

1 **Exposure to Noise and Cardiovascular Disease in a Nationwide US Prospective Cohort**
2 **Study of Women**

3

4 **Authors:**

5 Charlotte Roscoe

6 Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA
7 USA

8 Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's
9 Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA USA

10 Division of Population Sciences, Dana Faber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA USA

11

12 Stephanie T. Grady

13 Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA
14 USA

15

16 Jaime E. Hart

17 Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's
18 Hospital and Harvard Medical School

19 Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
20 Boston, MA USA

21

22 Hari S. Iyer

23 Section of Cancer Epidemiology and Health Outcomes
24 Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ USA

25

26 JoAnn E. Manson

27 Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and
28 Harvard Medical School

29 Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
30 Boston, MA USA

31

32 Kathryn M. Rexrode

33 Division of Women's Health, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and
34 Harvard Medical School

35 Boston, MA USA

36

37 Eric B. Rimm

38 Departments of Nutrition and Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health,
39 Boston

40 Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's
41 Hospital and Harvard Medical School

42 Boston, MA USA

43

44 Francine Laden

45 Department of Environmental Health and Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public
46 Health

47 Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's
48 Hospital and Harvard Medical School
49 Boston, MA USA

50
51 Peter James, ScD
52 Division of Chronic Disease Research Across the Lifecourse (CoRAL)
53 Department of Population Medicine
54 Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute
55 Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
56 Boston, MA USA

57
58 **Corresponding Author:**
59 Charlotte Roscoe
60 Channing Division of Network Medicine,
61 181 Longwood Avenue,
62 Landmark Center, Floor 3,
63 Boston MA 02215
64 USA
65 (+1) 857-225-4177
66 croscoe@hsph.harvard.edu

67
68 **Running Title:** Noise and CVD in a nationwide cohort
69

70 **Acknowledgements**
71 We would like to thank the participants and staff of the Nurses' Health Study for their valuable
72 contributions. The authors assume full responsibility for analyses and interpretation of these data.
73 This work was supported by NIH grants UM1 CA186107, U01 HL145386, R01 HL034594, R01
74 HL088521, R01 ES028033, R01 ES026246, R01 HL150119, P30 ES000002, and
75 W81XWH2210030.

76
77 **Declaration of competing financial interests**
78 The authors declare no competing financial interests.
79

80 **Ethics**
81 The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Brigham and
82 Women's Hospital and the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health.
83

84 **Data sharing**
85 Access to Channing Division of Network Medicine Cohorts, which include the Nurses' Health
86 Study, requires the proposal and approval of the specific research project and is not sharable.

87 **Abstract**

88 **Background:** Long-term noise exposure is associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD),
89 including acute cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction and stroke. However,
90 longitudinal cohort studies of long-term noise and CVD are almost exclusively from Europe, and
91 few modelled nighttime and daytime noise separately. We aimed to examine the prospective
92 association of outdoor long-term nighttime and daytime noise from anthropogenic sources with
93 incident CVD using a US-based, nationwide cohort of women.

94
95 **Methods:** We linked L_{50} (median) nighttime and L_{50} daytime modelled anthropogenic noise
96 estimates from a US National Park Service model to geocoded residential addresses of 114,116
97 participants in the Nurses' Health Study. We used time-varying Cox proportional hazards models
98 to estimate risk of incident CVD, coronary heart disease (CHD), and stroke associated with long-
99 term average noise exposure, adjusted for potential individual- and area-level confounders and
100 CVD risk factors (1988-2018). We assessed effect modification by population density, region, air
101 pollution, vegetation cover, and neighborhood socioeconomic status, and explored mediation by
102 self-reported average nightly sleep duration.

103
104 **Results:** Over 2,544,035 person-years, there were 10,331 incident CVD events. In fully-adjusted
105 models, the hazard ratios for each interquartile range increase in L_{50} nighttime noise (3.67 dBA)
106 and L_{50} daytime noise (4.35 dBA), respectively, were 1.04 (95% CI 1.02, 1.06) and 1.04 (95% CI
107 1.02, 1.07). Similar associations were observed for CHD and stroke. Stratified analyses suggested
108 that associations of nighttime and daytime noise with CVD did not differ by prespecified effect
109 modifiers. We found no evidence that inadequate sleep (< 5 hours per night) mediated associations
110 of noise and CVD.

111
112 **Discussion:** Outdoor median nighttime and daytime noise at the residential address was associated
113 with a small increase in CVD risk in a cohort of adult female nurses.

114
115 **Abstract Word Count: 281**

116 **Article Word Count: 3,812**

117
118

119 **Introduction**

120 Noise, or unwanted sound exposure, is the second largest environmental cause of health problems,
121 after air pollution, and has been associated with multiple adverse outcomes, which include
122 annoyance, sleep disturbance, and poor concentration.¹⁻³ In addition, epidemiological studies have
123 found associations of long-term noise exposure with risk of metabolic and cardiovascular disease
124 (CVD).⁴

125
126 Exposure to noise has been linked to short-term changes in circulation, such as blood pressure,
127 heart rate, cardiac output, and vasoconstriction.⁵⁻⁷ These biological changes can occur not only at
128 high sound levels in occupational settings, but also during exposure to lower levels of
129 environmental noise in residential settings.⁸ Noise exposure activates the central nervous system
130 and triggers a host of changes in various subsystems in the human body identical to a typical stress
131 response.⁹ Reactions include activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the
132 sympathetic nervous system, which is triggered by limbic activity in the brain, and results in the
133 release of stress hormones (glucocorticoids and catecholamines); repeated release of stress
134 hormones associated with long-term noise exposure may manifest in inflammation,
135 atherosclerosis, insulin-resistance, and CVD.¹⁰⁻¹²

136
137 Prospective cohort studies conducted in Europe¹³⁻¹⁸ and Canada¹⁹ suggest that higher levels of
138 environmental noise are linked to increased risk of CHD and stroke, although findings are
139 inconsistent.²⁰⁻²³ In a 2018 WHO review, evidence linking long-term noise exposure with CHD
140 and stroke was graded as high- and moderate-quality, respectively²⁴; however, few studies have
141 been conducted in the US, and no study has assessed the prospective association of nighttime
142 anthropogenic noise and CVD in a nationwide US study. Epidemiologic studies indicate that
143 nighttime noise exposure may be more relevant for cardiovascular outcomes than daytime
144 exposure.²⁵ While many epidemiological studies have weighted noise models to assign a penalty
145 to nighttime noise (e.g., adding a 10 dB to noise between 10pm and 7am), few studies specifically
146 assessed nighttime noise exposure, which occurs when individuals are most likely to be home and
147 sleeping.

148
149 In the US, anthropogenic noise has been assessed ecologically,²⁶ however, there is a deficit of
150 individual-level epidemiological US-based studies on noise and chronic disease. Using data from
151 the nationwide, US-based Nurses' Health Study (NHS) from 1988-2018, we examined the
152 association of anthropogenic nighttime and daytime noise with CVD incidence. We also examined
153 whether the association of noise and CVD differed by population density, region, air pollution
154 level, greenness level, or neighborhood socioeconomic status (SES), and if associations were
155 mediated by sleep.

157 **Methods**

159 ***Population***

160 The Nurses' Health Study (NHS) is a prospective cohort study designed to assess risk factors for
161 chronic disease among women. In 1976, 121,701 female registered nurses aged 30-55 years, from
162 11 states (CA, CT, IN, IA, KY, MA, MI, MO, NY, NC, OH, PA, SC, and TX), returned an initial
163 health-related questionnaire and have since been followed with biennial questionnaires on
164 demographic and physical characteristics, lifestyle, and health status. Response rates at each

165 questionnaire cycle have consistently been $\geq 90\%$.^{27,28} The residential addresses have been
166 geocoded (i.e., assigned latitude and longitude) and updated at each move of address. NHS
167 participants currently reside in all states in the contiguous US and the District of Columbia (**Figure**
168 **1**). This analysis was conducted among all women who were alive and had no prior CVD in 1988
169 and had at least one residential address geocoded in the contiguous US for exposure assessment
170 between 1988 and 2018. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Brigham
171 and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA.

