



1

2

3

4

A coupled electro-mechanical approach for early diagnostic of

5

carpal tunnel syndrome

6

7

Saveliy Peshin^{1,2}, Julia Karakulova³, Alex G. Kuchumov^{1,2*}

8

9

¹ Department of Computational Mathematics, Mechanics and Biomechanics, Perm National Research

10

Polytechnic University, Perm, Russia

11

² Biofluids laboratory, Perm National Research Polytechnic University, Perm, Russia

12

³ Department of Neurology and Medical Genetics, Perm State Medical University, Perm, Russia

13

14

***Corresponding author:** kuchymov@inbox.ru (AGK)

15

16

17 **Abstract**

18 Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is a pathology affecting hand function caused by
19 median nerve overload. Numbness in the fingers, a loss of sensory and motor function in
20 the hand, and pain are all symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome. The lack of numerical
21 data about the median nerve mechanical strain inside the carpal tunnel is the main
22 disadvantage of current clinical approaches employed in carpal syndrome diagnostics.
23 Moreover, application of each diagnostic method alone often leads to misdiagnosis. We
24 proposed a combined approach including hand motion capture, finite element modelling
25 (FEM), and electromechanical simulations to evaluate median nerve compression and
26 find a correlation with hand mobility. The hand motion capture provided the boundary
27 conditions for FEM. After that, FEM simulations of finger flexion and hand flexion /
28 extension were performed. Further, FEM results were put in the electrical model of nerve
29 conduction based on the Hodgkin-Huxley model and extended cable equation. It was
30 exhibited median nerve conduction reduced significantly throughout the flexion and
31 extension of the hand that compared to finger flexion. During finger flexion and hand
32 flexion and extension, the load distribution over each of nine finger flexor tendons was
33 evaluated. The tendons of the index finger were found to have the highest Mises stress
34 values. It was found how tendon and connective tissue contact types affected carpal tunnel
35 pressure. The difference between the contact types was 31.7% for hand extension and
36 59.9% for hand flexion. The developed approach has the potential to become an
37 alternative diagnostic method for CTS at early stages. Additionally, it can be employed
38 as non-invasive procedure for evaluation of carpal nerve stress.

39

40 Keywords: carpal tunnel syndrome, finite element modelling, motion capture, wrist,
41 hand, Hodgkin-Huxley model, cable equation, median nerve.

42 **Introduction**

43 Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the most common tunnel neuropathy [1–3].
44 The loss of sensory and motor functions in the hand impairs personal and social
45 functioning [4]. CTS patients were found to be challenged by lack of hand mobility
46 and failure to perform basic daily activities such as holding a pen, carrying out a
47 job, or having a healthy night's sleep [5]. Initially, CTS only causes numbness and
48 pain. The mild sensations can be rapidly eliminated by shaking out your hand.
49 Rarely do people seek treatment in this situation. But as the suffering worsens day
50 by day, sufferers feel compelled to get help. Additionally, every extra day of
51 waiting increases the likelihood of serious nerve damage, which will make a person
52 disabled. Meanwhile, hand pain is not always carpal tunnel syndrome [6,7].
53 Relevant diagnostic methods at the moment are: questionnaires, movement tests,
54 electrodiagnostic studies, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computer
55 tomography (CT) and ultrasound investigation [8]. It should be noted that these
56 diagnostic techniques' specificity and sensitivity are not always great, particularly
57 at the early stages of CTS diagnosis. Additionally, utilizing just one method alone
58 frequently results in incorrect diagnosis [9,10].

59 **Questionnaires**

60 Mononeuropathy clinical guidelines include questionnaires in many countries
61 [11]. The most informative ones are: Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire (BCTQ)
62 [12], Disability of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Outcome Measure (DASH) [13],
63 Michigan Hand Outcome Questionnaire (MHQ) [14], Upper Extremity Functional

64 Scale (UEFS) [15] and others [16–19]. The stage of the disease can be determined
65 based on the results of the questionnaire.

66 It is evident that none of the outcome measures utilized in CTS patients can
67 be regarded as the best or ideal outcome measure. Therefore, the clinician should
68 choose the outcome measure in accordance with the main goal of their study and
69 the target audience, the environment, and the time restrictions [20].

70 **Provocative tests**

71 Sensitive and specific provocative tests are important components of the
72 physical and diagnostic examination of human's body. The most commonly used
73 provocative tests for CTS are Tinel sign, Phalen's test, and Durkan's test [21]. On
74 the one hand, these methods can be used equally with questionnaires to speed up
75 the general understanding of a person's health status [22]. On the other hand, these
76 methods are not completely relevant for making a diagnosis, especially in the early
77 stages of carpal tunnel syndrome.

78 **Injection tests**

79 Another diagnostic test is the glucocorticosteroid injection into the carpal tunnel
80 area. If the patient reacts positively afterwards (pain disappears), CTS is considered
81 confirmed [23]. However, patients may decline treatment, if they experience
82 anxiety after receiving a glucocorticosteroid injection [24].

83 **Electrodiagnostic testing, MRI and ultrasound studies**

84 Electrodiagnostic studies include nerve conduction studies and
85 electromyography. Nerve conduction studies confirm CTS by detecting abnormal

86 median nerve conduction through the carpal tunnel when conduction is normal
87 elsewhere [25]. The most clinically informative indicators are the M-response
88 amplitude and median nerve conduction velocity. Electrodiagnostic studies have a
89 sensitivity of 56% to 85% and a specificity of 94% to 99% for CTS [26]. Results
90 may be normal in 30% of patients with mild CTS [27]. In addition, MRI is
91 commonly used in CTS diagnosis as an objective method of determining the extent
92 of morphological changes in the carpal tunnel [28]. However, MRI is difficult to
93 assess the severity of the clinical course of the syndrome or the condition of the
94 median nerve over time. Changes to the median nerve can be assessed not only by
95 MRI, but also by ultrasound [29,30]. Ultrasound can detect the following
96 characteristics: an increase in the cross-sectional area of the median nerve at the
97 level of the carpal bones (distal carpal fold) $> 9.8 \text{ mm}^2$ [31], flattening of the nerve
98 at the entrance to the carpal tunnel [32], echogenic features variations [33] and
99 estimate the transverse sliding patterns of the median nerve during finger
100 movements [34]. Electrophysiological tests do not seem to be as cost-effective as
101 using diagnostic ultrasonography to diagnose CTS [35].

102 **Finite element modelling**

103 Numerical values for carpal tunnel pressure can be determined using the finite
104 element modelling (FEM). This method is widely used in various fields and has
105 recently been applied in medicine [36]. The human carpal tunnel area includes
106 tendons, ligaments, muscles, bones, nerves and others [37]. Thus, the application
107 of the FEM was focused on studying the stress-strain state of all these tissues [38–
108 49]. The transverse ligament is the upper arch of the carpal tunnel. It holds the
109 tendons inside the canal and protects the median nerve from external loads. In this

110 context, the studies by Guo [39] and Yao [44] have aimed at determining the load
111 on the transverse ligament. Ko and Brown [38] investigated the effect of fluid
112 within the carpal tunnel on median nerve stress using FSI calculations. Their study
113 shows that the effect of fluid is low compared to the mechanical contact of the
114 tendons. The subsynovial connective tissue (SSCT) is inside the carpal tunnel and
115 encompasses the tendons and median nerve. Biomechanical approaches using the
116 FEM were developed by Henderson et. al. [42] and Chang et. al. [45] to determine
117 SSCT mechanical behavior. The carpal bones are the lower arch of the carpal
118 tunnel. On the other hand, the carpal bones have mobility during hand flexion and
119 extension. Thus, the FEM has been widely applied to model the carpal bones
120 [41,47–49]. Perevoshchikova et. al. [41] considered a 3D-printed scaffold for
121 scapholunate ligament reconstruction. It is also good to look at the studies where
122 researchers have created complex models of the carpal tunnel, tendons and fingers
123 [40,43,46,50]. Mouzakis et. al. [46] developed a model based on a slice of an MRI
124 scan. The model includes all tissues occurring in the carpal tunnel. Wei et. al. [40]
125 also reconstructed a 3D model based on MRI images. In their study, the carpal
126 bones and the bones of all the fingers of the hand were considered. In this way, a
127 model of the entire hand was created. Lv et. al. [43] also developed a complex 3D
128 finite element model based on MRI images. Their geometric model included 29
129 bones, such as 14 phalanges, 5 metacarpals, 8 carpal bones and parts of the flexor
130 pollicis longus, extensor pollicis longus, extensor pollicis brevis, extensor indicis
131 and extensor indicis minimi; ligaments, such as the extensor retinaculum, flexor
132 retinaculum, and annular ligaments that act as finger pulleys at the Interphalangeal
133 (IP) joints and Metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joints ulna and radius; 9 muscles and

134 their tendons, such as the FDS, FDP, ED, flexor pollicis brevis. In order to
135 successfully implement finite element calculations, these studies use Young's
136 modulus and Poisson's coefficient for the tissue simulation. However, the
137 mechanical properties of the soft tissues within the carpal tunnel have also been
138 studied in several studies.

