1 Potential role of fructose on human colon DNA methylation in racial disparities observed 2 for colorectal cancer risk.

3 4

10

11 12

13

14

24

Short title: DNA methylation response to fructose in the colon.

5 6 Matthew A. Devall¹, Stephen Eaton¹, Gaizun Hu², Xiangqing Sun¹, Ethan Jakum³, Samyukta 7 Venkatesh¹, Steven M. Powell⁴, Cynthia Yoshida⁴, Daniel J. Weisenberger⁵, Gregory S. Cooper⁶, Joseph Willis⁷, Seham Ebrahim², Jamie Zoellner^{8,9} Graham Casey^{8,10} and Li Li^{1,9} 8 9

- 1. Department of Family Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA.
- 2. Molecular Physiology and Biological Physics University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA.
- 3. Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA.
- 4. Digestive Health Center, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA.
- 15 5. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of Southern California, 16 Los Angeles, CA, USA.
- 17 6. Department of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University/University Hospitals 18 Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, USA.
- 19 7. Department of Pathology, Case Western Reserve University/University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, USA. 20 21
 - 8. Center for Public Health Genomics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA.
- 22 9. University of Virginia Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Virginia, 23 Charlottesville, VA, USA.
 - 10. Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA,

25 Grant Support: The work was supported by research grants from the National Cancer Institute 26 Cancer Disparities SPORE Planning Grant (P20 CA233216), Case Comprehensive Cancer 27 Center GI SPORE (P50 CA150964), National Cancer Institute (CA143237, CA204279), and a 28 pilot grant from the University of Virginia Cancer Center (P30CA044579). Funders had no 29 involvement in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data or writing of the 30 report.

31 Abbreviations: AA: African American; BMI: body mass index; CAPI: computer-assisted personal 32 interview; CRC: colorectal cancer; DEG: differentially expressed gene; DNAm: DNA methylation, 33 EA: European American; FDR: false-discovery rate; FFQ: food-frequency questionnaire; HFCS:

34 high fructose corn syrup; NAT: normal-adjacent tissue; SSB: sugar sweetened beverage; TCGA-

35 COAD: The Cancer Genome Atlas Colon Adenocarcinoma.

36 **Correspondence:**

37 Li Li. MD. PhD

- 38 **Department of Family Medicine**
- 39 University of Virginia School of Medicine
- 40 McKim Hall, Room 3156
- 41 1415 Jefferson Park Avenue
- 42 PO Box 800729
- 43 Charlottesville, Virginia 22908
- 44 Tel: 434-924-1611
- 45 Email: Il8nv@virginia.edu
- 46 **Disclosures:** the authors have nothing to disclose. The authors have no conflicts of interest.

47 **Transcript profiling:** The data generated here are from our previously published, publicly 48 available dataset: GSE151732.

49 Writing assistance: N/A.

Author contributions: Writing original draft: MD; data curation: MD, XS, SV, SMP, CY, GSC, JW, DJW and LL; study design and methodology: MD, SE, DJW, GC, and LL; statistical analysis and data visualization: MD; sample management and annotation: SV, SE, XS; project conceptualization: MD and LL, funding: LL and GC; supervision and project administration: LL and GC. All authors were responsible for writing – review and editing of the final draft.

55 Abstract:

56 **Background and aims:** An increasing body of observational studies has linked fructose intake 57 to colorectal cancer (CRC). African Americans (AAs) are significantly more likely than European 58 Americans to consume greater quantities of fructose and to develop right-side colon cancer. Yet, 59 a mechanistic link between these two associations remains poorly defined. We aimed to identify 60 differentially methylated regions (DMRs) associated with dietary fructose consumption measures 61 obtained from food frequency questionnaires in a cohort of normal colon biopsies derived from

62 AA men and women (n=79)

63 **Methods:** DNA methylation data from this study was obtained using the Illumina Infinium 64 MethylationEPIC kit and is housed under accession GSE151732. DMR analysis was carried out 65 using *DMRcate* in right and matched left colon, separately. Secondary analysis of CRC tumors

66 was carried out using data derived from TCGA-COAD, GSE101764 and GSE193535. Differential

67 expression analysis was carried out on CRC tumors from TCGA-COAD using DESeq2.

68 **Results:** We identified 4,263 right-side fructose-DMRs. In contrast, only 24 DMRs survived 69 multiple testing corrections (FDR<0.05) in matched, left colon. To identify targets by which dietary 70 fructose drives CRC risk, we overlaid these findings with data from three CRC tumor datasets. 71 Remarkably, almost 50% of right-side fructose-DMRs overlapped regions associated with CRC

in at least one of three datasets. *TNXB* and *CDX2* ranked among the most significant fructose

risk DMRs in right and left colon respectively that also displayed altered gene expression in CRC
 tumors.

