#### Prevalence and risk factors associated with *Haemophilus ducreyi* cutaneous ulcers in

#### 2 Cameroon

1

- 3 Philippe Ndzomo<sup>1,2</sup>, Serges Tchatchouang<sup>1</sup>, Earnest Njih Tabah<sup>3,4</sup>, Theophilus Njamnshi<sup>3,5</sup>,
- 4 Mireille Victorine Noah Tsanga<sup>1</sup>, Jude Alexis Bondi<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca Handley<sup>6</sup>, Camila González
- 5 Beiras<sup>7</sup>, Jules Tchatchueng<sup>1</sup>, Claudia Müller<sup>8</sup>, Simone Lueert<sup>8</sup>, Sascha Knauf<sup>8</sup>, Onana
- 6 Boyomo<sup>2</sup>, Emma Harding-Esch<sup>6</sup>, Oriol Mitja<sup>7</sup>, Tania Crucitti<sup>9</sup>, Michael Marks<sup>6,10,11</sup>, Sara
- 7 Eyangoh<sup>1\*</sup>

8

#### 9 Affiliations

- 1. Centre Pasteur du Cameroun, Yaounde, Cameroon, Pasteur Network.
- 2. Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Yaounde 1, Yaounde,
- 12 Cameroon.
- 3. National Yaws, Leishmaniasis, Leprosy and Buruli ulcer Control Programme, Ministry
- of Public Health, Yaounde, Cameroon
- 4. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences,
- 16 University of Dschang, West Cameroon.
- 5. Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of
- Buea, Buea, Cameroon
- 6. Clinical Research Department, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London
- 20 School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK
- 7. Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol,
- 22 Badalona, Spain
- 8. Institute of International Animal Health/One Health, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal
- Research Institute for Animal Health, Greifswald Insel Riems, Germany

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

9. Experimental Bacteriology Unit, Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Antananarivo, Madagascar 10. Hospital for Tropical Diseases, University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom 11. Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, United Kingdom \*Corresponding author Email: eyangoh@pasteur-yaounde.org (SE) **Abstract** Epidemics of vaws-like cutaneous ulcers are regularly documented in children in the tropics. They occur mainly in poor and remote communities without access to health facilities. The integration of molecular tools into yaws control efforts has made it possible to describe Haemophilus ducrevi (HD) as a major cause of cutaneous ulcers. The objective of this work was to determine the prevalence of HD as cause of cutaneous ulcers, as asymptomatic carriage and the risk factors associated. A cross-sectional study was conducted in vaws endemic districts of Cameroon. Participants included people presenting yaws-like ulcers and asymptomatic individuals. Swab samples were collected from each participant and tested for HD and Treponema pallidum (TP) using established qPCR method. Additionally, demographic, habitat, proximity, and hygiene characteristics were collected using a structured questionnaire. A total of 443 individuals, including 271 ulcer cases and 172 asymptomatic contacts, were enrolled in this study. The prevalence of HD in ulcers was 30.3% (Confidence Interval (CI) 95% [24.8 – 35.7]) and the prevalence of asymptomatic HD carriage was 8.6% (CI95%)

[4.5 - 12.9]). TP was also detected in our sample among ulcer cases but in lower proportion (5.2% CI95% [2.5 - 7.8]) compared to HD. The adjusted logistic regression model showed that women were as much at risk of having HD cutaneous ulcer as men regardless of age; physical proximity to a confirmed ulcer case was the major factor favouring HD transmission. HD ulcers were more likely to be present on Bantu individuals compare to Baka as well as HD colonization.

Data from this study highlight *HD* as the most common cause of cutaneous ulcers in yaws-endemic communities in Cameroon. The real issues of *HD* detection on intact skin are not yet clear. Further studies are needed to elucidate the implications of this carriage in the spread dynamics of the disease.

## **Author summary**

Cutaneous ulcers are commonly found affecting children in low-income countries of Africa and the South Pacific. In rural and remote communities of Cameroon the limited access to health care and shortage of sanitation is associated with a high morbidity of cutaneous ulcers. The latter represent an important cause of suffering and stigmatization in children. *HD* is commonly known as the causative agent of the sexually transmitted infection chancroid and has been recently described as a leading cause of cutaneous ulcers in yaws-endemic regions. In this study, we investigated the presence of *HD* and the associated risk factors. Our findings indicate a prevalence of *HD* associated with cutaneous ulcers of 30.3% and a prevalence of asymptomatic *HD* carriage of 5.2%. Physical proximity to a confirmed ulcer case, Bantu ethnicity, and the use of traditional latrines were the main risk factors associated with *HD* ulcers. *TP* DNA was detected in some cutaneous ulcer samples but in lower proportion compared to *HD*. This study confirms that *HD* is a leading cause of cutaneous ulcer in yaws endemic areas in Cameroon. National control programmes in endemic countries should therefore consider this

pathogen in their strategies for controlling and eliminating skin neglected tropical diseases (NTDs).

## Introduction

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

asymptomatic contacts [21].