172

173 **Outcome**

174 On the baseline and all subsequent biennial follow-up questionnaires, participants were asked to
175 report all occurrences of clinician diagnosed CVD (coronary heart disease (CHD) or stroke) and
176 participants (or next-of-kin for fatal cases) provided consent to review medical records pertaining
177 to their diagnosis. Methods to confirm incident CVD in NHS have been published in detail
178 elsewhere.²⁹⁻³¹ In brief, incident CVD was determined as the first occurrence of either non-fatal
179 myocardial infarction (MI) or fatal CHD (ICD-9 code 410) or non-fatal or fatal stroke (ICD-9
180 codes 430-437). Cases of non-fatal CVD were confirmed through medical record review or
181 through interview or a letter confirming hospitalization for the non-fatal MI or stroke. Cases of
182 fatal CHD or fatal stroke were confirmed through hospital record review, autopsy, report of the
183 underlying cause on the death certificate, a history of CHD or stroke and CHD or stroke was the
184 most plausible cause of death, or supporting information provided by a family member. Stroke
185 sub-causes were classified according to the criteria of the National Survey of Stroke as due to
186 ischemia (embolic or thrombotic; ICD-9 codes 430-432), hemorrhage (subarachnoid hemorrhage
187 or intracerebral hemorrhage; ICD-9 codes 433-434 and 436), or other, unspecified/unknown cause.

188

189 **Exposure**

190 National Park Service researchers created a geospatial noise model to predict outdoor sound levels
191 for the contiguous US (**Figure 1**).^{32,33} The model used acoustic data from 1.5 million hours of
192 long-term measurements from 492 urban and rural monitoring sites located across the contiguous
193 US during 2000-2014. The geospatial noise model regressed monitored sound-levels with spatial
194 datasets of environmental factors such as topography, climate, hydrology, and anthropogenic
195 activity. Using a random forest approach (a tree-based machine learning algorithm), the ensemble
196 of spatial datasets that best predicted measured sound-levels were retained, and their relative
197 contribution to sound-levels at monitoring sites was used to estimate sound levels in locations
198 where monitoring stations were not present. The geospatial noise model was cross-validated using
199 a leave-one-out cross-validation process. Cross-validated residuals relative to those from the null
200 model indicate that root mean squared error ranged from 4.5-4.9 dB, median absolute deviation
201 ranged from 2.3-2.4 dB, and the percent of variance explained ranged from 80-84% across the
202 noise metrics that we considered. The resulting model enabled the mapping of sound levels at a
203 270 m x 270 m resolution across the contiguous US, over a 14-year period (long-term average).
204 Noise estimates were appended to each residential address throughout follow-up (updated each
205 time a participant moved address), based on the 270 m grid cell the address was contained within.
206 We carried backwards and forwards the temporally invariant noise estimates from 2000-2014 to
207 1988-2018 addresses, making the assumption that relative noise levels did not change substantially
208 over time.

209

210 Environmental sound levels vary diurnally and are summarized using a variety of statistics across
211 multiple time scales and frequency ranges. Given the posited mechanism of nighttime noise to
212 increase physiologic stress,³³ coupled with the increased likelihood that participants were
213 occupying their residence during nighttime hours, we used the anthropogenic nighttime (7pm-
214 7am) A-weighted L₅₀ sound pressure level metric as our primary noise exposure. The L₅₀ is an
215 exceedance metric that corresponds to the sound pressure level exceeded 50% of the time. A-
216 weighting is an adjustment in decibels (dBA) that reflects how the human ear perceives sound
217 across the frequency spectrum. While many noise measures are available from the National Park
218 Service model, we focused on anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime (7pm-7am) and, for completeness,
219 anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime (7am-7pm) sound pressure levels in dBA.
220

221 *Statistical Analysis*

222 Person-months of follow-up were accrued from the return date of the 1988 questionnaire until
223 either the participant became a case, died, or the end of follow-up (May 31, 2018). We fit time-
224 varying Cox proportional hazards regression models to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95%
225 confidence interval (95% CI) for developing CVD (CHD or stroke) associated with exposure to
226 noise. We used restricted cubic splines to determine the linearity of exposure-response associations
227 with a likelihood ratio test comparing the linear model to the model with linear and cubic spline
228 terms. There were no deviations from linearity observed, therefore we present continuous
229 exposure-response results, modeled per interquartile range (IQR). There were no violations of the
230 proportional hazards assumption, which we tested by including interaction terms of noise exposure
231 and age.
232

233 Models were stratified by age at follow-up and time period, and we examined the following
234 covariates, determined *a priori* as potential confounders because they are CVD risk factors and/or
235 may be correlated with noise (all variables are time-varying unless otherwise indicated): race
236 (white/non-white, proxy for unmeasured consequences of racism, time invariant), smoking
237 (current/past/never), pack-years smoked (continuous), family history of MI (yes/no), menopausal
238 status (premenopausal/postmenopausal/dubious or missing), postmenopausal hormone use
239 (premenopausal/never/current/former/missing), diet based on the Alternate Healthy Eating Index
240 estimated from food frequency questionnaires³⁴ (continuous), alcohol consumption (grams/day:
241 0/0.1-4.9/5.0-14.9/≥ 15/Missing), weight status (normal [body mass index (BMI) 18.5-
242 24.9]/overweight [BMI 25-29.9]/obese [BMI > 30]), and night shift work before 1989 (never/1-14
243 years of shift work/15-29 years of shift work/≥30 years of shift work, time invariant). To account
244 for individual socioeconomic status (SES), we included information on self-reported parental
245 occupation for the participant's mother (housewife/other, time invariant) and father (professional
246 or manager/other, time invariant), whether the participant had a registered nursing degree (yes/no,
247 time invariant), marital status (married/other), and husband's highest educational attainment (<
248 high school/high school graduate/>high school/missing or not married, time invariant). We
249 accounted for area-level SES by using a neighborhood SES index that we developed specifically
250 to differentiate neighborhood deprivation amongst NHS participants. We obtained the Census
251 tract-level variables for the temporally closest Census from the Neighborhood Change Database
252 (NCDB), which provides US Census data from 1970, 1980, 1990, 2000, and 2010 with normalized
253 boundaries over time.³⁵ To create the nSES score, we z-standardized and summed the following 9
254 variables: median household income, median home value, percent with a college degree, percent
255 non-Hispanic White, percent non-Hispanic Black, percent of foreign-born residents, percent of

256 families receiving interest or dividends, percent of occupied housing units, and percent
257 unemployed.³⁶ We classified Census tract-level population density as <1000 people per mile² or
258 ≥1000 people per mile² and assigned Census region of the US (Northeast/Midwest/West/South).
259 Fine particulate matter air pollution (PM_{2.5}) estimates were linked to participants' geocoded
260 addresses; the air pollution exposure model is detailed elsewhere.³⁷ Vegetation cover (greenness)
261 in a 270 m buffer around each participant's residential address was derived using Google Earth
262 Engine from 30 m x 30 m Landsat Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) data; the
263 exposure assessment method is detailed elsewhere.³⁸ Participant observations missing air pollution
264 were excluded from analyses. The missing indicator method was used to account for missing
265 categorical covariates.

266
267 To assess whether the association between noise exposure and CVD risk differed across
268 susceptible subpopulations, we examined prespecified effect modification by population density
269 (<1000 people per mile²/≥1000 people per mile²), region (Northeast/Midwest/West/South), air
270 pollution quintile, greenness quintile, or nSES score quintile. To evaluate whether the association
271 between continuous noise and CVD risk varied across levels of each potential modifier, we fit
272 models that included a multiplicative interaction term between noise and the effect modifier. We
273 reported stratum-specific HRs and 95% CIs and used likelihood ratio tests to determine statistical
274 significance of departure from the null of no effect modification across levels of the modifier. In
275 sensitivity analyses, we restricted analyses to 2000-2018 to assess the impact of carrying back the
276 time-invariant noise estimates to 1988 (prior to noise sampling). We also assessed the impact of
277 additionally adjusting for comorbidities (ever reported diabetes, elevated cholesterol, and/or high
278 blood pressure), statin use, aspirin use, and physical activity (< 3 MET hours/week/3 to <9 MET
279 hours/week/9 to <18 MET hours/week/≥ 18 MET hours/week) in fully adjusted models, as we
280 believe these variables potentially lie along the causal pathway between noise and CVD.

