Default-PFC-2	0.054352	0.073032	0.744	0.45712
	LH-Default-PFC-3	0.033723	0.116922	0.288	0.77315
	LH-Default-pCunPCC-2	0.131573	0.146265	0.9	0.36882
	RH-Default-PFCdPFCm-2	-0.01234	0.073383	-0.168	0.86651
	RH-Default-PFCdPFCm-3	0.093567	0.08732	1.072	0.28448
	RH-Default-pCunPCC-2	-0.15998	0.125936	-1.27	0.2046
	pTHA-lh	-0.00255	0.088768	-0.029	0.97706
	NAc-lh	-0.00782	0.079266	-0.099	0.9215
pTHA-rh	0.033481	0.09304	0.36	0.71911	
FDG	LH-DorsAttn-Post-3	0.088771	0.078617	1.129	0.25941
	LH-DorsAttn-Post-4	-0.00671	0.051508	-0.13	0.89635
	LH-DorsAttn-Post-5	-0.1058	0.073321	-1.443	0.14969
	LH-SalVentAttn-PFCl-1	-0.03356	0.067223	-0.499	0.61789
	LH-Limbic-TempPole-2	-0.01298	0.053112	-0.244	0.80709
	LH-Cont-Par-1	-0.15012	0.078631	-1.909	0.05685
	LH-Cont-pCun-1	0.132468	0.068556	1.932	0.05393

	LH-Default-PFC-7	0.034715	0.069042	0.503	0.61534
	RH-DorsAttn-Post-4	-0.04486	0.063451	-0.707	0.47989
	RH-DorsAttn-Post-5	0.099149	0.06661	1.488	0.1373
	RH-Cont-PFC1-4	-0.01039	0.048439	-0.214	0.83025
	RH-Cont-pCun-1	-0.06995	0.076983	-0.909	0.36402
GMV	LH-SomMot-3	-0.03153	0.039659	-0.795	0.42703
	LH-DorsAttn-FEF-1	-0.1084	0.040759	-2.66	0.00809
	LH-Limbic-TempPole-1	0.008093	0.048386	0.167	0.86724
	LH-Default-Temp-1	0.089886	0.043019	2.089	0.03721
	LH-Default-Par-1	0.068593	0.041552	1.651	0.09946
	LH-Default-PFC-2	0.005357	0.039738	0.135	0.89282
	RH-Vis-2	0.026761	0.044517	0.601	0.54803
	RH-Vis-5	0.063798	0.03857	1.654	0.09878
	RH-SomMot-6	-0.02953	0.044745	-0.66	0.50955
	RH-DorsAttn-Post-2	0.021359	0.042981	0.497	0.61947
	RH-DorsAttn-FEF-1	0.043181	0.044045	0.98	0.32741
	RH-Limbic-TempPole-1	-0.01941	0.046674	-0.416	0.67765
	RH-Cont-Par-1	0.008203	0.044634	0.184	0.85427
	RH-Cont-PFC1-1	-0.05378	0.045508	-1.182	0.23787
	RH-Default-Par-1	0.040352	0.042277	0.954	0.34034
	aTHA-rh	0.046348	0.042241	1.097	0.2731

References

1. Mordkoff JT. A Simple Method for Removing Bias From a Popular Measure of Standardized Effect Size: Adjusted Partial Eta Squared. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*. 2019;2(3):228-232. doi:10.1177/2515245919855053
2. Johnson NL, Kotz S, Balakrishnan N. *Continuous Univariate Distributions, Volume 2*. Wiley; 1995.