Cutaneous ulcers remain a public health problem in many parts of the world especially in the South Pacific, South East Asia, and West and Central Africa [1–3]. They predominantly affect children living in remote communities with limited water and hygiene and who lack access to health services. The clinical manifestations of cutaneous ulcers vary depending on the causative organism and can range from small sores to multiple deep lesions which can evolve to bone damage [1]. In Africa, South East Asia and the South Pacific Islands most cutaneous ulcers in children have been attributed to yaws, caused by *Treponema pallidum* subsp. pertenue (TPE) [4,5] based on a clinical diagnosis which is known to be unreliable [6–8]. PCR which is the gold standard diagnosis has made it possible to detect other aetiological agents of cutaneous ulcers such as HD, which is often co-endemic and may also occur as a co-morbidity with TPE. HD is a fastidious Gram negative coccobacillus traditionally considered as the causative agent of chancroid, a sexually transmitted infection characterized by the appearance of ulcers on the genitals accompanied by suppurative lymphadenopathy [9]. In recent years, multiple studies have reported cutaneous ulcers caused by *H. ducreyi*, including Papua New Guinea [1], [10], the Solomon Islands [11], the Fidji Islands [12], Vanuatu [13], Indonesia [14], Sudan [15], Ghana [16] and Cameroon [17]. The true extent of the burden of HD cutaneous ulcers is still unclear, but several studies have established that HD may account for 20-60% of skin ulcers clinically diagnosed as yaws [1] [10] [18–20]. Moreover, HD was found colonizing fomites and the healthy skin of

The epidemiology of *HD* remains poorly understood. In most endemic areas of Africa and the South Pacific, the prevalence of *HD* as a cause of genital or cutaneous ulcers is not known due to the lack of confirmatory diagnosis in most settings. Traditionally diagnosis relied on culture but this was challenging due to special conditions required for culture which were not available in low and middle income country health services [22]. Today, nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) represent an alternative approach. Whilst they still require infrastructure and well-trained personnel, the stringent sample transport requirements which create a barrier to culture *HD* are not needed for NAATs [23,24].

Several factors have been associated with the transmission of certain skin NTDs such as yaws; these include demographic characteristics (age, sex), hygiene and sanitation indicators (poor hand-washing habits, sharing of clothes, frequency of bathing and contact with infected individuals) and housing characteristics (inadequate sanitation, overcrowded houses) [25], but we lack information on the risk factors for *HD* cutaneous ulcers. The limited information that is available suggests there may be both an environmental reservoir and a role for vectors in transmission and these may partly explain the persistence of *HD* ulcers following azithromycin mass drug administration [21].

To address these gaps, we designed a study to determine the prevalence of *HD* as a cause of cutaneous ulcers and the frequency of asymptomatic carriage in yaws endemic districts of Cameroon and to identify associated risk factors. These districts were mainly made up of two major ethnic groups: the Baka and the Bantu. The Baka, also known as pygmies, are a semi-sedentary group of people who live in forest camps and sleep in mud or straw huts. They live essentially from hunting, gathering and, to a lesser extent, from farming, for which they are employed as labourers by their Bantu neighbours, who are sedentary and form a more developed community with modern behaviour [26].

#### Methods

#### **Ethic statement**

This study was approved by the National Ethics Committee for Human Health Research ( $N^{\circ}2020$  / 12/ 1327/ CE/ CNERSH/ SP) and the Ministry of public Health (approval  $N^{\circ}631$ -021). All participants or their legal representatives provided written informed consent.

#### Study setting and selection of participants.

We conducted a cross-sectional study from May 2021 to May 2022 in 14 health districts in four regions of Cameroon which have been identified as endemic for yaws by the National Yaws, Leishmaniasis, Leprosy and Buruli Ulcer Control Programme (CNLP2LUB). The selected health districts included: Messamena, Abong-Mbang, lomié, Yokadouma, Mbang, Doumé, Batouri, Bétaré-oya, Ndélélé in the East region; Sangmelima, Djoum, Lolodorf in the South region, Bankim in the Adamaoua region and Maroua in the Far North region (Fig 1). Twelve of the 14 health districts participated in both the prevalence and risk factor survey, and the remaining two districts, Maroua and Ndélélé, only participated in the prevalence survey as the study overlapped with the field surveillance activities of the national control programme, which conducted the investigations at these sites.

We carried out an active case searching for cutaneous ulcer cases using both school and community-based activities. For communities with high school enrolment rate and whose screening period coincided with the school year, the case searching was based in schools. For communities with low enrolment rates or where the survey was conducted during the holidays, the case search was house-to-house.

Participants underwent clinical examination and those with cutaneous ulcers were identified and enrolled in the study as cases. Asymptomatic individuals living in the vicinity of

the cases, such as the same household or classroom, were enrolled as controls. In addition, we also enrolled asymptomatic participants from households without cases of cutaneous ulcers as a further set of controls.

## Sample collection

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

We collected samples from cutaneous ulcer cases by swabbing of the ulcerated lesions and from asymptomatic participants by swabbing the front face of both legs with polyester tipped swabs with polystyrene handle (Puritan sterile swabs, Cat #25-806 1PD, Puritan Medical Products- Guilborg, UK). We placed the samples into 500  $\mu$ L of a custom-made lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.1 M EDTA, pH 8.0 and 0.5% SDS) and kept them refrigerated (2- 8°C) until transport to the Centre Pasteur du Cameroun (CPC) in a cooler, where they were stored at -20°C.

#### Laboratory assessment

We extracted genomic DNA from 200  $\mu L$  of swab lysate using the QIAmp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations and eluted it in a total volume of 100  $\mu L$ .