Conclusions: Our mechanistic data support the notion that fructose has a greater CRC-related
 effect in right than left AA colon, alluding to a potential role for fructose in contributing to racial
 disparities in CRC.

78

79 **Keywords:** DNA methylation, disparities, African American, colon cancer, fructose, race.

- 80
- 81
- 82
- 83
- 84
- 85
- 0.0
- 86
- 87

88 Introduction

89 The natural sweetness of dietary fructose makes it an appealing additive to processed foods 90 and beverages, and most caloric sweeteners contain at least 40% fructose¹. High fructose corn 91 syrup (HFCS) is the most common form of sweetener added to sugar sweetened beverages (SSB)^{2, 3}. Shifts in dietary patterns have seen dramatic increase of HFCS consumption in recent 92 93 years, with research showing it to account for approximately 6-10% of caloric intake among US 94 adults⁴⁻⁶. Similarly, there was also a ~63% increase in SSB consumption among US children of 95 schooling age between the years 1989 to 2008⁷. Racial disparities in SSB consumption are also evident⁸⁻¹⁰. For example, the odds of drinking SSBs one or more times per day is significantly 96 97 higher among non-Hispanic black respondents (AOR = 1.65) versus non-Hispanic white 98 respondents⁸. This shift in increased SSB consumption and documented racial disparities are 99 gravely concerning given the increasingly recognized role of excess dietary fructose in various, 100 negative health outcomes¹¹⁻¹³, including two recent landmark murine studies, which have begun 101 to define mechanisms linking SSB/HFCS to colon carcinogenesis^{14, 15}. Despite these studies, the role of dietary fructose in healthy human colon, and as such, the mechanisms that may drive 102 103 fructose-mediated CRC risk, remain poorly understood.

104 An increasing body of observational studies has directly linked intake of fructose in obesity, diabetes and CRC risk^{11, 16-18}. A small study in Iran recently found that increased fructose intake 105 106 was associated with CRC after adjusting for multiple confounding factors including body mass index (BMI)¹⁹. This finding is in line with results from a larger study of two prospective US cohorts, 107 which found that dietary fructose and SSB consumptions were both positively associated with 108 109 incidence and mortality of proximal CRC¹⁸. Other research has shown that high SSB consumption 110 was also associated with early-onset CRC in women¹⁶. Notably, African Americans (AAs) have higher incidence of CRC compared to any other racial/ethnic group²⁰. AAs are also more likely to 111 112 be diagnosed at a younger age and with poorer survival outcomes than EAs^{20, 21}. Moreover, AAs 113 are more likely to develop right- than left-sided colon cancer and more likely to develop right-sided

colon cancer than EAs²². However, the mechanisms driving the observed racial disparities in CRC
risk and outcomes and tumor sidedness are poorly understood.

116 DNA methylation (DNAm) represents one epigenetic mechanism through which gene 117 expression patterns may be modulated to alter disease risk in response to environmental cues²³. Aberrant DNAm is a hallmark of CRC^{24, 25}, and differences in DNAm have also been found to be 118 associated with fructose and HFCS in other tissues²⁶⁻²⁸. We have established a repository of right 119 120 and left normal colon biopsies from a cohort of well-characterized average-risk AA and EA individuals²⁹. In this cohort, we previously reported colon location and race specific DNAm 121 122 differences, where we found that many of these differences occurred in regions known to be 123 associated with CRC. Further analysis of this cohort has the potential to reveal novel insight into 124 the biological mechanisms that drive CRC racial disparities and to help inform the development 125 of tailored prevention strategies.

Here, we extend the results identified in our previous study to identify differences in DNAm associated with total dietary fructose in AA colon. We hypothesize that this will provide novel insight into the mode of action of fructose in the human colon, and that through the overlay of CRC-related publicly available data, we may contextualize these mechanisms in the framework of CRC risk.

131

132 Materials and Methods

133

134 Collection of dietary data for analysis

135 Clinical data were obtained from the medical records and pathology reports following

136 colonoscopy. Behavioral and lifestyle information were obtained from a computer-assisted

137 personal interview (CAPI) based on the NCI Colon Cancer Familial Registry Risk Factor

138 Questionnaire³⁰. The CAPI was administered by trained interviewer. Dietary fructose intake was

139 estimated based on a self-administered food frequency questionnaire (FFQ).