281
282 We evaluated whether the relationship between noise exposure and CVD was mediated by sleep
283 duration, which was self-reported by NHS participants on 1986, 2000, 2002, 2008, 2012, and 2014
284 questionnaires. We defined inadequate sleep duration as five hours or less per night, as in other
285 NHS studies.³⁹ We calculated the mediation proportion and its 95% CI by comparing the exposure
286 effect estimate from the full model that includes the exposure, a potential intermediate variable,
287 and any covariates with the exposure effect estimate obtained from a partial model that leaves out
288 the intermediate variable. The mediation proportion is the proportion of increased CVD risk
289 explained by higher exposure to noise that can be attributed to inadequate sleep. Confidence
290 intervals for the mediation proportion were calculated using the data duplication method.⁴⁰
291 Mediation analyses assumed that there was no unmeasured exposure–outcome confounding, no
292 unmeasured mediator–outcome confounding, no unmeasured exposure–mediator confounding,
293 and no mediator–outcome confounder affected by exposure. Although these assumptions are
294 unverifiable, we included major confounders in our mediation analyses, and therefore, we believe
295 our assumptions are reasonable. All analyses were conducted in SAS, version 9.4.

296 297 **Results**

298 We observed 10,331 total CVD cases over 2,544,035 person-years of follow-up among the
299 114,116 eligible cohort members from 1988-2018. In cause-specific analyses, we observed 5,321
300 CHD cases and 5,010 stroke cases, of which, 1,929 were ischemic and 553 were hemorrhagic
301 stroke, and 2,528 were unspecified. The mean age over follow-up was 67.6 years (**Table 1**).

302 Participants were predominantly white and lived in the Northeastern US. Those living in areas
303 with higher levels of nighttime noise lived in areas with higher population density, higher levels
304 of air pollution, and were less likely to be white. Nighttime and daytime noise were correlated
305 (0.73) and weakly correlated with other environmental variables (**Figure S1**).

306
307 The associations between nighttime noise and CVD, CHD, and stroke (total or subtype-specific)
308 risks are shown in **Table 2**. Each interquartile range increase in L₅₀ nighttime noise (3.67 dBA)
309 was associated with an HR of 1.04 (95% CI 1.02, 1.06) in fully adjusted models for CVD. Overall
310 nighttime noise and CVD results were driven primarily by CHD (HR 1.05 [95% CI 1.02, 1.09]),
311 as opposed to stroke (HR 1.02 [95% CI 0.99, 1.06]). Ischemic stroke and hemorrhagic stroke
312 findings were not statistically significant, although there was a suggestive positive association of
313 nighttime noise with hemorrhagic stroke (HR 1.08 [95% CI 0.98, 1.19]). Similar patterns were
314 observed in analyses of L₅₀ daytime noise.

315
316 Stratified analyses showed that associations of stroke with nighttime noise varied by population
317 density (**Figure S2; Table S1**). We observed positive associations for stroke among those living
318 in census tracts with <1000 people per mile² and null association of nighttime noise with stroke
319 among those living in census tracts with ≥1000 people per mile² (p for interaction = 0.03).
320 Nighttime and daytime noise associations with CVD outcomes did not significantly differ by all
321 other prespecified effect modifiers (**Figure S3; Table S2**).

322
323 In sensitivity analyses, HR for nighttime and daytime noise with CVD were slightly smaller in
324 prespecified analyses restricted to 2000-2018 follow-up (**Table S3; Table S4**). We found no
325 appreciable difference in associations when time-varying statin use or aspirin use was added to
326 fully adjusted models (**Table S5; Table S6**). The impact of adjustment for physical activity in
327 fully adjusted models, which may be considered a mediator of the association between noise
328 exposure and cardiometabolic diseases,⁴¹ resulted in no change in associations. Finally,
329 additionally adjusting models for population density resulted in slightly stronger associations of
330 nighttime (HR 1.06 [95% CI 1.03, 1.09]) and daytime (HR 1.07 [95% CI 1.03, 1.10]) noise with
331 CHD, however, we chose not to adjust for population density in our main fully-adjusted model as
332 it was a predictor used in NPS modelling of noise exposure.

333
334 Mediation analyses showed no evidence that the association of nighttime noise and CVD risk was
335 mediated by inadequate sleep duration, defined as self-reported five hours or less of sleep per night
336 (**Table S7**).

337 **Discussion**

338
339 In this nationwide prospective analysis of female nurses, we observed small positive associations
340 of nighttime and daytime noise exposure with total CVD (nighttime HR 1.04 [95% CI 1.02, 1.06];
341 daytime HR 1.04 [95% CI 1.02, 1.07]) that were robust to adjustment for important CVD risk
342 factors. CVD associations were slightly stronger for CHD than CVD (CHD and stroke, combined).
343 Associations of noise with CVD did not substantially differ by prespecified effect modifiers. We
344 did not observe evidence that the relationship between noise and CVD risk was mediated by self-
345 inadequate sleep duration.

346

347 Our findings were generally consistent with previous analyses of environmental noise exposure
348 and CVD. In 2018, the WHO stated that high-quality and moderate-quality evidence was available
349 to conclude that road traffic noise increased the risk of CHD and stroke, respectively.²⁴ Since the
350 2018 WHO publication, evidence on noise and stroke has shown mixed findings and a 2021
351 publication called for further assessment of noise and stroke subtypes, specifically ischemic stroke,
352 using richly-contextualized, individual-level longitudinal studies.⁴ We did not find evidence of an
353 association of noise and ischemic stroke in the NHS. In agreement with other cohort studies, our
354 study found increased risk of CHD (non-fatal MI or fatal CHD) associated with noise, but small
355 or insignificant associations for stroke or stroke-subtypes.^{22,23,42} Similarly, a UK Biobank cohort
356 study found suggestive positive associations of traffic noise with acute coronary events (including
357 MI), after adjustment for confounders (HR 1.03 [95% CI 0.99, 1.08]), but found no evidence for
358 stroke or stroke subtypes.²²

359
360 An analysis of 2009 Medicare data on adults older than 65 living around airports in the US linked
361 to Federal Aviation Administration aircraft noise contour data found that a 10 dBA higher aircraft
362 noise exposure at the zip code level was associated with higher cardiovascular hospital admission
363 rate (3.5% [95% CI 0.2%, 7.0%]), after controlling for age, sex, race, zip code level socioeconomic
364 status and demographics, zip code level air pollution (PM_{2.5} and ozone), and roadway density.⁴³
365 Additionally, a 2022 US study examined the relationship of airport noise at airport-adjacent
366 residential address and hypertension, an important risk factor for CHD and stroke, and found
367 suggestive evidence of a positive association.⁴⁴ Our study is the first to provide longitudinal,
368 individual-level evidence of associations of anthropogenic noise (from multiple sources including
369 traffic, aircraft, industry, etc.) with total CVD (CHD and stroke), CHD, and stroke.

370
371 There is no reason to believe that the biological pathways mediating effects of noise on human
372 health differ in US citizens compared to those from other countries, however, US-based evidence
373 is crucial. Although associations of CVD with anthropogenic noise in our study were small, a 4%
374 increased risk per interquartile range increase could be an important long term risk factor for CVD
375 because such a large percentage of the population is exposed. In addition, this 4% increase is likely
376 an underestimate due to potential non-differential exposure measurement error. More refined noise
377 exposure models with higher spatial resolution in urban areas (i.e., with greater exposure contrast
378 among addresses in loudest areas) may result in stronger associations with CVD outcomes. Indeed,
379 a Swiss cohort study showed that reducing exposure measurement error by modelling traffic noise
380 using high spatiotemporal resolution inputs compared to lower resolution inputs resulted in
381 stronger associations with MI.⁴⁵ US noise models could be improved by using sound propagation
382 models that incorporate reflection, diffraction, and absorption by sound barriers (e.g.
383 buildings).^{15,18,46} Development of a US source-specific (e.g., traffic) noise model with high spatial
384 resolution inputs will be important in future analyses for accurately estimating health effects of
385 noise and providing policy-relevant evidence.

386
387 This study has limitations. Firstly, the nationwide noise model approximated outdoor noise
388 exposure at a 270 m x 270 m resolution, which may not accurately capture noise variability over
389 fine spatial scales, particularly in urban environments that have high variability in emissions,
390 reflection, diffraction, and absorption.⁴⁵ While our predictions of outdoor noise contained
391 measurement error, the noise model performed well in cross-validation ($R^2 \geq 0.8$).³² In addition,
392 while information on changes in residential address were incorporated over follow-up, the noise

393 model surface did not vary over time. Due to using long-term average noise predictions (2000-
394 2014), we back-extrapolated the time-invariant exposure to biennially updated addresses between
395 1988-1999, which could result in exposure misclassification; however, in sensitivity analyses we
396 found similar associations with slightly larger confidence intervals when using 2000 as a baseline,
397 potentially arising from substantial participant exclusions due to prior CVD before 2000. Another
398 limitation is that we could not measure factors that might alter individual noise exposure, such as
399 housing quality or noise in the indoor environment. Also, our noise model did not differentiate
400 between specific sources of sound, including road traffic, airports, and industrial land uses. For
401 these reasons, there was likely unsystematic, nondifferential error in measurement of true exposure
402 to noise which may bias our observed associations towards the null.