We performed on the samples three real time singleplex PCRs on the ABI prism 7500 thermocycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). We first detected the RNAse P gene, which codes for an endoribonuclease present in all living cells, and used previously described primers and probe [27] to confirm the adequacy of the sample and the absence of amplifications inhibitors. We targeted the V8 region of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene to detect the presence of HD DNA in the samples. The PCR reaction was performed in a total volume of 25 µL containing 0.9  $\mu M$ of each primer probe (Hd16SV8-F: and 5'>TATACAGAGGGCGCAAACC<3'; Hd16SV8-R: 5'>Fam-CAAAGG 5'>CCAATCCGGACTTAGACGTAC<3'; Hd16SV8-P:

GGAGCGAATCTCAC-BHQ1<3'), ABI TaqMan Fast advanced Master Mix and 2 μL of the DNA template. The cycling conditions were: UNG incubation at 50 °C for 2 minutes, predenaturation at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of denaturation 95 °C for 15 seconds, annealing and extension at 60 °C for 30 seconds. We detected the presence of *TP* by amplifying the *polA* gene (*tp0105*), which is a fragment conserved in all *TP* subspecies, using a protocol described elsewhere [17].

#### **Questionnaire**

We administered a questionnaire to each participant (or their legal representative). We collected data either via a smartphone into ODK collect [28] or via a paper form. The questionnaire consisted of demographic characteristics, information about the house in which the participant lived, data on possible exposure to cases of cutaneous ulcers and measures of access to hygiene and sanitation. Local community health workers acted as a translator where necessary for participants who did not speak English or French.

#### Data management and analyses.

Each participant was attributed a unique ID code at the moment of inclusion, and that code was used to label his/her risk factor form and samples. The unique ID codes were used for management and analysis of all data related to the participants.

We calculated the prevalence of *HD* as a cause of cutaneous ulcers in the study population and how this varied by key explanatory variables. We then performed three analyses. Firstly, we compared individuals with and without a cutaneous ulcer; secondly, we compared characteristics of individuals whose cutaneous ulcers were or were not caused by *HD*; finally, we compared the characteristics of asymptomatic individuals who were or were not identified as carriers of *HD*. For each comparison we initially fitted a univariate logistics regression model.

We then fitted multivariable models adjusting for age, sex and variables which were significant in the univariate analysis. All analysis were done with SPSS Statistics 20.

## Results

## **Description of the population**

A total of 24,610 people were clinically screened for cutaneous ulcers at the 14 study sites. Overall, we enrolled 443 participants including 271 individuals with a cutaneous ulcer and 172 asymptomatic contacts (controls). The sex ratio of males to females was 2.1 for ulcer cases and 1.4 for asymptomatic individuals. The age of participants ranged from 2 to 60 years, with a median age of 9 years (Inter Quartile Range (IQR) 7-11).

The overall prevalence of cutaneous ulcers in this population was therefore estimated to be 1.1%. Of these HD was identified in 82 (30.3% - 95% CI 24.8 – 36.1%) giving a population prevalence of HD ulcers of 0.3%. Eight out of 14 study sites had at least one cutaneous ulcer associated with HD (Fig 1). The proportion of ulcers caused by H. ducreyi was varied: with Doumé, Maroua and Bankim having the highest proportions of 73.3 % (33/45), 72.7 % (8/11) and 68.2% (30/44) respectively. Amongst asymptomatic controls HD was detected in 15 out of 172 participants (8.7% - 95% CI 5 – 14%) (Table 1). All cases of asymptomatic colonisation were detected in only two sites, Bankim (14/15) and Yokadouma (1/15) (S1 Table).

#### Fig 1. Geographic location of sampling sites and sites with HD confirmed ulcer cases

Of 271 individuals with a cutaneous ulcer TP DNA was detected in 14 (5.2% - 95% CI 2.9 – 8.5%). Cases of yaws were found in only two health districts Mbang (13/14) and Lomié (1/14) and 92.9% were from Baka communities (13/14). Treponemal DNA was detected only in one asymptomatic individual (0.6% - 95% CI 0 – 3.2%) from Mbang (Fig 2).

Fig 2. Appearance of cutaneous ulcers similar to yaws in children in Cameroon. Legend: A, B, C, D: *HD* cutaneous ulcers; E: yaws ulcer; F: idiopathic ulcer (negative for both *TP* and *HD*)

Table 1: Proportion of individuals in whom HD and TP were detected.

Germ detected	Individuals with a cutaneous ulcer N = 271	Asymptomatic individuals N = 172
HD	82 (30. 3 %)	15 (8.7 %)
TP	14 (5. 2 %)	1 (0.6 %)

Of the 443 participants enrolled in the prevalence study 245 (55.3%) being 127 individuals with ulcers and 118 asymptomatic individuals, completed the risk factor questionnaire and were included in the risk factor analysis.

Both univariate and multivariable analysis revealed that children aged 0-4 years (adjusted Odd Ratio (aOR): 2.91 - 95% CI 1.02 - 8.34, p = 0.046) and those aged 15 years and over (aOR: 5.94 - 95% CI 1.15 - 30.70, p = 0.034) were more likely to have a cutaneous ulcer (Table 2). Females were about half less likely to have a cutaneous ulcer (aOR: 0.51 - 95% CI 0.28 - 0.93, p = 0.028). Members of the Bantou ethnic group had increased odds of yaws like ulcer compared to Baka (aOR = 0.28 - 95% CI 0.14 - 0.59, p = 0.001). Some hygiene factors were associated with a reduced risk of cutaneous ulcers including not sharing their clothes with others (aOR: 0.45 - 95% CI 0.25 - 0.81, p = 0.008), and living a large distance from a bin (aOR: 0.37 - 95% CI 0.20 - 550.69, p = 0.002) but many other household and hygiene related variables did not appear to be associated (Table 2).