140 Sample collection and pre-processing

This study was approved by Case Western Reserve University/UHCMC and the University of Virginia Institutional Review Boards (IRB-HSR-21219). This study followed Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines. The data generated exist as publicly available data on Gene Expression Omnibus: GSE151732. Pre-processing was carried out using the *R* package, *minfi*³¹. Full details can be found in Devall et al., $(2021)^{29}$. Preprocessing and analysis of three cancer cohorts was carried out as performed previously³².

147

148 Preprocessing and statistical analysis of DNAm data

For our analysis of fructose, we accounted for the effects of technical variation on the array while retaining biological variation attributed to fructose by adjusting for both sentrix ID and position using the champ.runCombat() function of the R package, *ChAMP*^{33, 34}. Beta values were logistically transformed prior to correction and were then returned for downstream regression analysis. For the analysis of fructose on DNAm, the following model was built:

154 DNAm ~ sex + polyp + BMI + smoking status + age + fructose

Sex = biological sex; polyp = polyp detected at any site along the colorectum; BMI = logtransformed BMI; smoking status = never/former/current; age = age at sample index; fructose = log-transformed measure of total dietary fructose intake.

158 Differentially methylated region (DMR) analysis was carried out using DMRcate³⁵. The 159 cpg.annotate() function was applied with the following arguments: what = "B", fdr = 0.1, arraytype= 160 "EPIC" and analysis type = "differential". Following annotation, the dmrcate() function was applied 161 using the following arguments: C=4, lamda=1000, nCpG=3. Measures of false discovery rates 162 (FDR) were calculated by applying the p.adjust() function to the resulting Stouffer P values for each region, as previously^{32 36}. To identify DMRs of a similar minimum size, these same 163 164 parameters were then applied to our repeated-measures analysis of three CRC datasets^{25, 37, 38}, 165 where we aimed to identified differences in DNAm between CRC tumor and matched, normal-

166	adjacent tissue (NAT). Only one exception to our method was considered for this analysis: C=2				
167	(default value for 450k data) when calling the dmrcate() function. Overlapping regions across				
168	datasets were defined using the in.region() and bedr.join.region() functions of the bedr package ³⁹ .				
169					
170	Statistical analysis of RNA-seq data				
171	Bulk RNA-seq data was downloaded for The Cancer Genome Atlas Colon				
172	Adenocarcinoma (TCGA-COAD) dataset from TCGAbiolinks ⁴⁰ . To identify differences in gene				
173	expression between matched (n=41 pairs) CRC tumors and normal adjacent tissues (NATs), we				
174	performed a repeated measures regression using $DESeq2^{41}$.				
175					
176	Results				
177					
178	Dietary fructose consumption is associated with widespread DNAm differences in AA right				
179	colon.				
180	We used DMRcate ³⁵ to identify significant regions of DNAm that correlated with total				
181	dietary fructose consumption. Given the relatively limited sample size of EA individuals who				
182	provided data on dietary fructose consumption (n=34), we restricted our analysis AAs (n=79). In				
183	right colon, 4,263 DMRs survived FDR corrections. This included a hypomethylated DMR at				
184	chr1:9130738-9131854 (FDR=4.09E ⁻⁰³), a genomic region near the transcriptional start site of				
185	SLC2A5 (Figure 1A). DNA hypomethylation of chr11:18415641-18415964, which corresponds to				
186	lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA, FDR=0.036)) was also identified. Increased LDHA expression				

187 was previously identified in the intestinal epithelium of HFCS-treated mice¹⁵. To better determine 188 the coordinated effects of dietary fructose on DNAm, we performed pathway enrichment analysis 189 using *missMethyl*⁴². Here, we identified enrichments for terms previously associated with fructose, 190 such as ether lipid metabolism (P=9.61E⁻⁰⁵)⁴³, arachidonic acid metabolism (P=1.56E⁻⁰⁴)⁴⁴ and 191 linoleic acid metabolism (P=4.75E⁻⁰⁴)⁴⁴ (**Figure1B**). Other notable enrichments include the

- 192 glycolytic process through fructose-6-phosphate (P=7.44E⁻⁰³) and phosphofructokinase activity
- 193 (P=9.53E⁻⁰³), developmental process (P=6.20E⁻⁰⁴), long-chain fatty acid metabolic process
- 194 (P=5.45E⁻⁰³) and cell population proliferation (P=9.10E⁻⁰³).



Figure 1: Summary of DMR analysis of dietary fructose in right AA colon. (A) *SLC2A5* was significantly hypomethylated in subject biopsies who consumed increasingly high amounts of fructose. (B) Summary of the top 20 most significantly enriched terms within our DMR analysis. "Overlap" corresponds to the number of DMRs identified within our analysis divided by the total number of genes within that pathway. Red and blue dashed lines correspond to nominal and FDR cut-offs, respectively.