139 An important aspect of FEM is the mathematical model that describes the
140 mechanical behavior of the tissue. At the same time, any model chosen requires a
141 set of mechanical parameters for each tissue. For example, describing the behavior
142 of tissue by a linear elastic equation requires two parameters: Young's modulus and
143 Poisson's coefficient. This approach is convenient for calculations since it requires
144 less computational resources. However, other approaches are used to obtain more
145 accurate and valid results. Osamura et al. [51] defined the overall mechanical
146 response with linear-elastic material properties; however the data curves appear to
147 be non-linear with a strain-dependent response [52]. Main et al. [53,54] identified
148 the tendon and median nerve properties as isotropic, non-linear, hyperelastic and
149 described their mechanical behavior with Ogden model [55] and determined the
150 parameters α and μ . Our previous work [50] also included modelling of the entire
151 hand, including tendons, carpal tunnel, carpal bones and phalanges. At the same
152 time, soft tissue materials have been defined by the Ogden model with parameters
153 from Main et al. study. However, the carpal tunnel has been simplified and fixed,
154 which corresponds to finger flexion, but does not accurately correspond to hand
155 flexion. In this study, this lack will be taken into account in the FEM.

156

157

158 **Nerve conduction modelling**

159 The median nerve contains sensory, motor and autonomic fibers. Sensory nerve
160 fibers are known to lose their conductivity faster than motor fibers if the nerve is
161 under external mechanical stress [56–59]. The classical mathematical model
162 describing the conduction of an electrical signal along the axon of a neuron was
163 developed by Hodgkin and Huxley [60]. The model is based on differential
164 equations system that describe the membrane exchange of *Na* and *K* ions. Further,
165 FitzHugh [61] introduced a simplified Hodgkin-Huxley model (H-H), which
166 consists of two equations versus Hodgkin-Huxley's order of twenty. Later, these
167 models have been improved and refined by other researchers, but the basic approach
168 remains the same. However, these models describe the action potential at a single
169 point. In order to simulate median nerve conduction, it is necessary to describe its
170 conduction along a defined distance. The traditional cable equation is used [62] for
171 the action potential propagation along the neuron. The extended cable equation is
172 used to take into account the shape effect of the peripheral nerve. Changing the
173 cross-sectional area of the nerve reduces conduction. Coupling the conduction
174 model and the cable equation is used to describe nerve fiber conduction [63–68].

175 **Aim of study**

176 The aim of this study is to develop a combined electro-mechanical approach
177 for early diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome based on modern biomechanical and
178 mathematical modelling methods as well as applying the developed approach and
179 obtaining new numerical results in the stages of the approach.

180

181 **Materials and Methods**

182 This paper presents a combined electro-mechanical approach for early CTS
183 diagnosis, including hand motion capture, FEM of the patient-specific hand based
184 on MRI, CT, and mathematical modelling of nerve conduction (Fig 1). An
185 important criterion of this approach is the confirmation of the disease at an early
186 stage. This is necessary to reduce rehabilitative time and avoid traumatic surgery.

187
188
189

190 **Fig 1.** A six-stage approach for the early diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome.

191
192

193 **Data collection**

194 The first stage is performing an MRI and CT scan of the volunteer's hand.

195 The research protocol used in this study was approved by the Ethical
196 Committee Board of Perm State Medical University (Protocol No. 17 on 25
197 November 2022). The volunteer had no hand disease or associated neurological
198 disorders. Participant signed written informed consent. The participant was
199 recruited for research purposes in the period between 5 December 2022 and 23
200 December 2022. Authors had no access to information that could identify individual
201 participant during or after data collection.

202 DICOM files are using to create patient-specific 3D geometry of the carpal
203 tunnel, tendons, ligaments, median nerve, and phalanges. CT scans are the best way
204 to visualize a human's bones. In such a case, the carpal and metacarpal bones, as
205 well as the distal, intermediate, and proximal phalanges, were built up from CT

206 scans. At the same time, soft tissues such as the median nerve, tendons, ligaments
207 and connective tissue may be visible on MRI scans.

208

209 **3d Model extraction**

210 However, the resulting 3D models require post-processing. The post-
211 processing of the tissues was performed using the Meshmixer software. The three-
212 dimensional tendon geometry was built based on a set of cross-sectional planes of
213 the obtained DICOM file geometry. The resulting personal geometry of the left
214 hand and carpal tunnel after processing is shown in (Fig 2).

215

216

217 **Fig 2.** Patient-specific finite element model of carpal tunnel (a) – general view, (b) – top
218 view, (c) – bot view and. (d) – Finite element model of a hand (carpal tunnel is hidden), (e)
219 – The connection of the phalanges with the joint and the coordinate system of the joint.

220

221

222 **Hand motion capture**

223 The human hand is a unique manipulator that can handle many mechanical
224 tasks. Different people may perform certain mechanical tasks differently in their
225 daily lives. For example: how to hold a fork or a mug, how to type on a computer
226 keyboard, or how to hold a phone. Depending on age, anatomy, and gender, people
227 can flex their fingers and move their hands differently. To take individual hand and
228 finger movements into account, software was developed to capture hand movement
229 in real time and determine the coordinates of characteristic hand points (Fig 3) [69].
230 Visual Studio Code and Python were used for software development. Learned free
231 artificial intelligence is used in the software to determine points on the hand using

232 video from a camera. The software applies the points to the hand, determines the
233 coordinates of the points, and moves the position of the points during hand
234 movement in real time. A line simulating the phalanx is drawn on the two
235 coordinates. The angle between the two lines describing the angle between the two
236 phalanges of one finger is then determined. Angle changes in the distal
237 interphalangeal joint, proximal interphalangeal joint and metacarpal phalangeal
238 joint of all fingers were obtained using the developed software according to the
239 formulas:

240

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= x_{i+1} - x_i \\ Y_i &= y_{i+1} - y_i \quad i \in \overline{1, 21}, \\ \bar{n}_i &= \{X_i; Y_i\} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\cos(\varphi_i) = \cos(\bar{n}_i; \bar{n}_{i+1}) = \frac{\bar{n}_i \cdot \bar{n}_{i+1}}{|\bar{n}_i| \cdot |\bar{n}_{i+1}|} = \frac{X_i \cdot X_{i+1} + Y_i \cdot Y_{i+1}}{\sqrt{X_i^2 + Y_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{X_{i+1}^2 + Y_{i+1}^2}}, \quad (2)$$

243

244 where x, y – characteristic point coordinates, X, Y – components of the normal
245 vector n, i – characteristic point number, φ - angle between the phalanges.

246

247

248 **Fig 3.** Motion capture process

249

250

251 **Finite element model**

252

253

254

The fourth stage is FEM based on a patient-specific geometrical model. The FEM was used to simulate various hand and finger movements. The three-dimensional geometry was imported into the finite element software ANSYS to

255 generate the finite element model with interaction material parameters, boundary
256 conditions and loading conditions defined. Two types of geometry were built based
257 on MRI and CT to calculate carpal tunnel stress during finger flexion and hand
258 flexion and extension. The first geometric model included SSCT, median nerve,
259 nine finger flexor tendons, transverse ligament, all phalanges, metacarpal bones,
260 and simplified carpal bones. The finger flexion geometry consisted of 40 solid
261 bodies that were in contact and joined together. The whole first geometry is divided
262 into 422,779 nodes and 122,486 elements by hexahedral and tetrahedral elements.
263 The second geometric model included SSCT, median nerve and nine tendons. The
264 hand flexion and extension geometry consisted of 11 solid bodies. The whole
265 second geometry is divided into 126,307 nodes and 56,723 elements by hexahedral
266 and tetrahedral elements. Hexahedral elements are used to build the tendon mesh
267 and median nerve in both geometries.

268 **Joints and contact**

269 One type of joint (Revolute) and two types of contact (Bonded and No
270 Separation) were used in FEM. Revolute joint limit the free movement of bodies to
271 one degree of freedom. The only motion that is possible between two jointed bodies
272 is rotation around the Z-axis (Fig 2e). Revolute joints are used to simulate finger
273 flexion in the joint between the distal phalanx and the middle phalanx (distal
274 interphalangeal joint), the joint between the middle phalanx and the proximal
275 phalanx (proximal interphalangeal joint) and the joint between the proximal
276 phalanx and the metacarpal bones (metacarpal phalangeal joint). Bonded contact
277 type is used to model bodies which strain, stress and displacement in the contact
278 zone are the same. In other words, the bodies are rigidly connected to each other

279 and cannot move relative to each other in the contact zone. No separation contact
280 allows the bodies in the contact zone to move along in the tangential direction
281 without friction, and prohibits movement in the normal direction. Thus, the bodies
282 cannot move away from each other but can slide. The types of solid contact are
283 shown in (Table 1).

284 The median nerve was connected to the connective tissue by no separation
285 contact type for finger flexion model. The contact between the tendon and
286 connective tissue was no separation as well. It is well established that tendons have
287 high mobility relative to connective tissue and that the subsynovial fluid ensures
288 minimal friction of the tendons against the connective tissue. No Separation type of
289 contact ensures frictionless sliding of the two bodies and applies a restriction on
290 rupture of the contact. However, during hand flexion and extension the tendons
291 cannot always slide along the carpal tunnel without friction. The pressure between
292 the connective tissue and the median nerve and tendon increases with flexion and
293 extension of the hand. Thus, it is difficult to determine the friction coefficient
294 between these tissues in vitro. To take this effect into account, finite-element
295 calculations were performed with two types of tendons, connective tissue, and
296 median nerve contact. Thus, the actual carpal tunnel strain values will be between
297 the two boundary results with no separation contact type and with bonded contact
298 type.