Table 2: Risk factors associated to yaws like cutaneous ulcers

Characteristics Tot		Presence of ulcer		D l	Bivariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Characteristics	Total	No (%)	Yes (%)	P-value	Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value
Range of age		, i	, ,	0.353	, ,		· · ·	
0-4 years	24	11 (45.8%)	13 (54.2%)		1.39 (0.57-3.36)	0.469	2.91 (1.02-8.34)	0.046
5-9 years	113	61 (54.0%)	52 (46.0%)		Ref.		Ref.	
10-14 years	99	43 (43.4%)	56 (56.6%)		1.53 (0.89-2.63)	0.126	1.26 (0.68-2.33)	0.458
15 years and over	9	3 (33.3%)	6 (66.7%)		2.35 (0.59-1.23)	0.244	5.94 (1.15-30.70)	0.034
Sex				0.007				
Female	85	51 (60.0%)	34 (40.0%)		0.48 (0.28-0.82)	0.007	0.51 (0.28-0.93)	0.028
Male	160	67 (41.9%)	93 (58.1%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Ethnicity				0.040				
Bantou	173	76 (43.9%)	97 (56.1%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Baka	72	42 (58.3%)	30 (41.7%)		0.56 (0.32-0.98)	0.041	0.28 (0.14-0.59)	0.001
Type of house				0.502				
Modern house	24	10 (41.7%)	14 (58.3%)					
Traditional house	221	108 (48.9%)	113 (51.1%)					
Type of latrines				0.404				
Open air	44	25 (56.8%)	19 (43.2%)					
Traditional latrines	198	92 (46.5%)	106 (53.5%)					
WC	3	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)					
Sharing bed with other people			,	0.212				
No	34	13 (38.2%)	21 (61.8%)					
Yes	211	105 (49.8%)	106 (50.2%)					
Sharing		,	·					
clothes with others				0.040				

No	108	60 (55.6%)	48 (44.4%)		0.59 (0.35-0.98)	0.040	0.45 (0.25-0.81)	0.008
Yes	137	58 (42.3%)	79 (57.7%)		Ref.	0.040	Ref.	0.000
Proximity to	137	30 (42.370)	17 (31.170)		KCI.		RCI.	
an ulcer case				0.411				
No	85	44 (51.8%)	41 (48.2%)					
Yes	160	74 (46.2%)	86 (53.8%)					
Proximity with				0.001				
a bin				0.001				
Far	78	50 (64.1%)	28 (35.9%)		0.38 (0.22-0.67)	0.001	0.37 (0.20-0.69)	0.002
Close	167	68 (40.7%)	99 (59.3%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Daily bathing				0.440				
No	186	87 (46.8%)	99 (53.2%)					
Yes	59	31 (52.5%)	28 (47.5%)					
Use of soap for				0.338				
bath				0.556				
Occasionally	159	73 (45.9%)	86 (54.1%)					
Always	86	45 (52.3%)	41 (47.7%)					
Constant								
wearing of				0.054				
shoes								
No	136	58 (42.6%)	78 (57.4%)					
Yes	109	60 (55.0%)	49 (45.0%)					
Frequency of				0.000				
clothing				0.003				
change								
after a maximum of 2	62	10 (64 50/)	22 (25 50/)		0.41 (0.22 0.74)	0.003	0.43 (0.17.0.67)	0.002
days	02	40 (64.5%)	22 (35.5%)		0.41 (0.22-0.74)	0.003	0.43 (0.17-0.67)	0.002
after at least 3								
days	183	78 (42.6%)	105 (57.4%)		Ref		Ref	

237 Legend: Ref= Reference modality.

Among individuals with cutaneous ulcers, we found that members of the Bantu ethnic group were more likely to have HD as the causative agent than Baka (51.5% vs 0%, p=0.001) as well as people who relieved themselves in traditional latrines (98% (50/51) of confirmed cases used traditional toilets) (Table 3). In addition, there was some evidence that close contact with a cutaneous ulcer case was associated with both HD cutaneous ulcer (aOR: 0.27-95% CI 0.11-0.68, p= 0.005) and asymptomatic carriage (close: 20.3% vs not close: 0%, p= 0.005). We also found that the 15 asymptomatic *HD* positive cases were all Bantu (Table 4).