201

202 Dietary fructose consumption is associated with substantially fewer differences in 203 differential methylation in AA left colon.

204 In contrast to the widespread differential DNAm found to be associated with dietary 205 fructose in the right colon, an analysis of matched, left colon biopsies revealed few associations. 206 In total, 24 DMRs were associated with fructose in the left colon (FDR<0.05). Of the 85 nominal 207 DMRs (P<0.05) associated with dietary fructose in the left colon, only 25 overlapped regions also 208 found in matched, right colon. All 25 DMRs were consistent for direction of effect (Table 1).

- 209
- 210

Contextualization of fructose-related findings in the context of CRC risk.

211 We hypothesized that, if the fructose-related differences identified in our analysis 212 contributed to CRC risk, then DMRs hypermethylated in response to increasing fructose would 213 also be hypermethylated in CRC tumors. To address this hypothesis, we overlaid our findings with data obtained from a reanalysis of three CRC datasets^{25, 37, 38}. Of the 4,263 right-side 214 215 fructose-DMRs identified, 2,025 overlapped regions identified in at least one CRC tumor analysis 216 and demonstrated the expected concordance for direction of effect. This included 1,373 DMRs 217 that were present in all three CRC tumor analyses. In left colon, 28 (21: P<0.05, 7: FDR<0.05) 218 fructose-related DMRs overlapped regions associated with CRC. Notable, nominal fructose risk 219 DMRs of the left colon include chr13:28544471-28544820 (caudal type homeobox 2 (CDX2)), 220 which was hypermethylated in both TCGA-COAD and ColoCare tumors and chr16:68676451-221 68676806 (cadherin 3 (CDH3)), which was hypermethylated in tumors of all three datasets. Of 222 the 25 DMRs identified in both right and left colon, six were also identified in at least one CRC 223 tumor analysis (**Table 1**, bold font).

- 224
- 225
- 226
- 227
- 228

Table 1: Summary of association findings through DMR analysis of fructose in right and left colon of AA. Findings

were ordered from most to least significant based on the sum of their ranked significance. Bold font represents regions that were also identified in at least one CRC tumor analyses and displayed a concordant direction of effect.