299 **Table 1. Solid bodies contact types.**

Solid body pair	Interaction / Contact type
Distal phalange to Middle phalange	Revolute
Middle phalange to Proximal phalange	Revolute
Proximal phalange to Metacarpal	Revolute
Deep flexor tendon to Distal phalange	Bonded
Superficial flexor tendon to Middle phalange	Bonded

Superficial and Deep flexor tendon to Annular ligament	No separation
Superficial and Deep flexor tendon to SSCT	No separation / Bonded
Median nerve to SSCT	No separation / Bonded
Annular ligament to phalanges	Bonded
Transverse carpal ligament to SSCT	Bonded

300 **Mechanical properties of the tissues**

301 The Ogden model [55] was used to describe the mechanical properties of the
302 soft tissues. The model was chosen after a series of studies by the researchers [52–
303 54] that experimentally determined the mechanical properties of all tissues within
304 the carpal tunnel. The Ogden model was also used in these studies. The choice of
305 this approach makes the calculations considerably more complicated, however, it
306 allows to obtain results that are similar to the experimental data. thus, the soft
307 tissues are modeled as isotropic linearly hyperelastic and the coefficients are shown
308 in (Table 2). Bone deformation in flexion and extension of the hand is much less
309 than soft tissue deformation. Thus, phalanges, carpal bones and metacarpal bones
310 are modeled isotropic linearly elastic. Young's modulus and Poisson's coefficient
311 of bone tissue are also shown in (Table 2) [70].

$$312 \quad W(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \frac{2\mu}{\alpha^2} (\lambda_1^\alpha + \lambda_2^\alpha + \lambda_3^\alpha - 3). \quad (3)$$

313 **Table 2. Mechanical properties of the tissues used in model.**

Tissues	Hyperelastic parameter		Reference
	α	μ [Pa]	
Annular ligaments	10,9	24900	Main E.K. et al. [53]
Transverse ligament	10,9	24900	Main E.K. et al. [53]
Flexor digitorum deep tendon	8,89	37600	Main E.K. et al. [54]
Flexor digit superficial tendon	8,89	37600	Main E.K. et al. [54]
Subsynovial connective tissue	4,51	12500	Matsuura Y. et al. [52]
Median nerve	6,5	12900	Main E.K. et al., Ma Z. et al. [54,71]
Elastic			
	ν	E [MPa]	

Phalanges and carpal bones	0,3	10000	Pistoia W. et al. [70]
----------------------------	-----	-------	------------------------

314 **Boundary conditions**

315 The different hand movements are dealt with in the fifth stage of the approach.
316 Human finger flexion is achieved by the finger flexor muscle actions and the effort
317 transferred via tendons to the median nerve that passes through the carpal tunnel.
318 The load from the tendons to the median nerve is transferred by the connective
319 tissue inside the carpal tunnel, which surrounds both the tendons and the median
320 nerve. The movement of the tendons defines the stresses in the carpal tunnel. In
321 these conditions, it is important to consider the actual tendon movement caused by
322 finger flexion. For this purpose, a three-dimensional model of the finger phalanges
323 was built (Fig 2d) and the contact between the tendons and the phalanges was
324 defined as bonded. The carpal bones were fixed laterally, the proximal tendon
325 surface was displaced to simulate muscle contraction, the metacarpal bones were
326 fixed and the proximal and distal areas of the median nerve were fixed as well. The
327 rotation angle for each pair of phalanges was set according to the results obtained
328 during the hand motion capture stage. The angles between each pair of phalanges
329 were determined using the software developed so far.

330 The human hand movement at the wrist joint is similar to the movement of a
331 spherical cylinder [72]. This study deals with flexion and extension of the wrist at
332 the wrist joint as well. The wrist rotation angle during these movements is maximal
333 and therefore the median nerve compression can be large. For this, the geometry of
334 the patient's nine tendons, the median nerve and the connective tissue between them
335 were reconstructed (Fig 2 a-c). To simulate hand flexion and extension in the wrist,
336 a coordinate system attached to the geometric center of the carpal tunnel was

337 chosen. A rotation of 30 degrees around the Z-axis of the distal tendon surfaces and
338 the medial nerve was applied. The direction of the Z axis was reversed for hand
339 extension simulation.

340

341 **Nerve conduction**

342 The final stage of the approach is to determine the conduction of the deformed
343 median nerve. When CTS occurs, the median nerve is compressed by the
344 surrounding tissue and deformed. Nerve compression is the main cause of the CTS
345 symptoms. Sensory and motor fibers are damaged as a result of compression. As a
346 result, nerve fiber conduction is decreased or lost. The studies describe approaches
347 to mathematical modelling of nerve conduction. The Hodgkin-Huxley (H-H)
348 model [60], which describes the potential difference in the membrane potential of
349 the giant squid axon, is considered a fundamental approach in this area. The model
350 describes the Na and K ions behaviors, which produce the resting potential and the
351 action potential. The model consists of a set of differential equations and is solved
352 by the finite difference method. The distribution of the electrical signal in the
353 cylinder is defined by the cable equation [62]. The extended cable equation gives
354 consideration to the varying geometry of the cylinder.

355 **Hodgkin and Huxley model**

356 The H-H model was developed in 1952 as a result of Hodgkin's and Huxley's
357 extensive studies of the giant axon of the squid. It describes how the action potential
358 is initiated and how it propagates in a neuron [60]. In the H-H model, the nerve cell
359 membrane is regarded as a flat capacitor. The potential difference on the coils of

360 the capacitor U is related to the stored charge Q and the total electrical capacitance
361 of the membrane C by the relation:

$$362 \quad U = \frac{Q}{C}. \quad (4)$$

363 By differentiating this equation by time and denoting dQ/dt as the current flowing
364 through the membrane, we obtain

$$365 \quad \frac{dU}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} \frac{dQ}{dt}. \quad (5)$$

366 By replacing U by the membrane potential E_m and replacing the total capacitance
367 by the capacitance divided by the unit area and given that the main contributors to
368 the generation of the nerve impulse are the potassium ion currents i_K and the sodium
369 ion currents i_{Na} , we can simplify the equation:

$$370 \quad \frac{dE_m}{dt} = \frac{1}{c} (i_K + i_{Na}). \quad (6)$$

371 To solve the equation, it is necessary to find the dependence of specific currents
372 through sodium and potassium ion channels on membrane potential. The
373 relationship between electric current and potential can be described by the
374 following equations:

$$375 \quad i_K = g_K (E_K - E_m), \quad (7)$$

$$376 \quad i_{Na} = g_{Na} (E_{Na} - E_m), \quad (8)$$

377 where, g_K and g_{Na} are not constants but functions of the membrane potential, which
378 is due to the potential-dependent properties of the ion channels. In Hodgkin and
379 Huxley, the dependence functions of g_K and g_{Na} on membrane potential have been
380 determined on the basis of the analysis of the form of the experimental currents-

381 time dependences under different constant membrane potential values. Hodgkin
382 and Huxley showed that g_K and g_{Na} can be described by the equations:

$$383 \quad g_K = G_K n^4, \quad (9)$$

$$384 \quad g_{Na} = G_{Na} m^3 h, \quad (10)$$

385 where G_K and G_{Na} are maximum conductivities for potassium and sodium channels,
386 n and m - activation gate variables for potassium and sodium channels; h -
387 inactivation gate variable for sodium channels.

388 The dynamics of gateway variables can be described by equations:

$$389 \quad \frac{dn}{dt} = \alpha_n (1 - n) - \beta_n n, \quad (11)$$

$$390 \quad \frac{dm}{dt} = \alpha_m (1 - m) - \beta_m m, \quad (12)$$

$$391 \quad \frac{dh}{dt} = \alpha_h (1 - h) - \beta_h h, \quad (13)$$

392 where α and β are the rate parameters for the transition of the gate particle to the
393 «open» and «close» state, respectively. These constants also depend on the
394 membrane potential and are described by equations:

$$395 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_m = \frac{0.1(E_m + 40)}{1 - e^{-0.1(E_m + 40)}}, \quad \beta_m = 4e^{-0.0556(E_m + 65)}, \\ \alpha_n = \frac{0.01(E_m + 55)}{1 - e^{-0.1(E_m + 55)}}, \quad \beta_n = 0.125e^{-0.0125(E_m + 65)}, \\ \alpha_h = 0.07e^{-0.05(E_m + 65)}, \quad \beta_h = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-0.1(E_m + 35)}} \end{array} \right. \quad (14)$$

396 The system of equations (5)-(11) is a H-H model and is solved using a self-
397 developed code in Matlab using the finite difference method.

398 **Cable equation**

399 The cable theory is given as a second-order partial differential equation:

$$400 \quad C_m \frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{R_e + R_i} \frac{\partial^2 E_m}{\partial z^2} + i_K + i_{Na}, \quad (15)$$

401 where R_e and R_i are extracellular and intracellular axial resistivity, respectively. The
 402 parameters used in the nerve conduction model are listed in (Table 3).