Table 3. Risk factors associated to HD cutaneous ulcers

Characteristics	T 4 1	<i>HD</i> ulcer		D 1	Bivariate anal	ysis	Multivariate analy	Multivariate analysis	
Characteristics	Total	Negative (%)	Positive (%)	P-value	Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value	
Range of age				0.228					
0-4 years	13	10 (76.9%)	3 (23.1%)		0.46 (0.11-1.87)	0.281	3.97 (0.34-45.27)	0.266	
5-9 years	52	27 (51.9%)	25 (48.1%)		1.43 (0.67-3.07)	0.358	2.04 (0.83-5.01)	0.118	
10-14 years	56	34 (60.7%)	22 (39.3%)		Ref.		Ref.		
15 years and	6	5 (83.3%)	1 (16.7%)		0.31 (0.03-2.83)	0.298	0.47 (0.04-5.44)	0.548	
over		2 (32.273)	1 (10.770)	0.500	0.01 (0.00 2.00)	0.23 0	0.1.7 (0.0.1 0.1.1)	0.6 .0	
Sex	2.4	21 (61 00()	12 (20 20()	0.789	0.00 (0.40.001)	0.700	1.05 (0.16.0.10)	0.662	
Female	34	21 (61.8%)	13 (38.2%)		0.90 (0.40-2.01)	0.789	1.25 (0.46-3.42)	0.662	
Male	93	55 (59.1%)	38 (40.9%)	× 0.001	Ref.		Ref.		
Ethnicity	00	40 (40 50/)		> 0.001	Ref.		Ref.		
Bantou	99 28	48 (48.5%) 28 (100.0%)	51 (51.5%) 0 (0.0%)				Kei.		
Baka	28	28 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.426	1	-	ı		
Type of house  Modern house	14	7 (50.0%)	7 (50.0%)	0.420					
Traditional	14	7 (30.0%)	7 (30.0%)						
house	113	68 (61.1%)	44 (38.9%)						
Type of				0.001					
latrines				0.001					
Open air	19	19 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-	
Traditional latrines	106	56 (52.8%)	50 (47.2%)		Ref.		Ref.		
WC	2	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)		1.12 (0.61-1.31)	0.560	1.31 (0.05-32.83)	0.870	
Sharing bed with other				0.833					
people				0.033					
No	21	13 (61.9%)	8 (38.1%)						
Yes	106	63 (59.4%)	43 (40.6%)						
Sharing									
clothes with				0.787					
others									

Lxt	40	20 (50 20/)	20 (41 70/)					ı
No	48	28 (58.3%)	20 (41.7%)					
Yes	79	48 (60.8%)	31 (39.2%)					
Proximity to				0.034				
an ulcer case			44 (45 00 ()		0.45 (0.40.005)		0.75 (0.11.0.10)	
No	41	30 (73.2%)	11 (26.8%)		0.42 (0.19-0.95)	0.037	0.27 (0.11-0.68)	0.005
Yes	86	46 (53.5%)	40 (46.5%)		Ref		Ref	
Proximity with the bin				0.327				
Far	28	19 (67.9%)	9 (32.1%)					
Near	99	57 (57.6%)	42 (42.4%)					
Daily bathing				0.741				
No	99	60 (60.6%)	39 (39.4%)					
Yes	28	16 (57.1%)	12 (42.9%)					
Use of soap for				0.857				
bath				0.837				
Occasionally	86	51 (59.3%)	35 (40.7%)					
Rarely	41	25 (61.0%)	16 (39.0%)					
Constant								
wearing of				0.319				
shoes								
No	78	44 (56.4%)	34 (43.6%)					
Yes	49	32 (65.3%)	17 (34.7%)					
Frequency of								
clothing				0.380				
change								
After no more than 2 days	22	15 (68.2%)	7 (31.8%)					
After at least 3 days	105	61 (58.1%)	44 (41.9%)					

259 Legend: Ref= Reference modality.

Table 4. Risk factors associated to the carriage of *HD* 

Characteristics	T 4 1	Detection	of HD	D 1	Bivariate analysi	Bivariate analysis		nalysis
Characteristics	Total	No (%)	Yes (%)	P-value	Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value
Range of age		ì	, ,	0.325	Ì		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0-4 years	11	11 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-
5-9 years	61	54 (88.5%)	7 (11.5%)		Ref.		Ref.	
10-14 years	43	35 (81.4%)	8 (18.6%)		1.76 (0.59-5.30)	0.312	0.93 (0.27-3.18)	0.906
15 years and over	3	3 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-
Sex				0.166				
Female	51	47 (92.2%)	4 (7.8%)		0.43 (0.13-1.45)	0.175	0.63 (0.16-2.44)	0.507
Male	67	56 (83.6%)	11 (16.4%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Ethnicity				0.002				
Bantou	76	61 (80.3%)	15 (19.7%)		Ref.			
Baka	42	42 (100%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-
Type of house				0.086				
Modern house	10	7 (70.0%)	3 (30.0%)					
Traditional house	108	96 (88.9%)	12 (11.1%)					
Type of latrines				0.088				
Open air	25	25 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)					
Traditional latrines	92	77 (83.7%)	15 (16.3%)					
WC	1	1 (100%)	0 (0.0%)					
Sharing bed with other people				0.234				
No	13	10 (76.9%)	3 (23.1%)					
Yes	105	93 (88.6%)	12 (11.4%)					
Sharing clothes with others				0.837				

1			_ ,			
No	60	52 (86.7%)	8 (13.3%)			
Yes	58	51 (87.9%)	7 (12.1%)			
Proximity to				0.001		
an ulcer case				0002		
No	44	44 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	1
Yes	74	59 (79.7%)	15 (20.3%)		Ref	Ref
Proximity with the bin				0.139		
Far	50	41 (82.0%)	9 (18.0%)			
near	68	62 (91.2%)	6 (8.8%)			
Daily bathing		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	0.970		
No	87	76 (87.4%)	11 (12.6%)			
Yes	31	27 (87.1%)	4 (12.9%)			
Use of soap for				0.874		
bath				0.874		
Occasionally	73	64 (87.7%)	9 (12.3%)			
Rarely	45	39 (86.7%)	6 (13.3%)			
Constant						
wearing of				0.368		
shoes						
No	58	49 (84.5%)	9 (15.5%)			
Yes	60	54 (90.0%)	6 (10.0%)			
Frequency of						
clothing				0.593		
change						
After no more than 2 days	40	34 (85.0%)	6 (15.0%)			
After at least 3 days	78	69 (88.5%)	9 (11.5%)			

261 Legend: Ref= Reference modality.

## **Discussion**

In this comprehensive study we found that approximately 1% of the screened population in Cameroon had a cutaneous ulcer and that over 30% of these were associated with *HD* infection, making it the single commonest causative organism identified. Ulcers were more frequent in children and appeared to be related to several parameters regarding sanitation and hygiene. We also detected asymptomatic colonisation with *HD* and this occurred exclusively in people exposed to an individual with *HD* ulcer.