	Right Colon				Left Colon			
Gene		Beta				Beta		
	Chromosomal Region		Р	FDR	Chromosomal Region		Р	FDR
		Diff (%)				Diff (%)		
NBEA, MAB21L1	13:36050522-36051073	-0.046	1.16E ⁻⁰³	0.019	13:36050158-36051073	-0.040	1.22E ⁻⁰⁹	5.94E ⁻⁰⁷
ZFP30	19:38145827-38147309	0.005	7.93E ⁻⁰⁶	7.81E ⁻⁰⁴	19:38145827-38147309	0.006	1.67E ⁻⁰⁶	1.24E ⁻⁰⁴
N/A	11:128693801-128694679	-0.023	1.55E ⁻⁰³	0.023	11:128693677-128694679	-0.029	4.48E ⁻⁰⁹	1.56E ⁻⁰⁶
CRISP2	6:49681178-49681851	-0.028	5.54E ⁻⁰³	0.050	6:49681178-49681851	-0.022	1.36E ⁻⁰⁷	1.92E ⁻⁰⁵
FANCD2OS	3:10149882-10150487	-0.009	1.77E ⁻⁰³	0.025	3:10149466-10150487	-0.007	3.53E ⁻⁰⁶	2.14E ⁻⁰⁴
MCPH1, ANGPT2	8:6419438-6419570	-0.049	6.08E ⁻⁰³	0.050	8:6418978-6420963	-0.024	4.46E ⁻⁰⁷	5.34E ⁻⁰⁵
СРТ1В, СНКВ-СРТ1В, СНКВ	22:51016386-51017432	-0.018	0.025	0.092	22:51016386-51017432	-0.017	3.48E ⁻¹⁰	2.12E ⁻⁰⁷
TLE3	15:70371951-70372127	-0.020	2.78E ⁻⁰³	0.033	15:70371951-70373099	-0.010	3.33E ⁻⁰⁴	4.02E ⁻⁰³
N/A	1:247537159-247537369	-0.037	0.013	0.079	1:247537159-247537369	-0.039	2.09E ⁻⁰⁵	7.32E ⁻⁰⁴
ACSS3	12:81471311-81472177	-0.025	5.20E ⁻⁰⁴	0.015	12:81470331-81472177	-0.015	7.26E ⁻⁰³	0.022
RP4-665J23.1	1:91300215-91300559	-0.022	5.51E ⁻⁰⁴	0.015	1:91300215-91300559	-0.015	5.42E ⁻⁰³	0.019
N/A	12:131865279-131865659	-0.020	9.29E ⁻⁰³	0.065	12:131864810-131865659	-0.016	4.02E ⁻⁰⁴	4.46E ⁻⁰³
N/A	2:660692-660856	-0.020	0.023	0.092	2:660363-660856	-0.016	2.15E ⁻⁰⁴	3.20E ⁻⁰³
HS3ST3B1	17:14213579-14214081	-0.025	0.024	0.092	17:14213225-14214081	-0.021	4.25E ⁻⁰⁵	1.18E ⁻⁰³
DPP10	2:115419846-115420260	-0.018	0.025	0.092	2:115419729-115420260	-0.017	4.03E ⁻⁰⁵	1.15E ⁻⁰³
AC093627.9	7:142966-143220	-0.015	0.026	0.092	7:142411-143220	-0.014	2.36E ⁻⁰⁴	3.36E ⁻⁰³
ALS2CR11	2:202483740-202484320	-0.015	0.049	0.115	2:202483740-202484583	-0.013	4.37E ⁻⁰⁶	2.51E ⁻⁰⁴
N/A	14:73392078-73392648	-0.013	0.019	0.089	14:73392078-73392648	-0.006	4.19E ⁻⁰³	0.016
AL031663.1	20:44097309-44097731	-0.028	9.29E ⁻⁰³	0.065	20:44097309-44097563	-0.008	0.013	0.031
N/A	13:112212213-112212266	0.018	0.030	0.098	13:112212213-112212266	0.015	3.82E ⁻⁰⁴	4.37E ⁻⁰³
RP11-23P13.6	15:42187036-42187219	0.022	0.019	0.089	15:42186830-42187219	0.014	0.013	0.031
N/A	14:52535758-52536436	0.019	0.034	0.100	14:52535758-52536721	0.014	5.63E ⁻⁰⁴	5.54E ⁻⁰³
DTNA	18:32173055-32173206	-0.017	0.046	0.114	18:32173055-32173227	-0.017	4.50E ⁻⁰⁴	4.82E ⁻⁰³
WT1	11:32421514-32421845	-0.020	0.032	0.099	11:32421514-32421845	-0.015	2.53E ⁻⁰³	0.013
N/A	10:131193104-131193272	-0.019	0.050	0.115	10:131193104-131193272	-0.014	2.19E ⁻⁰³	0.012

233 Defining DMR targets through analysis of TCGA-COAD.

Given that some DMRs occur within intergenic regions or map near to multiple genes, we aimed to better define the likely targets of each fructose- and CRC-related DMR (fructose risk DMRs) by determining if their corresponding genes were found to be differentially expressed in CRC. Of the three cohorts considered in this analysis, only TCGA-COAD had matched RNA-seq data. A repeated measurement regression model was fitted for each gene to identify differences between matched tumor versus NAT across 41 pairs present within this dataset. Of the 1,581 fructose-related DMRs that were present in at least TCGA-COAD, 869 corresponded to differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Fisher's test confirmed a highly significant enrichment for the differential expression of fructose risk DMR genes in TCGA-COAD (P=4.06E⁻⁷³). In left colon, seven of 16 fructose risk DMRs with gene annotations corresponded to CRC DEGs (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Volcano plot demonstrating the significance of genes corresponding to fructoserisk DMRs in TCGA-COAD expression data. Genes were color coded depending upon whether
they were identified as fructose-risk DMRs in right (blue), left (red) or purple (both) analyses.
Positive log₂ fold changes correspond to DEGs overexpressed in CRC tumors versus matched
NAT.

276

277 Discussion

Our study represents the first, relatively large-scale interrogation of the association between DNAm and dietary fructose intake in normal colon tissues of AA patients free of CRC. It presents novel targets through which increased fructose consumption may drive CRC-related

281 signaling. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the use of dietary interventions to 282 support cancer therapeutics⁴⁵. Tumors frequently develop while retaining the metabolic pathways 283 of their tissue of origin¹⁵. Cells that utilize the energy availability of their environment can display 284 accelerated growth and outcompete their neighbors. Understanding the molecular drivers of these 285 advantages in healthy colon tissue may therefore provide important mechanistic insight into the 286 drivers of dietary risk genes. Such genes may not only provide biomarkers for risk, especially 287 within individuals who consume high quantities of fructose, but may also provide novel targets for 288 future therapeutics by focusina on genes drivina preferential tumor-associated 289 microenvironments.