403
404 With any study of neighborhood factors and health, there is a possibility that participants may self-
405 select into certain neighborhoods they deem healthier than others. Therefore, healthier individuals
406 may have chosen to move to neighborhoods with lower levels of noise, which may explain our
407 findings. However, adjustment for multiple CVD risk factors along with our prospective analysis
408 of incident CVD would decrease the potential for this type of bias and a study has demonstrated
409 residential self-selection is not a major concern in NHS.⁴⁷ We did not find evidence of effect
410 mediation by short sleep duration, however, humans react to environmental sounds while asleep^{3,48}
411 and, irrespective of sleep impairment and cognitive perception of noise,⁴⁹ nighttime noise has been
412 shown in experimental studies to induce both endothelial dysfunction and prothrombotic
413 inflammatory changes to the plasma proteome in healthy individuals.^{50,51} Therefore self-reported
414 short sleep duration may not adequately capture the breadth of potential effects of nighttime noise
415 on CVD risk. Because all participants were female nurses at enrollment, the generalizability of our
416 findings to males and lower SES, non-working groups is also potentially limited. Finally, although
417 we adjusted for numerous risk factors for CVD, we cannot rule out the possibility that other factors
418 that are correlated with noise might explain the observed association between noise exposure and
419 CVD risk.

420
421 This analysis has several strengths. Firstly, this nationwide, 30-year prospective analysis included
422 residence-level metrics of exposure to nighttime and daytime noise with medical record confirmed
423 or participant/medical professional corroborated CVD endpoints. Secondly, our analyses
424 incorporated time-varying individual-level information on important CVD risk factors, including
425 smoking, family history of MI, diet, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use, BMI, night
426 shift work, as well as area-level data on neighborhood SES, region, and residential greenness, and
427 air pollution. Because of the breadth of information available in the NHS cohort, we were able to
428 conduct stratified analyses, as well as to examine potential mediation of the noise and CVD
429 relationship by short sleep duration. Finally, this nationwide study covered a broad geographic
430 region with a considerable range of noise levels and included participants residing in high and low
431 population density areas of the US, which increases the generalizability of findings. A strength of
432 the noise model used in this study was the extensive noise monitoring in rural areas, near national
433 parks,⁵² which allowed for well-validated prediction of noise in rural locations and, in turn, a
434 nationwide analysis that included predictions of noise at low levels. This contrasts the noise models
435 used in European epidemiological analyses, which assign a standard minimum noise level (e.g.
436 20dBA) due to limited monitoring and/or traffic data availability in rural areas.^{15,46}
437

438 This prospective study, conducted over 30 years of follow-up with objective measures of
439 anthropogenic nighttime and daytime noise across the entire US, provides evidence that nighttime
440 noise was modestly associated with CVD incidence and nighttime and daytime noise was
441 associated with incident CHD, after accounting for individual and area-level risk factors for CVD.

Tables and Figures




Figure 1. Location of NHS Addresses from 1988-2018 (above) and Map of Anthropogenic Nighttime L₅₀ (Median) Sound (dBA) in 2000-2014 (below).

Table 1. Age-Adjusted Nurses' Health Study Participant Characteristics by Quintiles of Nighttime Anthropogenic L₅₀ dBA from 1988-2018 (n = 114,116; averaged over 2,544,035 person-years).

Characteristic	Total	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 1	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 2	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 3	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 4	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 5
	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %
L ₅₀ dBA anthropogenic nighttime	43.0 (3.5)	37.9 (3.5)	41.9 (0.6)	43.3 (0.4)	44.7 (0.5)	47.1 (1.3)
L ₅₀ dBA anthropogenic daytime	46.1 (4.2)	40.8 (4.7)	45.2 (2.4)	47.1 (2.1)	48.2 (2.1)	49.3 (2.5)
Age (years)*	67.6 (10.6)	67.0 (10.7)	67.3 (10.6)	67.5 (10.6)	67.9 (10.5)	68.1 (10.5)
White (%)	94	96	96	94	93	90
Alternative Healthy Eating Index	51.6 (10.2)	51.6 (10.2)	51.3 (10.1)	51.6 (10.2)	52.0 (10.2)	51.8 (10.1)
Alcohol categories (%)						
0 grams/day	29	30	29	28	27	29
0.1-4.9 grams/day	19	19	19	19	19	18
5.0-14.9 grams/day	12	12	12	13	12	11
≥ 15 grams/day	8	8	8	8	8	7
Missing	32	30	31	32	33	35
Body Mass Index categories (%)						
Normal/Underweight	41	41	41	42	42	39
Overweight	29	30	30	29	28	29
Obese	19	19	19	18	18	19
Missing	11	10	10	11	12	13
Smoking Status (%)						
Never smoker	45	45	45	44	45	46
Past smoker	43	43	43	43	43	41
Current smoker	10	9	10	10	9	10
Missing	3	2	2	2	3	3
Pack years of smoking	11.7 (18.6)	11.7 (18.5)	11.8 (18.5)	12.1 (18.9)	11.6 (18.7)	11.3 (18.6)
Have RN degree (%)	74	76	75	74	73	72
Married (%)	63	66	65	64	62	60
Husband's Highest Education (%)						
< high school	4	4	4	3	3	4
high school grad	26	29	28	25	23	25
> high school education	37	35	36	38	39	35
Missing or not married	34	31	32	33	35	37
Housewife mother (%)	64	63	64	64	64	64
Professional or manager father, %	26	25	25	26	27	25
Family History of MI (%)	26	26	27	26	26	25
Menopausal status (%)						

Premenopausal or missing	44	46	44	44	43	43
Postmenopausal	56	54	56	56	57	57
Postmenopausal hormone use (%)						
Premenopausal	8	8	8	8	8	8
Never	21	22	21	21	20	19
Current	22	21	21	22	23	23
Former	33	34	34	34	33	32
Missing	16	15	16	16	17	18
Night Shift Work						
Never (%)	31	32	30	31	30	30
1-14 years shift work (%)	39	39	40	39	39	37
15-29 years shift work (%)	4	4	4	4	4	4
30+ years shift work (%)	1	1	1	1	1	1
Missing shift work (%)	25	24	25	25	26	28
Sleep <5 hours per night (%)	6	6	6	6	6	7
Cancer during follow-up (%)	7	7	7	7	8	7
Population density ≥ 1000 per mile ² (%)	65	39	57	70	79	81
Region (%)						
Northeast	45	58	55	46	37	31
Midwest	16	11	18	17	14	20
South	17	13	13	18	21	18
West	13	9	6	10	18	20
12-month average PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	12.6 (4.6)	11.0 (4.1)	12.3 (4.3)	13.0 (4.5)	13.2 (4.7)	13.7 (5.0)
12-month average PM _{2.5} Quintile (%)						
Quintile 1	20	35	19	15	16	15
Quintile 2	20	28	25	18	15	14
Quintile 3	20	18	23	22	20	18
Quintile 4	20	12	19	23	25	23
Quintile 5	20	7	15	22	25	31
NDVI (in 270m distance buffer)	0.36 (0.17)	0.39 (0.18)	0.38 (0.17)	0.37 (0.16)	0.35 (0.15)	0.33 (0.15)
NDVI Quintile (%)						
Quintile 1	18	16	15	16	19	26
Quintile 2	19	16	17	19	20	21
Quintile 3	19	17	19	21	20	19
Quintile 4	19	19	21	21	19	16
Quintile 5	19	27	22	19	16	13
Neighborhood SES (time-varying) Quintile (%)						
Quintile 1	20	31	24	17	14	16
Quintile 2	21	20	23	20	18	22
Quintile 3	23	21	22	23	22	24

Quintile 4	13	11	12	13	15	15
Quintile 5	23	17	19	26	31	22

* Value is not age-adjusted

Table 2. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime and anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound pressure (dBA) and cardiovascular disease incidence in the Nurses' Health Study from 1988 to 2018 (n = 114,116; person-years = 2,544,035). Total CVD (CHD or stroke), CHD, stroke, and stroke subtypes (ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke) are shown.