403 **Extended Cable Equation**

404 The effect of changes in nerve geometry on action potential propagation is
 405 accounted for by the extended cable equation.

$$406 \quad \left(C_m \frac{\partial E_m(z,t)}{\partial t} - I_{ion} \right) \frac{ds}{dz} = \frac{1}{R_e + R_i} \frac{dr}{dz} \frac{\partial E_m}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2(R_e + R_i)} \frac{\partial^2 E_m}{\partial z^2}, \quad (16)$$

407 where, r , z and s are coordinate variables describing the nerve shape and $r(z) = A -$
 408 $Bz - Cz^2$ - the function describing the change in the shape of the neuron. Parameters
 409 A , B , and C are parameters taken from the results of finite-element modelling. The
 410 set of equations is solved by the finite difference method in the Matlab-based code.
 411 Conduction modelling was performed on three millimeters of the median nerve in
 412 the area of highest stress.

413

414

415 **Table 3. Parameters used in nerve conduction model.**

Symbol	Model parameters	Value
E_{Na}	Sodium potential	52.4 mV
E_K	Potassium potential	-72.1 mV
g_{Na}	Sodium conductance	120.0m mho/cm ²
g_K	Potassium conductance	36m mho/cm ²
C_m	Membrane capacitance	1.0 μ F/cm ²
R_i	Resistivity of intracellular space	35 Ω cm

R_e	Resistivity of extracellular space	20 Ω cm
-------	------------------------------------	----------------

416

417

418 **Results**

419 An MRI and CT of the left hand and carpal tunnel was performed. The results
420 obtained in DICOM files were used to construct a patient-specific three-
421 dimensional geometry of the investigated tissues (Fig 2). Finger flexion and
422 extension were captured and processed using the software developed. Patient-
423 specific movement of fingers and hand is necessary to set the boundary conditions
424 objectively in FEM. The motion capture process and its results are shown in (Fig
425 3). The resulting time dependencies of the rotation angles between the phalanges
426 were used in FEM to assess the effect of finger flexion on median nerve
427 compression. Six series of hand motion captures are shown in (Fig 4). The graphs
428 show changes in the angle of the three phalanges over time during index finger
429 flexion. Blue shows angle change in distal interphalangeal joint, red shows angle
430 change in proximal interphalangeal joint, green shows angle change in metacarpal
431 phalangeal joint. The maximum distal - middle phalanges angle was 61° , maximum
432 middle – proximal phalanges angle was 116° , maximum proximal phalanges –
433 metacarpals angle was 101° .

434

435

436

437 **Fig 4.** Change of angles in the three joints of the index finger over time obtained by
438 motion capture: a-e series number from one to six.

439

440

441 **Fingers flexion**

442 Stress, displacement and strain values were obtained in all modelled tissues
443 during finger flexion. The results of the total deformations at various modelling
444 times ($t=3s$, $t=15s$, $t=25s$, $t=35s$) during finger flexion are shown in (Fig. 5). The
445 maximum stresses do not always clearly describe the stress state of the soft tissues,
446 especially close to the fixed areas. In this case, Von Mises stress along the midline
447 of the tendon and median nerve were analyzed. The midline was constructed based
448 on a set of midpoints of the tendon cross sections. However, the maximum von
449 Mises stress is shown in (Table 4). Tendons were divided into two groups: deep and
450 superficial. The thumb tendon is shown in both groups. Stress distribution along the
451 tendon's midline as a function of distance is shown in (Fig 6). The distal plane of
452 the tendon is taken as the start of the distance report.

453

454

455 **Fig 5.** Total deformation during finger flexion: (a) $t = 3s$, (b) $t=15s$, (c), $t= 25s$, (d) $t=35s$.

456

457

458 **Fig 6.** Tendons stress along tendon length (midline) during finger flexion: (a) superficial
459 tendons, (b) deep tendons

460

461

462 **Wrist flexion and extension**

463 Total deformation of tendons, median nerve, and connective tissue (hand is
464 hide to focus on carpal tunnel) during flexion and extension of the hand with bonded
465 contact is shown in (Fig 7, 8 at various modelling time $t=1s$, $t=15s$). Two types of

466 tendons and connective tissue connections were considered during wrist flexion and
467 extension. Stress along the midline of the tendon is shown in (Fig 9-12). Tendons
468 were divided into two groups (deep and superficial), similar to the results for finger
469 flexion. The thumb tendon is included in both groups as well. Results are shown
470 for two types of contact for each tendon.

471
472 **Fig 7.** Total deformation during wrist flexion: (a) $t = 1s$, (b) $t=15s$.

473
474
475 **Fig 8.** Total deformation during wrist extension: (a) $t = 1s$, (b) $t=15s$.

476
477
478 **Fig 9.** Stress in the tendons during wrist extension with bonded contact type along
479 midline: (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons.

480
481
482 **Fig 10.** Stress in the tendons during wrist extension with no separation contact type along
483 midline: (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons.

484
485
486 **Fig 11.** Stress in the tendons during wrist flexion with bonded contact type along midline:
487 (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons

488
489
490 **Fig 12.** Stress in the tendons during wrist flexion with no separation contact type along
491 midline: (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons.

492
493
494 Finger joint flexion angles are usually determined by external factors. For
495 example, in the movement of the shoulder or forearm, in various tissue injuries of
496 the upper extremity or in neurophysiological disorders [73–75]. J.W. Lee and K.S.

497 Lee in their studies also used the motion capture method and the results were the
498 same as the results of this study [76,77]. They revealed a non-linear character of
499 fingers flexion angle plots, which was approved here also.

500
501

502 **Median nerve stress during finger flexion and wrist** 503 **flexion/extension**

504 Stress in the median nerve during finger flexion and during wrist flexion and
505 extension was obtained according to the rotation angle of the respective phalanges.
506 The human finger flexes in three joints: the joint between the distal phalanx and the
507 middle phalanx (distal interphalangeal joint), the joint between the middle phalanx
508 and the proximal phalanx (proximal interphalangeal joint) and the joint between the
509 proximal phalanx and the metacarpal bones (metacarpal phalangeal joint). The
510 angles at these joints are determined using motion capture in the third stage of this
511 approach. To present the results, the maximum tension in the median nerve was
512 presented as a function of the angle in the proximal interphalangeal joint. According
513 to the results based on the hand motion capture, the angle in this joint changes faster
514 than the others. The results of maximum median nerve stress during flexion and
515 extension of the wrist are shown as a function of the angle of rotation at the wrist
516 joint. Results presented for two types of connective tissue-tendon contact (Fig 13).
517 Wrist flexion and extension was performed at 30 degrees, finger flexion was
518 performed at 70 degrees. For convenience of comparison, the graph is shown up to
519 30 degrees. The median nerve stress changes further during finger flexion are close
520 to linear.

521

522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529

Fig 13. Relationship between maximum stresses in the median nerve and angle of rotation during finger flexion and wrist flexion/extension.

530

Table 4. Maximum von Mises stress on the tendons during hand movements

Movement type	Maximum Von Misses stress, Pa	Maximum Von Misses stress location
Finger flexion	4056	Pinky deep
Wrist flexion (Bonded)	15568	Middle deep
Wrist flexion (No separation)	8415	Middle deep
Wrist extension (Bonded)	16506	Middle deep
Wrist extension (No separation)	8530	Thumb

531

Modelling of median nerve conduction during finger

532

flexion and wrist flexion/extension

533

The shape change of the median nerve is accounted for by the function

534

$r(z) = A - Bz - Cz^2$. By describing the shape of the median nerve during finger flexion

535

and hand flexion and extension, coefficients A , B and C were obtained. The shape

536

of the hand in flexion and extension appeared to be the same. The coefficient C is

537

responsible for the non-linear curvature of the median nerve surface. In the finite

538

element analysis, the shape of the median nerve was changed only linearly, so that

539

coefficient $C = 0$ and coefficients A and B were 0.01 and 80 for finger flexion and

540

0.01 and 20 for hand flexion, respectively. The dependence of the membrane

541 potential of the deformed median nerve on time at its various areas is shown at (Fig
542 14).

543
544
545
546
547
548

Fig 14. Dependence of the membrane potential of the deformed median nerve on time at its various areas: (a) – finger flexion (b) – wrist flexion.

549 **Discussion**

550 The aim of this work was to create and apply a technique for early diagnosis
551 of carpal tunnel syndrome. This study combines MRI / FEM / motion capture
552 techniques to simulate carpal tunnel syndrome more precisely.

553 Stress was obtained in the median nerve and tendons during finger flexion
554 and during flexion and extension of the hand. The loads in the carpal tunnel caused
555 by finger flexion and extension were shown to be lower than those caused by hand
556 flexion and extension [50,78,79]. The tendons slide along the median nerve and
557 barely press on the nerve when fingers are flexed. However, when flexing and
558 extending the hand, the tendons bend along the carpal tunnel and press on the
559 median nerve [80]. Because the pinky tendons bend more than other tendons in the
560 carpal canal due to a reduction in the cross-sectional area of the carpal tunnel, they
561 experience higher stress during finger flexion than other tendons [81]. Fig 5 shows
562 that the maximum stress values in the tendons are predominantly in the middle of
563 the carpal tunnel. Low stress values in the tendons of the middle finger are related
564 to the median nerve being located near them and the load is transferred to the nerve.
565 The total tension of the deep tendons is 10% higher than the superficial tendons.
566 This is due to the deep tendons of the fingers are more stretched than the superficial
567 tendons [82,83].