290 Mouse studies have shown that intestinal absorption capacity is reached following 291 moderate consumption of fructose (1g/kg), leading to unaltered fructose entering the colon⁴⁶. Two 292 landmark mouse model studies demonstrated a role for HFCS in colon carcinogenesis that was 293 determined to be independent of its effect on body weight or the development of metabolic 294 syndrome^{14, 15}. They first show that HFCS enhances intestinal tumorigenesis through aberrant 295 activation of glycolysis and promotion of fatty acid synthesis. Importantly, this study identified KHK 296 as a key gene mediating this process. Later, Taylor et al., demonstrated that feeding mice a HFCS 297 diet led to increased expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1 α), KHK and SLC2A5, 298 which was accompanied by longer villi in both the proximal jejunum and the duodenum¹⁵. Further 299 analysis in human CRC cell lines revealed that the metabolism of fructose by KHK led to the 300 formation of fructose 1-phosphate, which promoted cell survival through the inhibition of another 301 pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2), thus contributing to cell survival under hypoxic conditions. These 302 important studies were among the first to define a role for fructose/SSBs in CRC tumorigenesis. 303 In the present study, our analysis of fructose risk DMRs did not identify any regions corresponding 304 to KHK. However, we identified some overlap with the genes and pathways identified by both 305 mouse studies. In this way, our human study provides partial support for previous findings in 306 murine models, while also highlighting the imperative need for further mechanistic investigations

307 into the role of CRC risk mediated by fructose in healthy, human colon epithelium. Several 308 glycolysis and fatty acid terms were among the most significantly enriched in our analysis of 309 fructose in right colon, and many of the DMRs that contributed to those terms, such as CBFA2T3. 310 HDAC4, HTRA2, PGAM2 (glycolysis) and ACSF2, CYP2E1, PGIF, PLA2G4F, SLC27A5 and 311 TNXB (fatty acid) overlapped regions identified in CRC tumors. Tumor cells frequently retain the metabolic pathways of their tissue of origin¹⁵ and the process of glycolysis provides an ample 312 313 carbon source for fatty acid synthesis, which are required to house energy stores for the excessive 314 energy demands of cancer proliferation¹⁴.

SSBs represent the leading source of added sugars in the US⁴⁷ and added sugars account 315 for over 25% of total calories for one in six AAs, in contrast to one in eleven EAs⁴⁸, indicating that 316 317 increased levels of dietary fructose consumption, particularly through SSB, may play a role. 318 Importantly, AAs are 30% more likely to develop right- than left-sided colon cancer²¹, and are also 319 more likely to develop right-sided colon cancer than EAs⁴⁹. Differences in health care and dietary 320 patterns driven by poorer socioeconomic status have been considered as contributory factors to 321 observed disparities^{22, 50}. While the role of SSB in racial disparities for CRC has yet to be fully 322 explored, a previous multi-center study of 33,106 participants from the Nurses' Health Study 323 Il found that SSB intake in adolescence was associated with increased adulthood adenoma 324 incidence in proximal (right) colon and rectum. The same study also found that total fructose 325 intake was only associated with increased risk for distal (left) and rectal adenomas¹⁷. However, a 326 larger combined study of the Nurses' Health Study and Health Professionals Follow-Up Study 327 revealed that both SSB and total fructose were only associated with proximal/right-sided colon 328 cancer¹⁸. Given that SSBs represent more of the daily caloric intake of AAs than EAs, they 329 represent one potential driver of this racial disparities in CRC sidedness that warrants further 330 investigation.

In line with findings showing a greater risk for dietary fructose in right than left colon cancer,
 our study identified a far greater number of differences in DNAm in right than matched left AA

333 colon. Further, many of those DMRs identified exclusively in right colon (2,019 of 2,025) were 334 also found in CRC tumors. Excess fructose enters the right colon following the saturation of 335 SLC2A5 in the small intestine. Many of the DMRs identified in right colon were also differentially 336 expressed in CRC tumors versus NAT and a subset (list subset) were also validated through a 337 controlled dosing experiment of normal colon organoids. Our findings therefore imply a 338 coordinated mechanism through which dietary fructose alters DNAm at regions relevant to CRC. 339 leading to DEGs that modulate disease risk. The amount of fructose and its direct metabolites 340 that are present in the left colon following further absorption to the hepatic portal system remains 341 unclear. It is worth noting that while our study identified many more fructose-risk DMRs in AA right 342 than left colon, a subset of fructose-risk DMRs exclusive to left colon were also found, including 343 a hypermethylated DMR corresponding to the intestine-specific transcription factor, CDX2. A 344 reduction in this tumor suppressor was also identified between CRC tumors and NATs in our 345 analysis of TCGA-COAD. CDX2 plays important roles in the regulation of epithelial cell 346 differentiation and the reduction of its expression has been previously associated with poorer 347 survival outcomes in left-sided colon cancer⁵¹. While the data presented within this study provides 348 potential mechanisms that may drive the observed increase in right-sided colon cancer risk, larger 349 epidemiological studies are needed to confirm this through finer site-stratified analyses. Given the 350 notable differences in consumption of SSBs between AA and EA, and the relatively higher risk of 351 AAs to develop right-sided colon cancer, these studies should also consider the effects of racial 352 ancestry and ethnicity.