	L ₅₀ nighttime noise		L ₅₀ daytime noise	
	Age and Calendar Year Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Fully Adjusted* HR (95% CI)	Age and Calendar Year Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Fully Adjusted* HR (95% CI)
CVD (n = 10,331)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)
CHD (n = 5,321)	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)
Stroke (n = 5,010)	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
<i>Ischemic stroke (n = 1,929)</i>	0.96 (0.92, 1.01)	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)	0.98 (0.93, 1.02)	1.04 (0.99, 1.09)
<i>Hemorrhagic stroke (n = 553)</i>	1.07 (0.98, 1.18)	1.08 (0.98, 1.19)	1.08 (0.99, 1.18)	1.10 (0.99, 1.21)

* Hazard ratios are adjusted for age and calendar year, race, smoking status, pack-years smoked, family history of MI, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use, diet, alcohol consumption, BMI, night shift work, parental occupation, educational attainment (RN degree), marital status, husband's highest education, nSES score quintile, region, and air pollution (PM_{2.5}). Note: IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime sound: 3.67 dBA; IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound: 4.35 dBA.

References

1. Babisch W, Pershagen G, Selander J, et al. Noise annoyance — A modifier of the association between noise level and cardiovascular health? *Sci Total Environ*. 2013;452-453:50-57. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.034
2. Basner M, Babisch W, Davis A, et al. Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. *The Lancet*. 2014;383(9925):1325-1332. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61613-X
3. van Kamp I, Simon S, Notley H, Baliatsas C, van Kempen E. Evidence Relating to Environmental Noise Exposure and Annoyance, Sleep Disturbance, Cardio-Vascular and Metabolic Health Outcomes in the Context of IGCB (N): A Scoping Review of New Evidence. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(9). doi:10.3390/ijerph17093016
4. Münzel T, Sørensen M, Daiber A. Transportation noise pollution and cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2021;18(9):619-636. doi:10.1038/s41569-021-00532-5
5. Babisch W. Transportation noise and cardiovascular risk: Updated Review and synthesis of epidemiological studies indicate that the evidence has increased. *Noise Health*. 2006;8(30):1-29.
6. Babisch W. Stress hormones in the research on cardiovascular effects of noise. *Noise Health*. 2003;5(18):1.
7. Biel R, Danieli C, Shekarrizfard M, et al. Acute cardiovascular health effects in a panel study of personal exposure to traffic-related air pollutants and noise in Toronto, Canada. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):16703. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-73412-6
8. Münzel T, Gori T, Babisch W, Basner M. Cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35(13):829-836. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehu030
9. Lercher P. Environmental noise and health: An integrated research perspective. *Environ Int*. 1996;22(1):117-129.
10. Eriksson C, Pershagen G, Nilsson M. *Biological Mechanisms Related to Cardiovascular and Metabolic Effects by Environmental Noise*. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe; 2018. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/346548>
11. Hennig F, Moebus S, Reinsch N, et al. Investigation of air pollution and noise on progression of thoracic aortic calcification: results of the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2020;27(9):965-974. doi:10.1177/2047487319854818
12. Osborne MT, Radfar A, Hassan MZO, et al. A neurobiological mechanism linking transportation noise to cardiovascular disease in humans. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41(6):772-782. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehz820
13. Babisch W, Ising H, Gallacher JEJ, Sweetnam PM, Elwood PC. Traffic Noise and Cardiovascular Risk: The Caerphilly and Speedwell Studies, Third Phase-10-Year Follow Up. *Arch Environ Health Int J*. 1999;54(3):210-216. doi:10.1080/00039899909602261

14. Héritier H, Vienneau D, Foraster M, et al. Diurnal variability of transportation noise exposure and cardiovascular mortality: A nationwide cohort study from Switzerland. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2018;221(3):556-563. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.02.005
15. Roswall N, Poulsen AH, Thacher JD, et al. Nighttime road traffic noise exposure at the least and most exposed façades and sleep medication prescription redemption—a Danish cohort study. *Sleep*. 2020;43(8). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsaa029
16. Sørensen M, Andersen ZJ, Nordsborg RB, et al. Road Traffic Noise and Incident Myocardial Infarction: A Prospective Cohort Study. *PLOS ONE*. 2012;7(6):e39283. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039283
17. Sørensen M, Hvidberg M, Andersen ZJ, et al. Road traffic noise and stroke: a prospective cohort study. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32(6):737-744. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehq466
18. Pyko A, Roswall N, Ögren M, et al. Long-Term Exposure to Transportation Noise and Ischemic Heart Disease: A Pooled Analysis of Nine Scandinavian Cohorts. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2023;131(1):017003. doi:10.1289/EHP10745
19. Gan WQ, Davies HW, Koehoorn M, Brauer M. Association of Long-term Exposure to Community Noise and Traffic-related Air Pollution With Coronary Heart Disease Mortality. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2012;175(9):898-906. doi:10.1093/aje/kwr424
20. Beelen R, Hoek G, Houthuijs D, et al. The joint association of air pollution and noise from road traffic with cardiovascular mortality in a cohort study. *Occup Environ Med*. 2009;66(4):243. doi:10.1136/oem.2008.042358
21. Bodin T, Björk J, Mattisson K, et al. Road traffic noise, air pollution and myocardial infarction: a prospective cohort study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2016;89(5):793-802. doi:10.1007/s00420-016-1115-9
22. Cai Y, Hodgson S, Blangiardo M, et al. Road traffic noise, air pollution and incident cardiovascular disease: A joint analysis of the HUNT, EPIC-Oxford and UK Biobank cohorts. *Environ Int*. 2018;114:191-201. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2018.02.048
23. Pyko A, Andersson N, Eriksson C, et al. Long-term transportation noise exposure and incidence of ischaemic heart disease and stroke: a cohort study. *Occup Environ Med*. 2019;76(4):201. doi:10.1136/oemed-2018-105333
24. Van Kempen E, Casas M, Pershagen G, Foraster M. WHO Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region: A Systematic Review on Environmental Noise and Cardiovascular and Metabolic Effects: A Summary. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(2). doi:10.3390/ijerph15020379
25. Münzel T, Kröller-Schön S, Oelze M, et al. Adverse Cardiovascular Effects of Traffic Noise with a Focus on Nighttime Noise and the New WHO Noise Guidelines. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2020;41(1):309-328. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-081519-062400
26. Casey JA, Morello-Frosch R, Mennitt DJ, Frstrup K, Ogburn EL, James P. Race/Ethnicity, Socioeconomic Status, Residential Segregation, and Spatial Variation in Noise Exposure in the Contiguous United States. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2017;125(7):077017. doi:10.1289/EHP898

27. Bao Y, Bertoa ML, Lenart EB, et al. Origin, Methods, and Evolution of the Three Nurses' Health Studies. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106(9):1573-1581. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2016.303338
28. Kim CS, Grady ST, Hart JE, et al. Long-term aircraft noise exposure and risk of hypertension in the Nurses' Health Studies. *Environ Res*. Published online October 7, 2021:112195. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112195
29. Elliott Elise G., Laden Francine, James Peter, Rimm Eric B., Rexrode Kathryn M., Hart Jaime E. Interaction between Long-Term Exposure to Fine Particulate Matter and Physical Activity, and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease and Overall Mortality in U.S. Women. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2020;128(12):127012. doi:10.1289/EHP7402
30. Hart JE, Puett RC, Rexrode KM, Albert CM, Laden F. Effect Modification of Long-Term Air Pollution Exposures and the Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Disease in US Women. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015;4(12):e002301. doi:10.1161/JAHA.115.002301
31. Shan Z, Li Y, Baden MY, et al. Association Between Healthy Eating Patterns and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180(8):1090-1100. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2176
32. Mennitt D, Sherrill K, Fristrup K. A geospatial model of ambient sound pressure levels in the contiguous United States. *J Acoust Soc Am*. 2014;135(5):2746-2764. doi:10.1121/1.4870481
33. Mennitt DJ, Fristrup KM. Influence factors and spatiotemporal patterns of environmental sound levels in the contiguous United States. *Noise Control Eng J*. 2016;64(3):342-353. doi:10.3397/1/376384
34. Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, et al. Alternative Dietary Indices Both Strongly Predict Risk of Chronic Disease. *J Nutr*. 2012;142(6):1009-1018. doi:10.3945/jn.111.157222
35. Geolytics. Neighborhood Change Database—Normalized Data. Neighborhood Change Database. Published 2021. <https://geolytics.com/products/normalized-data/neighborhood-change-database>
36. DeVille NV, Iyer HS, Holland I, et al. Neighborhood socioeconomic status and mortality in the nurses' health study (NHS) and the nurses' health study II (NHSII). *Environ Epidemiol*. 2023;7(1). https://journals.lww.com/environepidem/Fulltext/2023/02000/Neighborhood_socioeconomic_status_and_mortality_in.3.aspx
37. Yanosky JD, Paciorek CJ, Laden F, et al. Spatio-temporal modeling of particulate air pollution in the conterminous United States using geographic and meteorological predictors. *Environ Health*. 2014;13(1):63. doi:10.1186/1476-069X-13-63
38. Iyer HS, Hart JE, James P, et al. Impact of neighborhood socioeconomic status, income segregation, and greenness on blood biomarkers of inflammation. *Environ Int*. 2022;162:107164. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107164
39. Ley SH, Ardisson Korat AV, Sun Q, et al. Contribution of the Nurses' Health Studies to Uncovering Risk Factors for Type 2 Diabetes: Diet, Lifestyle, Biomarkers, and Genetics. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106(9):1624-1630. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2016.303314