568 Two contact types between tendons and connective tissue were considered
569 during hand flexion and extension. The first type was an inseparable connection of
570 tissues (bonded), the second type allowed the tissues to slide relative to each other,
571 but at the same time without detaching (no separation). In this case, the results
572 enable to analyze the difference in contact types and the difference in flexion and
573 extension of the hand. The difference between hand flexion and extension with the
574 bonded connection type was 5.74%. The difference between hand flexion and
575 extension with the no separation connection type was 3.1%. It can be said that the
576 tendon tension during hand flexion and extension is insufficient [84,85]. This can
577 also be noted in the results of the median nerve tension of (Fig 14). The graph shows
578 that the flexion of the hand and the extension differ slightly. However, the
579 difference between the contact types was 31.7% for hand extension and 59.9% for
580 hand flexion. This suggests a strong influence of the type of contact between the
581 tendon and the connective tissue [86,87]. In clinical practice, an increase in carpal
582 tunnel pressure may indicate an increase in tendon sliding friction coefficient along
583 the carpal tunnel [88,89]. This manifestation can be a consequence of a lack of
584 vitamins in the body or a metabolic disorder [90]. In almost all cases, the maximum
585 stress was in the index finger tendons. This may be due to the increased size of the
586 tendons caused by frequent movements of the patient's index finger. The results
587 obtained for stress in the tendons correspond to the values obtained in a recent paper
588 by Lv. Y. et. al [43].

589 The maximum von Mises stress in the median nerve during flexion/extension
590 of the hand are significantly higher than during finger flexion. When the fingers
591 were flexed at 30 degrees, the median nerve stress was 177 Pa; when the hand was

592 flexed and extended at 30 degrees with the Bonded contact type, it was 3364.3 and
593 3087 Pa, respectively; when the hand was flexed and extended at 30 degrees with
594 the no separation contact type, it was 1143 and 1174 Pa, respectively. The loads
595 acting on the median nerve were experimentally determined in the studies [91–93]
596 and coincide with the results obtained in this article. In this method, the median
597 nerve conduction depends on the electrophysiological parameters of the H-H model
598 and the conduction equation, as well as on the shape of the median nerve described
599 by the function $r(z)$. Thus, conduction during finger flexion is attenuated along the
600 length of the tendon slower than during flexion and extension of the hand [94,95].

601 This technique currently has no criteria for determining the presence or
602 absence of carpal tunnel syndrome, because the method was tested on a completely
603 healthy middle-aged woman who does not have carpal tunnel syndrome. Similar
604 studies on a group of patients are needed to create an accurate criterion. However,
605 this paper shows all the stages of the developed approach and shows the result of
606 its work on a person.

607 The finite element method has many varying parameters that can greatly
608 affect the obtained results. In that case, a comparison of results with experimental
609 data is necessary. Gelberman et. al., Szabo et. al., Werner et. al., Rojviroj et. al.,
610 Hamanaka et. al. and Goss et. al. in their studies [91,96–101] presented results of
611 experimental intracarpal pressure determination. Comparison of the results
612 obtained in this study with the relevant experimental results from these works are
613 presented in (Table 5). However, the experimental technique of pressure
614 determination in the carpal tunnel allows to determine the fluid pressure within the
615 carpal tunnel. The technique used in this study allows to determine the stress of all

616 carpal tunnel tissues. In this case, the tendon and median nerve stress can be very
 617 different. Tendon stress correlates better with experimental data than median nerve
 618 stress. However, in some cases, a good correlation with median nerve tension was
 619 also shown. In this work, a healthy patient without carpal tunnel syndrome was
 620 examined according to the approach. Experimental studies most often focus on
 621 patients with carpal tunnel syndrome. Mouzakis et. al. and Lv et. al. in their studies
 622 [43,46] determined the stress in the tissues of the carpal tunnel also by the finite
 623 element method. Mouzakis et. et al. determined the stress of all tissues of the carpal
 624 tunnel during mouse and keyboard operation. Lv et. al. determined the stress in the
 625 finger flexor tendons.

626 **Table 5. Comparison of results with other studies**

Study	Hand position	Intercarpal Stress, Pa	Relevant parameter in this study	
			Median nerve	Tendon
Gelberman et. al. 1981 [91]	Neutral	300	500	300 – 1600
	Writ flexion	4300	3400	250 – 4300
	Wrist extension	4000	3100	150 – 5500
Szabo et. al. 1989 [96]	Neutral	700	500	300 – 1600
	Writ flexion	2100	3400	250 – 4300
	Wrist extension	3600	3100	150 – 5500
Werner et. al. 1983 [97]	Wrist flexion	270 – 1300	3400	250 – 4300
	Wrist extension	670 – 6700	3100	150 – 5500
Rojviroj et. al. 1990 [98]	Neutral	453	500	300 – 1600
	Writ flexion	4350	3400	250 – 4300
	Wrist extension	3540	3100	150 – 5500
Hamanaka et. al. 1995 [99]	Neutral	2533 – 8000	500	300 – 1600
	Flexion	665 – 22264	3100 – 3400	16500
Goss et. al. 2009 [100]	Finger flexion	1200 – 7460	500	1600
Kanta et. al. 2009 [101]	Finger flexion	1300 – 6600	500	1600
	Writ flexion	4000 – 10600	3400	250 – 4300
	Wrist extension	5300 – 18600	3100	150 – 5500

Uchiyama et. al. 2010 [102]	Neutral	800 – 11400	500	300 – 1600
Mouzakis et. al. 2014 [46]	Mouse and keyboard	2266 and 3999	3100 – 3400	4300 – 5500
Study	Hand position	Tendon Stress, Pa	Relevant parameter in this study	
Lv et. al. 2022 [43]	Finger flexion	9000 – 23000	16500	

627 **Limitations**

628 The construction of patient-specific geometry is associated with subjective
 629 factors. The choice of the MRI method of operation and the processing of the
 630 resulting geometry may lead to minor changes in the calculation results.
 631 Nevertheless, in this paper, the construction of an ideal personal geometry may be
 632 a limitation.

633 When using the FEM, it is necessary to set the mechanical parameters of the
 634 studied tissues. In this paper, these parameters were taken from the experimental
 635 work of other authors. Although these parameters may be different for different
 636 people, and may also change during life. Determining these parameters in a live
 637 person is difficult at the moment. The choice of mechanical parameters of the
 638 simulated tissues is a limitation of the proposed technique. Nevertheless, these
 639 parameters can be determined experimentally in cadavers and the results obtained
 640 can be divided into groups. For example, the parameters that characterize men and
 641 women, and also divide these groups by age. This may be a further line of research.

642 The rotation axis location during hand flexion and extension in this study was
 643 made on the geometry of the carpal tunnel. Although, during hand flexion, the
 644 connected to each other by ligaments carpal bones move. Bone-ligamentous

645 complex determines the axis of rotation of the hand. In this case, the location of the
646 axis of rotation may change. Determining the rotation axis during flexion and
647 extension of the hand can also be a direction of further research.

648

649 **Conclusions**

650 This study presents a six–stage combined approach for the early diagnosis of
651 carpal tunnel syndrome. Each stage aims to improve understanding of the
652 mechanical and neurophysiological factors influencing the development of carpal
653 tunnel syndrome. The finite element method is used to determine the mechanical
654 stress in the carpal tunnel. MRI and CT scans of the patient's hand were used to
655 create the patient–specific geometry. Software has been created to capture hand
656 motion and is used to determine the personal movement of the patient's fingers and
657 hand. The obtained stress–strain state of the median nerve is used to model the
658 conductivity of the electrical impulse along the median nerve. The Hodgkin and
659 Huxley model and the extended cable equation describe nerve conduction in this
660 approach. The results showed that compression of the median nerve is highly
661 affected by the contact condition of the tendon and connective tissue. It has been
662 shown that hand flexion reduces conduction in the median nerve more than finger
663 flexion. The developed approach can be introduced into medical practice to
664 improve the quality for early diagnostics of the carpal tunnel syndrome.

665

666 Acknowledgements

667 This work was supported by Russian Science Foundation and Perm Region
668 (No. 22–21–20067, <https://rscf.ru/en/project/22–21–20067>).