To relate our findings to CRC risk, we made use of publicly available CRC data and overlaid findings with those found to occur in CRC tumors. However, there are a number of limitations to this approach. First, CRC is a highly heterogenous disease and as such, it stands to reason that CRC risk pathways are also diverse. Second, total dietary fructose was highly correlated with other dietary sugars. Despite this, our results replicated multiple previously identified pathways and targets that are specific to fructose, including *SLC2A5*. However, validation through the

359 coupling of high-throughput omics readouts and controlled dosing within the colon organoid 360 system may further reveal mechanistic insight into the role of fructose in CRC. Nonetheless, our 361 findings highlight several plausible targets through which dietary fructose may influence DNAm to 362 modulate CRC risk and some of these targets were verified through fructose exposure to human 363 AA colon organoids.

364

365 Conclusion

366 There is increasing evidence for a role of dietary fructose and HFCS/SSB consumption in 367 CRC risk. Here, we provide novel insight into colon location-specific mechanisms in AA subjects 368 through which this risk may develop. That fructose consumption is associated with a far greater 369 number of potential CRC risk DMRs in right than matched, left colon is intriguing. AAs are 370 significantly more likely than EAs to consume greater quantities of fructose and to develop right-371 side colon cancer. Our findings highlight potential mechanisms through which increased dietary 372 fructose consumption may differentially impact DNAm at regions associated with CRC to alter 373 risk. Our findings provide some mechanistic support for reducing dietary fructose consumption. 374 375 References 376 1. United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. U.S. Consumption 377 of Caloric Sweeteners. USDA Sugar Supply. Volume Table 50, 2022. 378 DeChristopher LR, Uribarri J, Tucker KL. Intake of high fructose corn syrup sweetened 2. 379 soft drinks, fruit drinks and apple juice is associated with prevalent coronary heart 380 disease, in U.S. adults, ages 45-59 y. BMC Nutr 2017;3:51. 381 Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition 3. 382 and health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Public Health 2007;97:667-75. 383 4. Vos MB, Kimmons JE, Gillespie C, et al. Dietary fructose consumption among US children 384 and adults: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Medscape J 385 Med 2008;10:160. 386 Keller A, O'Reilly EJ, Malik V, et al. Substitution of sugar-sweetened beverages for other 5. 387 beverages and the risk of developing coronary heart disease: Results from the Harvard 388 Pooling Project of Diet and Coronary Disease. Prev Med 2020;131:105970. 389 6. Drewnowski A, Rehm CD. Consumption of added sugars among US children and adults 390 by food purchase location and food source. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100:901-7.