Consistent with some previous studies we found the proportion of cutaneous ulcers associated with *HD* (30.3%) was much higher than those associated with *TPE* (5.2%). Our previous surveillance-based study in Cameroon showed that *HD* was responsible for almost half (49.6%) of yaws-like ulcers identified between 2017 and 2019, slightly more than twice those caused by *TPE* [17]. In this study, some of the samples were collected from participants who had washed and disinfected their ulcers prior to collection, which could have led to degradation or low load of *HD* DNA. The previous study also predominantly involved investigating reported outbreaks of cutaneous ulcers whilst the current study was conducted in normal conditions in the community which may also have impacted on our findings.

We identified a number of sociodemographic characteristics alongside markers of poor personal hygiene and sanitation behaviours that were associated with an increased risk of cutaneous ulcers. Apart from a study in 2017 that did not find a significant association between age and cutaneous ulcers [29], our results are consistent with the findings of a case-control study in Ghana where raising age was associated with increased odds of infection [25]. The practice of activities likely to induce trauma or scratches such as farming, animal husbandry and rough play tends to increase with age [30]; these smaller wounds could later serve as a gateway to the bacteria responsible for infection [31]. We found the proportion of men affected

by cutaneous ulcers was slightly higher than that of women which has been reported elsewhere. This may be partially attributed to host biological factors [32] and to a higher risk of microtrauma amongst boys and men. Ethnicity was the only socio-demographic variable studied associated both for general cutaneous ulcers and for *HD* ulcers, with Bantu people being more likely to get infected by *HD* cutaneous ulcers compared to Baka people. These unexpected results contrast with several previously published reports. Most of the cutaneous ulcers which correspond to bacterial ulcerative conditions affect preferentially poor people living in warm, moist climates and mainly in forested tropical regions [33,34]. This correspond to the natural environment of the Baka population of Central Africa which until then constituted the most at risks community in this region [35–38].

We found people in close and constant contact with other cutaneous ulcer cases were three times more likely to be infected and most of the cases of *HD* ulcers were detected in schools, among classmates. This school environment is characterised by close proximity and frequent contact between children which could favour the transmission of *HD*. Nearly all individuals with an *HD* ulcer made use of traditional latrines characterized by cracked mud walls, damp earthen floors and lack of roof. These kinds of toilets might facilitate interactions with flies which had been identified as possible mechanical vector for the transmission of *TPE* and *HD*. DNA of *HD* has been detected in flies collected from areas immediately outside the houses of individuals with cutaneous ulcers [21]. We also found people who shared their clothes with others or only changed clothes infrequently had an increased risk of cutaneous ulcers which is consistent with previous studies showing a higher risk among people who share personal items such as towels, clothes and sponges [25,39,40].

HD was detected in 15 (8.7%) individuals without cutaneous ulcers which is about half the rate seen in a previous study [21]. In the current study all asymptomatic individuals in whom HD DNA was detected were linked to a confirmed case of HD cutaneous ulcer whereas

in the previous study *HD* DNA was found in almost the same proportion in asymptomatic individuals exposed and not exposed to ulcers [21]. *HD* spreads naturally through skin contact [33,41], and so far no real evidence of an alternative transmission route has been clearly demonstrated. In this context, it seems plausible that the *HD* DNA detected in asymptomatic individuals results from direct or indirect contact with confirmed ulcer cases.

Our study had some limitations. Firstly, because of the COVID-19 pandemic, we could not visit the entire population of the community with many schools and households declining to undertake the preliminary screening step of inclusion and this could have affected the representativeness of our study population. We relied predominantly on detection of DNA and not culture, which is technically challenging, and our data are cross-sectional in nature. As a result, further studies are needed to draw more robust conclusions about the role of asymptomatic colonization.

Our data confirm that *HD* is a leading cause of cutaneous ulcers in yaws endemic health district in Cameroon and is associated with limited access to water and sanitation. Whilst we confirmed the evidence of *HD* colonization have further studies on its viability and implications for transmission should be undertaken to help inform control strategies.

# Acknowledgements

This study is part of the Lamp4Yaws EDCTP funded project (grant number RIA2018D-2495 (grant number RIA2018D-2495). We would like to thank the field team of this study. We thank all the study participants and their legal representatives for their time.