669
670
671

672 References

- 673 1. Núñez-Cortés R, Cruz-Montecinos C, Torreblanca-Vargas S, Andersen LL,
674 Tapia C, Ortega-Palavecinos M, et al. Social determinants of health and physical
675 activity are related to pain intensity and mental health in patients with carpal
676 tunnel syndrome. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract.* 2023;63.
677 doi:10.1016/j.msksp.2023.102723
- 678 2. Bickel KD. Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2010;35: 147–152.
679 doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2009.11.003
- 680 3. Sousa RL, Moraes VY de, Zobiolo AF, Nakachima LR, Belloti JC. Diagnostic
681 criteria and outcome measures in randomized clinical trials on carpal tunnel
682 syndrome: a systematic review. *Sao Paulo Med J.* 2023;141: e2022086.
683 doi:10.1590/1516-3180.2022.0086.07022023
- 684 4. Nazari G, Shah N, MacDermid JC, Woodhouse L. The Impact of Sensory, Motor
685 and Pain Impairments on Patient- Reported and Performance Based Function in
686 Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *Open Orthop J.* 2017;11.
687 doi:10.2174/1874325001711011258
- 688 5. Wipperman J, Goerl K. Diagnosis and management of carpal tunnel syndrome. *J*
689 *Musculoskelet Med.* 2016;94: 47–60.
- 690 6. Nora DB, Becker J, Ehlers JA, Gomes I. What symptoms are truly caused by
691 median nerve compression in carpal tunnel syndrome? *Clin Neurophysiol.*
692 2005;116. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2004.08.013
- 693 7. Nunez F, Vranceanu AM, Ring D. Determinants of pain in patients with carpal
694 tunnel syndrome. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2010;468: 3328–3332.
695 doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1551-x
- 696 8. Zuniga AF, Keir PJ. Diagnostic and research techniques in carpal tunnel
697 syndrome. *Crit Rev Biomed Eng.* 2019;47.
698 doi:10.1615/CritRevBiomedEng.2020030827

- 699 9. Dabbagh A, Ziebart C, MacDermid JC. Accuracy of diagnostic clinical tests and
700 questionnaires in screening for carpal tunnel syndrome among workers- A
701 systematic review. *J Hand Ther.* 2021;34. doi:10.1016/j.jht.2021.04.003
- 702 10. Elseddik M, Mostafa RR, Elashry A, El-Rashidy N, El-Sappagh S, Elgamal S, et
703 al. Predicting CTS Diagnosis and Prognosis Based on Machine Learning
704 Techniques. *Diagnostics.* 2023;13: 1–18. doi:10.3390/diagnostics13030492
- 705 11. Drăghici NC, Leucuța DC, Ciobanu DM, Stan AD, Lupescu TD, Mureșanu DF.
706 Clinical Utility of Boston-CTS and Six-Item CTS Questionnaires in Carpal
707 Tunnel Syndrome Associated with Diabetic Polyneuropathy. *Diagnostics.*
708 2023;13. doi:10.3390/diagnostics13010004
- 709 12. Levine DW, Simmons BP, Koris MJ, Daltroy LH, Hohl GG, Fossel AH, et al. A
710 self-administered questionnaire for the assessment of severity of symptoms and
711 functional status in carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Bone Jt Surg.* 1993;75.
712 doi:10.2106/00004623-199311000-00002
- 713 13. Greenslade JR, Mehta RL, Belward P, Warwick DJ. Dash and boston
714 questionnaire assessment of carpal tunnel syndrome outcome: What is the
715 responsiveness of an outcome questionnaire? *J Hand Surg Am.* 2004;29 B.
716 doi:10.1016/j.jhsb.2003.10.010
- 717 14. Chung KC, Hamill JB, Walters MR, Hayward RA. The Michigan hand outcomes
718 questionnaire (MHQ): Assessment of responsiveness to clinical change. *Ann
719 Plast Surg.* 1999;42. doi:10.1097/00000637-199906000-00006
- 720 15. Pransky G, Feuerstein M, Himmelstein J, Katz JN, Vickers-Lahti M. Measuring
721 functional outcomes in work-related upper extremity disorders: Development and
722 validation of the upper extremity function scale. *J Occup Environ Med.* 1997;39.
723 doi:10.1097/00043764-199712000-00014
- 724 16. Leite JCDC, Jerosch-Herold C, Song F. A systematic review of the psychometric
725 properties of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire. *BMC Musculoskeletal
726 Disorders.* 2006. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-7-78
- 727 17. Franchignoni F, Vercelli S, Giordano A, Sartorio F, Bravini E, Ferriero G.
728 Minimal clinically important difference of the disabilities of the arm, shoulder
729 and hand outcome measure (DASH) and its shortened version (quickDASH). *J
730 Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2014;44. doi:10.2519/jospt.2014.4893
- 731 18. Crisp AH, Jones MG, Slater P. The Middlesex Hospital Questionnaire: A validity
732 study. *Br J Med Psychol.* 1978;51. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8341.1978.tb02472.x
- 733 19. Ito M, Bentley KH, Oe Y, Nakajima S, Fujisato H, Kato N, et al. Assessing
734 depression related severity and functional impairment(warning) the Overall
735 Depression Severity and Impairment Scale (ODSIS). *PLoS One.* 2015;10.
736 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122969
- 737 20. Sambandam SN, Priyanka P, Gul A, Ilango B. Critical analysis of outcome

- 738 measures used in the assessment of carpal tunnel syndrome. *Int Orthop.* 2008;32.
739 doi:10.1007/s00264-007-0344-7
- 740 21. Zhang D, Chruscielski CM, Blazar P, Earp BE. Accuracy of Provocative Tests
741 for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *J Hand Surg Glob Online.* 2020;2.
742 doi:10.1016/j.jhsg.2020.03.002
- 743 22. Dabbagh A, MacDermid JC, Yong J, Packham TL, Macedo LG, Ghodrati M.
744 Diagnostic accuracy of sensory and motor tests for the diagnosis of carpal tunnel
745 syndrome: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2021;22.
746 doi:10.1186/s12891-021-04202-y
- 747 23. Agarwal V, Singh R, Sachdev A, Wiclaff, Shekhar S, Goel D. A prospective
748 study of the long-term efficacy of local methyl prednisolone acetate injection in
749 the management of mild carpal tunnel syndrome. *Rheumatology.* 2005;44.
750 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keh571
- 751 24. Liu D, Ahmet A, Ward L, Krishnamoorthy P, Mandelcorn ED, Leigh R, et al. A
752 practical guide to the monitoring and management of the complications of
753 systemic corticosteroid therapy. *Allergy, Asthma and Clinical Immunology.*
754 2013. doi:10.1186/1710-1492-9-30
- 755 25. Osiak K, Mazurek A, Pękala P, Koziej M, Walocha JA, Pasternak A.
756 Electrodiagnostic studies in the surgical treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome—a
757 systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine.* 2021. doi:10.3390/jcm10122691
- 758 26. Jablecki CK, Andary MT, Floeter MK, Miller RG, Quartly CA, Vennix MJ, et al.
759 Practice parameter: Electrodiagnostic studies in carpal tunnel syndrome: Report
760 of the American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine, American Academy
761 of Neurology, and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and
762 Rehabilitation. *Neurology.* 2002;58. doi:10.1212/WNL.58.11.1589
- 763 27. Witt JC, Hentz JG, Stevens JC. Carpal tunnel syndrome with normal nerve
764 conduction studies. *Muscle and Nerve.* 2004;29. doi:10.1002/mus.20019
- 765 28. Park JS, Won HC, Oh JY, Kim DH, Hwang SC, Yoo J Il. Value of cross-
766 sectional area of median nerve by MRI in carpal tunnel syndrome. *Asian J Surg.*
767 2020;43. doi:10.1016/j.asjsur.2019.08.001
- 768 29. McDonagh C, Alexander M, Kane D. The role of ultrasound in the diagnosis and
769 management of carpal tunnel syndrome: A new paradigm. *Rheumatol (United*
770 *Kingdom).* 2014;54: 9–19. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keu275
- 771 30. Lam KHS, Wu Y-T, Reeves KD, Galluccio F, Allam AE-S, Peng PWH.
772 Ultrasound-Guided Interventions for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: A Systematic
773 Review and Meta-Analyses. *Diagnostics.* 2023;13.
774 doi:10.3390/diagnostics13061138
- 775 31. Atroshi I, Gummesson C, Johnsson R, Ornstein E, Ranstam J, Rosen I.
776 [Prevalence for clinically proved carpal tunnel syndrome is 4 percent].