40. Lin DY, Fleming TR, de Gruttola V. Estimating the proportion of treatment effect explained by a surrogate marker. *Stat Med.* 1997;16(13):1515-1527. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19970715)16:13<1515::AID-SIM572>3.0.CO;2-1
41. Foraster M, Eze IC, Vienneau D, et al. Long-term transportation noise annoyance is associated with subsequent lower levels of physical activity. *Environ Int.* 2016;91:341-349. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.03.011
42. Héritier H, Vienneau D, Foraster M, et al. Transportation noise exposure and cardiovascular mortality: a nationwide cohort study from Switzerland. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2017;32(4):307-315. doi:10.1007/s10654-017-0234-2
43. Correia AW, Peters JL, Levy JI, Melly S, Dominici F. Residential exposure to aircraft noise and hospital admissions for cardiovascular diseases: multi-airport retrospective study. *BMJ.* 2013;347:f5561.
44. Kim CS, Grady ST, Hart JE, et al. Long-term aircraft noise exposure and risk of hypertension in the Nurses' Health Studies. *Environ Res.* Published online October 7, 2021:112195. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112195
45. Vienneau D, Héritier H, Foraster M, et al. Façades, floors and maps – Influence of exposure measurement error on the association between transportation noise and myocardial infarction. *Environ Int.* 2019;123:399-406. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2018.12.015
46. Morley DW, de Hoogh K, Fecht D, et al. International scale implementation of the CNOSSOS-EU road traffic noise prediction model for epidemiological studies. *Environ Pollut.* 2015;206:332-341. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.031
47. James P, Hart JE, Arcaya MC, Feskanich D, Laden F, Subramanian SV. Neighborhood Self-Selection: The Role of Pre-Move Health Factors on the Built and Socioeconomic Environment. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2015;12(10):12489-12504. doi:10.3390/ijerph121012489
48. Oswald IAN, Taylor AM, Treisman M. Discriminative responses to stimulation during human sleep. *Brain J Neurol.* Published online 1960.
49. Thiesse L, Rudzik F, Kraemer JF, et al. Transportation noise impairs cardiovascular function without altering sleep: The importance of autonomic arousals. *Environ Res.* 2020;182:109086. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2019.109086
50. Herzog J, Schmidt FP, Hahad O, et al. Acute exposure to nocturnal train noise induces endothelial dysfunction and pro-thromboinflammatory changes of the plasma proteome in healthy subjects. *Basic Res Cardiol.* 2019;114(6):46. doi:10.1007/s00395-019-0753-y
51. Schmidt FP, Basner M, Kröger G, et al. Effect of nighttime aircraft noise exposure on endothelial function and stress hormone release in healthy adults. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34(45):3508-3514. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/eh269
52. Buxton RT, McKenna MF, Mennitt D, et al. Noise pollution is pervasive in US protected areas. *Science.* 2017;356(6337):531-533.

Supplementary material

This supplement provides results from: correlation (Figure S1); stratified analyses that correspond to Figure S2 and S3 (Tables S1 and S2); time-restricted Table 1 and Cox PH analyses with baseline in 2000 (Tables S3 and S4, respectively); and additional Table 1 variables for sensitivity analyses and Cox PH sensitivity analyses (Tables S5 and S6); mediation analyses (Table S7).

Figure S1. Spearman's rank correlation plot of nighttime anthropogenic L_{50} (median) sound (dBA), daytime anthropogenic L_{50} (median) sound (dBA), air pollution ($PM_{2.5}$), greenness (NDVI), population density (Census population per square mile), and neighborhood socioeconomic status (z-score).

Figure S2. Stratified Analyses of Anthropogenic Nighttime L_{50} (Median) Sound (dBA) for CVD, CHD, and Stroke incidence in the Nurses' Health Study (n = 114,116; 1988-2018).

Figure S3. Stratified Analyses of Anthropogenic Daytime L_{50} (Median) Sound (dBA) for CVD, CHD, and Stroke incidence in the Nurses' Health Study (n = 114,116; 1988-2018).

Table S1. Nurses' Health Study Person-time, CVD, CHD, stroke cases and fully adjusted HR and 95% confidence intervals for nighttime anthropogenic L_{50} (median) sound (dBA) from 1988-2018 (n = 114,116) by stratifying variables (population density, region, air pollution, greenness, and neighborhood socioeconomic status).

Table S2. Nurses' Health Study Person-time, CVD, CHD, stroke cases and fully adjusted HR and 95% confidence intervals for daytime anthropogenic L_{50} (median) sound (dBA) from 1988-2018 (n = 114,116) by stratifying variables (population density, region, air pollution, greenness, and neighborhood socioeconomic status).

Table S3. Age-Adjusted Nurses' Health Study Participant Characteristics by Quintiles of Nighttime Anthropogenic L_{50} dBA from 2000-2018 (n = 95,225).

Table S4. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for anthropogenic L_{50} nighttime and anthropogenic L_{50} daytime sound pressure (dBA) and cardiovascular disease incidence in the Nurses' Health Study (n = 95,225; 2000-2018).

Table S5. Additional (for sensitivity analysis) Age-Adjusted Nurses' Health Study Participant Characteristics by Quintiles of Nighttime Anthropogenic L_{50} dBA from 1988-2018 (n = 114,116).

Table S6. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for anthropogenic L_{50} nighttime and anthropogenic L_{50} daytime sound pressure (dBA) and cardiovascular disease incidence in the Nurses' Health Study (n = 114,116; 1988-2018) with additional adjustment for comorbidities at baseline, statin use, aspirin use, physical activity, and population density.

Table S7. Estimated proportion of association of nighttime noise and CVD in the Nurses' Health Study explained by short self-reported sleep duration.





Figure S1. Spearman's rank correlation plot of nighttime anthropogenic L₅₀ (median) sound (dBA), daytime anthropogenic L₅₀ (median) sound (dBA), air pollution (PM_{2.5}), greenness (NDVI), population density (Census population per square mile), and neighborhood socioeconomic status (z-score). Correlation coefficients shown inside ellipses.






Figure 3. Stratified Analyses of Anthropogenic Daytime L₅₀ (Median) Sound (dBA) for CVD, CHD, and Stroke incidence in the Nurses' Health Study (n = 114,116; 1988-2018).

^a Hazard ratios are adjusted for age and calendar year, race, smoking status, pack-years smoked, family history of MI, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use, diet, alcohol consumption, BMI, night shift work, parental occupation, educational attainment (RN degree), marital status, husband's highest education, neighborhood SES score, region, and air pollution (PM_{2.5}). Stratifying variables are not adjusted in respective analyses. Note: IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound: 4.35 dBA.

Table S1. Nurses' Health Study Person-time, CVD, MI, stroke cases and fully adjusted HR and 95% confidence intervals for nighttime anthropogenic L₅₀ (median) sound (dBA) from 1988-2018 (n = 114,116) by prespecified stratifying variables.