## References

1. Mitjà O, Lukehart SA, Pokowas G, Moses P, Kapa A, Godornes C, et al. Haemophilus ducreyi as a cause of skin ulcers in children from a yaws-endemic area of Papua New

Guinea: A prospective cohort study. Lancet Glob Heal. 2014;2: 235–241. 334 doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70019-1 335 2. Roberts SA, Taylor SL. Haemophilus ducrevi: A newly recognised cause of chronic 336 337 skin ulceration. Lancet Glob Heal. 2014;2: e187-e188. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70197-4 338 Marks M, Mitjà O, Solomon AW, Asiedu KB, Mabey DC. Yaws. Br Med Bull. 339 3. 2015;113: 91–100. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldu037 340 Gangaiah D, Webb KM, Humphreys TL, Fortney KR, Toh E, Tai A, et al. 341 4. 342 Haemophilus ducreyi cutaneous ulcer strains are nearly identical to class I genital ulcer strains. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9: 1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003918 343 344 5. Gangaiah D, Spinola SM. Haemophilus ducreyi Cutaneous Ulcer Strains Diverged from Both Class I and Class II Genital Ulcer Strains: Implications for Epidemiological 345 Studies. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10: 1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005259 346 6. Kositz C, Butcher R, Marks M. Images in clinical tropical medicine: New diagnostics 347 for yaws. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2017;96: 3-4. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.16-0639 348 349 7. Marks M, Fookes M, Wagner J, Ghinai R, Sokana O, Solomon AW, et al. Direct Whole-Genome Sequencing of Cutaneous Strains of Haemophilus ducreyi. 2018;24. 350 351 8. G-Beiras C, Ubals M, Corbacho-Monné M, Vall-Mayans M, Mitjà O. Yaws, Haemophilus ducreyi, and Other Bacterial Causes of Cutaneous Ulcer Disease in the 352 South Pacific Islands. Dermatol Clin. 2021;39: 15–22. doi:10.1016/j.det.2020.08.002 353 354 9. Fleming DT, Wasserheit JN. From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and practice: The contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission 355 of HIV infection. Sex Transm Infect. 1999;75: 3-17. doi:10.1136/sti.75.1.3 356

- 357 10. González-Beiras C, Kapa A, Vall-Mayans M, Paru R, Gavilán S, Houinei W, et al.
- 358 Single-Dose Azithromycin for the Treatment of Haemophilus ducreyi Skin Ulcers in
- Papua New Guinea. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;65: 2085–2090. doi:10.1093/cid/cix723
- 360 11. Marks M, Chi K, Vahi V, Pillay A, Sokana O, Pavluck A, et al. Haemophilus ducreyi
- Associated with Skin Ulcers among Children, Solomon Islands. 2014;20.
- 362 12. Marckmann P, Hojbjerg T, von Eyben FE, Christensen I. Imported pedal chancroid:
- 363 Case report. Genitourin Med. 1989;65: 126–127. doi:10.1136/sti.65.2.126
- 13. McBride WJH, Hannah RCS, Le Cornec GM, Bletchly C. Cutaneous chancroid in a
- visitor from Vanuatu. Australas J Dermatol. 2008;49: 98–99. doi:10.1111/j.1440-
- 366 0960.2008.00439.x
- 367 14. van Hattem JM, Langeveld TJC, Bruisten SM, Kolader M, Grobusch MP, de Vries
- 368 HJC, et al. Haemophilus ducreyi cutaneous ulcer contracted at Seram Island, Indonesia,
- presented in the Netherlands. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12: 1–9.
- 370 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0006273
- 371 15. Humphrey S, Romney M, Au S. Haemophilus ducreyi leg ulceration in a 5-year-old
- boy. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. MOSBY-ELSEVIER 360
- 373 PARK AVENUE SOUTH, NEW YORK, NY 10010-1710 USA; 2007. pp. AB121–
- 374 AB121.
- 375 16. Ghinai R, El-duah P, Chi K, Pillay A, Solomon AW, Bailey RL, et al. A Cross-
- Sectional Study of 'Yaws' in Districts of Ghana Which Have Previously Undertaken
- Azithromycin Mass Drug Administration for Trachoma Control. 2015; 1–10.
- 378 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003496
- 17. Ngono JPN, Tchatchouang S, Tsanga MVN, Tabah EN, Tchualeu A, Asiedu K, et al.

Ulcerative skin lesions among children in cameroon: It is not always yaws. PLoS Negl 380 Trop Dis. 2021;15. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0009180 381 18. González-Beiras C, Marks M, Chen CY, Roberts S, Mitjà O. Epidemiology of 382 383 Haemophilus ducreyi infections. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22: 1–8. doi:10.3201/eid2201.150425 384 19. Fegan D, Glennon MJ, Kool J, Taleo F. Tropical leg ulcers in children: more than 385 yaws. Trop Doct. 2016;46: 90–93. doi:10.1177/0049475515599326 386 Chi KH, Danavall D, Taleo F, Pillay A, Ye T, Nachamkin E, et al. Molecular 387 20. 388 differentiation of treponema pallidum subspecies in skin ulceration clinically suspected as yaws in vanuatu using real-time multiplex PCR and serological methods. Am J Trop 389 Med Hyg. 2015;92: 134–138. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.14-0459 390 21. Houinei W, Godornes C, Kapa A, Knauf S, Mooring EQ, González-Beiras C, et al. 391 Haemophilus ducreyi DNA is detectable on the skin of asymptomatic children, flies 392 393 and fomites in villages of Papua New Guinea. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11: e0004958. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004958 394 22. Bruisten SM, Cajro I, Fennema H, Pijl A, Buimer M, Peerbooms PGH, et al. 395 Diagnosing genital ulcer disease in a clinic for sexually transmitted diseases in 396 Amsterdam, The Netherlands. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39: 601–605. 397 doi:10.1128/JCM.39.2.601-605.2001 398 23. Tekle-Michael T, Van Dyck E, Abdellati S, Laga M. Development of a heminested 399 polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of Haemophilus ducreyi in clinical 400 specimens. Int J STD AIDS. 2001;12: 797-803. doi:10.1258/0956462011924425 401 Lewis DA. Epidemiology, clinical features, diagnosis and treatment of Haemophilus 402 24.