- 777 Lakartidningen. 2000;97.
- 778 32. Musculoskeletal Ultrasonography in Rheumatic Diseases. Musculoskeletal
779 Ultrasonography in Rheumatic Diseases. 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-15723-8
- 780 33. Byra M, Hentzen E, Du J, Andre M, Chang EY, Shah S. Assessing the
781 Performance of Morphologic and Echogenic Features in Median Nerve
782 Ultrasound for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Diagnosis. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2020;39.
783 doi:10.1002/jum.15201
- 784 34. Kuo TT, Lee MR, Liao YY, Chen JP, Hsu YW, Yeh CK. Assessment of median
785 nerve mobility by ultrasound dynamic imaging for diagnosing carpal tunnel
786 syndrome. *PLoS One.* 2016;11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147051
- 787 35. Kanagasabai K. Ultrasound of Median Nerve in the Diagnosis of Carpal Tunnel
788 Syndrome-Correlation with Electrophysiological Studies. *Indian J Radiol*
789 *Imaging.* 2022;32. doi:10.1055/s-0041-1741088
- 790 36. Cebra JR, Lhner R. From medical images to anatomically accurate finite element
791 grids. *Int J Numer Methods Eng.* 2001;51. doi:10.1002/nme.205
- 792 37. Peshin SE, Karakulova YV, Nyashin YI, Nyashin MM. Carpal tunnel syndrome
793 in terms of biomechanics. Literature review. *Russ J Biomech.* 2022;26: 9–13.
794 Available: https://vestnik.pstu.ru/biomech/archives/?id=&folder_id=10825
- 795 38. Ko C, Brown TD. A fluid-immersed multi-body contact finite element
796 formulation for median nerve stress in the carpal tunnel. *Comput Methods*
797 *Biomech Biomed Engin.* 2007;10. doi:10.1080/10255840701430480
- 798 39. Guo X, Fan Y, Li ZM. Three dimensional finite element analysis on the
799 morphological change of the transverse carpal ligament. 2007 IEEE/ICME
800 International Conference on Complex Medical Engineering, CME 2007. 2007.
801 doi:10.1109/ICME.2007.4382071
- 802 40. Wei Y, Zou Z, Wei G, Ren L, Qian Z. Subject-Specific Finite Element Modelling
803 of the Human Hand Complex: Muscle-Driven Simulations and Experimental
804 Validation. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2020;48. doi:10.1007/s10439-019-02439-2
- 805 41. Perevoshchikova N, Moerman KM, Akhbari B, Bindra R, Maharaj JN, Lloyd
806 DG, et al. Finite element analysis of the performance of additively manufactured
807 scaffolds for scapholunate ligament reconstruction. *PLoS One.* 2021;16.
808 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0256528
- 809 42. Henderson J, Thoreson A, Yoshii Y, Zhao KD, Amadio PC, An KN. Finite
810 element model of subsynovial connective tissue deformation due to tendon
811 excursion in the human carpal tunnel. *J Biomech.* 2011;44.
812 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.09.001
- 813 43. Lv Y, Zheng Q, Chen X, Hou C, An M. Analysis on synergistic cocontraction of
814 extrinsic finger flexors and extensors during flexion movements: A finite element


- 815 digital human hand model. PLoS One. 2022;17: 1–15.
816 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0268137
- 817 44. Yao Y, Erdemir A, Li ZM. Finite element analysis for transverse carpal ligament
818 tensile strain and carpal arch area. J Biomech. 2018;73.
819 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.04.005
- 820 45. Chang CT, Chen YH, Lin CCK, Ju MS. Finite element modeling of hyper-
821 viscoelasticity of peripheral nerve ultrastructures. J Biomech. 2015;48.
822 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.04.004
- 823 46. Mouzakis DE, Rachiotis G, Zaoutsos S, Eleftheriou A, Malizos KN. Finite
824 element simulation of the mechanical impact of computer work on the carpal
825 tunnel syndrome. J Biomech. 2014;47. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.07.004
- 826 47. Javanmardian A, HaghPanahi M. 3 dimensional finite element analysis of the
827 human wrist joint without ligaments under compressive loads. 2010 17th Iranian
828 Conference of Biomedical Engineering, ICBME 2010 - Proceedings. 2010.
829 doi:10.1109/ICBME.2010.5704996
- 830 48. Oflaz H, Gunal I. Maximum loading of carpal bones during movements: a finite
831 element study. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2019;29. doi:10.1007/s00590-018-
832 2287-7
- 833 49. Yokota H, Yasui M, Hirai S, Hatayama N, Ohshima S, Nakano T, et al.
834 Evaluation of the pressure on the dorsal surface of the distal radius using a
835 cadaveric and computational model: clinical considerations in intersection
836 syndrome and Colles' fracture. Anat Sci Int. 2020;95. doi:10.1007/s12565-019-
837 00491-5
- 838 50. Peshin S, Karakulova Y, Kuchumov AG. Finite Element Modeling of the Fingers
839 and Wrist Flexion/Extension Effect on Median Nerve Compression. Appl Sci.
840 2023;13. doi:10.3390/app13021219
- 841 51. Osamura N, Zhao C, Zobitz ME, An KN, Amadio PC. Evaluation of the material
842 properties of the subsynovial connective tissue in carpal tunnel syndrome. Clin
843 Biomech. 2007;22. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2007.07.009
- 844 52. Matsuura Y, Thoreson AR, Zhao C, Amadio PC, An KN. Development of a
845 hyperelastic material model of subsynovial connective tissue using finite element
846 modeling. J Biomech. 2016;49. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.09.048
- 847 53. Main EK, Goetz JE, Baer TE, Klocke NF, Brown TD. Volar/dorsal compressive
848 mechanical behavior of the transverse carpal ligament. J Biomech. 2012;45.
849 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.01.048
- 850 54. Main EK, Goetz JE, James Rudert M, Goreham-Voss CM, Brown TD. Apparent
851 transverse compressive material properties of the digital flexor tendons and the
852 median nerve in the carpal tunnel. J Biomech. 2011;44.
853 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.12.005

- 854 55. Ogden R. Non-linear elastic deformations. *Eng Anal Bound Elem.* 1984;1.
855 doi:10.1016/0955-7997(84)90049-3
- 856 56. Lundborg G, Gelberman RH, Minteer-Convery M, Lee YF, Hargens AR. Median
857 nerve compression in the carpal tunnel—Functional response to experimentally
858 induced controlled pressure. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1982;7. doi:10.1016/S0363-
859 5023(82)80175-5
- 860 57. Rempel D, Dahlin L, Lundborg G. Pathophysiology of nerve compression
861 syndromes: Response of peripheral nerves to loading. *Journal of Bone and Joint*
862 *Surgery.* 1999. doi:10.2106/00004623-199911000-00013
- 863 58. Topp KS, Boyd BS. Structure and biomechanics of peripheral nerves: Nerve
864 responses to physical stresses and implications for physical therapist practice.
865 *Physical Therapy.* 2006. doi:10.1093/ptj/86.1.92
- 866 59. Mittal P, Shenoy S, Sandhu JS. Effect of different cuff widths on the motor nerve
867 conduction of the median nerve: An experimental study. *J Orthop Surg Res.*
868 2008;3. doi:10.1186/1749-799X-3-1
- 869 60. Hodgkin A, Huxley A. A quantitative description of membrane current and its
870 application to conductance and excitation. *J Physiol.* 1952;117: 500–44.
- 871 61. FitzHugh R. Impulses and Physiological States in Theoretical Models of Nerve
872 Membrane. *Biophys J.* 1961;1. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(61)86902-6
- 873 62. Plonsey R, Barr RC. Bioelectricity: A quantitative approach. *Bioelectricity: A*
874 *Quantitative Approach.* 2007. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-48865-3
- 875 63. Jérusalem A, García-Grajales JA, Merchán-Pérez A, Peña JM. A computational
876 model coupling mechanics and electrophysiology in spinal cord injury. *Biomech*
877 *Model Mechanobiol.* 2014;13. doi:10.1007/s10237-013-0543-7
- 878 64. Tekieh T, Shahzadi S, Rafii-Tabar H, Sasanpour P. Are deformed neurons
879 electrophysiologically altered? A simulation study. *Curr Appl Phys.* 2016;16:
880 1413–1417. doi:10.1016/j.cap.2016.07.012
- 881 65. Cavarretta F, Naldi G. Mathematical study of a nonlinear neuron model with
882 active dendrites. *AIMS Math.* 2019;4. doi:10.3934/math.2019.3.831
- 883 66. Tian J, Huang G, Lin M, Qiu J, Sha B, Lu TJ, et al. A mechano-electrical coupling
884 model of neurons under stretching. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater.* 2019;93.
885 doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.02.007
- 886 67. Wu YT, Gilpin K, Adnan A. Effects of Focal Axonal Swelling Level on the
887 Action Potential Signal Transmission. *J Comput Neurosci.* 2020;48.
888 doi:10.1007/s10827-020-00750-9
- 889 68. Nazari-Vanani R, Mohammadpour R, Asadian E, Rafii-Tabar H, Sasanpour P. A
890 computational modelling study of excitation of neuronal cells with triboelectric