	Person-time	CVD cases	CHD cases	Stroke cases	CVD Fully Adjusted ^a HR (95% CI)	CHD Fully Adjusted ^a HR (95% CI)	Stroke Fully Adjusted ^a HR (95% CI)
Total (unstratified)	2,544,035	10,331	5,321	5,010	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)
Population density							
≥1000 people per mile ²	1,652,688	6,828	3,548	3,280	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	1.06 (1.02, 1.11)	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)
<1000 people per mile ²	891,348	3,503	1,773	1,730	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)
<i>p-for-interaction</i>					0.17	0.54	0.03
Region							
Northeast	1,157,696	4,457	2,320	2,137	1.04 (1.01, 1.08)	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)	1.03 (0.98, 1.08)
Midwest	405,844	1,582	815	767	1.05 (1.00, 1.12)	1.08 (0.99, 1.17)	1.03 (0.95, 1.12)
South	421,149	1,692	831	861	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)	0.98 (0.92, 1.06)	1.02 (0.95, 1.10)
West	319,628	1,345	607	738	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)	1.09 (1.01, 1.18)	1.03 (0.97, 1.10)
<i>p-for-interaction</i>					0.25	0.16	0.48
Air pollution PM _{2.5}							
Quintile 1	508,998	2,066	1,082	984	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	1.03 (0.98, 1.08)	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)
Quintile 2	510,635	2,027	1,009	1,018	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)	1.08 (1.01, 1.16)	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)
Quintile 3	510,407	2,021	1,021	1,000	1.04 (0.98, 1.10)	1.09 (1.01, 1.18)	0.99 (0.92, 1.06)
Quintile 4	509,846	2,063	1,079	984	0.99 (0.94, 1.05)	0.97 (0.90, 1.05)	1.01 (0.93, 1.09)
Quintile 5	504,151	2,154	1,130	1,024	1.03 (0.98, 1.09)	1.02 (0.95, 1.11)	1.04 (0.96, 1.13)
<i>p-for-interaction</i>					0.22	0.16	0.48
Greenness NDVI							
Quintile 1	470,012	2,069	1,112	957	1.06 (1.01, 1.10)	1.08 (1.02, 1.15)	1.03 (0.97, 1.10)
Quintile 2	477,625	2,075	1,096	979	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)	1.09 (1.02, 1.17)	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)
Quintile 3	482,536	2,024	1,017	1,007	1.06 (1.00, 1.11)	1.07 (0.99, 1.15)	1.04 (0.97, 1.12)
Quintile 4	487,865	1,825	930	895	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	1.00 (0.93, 1.07)
Quintile 5	491,496	1,852	933	919	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)	1.02 (0.96, 1.09)
<i>p-for-interaction</i>					0.30	0.28	0.75
Time-varying nSES score							
Quintile 1	520,565	2,472	1,254	1,218	1.05 (1.01, 1.09)	1.05 (1.00, 1.11)	1.05 (0.99, 1.10)
Quintile 2	527,630	2,203	1,118	1,085	1.02 (0.98, 1.07)	1.01 (0.95, 1.08)	1.03 (0.97, 1.10)
Quintile 3	572,052	2,134	1,103	1,031	1.02 (0.98, 1.07)	1.03 (0.97, 1.10)	1.02 (0.95, 1.08)
Quintile 4	334,704	1,516	880	636	1.05 (0.99, 1.10)	1.07 (0.99, 1.15)	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)
Quintile 5	589,084	2,006	966	1,040	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	1.14 (1.05, 1.25)	0.99 (0.92, 1.07)
<i>p-for-interaction</i>					0.65	0.21	0.61

^a Hazard ratios are adjusted for age and calendar year, race, smoking status, pack-years smoked, family history of MI, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use, diet, alcohol consumption, BMI, night shift work, parental occupation, educational attainment (RN degree), marital status, husband's highest education, neighborhood SES score, region, and air pollution (PM_{2.5}). Stratifying variables are not adjusted in respective analyses. Note: IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime sound: 3.67 dBA.

Table S2. Nurses' Health Study Person-time, CVD, CHD, stroke cases and fully adjusted HR and 95% confidence intervals for daytime anthropogenic L₅₀ (median) sound (dBA) from 1988-2018 (n = 114,116) by prespecified stratifying variables.

	Person-time	CVD cases	CHD cases	Stroke cases	CVD Fully Adjusted ^a HR (95% CI)	CHD Fully Adjusted ^a HR (95% CI)	Stroke Fully Adjusted ^a HR (95% CI)
Total (unstratified)	2,544,035	10,331	5,321	5,010	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
Population density							
≥1000 people per mile ²	1,652,688	6,828	3,548	3,280	1.05 (1.01, 1.08)	1.07 (1.03, 1.12)	1.02 (0.97, 1.06)
<1000 people per mile ²	891,348	3,503	1,773	1,730	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	1.05 (1.01, 1.10)	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)
<i>p</i> -for-interaction					0.35	0.45	0.15
Region							
<i>Northeast</i>	1,157,696	4,457	2,320	2,137	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)
<i>Midwest</i>	405,844	1,582	815	767	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	1.09 (1.01, 1.18)	1.03 (0.95, 1.11)
<i>South</i>	421,149	1,692	831	861	1.02 (0.97, 1.08)	0.99 (0.93, 1.07)	1.05 (0.98, 1.13)
<i>West</i>	319,628	1,345	607	738	1.04 (0.98, 1.10)	1.09 (1.00, 1.18)	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)
<i>p</i> -for-interaction					0.39	0.22	0.27
Air pollution PM _{2.5}							
<i>Quintile 1</i>	508,998	2,066	1,082	984	1.00 (0.96, 1.03)	1.02 (0.97, 1.07)	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)
<i>Quintile 2</i>	510,635	2,027	1,009	1,018	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	1.06 (0.99, 1.13)	1.04 (0.97, 1.11)
<i>Quintile 3</i>	510,407	2,021	1,021	1,000	1.05 (0.99, 1.10)	1.09 (1.01, 1.17)	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)
<i>Quintile 4</i>	509,846	2,063	1,079	984	1.03 (0.97, 1.08)	1.02 (0.94, 1.09)	1.04 (0.96, 1.12)
<i>Quintile 5</i>	504,151	2,154	1,130	1,024	1.06 (1.00, 1.13)	1.06 (0.98, 1.15)	1.06 (0.97, 1.16)
<i>p</i> -for-interaction					0.19	0.56	0.24
Greenness NDVI							
<i>Quintile 1</i>	470,012	2,069	1,112	957	1.08 (1.03, 1.13)	1.11 (1.04, 1.18)	1.04 (0.97, 1.11)
<i>Quintile 2</i>	477,625	2,075	1,096	979	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	1.07 (1.00, 1.15)	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)
<i>Quintile 3</i>	482,536	2,024	1,017	1,007	1.05 (0.99, 1.10)	1.05 (0.98, 1.13)	1.04 (0.97, 1.12)
<i>Quintile 4</i>	487,865	1,825	930	895	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	0.99 (0.92, 1.07)
<i>Quintile 5</i>	491,496	1,852	933	919	1.03 (0.98, 1.08)	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)	1.06 (0.99, 1.13)
<i>p</i> -for-interaction					0.30	0.15	0.55
Time-varying nSES score							
<i>Quintile 1</i>	520,565	2,472	1,254	1,218	1.06 (1.02, 1.10)	1.07 (1.02, 1.13)	1.05 (1.00, 1.11)
<i>Quintile 2</i>	527,630	2,203	1,118	1,085	1.00 (0.95, 1.04)	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)
<i>Quintile 3</i>	572,052	2,134	1,103	1,031	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)	1.06 (0.99, 1.13)	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)
<i>Quintile 4</i>	334,704	1,516	880	636	1.04 (0.98, 1.09)	1.08 (1.00, 1.16)	0.99 (0.91, 1.07)
<i>Quintile 5</i>	589,084	2,006	966	1,040	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	1.09 (1.01, 1.19)	1.03 (0.95, 1.11)
<i>p</i> -for-interaction					0.22	0.30	0.47

*486 CVD cases (233 CHD cases, 253 stroke cases) were missing NDVI; 1,255 CVD cases (748 CHD cases, 507 stroke cases) were missing region.

^a Hazard ratios are adjusted for age and calendar year, race, smoking status, pack-years smoked, family history of MI, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use, diet, alcohol consumption, BMI, night shift work, parental occupation, educational attainment (RN degree), marital status, husband's highest education, neighborhood SES score, region, and air pollution (PM_{2.5}). Stratifying variables are not adjusted in respective analyses. Note: IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound: 4.35 dBA.

Table S3. Age-Adjusted Nurses' Health Study Participant Characteristics by Quintiles of Nighttime Anthropogenic L₅₀ dBA from 2000-2018 (n = 95,225).