- ducreyi-a disappearing pathogen? Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2014;12: 687–696.
- doi:10.1586/14787210.2014.892414
- 405 25. Okine RNA, Sarfo B, Adanu RM, Kwakye-Maclean C, Osei FA. Factors associated
- with cutaneous ulcers among children in two yaws-endemic districts in Ghana. Infect
- 407 Dis Poverty. 2020;9: 1–9. doi:10.1186/s40249-020-00641-2
- 408 26. Attribution-noncommercial-sharealike CC, License I, By-nc-sa CC, Trust A. Of Apes
- and Men: Baka and Bantu Attitudes to Wildlife and the Making of Eco-Goodies and
- Baddies Author (s): Axel Köhler Published by: Ashoka Trust for Research in
- Ecology and the Environment and Wolters Stable URL:
- 412 https://www.jstor.org/stable/263965. 2005;3: 407–435.
- 413 27. Who T, Centre C, Atlanta CDC, States U. CDC protocol of realtime RTPCR for swine
- 414 influenza A(H1N1) 28. Control. 2009;1.
- 415 28. Hartung C, Anokwa Y, Brunette W, Lerer A, Tseng C, Borriello G. Open data kit:
- 416 Tools to build information services for developing regions. ACM Int Conf Proceeding
- 417 Ser. 2010. doi:10.1145/2369220.2369236
- 418 29. Dzotsi E, Agana N, Ohene S-A, Adjabeng M, Aziz A, Odoom JK. Factors Associated
- with Yaws Infections in the West Akim Municipality, Ghana. Int J Trop Dis Heal.
- 420 2017;22: 1–9. doi:10.9734/ijtdh/2017/32425
- 421 30. Costa e Silva L, Fragoso MI, Teles J. Physical Activity–Related Injury Profile in
- 422 Children and Adolescents According to Their Age, Maturation, and Level of Sports
- 423 Participation. Sports Health. 2017;9: 118–125. doi:10.1177/1941738116686964
- 424 31. Zachary JF. C H A P T E R 4 Mechanisms of Microbial Infections 1. 2020.
- 425 32. Janowicz DM, Ofner S, Katz BP, Spinola SM. Experimental infection of human

- volunteers with Haemophilus ducreyi: Fifteen years of clinical data and experience. J
- 427 Infect Dis. 2009;199: 1671–1679. doi:10.1086/598966
- 428 33. Mitjà O, Asiedu K, Mabey D. Yaws. Lancet. 2013;381: 763–773. doi:10.1016/S0140-
- 429 6736(12)62130-8
- 430 34. Marks M, Lebari D, Solomon AW, Higgins SP. Yaws. Int J STD AIDS. 2015;26: 696–
- 431 703. doi:10.1177/0956462414549036
- 432 35. Pampiglione S, Wilkinson AE. A study of yaws among pygmies in Cameroon and
- 433 Zaire. Brit J vener Dis. 1975;51: 165. doi:10.1136/sti.51.3.165
- 434 36. Martin PM V, Gonzalez JP, Martin MH, Georges-Courbot MC, Palisson MJ, Georges
- 435 AJ. Clinical Aspects and Usefulness of Indirect Absorbed Immunofluorescence for
- Diagnosis of Yaws in Central Africa. J Clin Microbiol. 1988. Available:
- 437 https://journals.asm.org/journal/jcm
- 438 37. Manirakiza A, Vilas Boas S, Beyam N, Zadanga G, Konamna FX, Njuimo SP, et al.
- 439 Clinical outcome of skin yaws lesions after treatment with benzathinebenzylpenicillin
- in a pygmy population in Lobaye, Central African Republic. 2011. doi:10.1186/1756-
- 441 0500-4-543
- 442 38. Coldiron M, Obvala D, Mouniaman-Nara I, Pena J, Blondel C, Porten K. The
- prevalence of yaws among the Aka in the Congo. Med Sante Trop. 2013;23: 231–232.
- 444 39. Tettey A. School of public health, college of health sciences, University of Ghana-
- Legon, factors associated with yaws in the Ga West district of Ghana (A Case-control
- study at Obom). University of Ghana. 2009. Available:
- 447 http://197.255.68.203/handle/123456789/8816
- 448 40. Sitanggang YA, Hutapea H, Suhardi S, Maladan Y, Wahyuni T, Rokmad MF.

Serologic observation and risk factor of yaws in Hamadi Public Health Center, 449 450 Jayapura. Heal Sci J Indones. 2017;8: 25–29. doi:10.22435/hsji.v8i1.6387.25-29 41. Lewis DA, Mitjà O. Haemophilus ducreyi: From sexually transmitted infection to skin 451 ulcer pathogen. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2016;29: 52-57. 452 doi:10.1097/QCO.0000000000000226 453 **Supporting information** 454 S1 file. Distribution of *H. ducreyi* and *T. pallidum* positive participants per site. Legend: 455 456 CU= cutaneous ulcer case; AS= asymptomatic individual