- 891 nanogenerators. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12: 1–10. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-17050-0
- 892 69. Bazarevsky V, Zhang F. On-Device, Real-Time Hand Tracking with MediaPipe.
893 In: Google AI Blog. 2019.
- 894 70. Pistoia W, Van Rietbergen B, Lochmüller EM, Lill CA, Eckstein F, Rügsegger
895 P. Estimation of distal radius failure load with micro-finite element analysis
896 models based on three-dimensional peripheral quantitative computed tomography
897 images. *Bone.* 2002;30. doi:10.1016/S8756-3282(02)00736-6
- 898 71. Ma Z, Hu S, Tan JS, Myer C, Njus NM, Xia Z. In vitro and in vivo mechanical
899 properties of human ulnar and median nerves. *J Biomed Mater Res - Part A.*
900 2013;101 A. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.34573
- 901 72. Armstrong J, Chaffins DONB. Some Biomechanical Aspects of the carpal tunnel.
902 *Biomechanics.* 1979;12: 567–570.
- 903 73. Tan SR, Mathis LM, El-Gamal HM. Surgical Pearl: Safe splinting positions for
904 skin grafts on the hand and wrist. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2005;52.
905 doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2004.10.866
- 906 74. Taams KO, Ash GJ, Johannes S. Maintaining the safe position in a palmar splint:
907 The “double-T” plaster splint. *J Hand Surg (British Eur Vol.* 1996;21.
908 doi:10.1016/S0266-7681(05)80214-1
- 909 75. Clark DC. Common Acute Hand Infections. *American Family Physician.* 2003.
- 910 76. Lee KS, Jung MC. Flexion and extension angles of resting fingers and wrist. *Int J*
911 *Occup Saf Ergon.* 2014;20. doi:10.1080/10803548.2014.11077038
- 912 77. Lee JW, Rim K. Measurement of finger joint angles and maximum finger forces
913 during cylinder grip activity. *J Biomed Eng.* 1991;13: 152–162.
914 doi:10.1016/0141-5425(91)90062-C
- 915 78. Li ZM, Jordan DB. Carpal tunnel mechanics and its relevance to carpal tunnel
916 syndrome. *Hum Mov Sci.* 2023;87. doi:10.1016/j.humov.2022.103044
- 917 79. Aletto C, Aicale R, Oliva F, Maffulli N. Hand Flexor Tendon Repair: From
918 Biology to Surgery and Rehabilitation. *Hand Clin.* 2023;39: 215–225.
919 doi:10.1016/j.hcl.2022.12.001
- 920 80. Toge Y, Nishimura Y, Basford JR, Nogawa T, Yamanaka M, Nakamura T, et al.
921 Comparison of the effects of flexion and extension of the thumb and fingers on
922 the position and cross-sectional area of the median nerve. *PLoS One.* 2013;8.
923 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083565
- 924 81. Murthy PG, Bae DS. Injuries to the Wrist, Hand, and Fingers. 2018.
925 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-56188-2_10
- 926 82. Portenard AC, Pegot A, Lievain L, Michelin P, Angot É, Beccari R, et al. The


- 927 distal dorsal intermetacarpal ligament: characterization of an overlooked
928 structure—an anatomical study of 25 hands. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 2023;45: 673–
929 679. doi:10.1007/s00276-023-03139-2
- 930 83. Gardenier J, Garg R, Mudgal C. Upper extremity tendon transfers: A brief review
931 of history, common applications, and technical tips. *Indian Journal of Plastic*
932 *Surgery.* 2020. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1716456
- 933 84. Abdelhafiz MH, Andreasen Struijk LNS, Dosen S, Spaich EG. Biomimetic
934 Tendon-Based Mechanism for Finger Flexion and Extension in a Soft Hand
935 Exoskeleton: Design and Experimental Assessment. *Sensors.* 2023;23.
936 doi:10.3390/s23042272
- 937 85. Kim J, Rhee SH, Gong HS, Oh S, Baek GH. Biomechanical analyses of the
938 human flexor tendon adhesion models in the hand: A cadaveric study. *J Orthop*
939 *Res.* 2015;33. doi:10.1002/jor.22798
- 940 86. Mackey AL, Heinemeier KM, Koskinen SOA, Kjaer M. Dynamic adaptation of
941 tendon and muscle connective tissue to mechanical loading. *Connect Tissue Res.*
942 2008;49. doi:10.1080/03008200802151672
- 943 87. Turrina A, Martínez-González MA, Stecco C. The muscular force transmission
944 system: Role of the intramuscular connective tissue. *J Bodyw Mov Ther.*
945 2013;17. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2012.06.001
- 946 88. Ettema AM, Zhao C, Amadio PC, O’Byrne MM, An KN. Gliding characteristics
947 of flexor tendon and tenosynovium in carpal tunnel syndrome: A pilot study. *Clin*
948 *Anat.* 2007;20. doi:10.1002/ca.20379
- 949 89. Farias Zuniga A, Keir PJ. Thirty Minutes of Sub-diastolic Blood Flow Occlusion
950 Alters Carpal Tunnel Tissue Function and Mechanics. *Ultrasound Med Biol.*
951 2022;48. doi:10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2022.02.008
- 952 90. Waugh CM, Mousavizadeh R, Lee J, Screen HRC, Scott A. Mild
953 hypercholesterolemia impacts achilles sub-tendon mechanical properties in
954 young rats. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2023;24: 282. doi:10.1186/s12891-023-
955 06375-0
- 956 91. Bauman TD, Gelberman RH, Mubarak SJ, Garfin SR. The acute carpal tunnel
957 syndrome. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1981;156: 151–156. doi:10.1097/00003086-
958 198105000-00019
- 959 92. Lundborg G, Myers R, Powell H. Nerve compression injury and increased
960 endoneurial fluid pressure: A “miniature compartment syndrome”; *J*
961 *Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1983;46. doi:10.1136/jnnp.46.12.1119
- 962 93. Luchetti R, Schoenhuber R, Alfarano M, Deluca S, De Cicco G, Landi A. Carpal
963 tunnel syndrome: Correlations between pressure measurement and intraoperative
964 electrophysiological nerve study. *Muscle Nerve.* 1990;13.
965 doi:10.1002/mus.880131211

- 966 94. Min J, Choi T, Cha Y. Multiple Tendon-inspired Sensors for Hand Motion
967 Detection. *Smart Mater Struct.* 2023;32. doi:10.1088/1361-665X/acafb9
- 968 95. Kursá K, Lattanza L, Diao E, Rempel D. In vivo flexor tendon forces increase
969 with finger and wrist flexion during active finger flexion and extension. *J Orthop*
970 *Res.* 2006;24. doi:10.1002/jor.20110
- 971 96. Szabo RM, Chidgey LK. Stress carpal tunnel pressures in patients with carpal
972 tunnel syndrome and normal patients. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1989;14.
973 doi:10.1016/0363-5023(89)90178-0
- 974 97. Werner CO, Elmqvist D, Ohlin P. Pressure and nerve lesion in the carpal tunnel.
975 *Acta Orthop.* 1983;54. doi:10.3109/17453678308996576
- 976 98. Rojviroj S, Sirichativapee W, Kowsuwon W, Wongwiwattananon J,
977 Tamnanthong N, Jeeravipoolvarn P. Pressures in the carpal tunnel. A comparison
978 between patients with carpal tunnel syndrome and normal subjects. *J Bone Jt*
979 *Surg - Ser B.* 1990;72. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.72b3.2187880
- 980 99. Hamanaka I, Okutsu I, Shimizu K, Takatori Y, Ninomiya S. Evaluation of carpal
981 canal pressure in carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1995;20.
982 doi:10.1016/S0363-5023(05)80442-3
- 983 100. Goss BC, Agee JM. Dynamics of Intracarpal Tunnel Pressure in Patients With
984 Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2010;35.
985 doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2009.09.019
- 986 101. Kanta M, Ehler E, Kremláček J, Reháček S, Lastovická D, Adamkov J, et al. The
987 potential benefit of intracarpal pressure measurement in endoscopic carpal tunnel
988 syndrome surgery--an analysis of EMG findings and pressure values. *Acta*
989 *Medica (Hradec Kralove).* 2009;52. doi:10.14712/18059694.2016.106
- 990 102. Uchiyama S, Yasutomi T, Momose T, Nakagawa H, Kamimura M, Kato H.
991 Carpal tunnel pressure measurement during two-portal endoscopic carpal tunnel
992 release. *Clin Biomech.* 2010;25. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2010.06.019
- 993




medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Fig 1. A six-stage approach for the early diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome.




medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

a




b




medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

c



d



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

e

Fig 2. Patient-specific finite element model of carpal tunnel (a) – general view, (b) – top view, (c) – bot view and. (d) – Finite element model of a hand (carpal tunnel is hidden), (e) – The connection of the phalanges with the joint and the coordinate system of the joint.






Fig 3. Motion capture process

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).




a




b

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



c



d

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).








Fig 4. Change of angles in the three joints of the index finger over time obtained by motion capture: a-e series number from one to six.

Type: Total Deformation
Unit: mm
Time: 3




a

Type: Total Deformation
Unit: mm
Time: 15




b

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



c



d

Fig 5. Total deformation during finger flexion: (a) $t = 3s$, (b) $t=15s$, (c), $t= 25s$, (d) $t=35s$.






Fig 6. Tendons stress along tendon length (midline) during finger flexion: (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.20.23115016>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Type: Total Deformation

Unit: mm

Time: 1





medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23284511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

a

Type: Total Deformation

Unit: mm


Time: 15



b


Fig 7. Total deformation during wrist flexion: (a) $t = 1s$, (b) $t=15s$.

Type: Total Deformation
Unit: mm
Time: 1



a

Type: Total Deformation
Unit: mm
Time: 15



b

Fig 8. Total deformation during wrist extension: (a) $t = 1s$, (b) $t=15s$.




Fig 9. Stress in the tendons during wrist extension with bonded contact type along midline: (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons.

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





Fig 10. Stress in the tendons during wrist extension with no separation contact type along

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Fig 11. Stress in the tendons during wrist flexion with bonded contact type along midline: (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons




Fig 12. Stress in the tendons during wrist flexion with no separation contact type along midline (a) superficial tendons, (b) deep tendons.

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291771>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





Fig 13. Relationship between maximum stresses in the median nerve and angle of rotation during finger flexion and wrist flexion/extension.



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.16.23291511>; this version posted June 20, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Fig 14. Dependence of the membrane potential of the deformed median nerve on time at its various areas: (a) – finger flexion (b) – wrist flexion.