Characteristic	Total	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 1	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 2	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 3	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 4	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 5
	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %	Mean (SD) or %
L ₅₀ dBA anthropogenic nighttime	43.1 (3.43)	37.2 (3.65)	41.7 (0.54)	43.2 (0.39)	44.6 (0.46)	47.0 (1.28)
L ₅₀ dBA anthropogenic daytime	46.2 (4.08)	40.2 (4.86)	44.8 (2.46)	46.8 (2.17)	48.0 (2.09)	49.1 (2.52)
Age (years) ^a	73.4 (8.12)	72.2 (7.96)	72.6 (8.1)	72.8 (8.09)	73.2 (8.18)	73.4 (8.21)
White (%)	94	96	96	95	92	90
Alternative Healthy Eating Index	53.6 (10.4)	53.4 (10.3)	53.0 (10.1)	53.3 (10.4)	53.6 (10.3)	53.4 (10.1)
Alcohol categories (%)						
0 grams/day	29	29	29	28	27	28
0.1-4.9 grams/day	17	16	17	16	16	15
5.0-14.9 grams/day	12	12	12	12	12	10
≥ 15 grams/day	9	10	9	9	8	8
Missing	33	33	35	35	37	39
Body Mass Index categories (%)						
Normal/Underweight	40	40	39	40	40	37
Overweight	29	30	30	29	28	28
Obese	19	20	20	19	18	19
Missing	11	11	12	12	14	16
Smoking Status (%)						
Never smoker	46	46	45	44	45	47
Past smoker	46	45	45	46	45	43
Current smoker	6	6	6	7	6	6
Missing	3	3	3	3	4	4
Pack years of smoking	11.4 (18.7)	11.2 (18.5)	11.4 (18.4)	11.8 (19.0)	11.2 (18.8)	10.9 (18.6)
Have RN degree (%)	74	75	73	73	71	69
Married (%)	56	61	57	56	53	49
Husband's Highest Education (%)						
< high school	3	4	4	3	3	3
high school grad	26	28	27	25	22	23
> high school education	38	36	36	38	38	35
Missing or not married	33	32	34	34	37	39
Housewife mother (%)	64	63	64	63	64	64
Professional or manager father, %	26	26	25	27	27	26
Family History of MI (%)	25	24	25	25	25	24
Menopausal status (%)						
Premenopausal or missing	64	62	61	61	61	60
Postmenopausal	36	38	39	39	39	40
Postmenopausal hormone use (%)						
Premenopausal	1	1	1	1	1	1
Never	18	19	18	18	17	16
Current	15	16	15	16	16	16
Former	47	46	46	45	44	43
Missing	20	18	20	20	22	24
Night Shift Work						
Never (%)	31	32	30	31	29	29

1-14 years shift work (%)	39	39	39	38	38	36
15-29 years shift work (%)	4	4	4	4	3	4
30+ years shift work (%)	1	1	1	1	1	1
Missing shift work (%)	25	25	26	27	28	30
Sleep <5 hours per night (%)	7	7	7	7	7	7
Cancer during follow-up (%)	9	9	9	9	9	9
Population density ≥ 1000 per mile ² (%)	64	29	55	74	81	85
12-month average PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	11.32 (3.8)	9.26 (3.19)	11.0 (3.49)	11.7 (3.66)	12.1 (3.77)	12.7 (3.96)
12-month average PM _{2.5} Quintile (%)						
Quintile 1	20	33	19	14	17	18
Quintile 2	20	27	23	18	16	16
Quintile 3	20	19	24	22	18	18
Quintile 4	20	13	20	24	22	21
Quintile 5	20	7	15	23	28	27
Region (%)						
Northeast	43	55	53	44	36	30
Midwest	15	10	17	17	13	19
South	18	14	15	20	22	17
West	12	11	5	8	16	20
NDVI (in 270m distance buffer)	0.38 (0.17)	0.41 (0.19)	0.39 (0.17)	0.38 (0.16)	0.37 (0.15)	0.33 (0.15)
NDVI Quintile (%)						
Quintile 1	18	17	15	15	18	29
Quintile 2	19	15	17	20	22	22
Quintile 3	19	15	19	22	22	20
Quintile 4	19	18	22	22	21	16
Quintile 5	20	32	23	19	16	11
Neighborhood SES (time-varying) Quintile (%)						
Quintile 1	20	33	21	15	15	15
Quintile 2	20	20	20	20	20	20
Quintile 3	24	23	24	25	24	23
Quintile 4	12	10	11	12	13	15
Quintile 5	24	14	24	28	29	27

^a Value is not age-adjusted

Table S4. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime and anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound pressure (dBA) and cardiovascular disease incidence in the Nurses' Health Study from 2000 to 2018 (n = 95,225; person-years =1,349,888). Total CVD (CHD or stroke), CHD, and stroke are shown.

	L ₅₀ nighttime noise		L ₅₀ daytime noise	
	Age and Calendar Year Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Fully Adjusted* HR (95% CI)	Age and Calendar Year Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Fully Adjusted* HR (95% CI)
CVD (n = 6,448)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	1.03 (1.00, 1.05)	0.99 (0.97, 1.02)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)
CHD (n = 3,108)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	1.05 (1.01, 1.09)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	1.05 (1.00, 1.09)
Stroke (n = 3,380)	0.98 (0.94, 1.01)	1.01 (0.97, 1.04)	0.97 (0.93, 1.01)	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)

* Hazard ratios are adjusted for age and calendar year, race, smoking status, pack-years smoked, family history of MI, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use, diet, alcohol consumption, BMI, night shift work, parental occupation, educational attainment (RN degree), marital status, husband's highest education, nSES score quintile, region, and air pollution (PM_{2.5}). Note: IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime sound: 3.67 dBA; IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound: 4.35 dBA.

Table S5. Additional (for sensitivity analysis) Age-Adjusted Nurses' Health Study Participant Characteristics by Quintiles of Nighttime Anthropogenic L₅₀ dBA from 1988-2018 (n = 114,116).

Characteristic	Total	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 1	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 2	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 3	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 4	Nighttime L ₅₀ dBA Quintile 5
Comorbidities at baseline (diabetes, elevated cholesterol, and/or high blood pressure) (%)	47	47	48	48	47	47
Statin use (%)	20	20	20	20	19	19
Aspirin use, doses (%)						
Non-user	9	8	8	9	9	9
Former user	31	32	32	31	31	31
Current user, 1-5 doses/week	33	34	33	33	32	32
Current user, 6+ doses/week	10	10	10	10	10	10
Missing	17	15	16	17	18	20
Physical activity categories (MET hours/week)						
< 3	16	16	16	16	16	17
3 to <9	17	17	18	17	18	17
9 to <18	16	16	16	16	16	15
≥ 18	19	20	19	19	18	17
Missing	32	31	31	31	32	34

Table S6. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime and anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound pressure (dBA) and cardiovascular disease incidence in the

Nurses' Health Study (n = 114,116; person-years = 2,544,035; 1988-2018) with: additional adjustment for statin; additional adjustment for aspirin use; additional adjustment for physical activity; and additional adjustment for urbanicity.

Model	L ₅₀ nighttime noise			L ₅₀ daytime noise		
	CVD (n = 10,331)	CHD (n = 5,321)	Stroke (n = 5,010)	CVD (n = 10,331)	CHD (n = 5,321)	Stroke (n = 5,010)
Fully Adjusted*	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
* + comorbidities	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
* + statin use	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
* + aspirin use	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.05 (1.02, 1.09)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	1.06 (1.03, 1.09)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
* + physical activity	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
* + population density	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	1.06 (1.03, 1.09)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	1.05 (1.03, 1.07)	1.07 (1.03, 1.10)	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)

* Hazard ratios are adjusted for age and calendar year, race, smoking status, pack-years smoked, family history of MI, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use, diet, alcohol consumption, BMI, night shift work, parental occupation, educational attainment (RN degree), marital status, husband's highest education, nSES score quintile, region, and air pollution (PM_{2.5}). Note: IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ nighttime sound: 3.67 dBA; IQR for anthropogenic L₅₀ daytime sound: 4.35 dBA.

Table S7. Estimated proportion of association of nighttime noise and CVD in the Nurses' Health Study explained by short sleep duration.

Mediator	Proportion of association of L ₅₀ nighttime noise and CVD explained by mediator, with 95% confidence intervals	Proportion of association of L ₅₀ nighttime noise and CHD explained by mediator, with 95% confidence intervals	Proportion of association of L ₅₀ nighttime noise and stroke explained by mediator, with 95% confidence intervals
Self-reported 5 or less hours sleep duration per night	< 0.01%	< 0.01%	< 0.01%