391	7.	Lasater G, Piernas C, Popkin BM. Beverage patterns and trends among school-aged
392		children in the US, 1989-2008. Nutr J 2011;10:103.
393	8.	Lundeen EA, Park S, Pan L, et al. Daily Intake of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Among US
394		Adults in 9 States, by State and Sociodemographic and Behavioral Characteristics, 2016.
395		Prev Chronic Dis 2018;15:E154.
396	9.	Bleich SN, Vercammen KA, Koma JW, et al. Trends in Beverage Consumption Among
397		Children and Adults, 2003-2014. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md.) 2018;26:432-441.
398	10.	Rosinger A, Herrick K, Gahche J, et al. Sugar-sweetened Beverage Consumption Among
399		U.S. Adults, 2011–2014. NCHS Data Brief 2017;270:1-8.
400	11.	Gross LS, Li L, Ford ES, et al. Increased consumption of refined carbohydrates and the
401		epidemic of type 2 diabetes in the United States: an ecologic assessment. Am J Clin Nutr
402		2004;79:774-9.
403	12.	Sun T. Zhang Y. Ding L. et al. The Relationship Between Major Food Sources of Fructose
404		and Cardiovascular Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis
405		of Prospective Studies. Advances in Nutrition 2023:14:256-269.
406	13.	Makarem N. Bandera EV. Lin Y. et al. Consumption of Sugars, Sugary Foods, and Sugary
407		Beverages in Relation to Adiposity-Related Cancer Risk in the Framingham Offspring
408		Cohort (1991-2013). Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2018:11:347-358.
409	14	Goncalves MD Lu C Tutnauer L et al High-fructose corn syrup enhances intestinal
410	±	tumor growth in mice. Science 2019:363:1345-1349
411	15	Taylor SR Ramsamooi S Liang RL et al. Dietary fructose improves intestinal cell survival
412	10.	and nutrient absorption. Nature 2021:597:263-267.
413	16.	Hur I. Otegbeve E. Joh HK. et al. Sugar-sweetened beverage intake in adulthood and
414	10.	adolescence and risk of early-onset colorectal cancer among women. Gut 2021:70:2330-
415		2336.
416	17.	Joh HK, Lee DH, Hur J, et al. Simple Sugar and Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Intake During
417		Adolescence and Risk of Colorectal Cancer Precursors. Gastroenterology 2021;161:128-
418		142 e20.
419	18.	Yuan C, Joh HK, Wang QL, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverage and sugar consumption and
420		colorectal cancer incidence and mortality according to anatomic subsite. Am J Clin Nutr
421		2022;115:1481-1489.
422	19.	Jonoush M, Fathi S, Hassanpour Ardekanizadeh N, et al. The Association Between
423		Different Types of Dietary Carbohydrates and Colorectal Cancer: A Case-Control Study.
424		Front Nutr 2022;9:898337.
425	20.	Siegel RL, Wagle NS, Cercek A, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2023. CA: A Cancer
426		Journal for Clinicians 2023;73:233-254.
427	21.	Giaquinto AN, Miller KD, Tossas KY, et al. Cancer statistics for African American/Black
428		People 2022. CA Cancer J Clin 2022;72:202-229.
429	22.	Carethers JM. Racial and ethnic disparities in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality.
430		Adv Cancer Res 2021;151:197-229.
431	23.	Mitchell C, Schneper LM, Notterman DA. DNA methylation, early life environment, and
432		health outcomes. Pediatr Res 2016;79:212-9.

433	24.	Fan J, Li J, Guo S, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation profiles of low- and high-grade
434		adenoma reveals potential biomarkers for early detection of colorectal carcinoma.
435		Clinical Epigenetics 2020;12:56.
436	25.	Cancer Genome Atlas N. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and
437		rectal cancer. Nature 2012;487:330-7.
438	26.	Kageyama I, Yamada H, Munetsuna E, et al. Differential effects of excess high-fructose
439		corn syrup on the DNA methylation of hippocampal neurotrophic factor in childhood
440		and adolescence. PLoS One 2022;17:e0270144.
441	27.	Ohashi K, Munetsuna E, Yamada H, et al. High fructose consumption induces DNA
442		methylation at PPARalpha and CPT1A promoter regions in the rat liver. Biochem Biophys
443		Res Commun 2015;468:185-9.
444	28.	Tini G, Varma V, Lombardo R, et al. DNA methylation during human adipogenesis and
445		the impact of fructose. Genes Nutr 2020;15:21.
446	29.	Devall M, Sun X, Yuan F, et al. Racial Disparities in Epigenetic Aging of the Right vs Left
447		Colon. J Natl Cancer Inst 2021;113:1779-1782.
448	30.	Newcomb PA, Baron J, Cotterchio M, et al. Colon Cancer Family Registry: an
449		international resource for studies of the genetic epidemiology of colon cancer. Cancer
450		Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16:2331-43.
451	31.	Aryee MJ, Jaffe AE, Corrada-Bravo H, et al. Minfi: a flexible and comprehensive
452		Bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA methylation microarrays.
453		Bioinformatics 2014;30:1363-9.
454	32.	Devall MA, Eaton S, Ali MW, et al. DNA methylation analysis of normal colon organoids
455		from familial adenomatous polyposis patients reveals novel insight into colon cancer
456		development. Clin Epigenetics 2022;14:104.
457	33.	Morris TJ, Butcher LM, Feber A, et al. ChAMP: 450k Chip Analysis Methylation Pipeline.
458		Bioinformatics 2014;30:428-30.
459	34.	Tian Y, Morris TJ, Webster AP, et al. ChAMP: updated methylation analysis pipeline for
460		Illumina BeadChips. Bioinformatics 2017;33:3982-3984.
461	35.	Peters TJ, Buckley MJ, Statham AL, et al. De novo identification of differentially
462		methylated regions in the human genome. Epigenetics Chromatin 2015;8:6.
463	36.	Devall M, Ali MW, Eaton S, et al. Multi-omic analysis in normal colon organoids
464		highlights MSH4 as a novel marker of defective mismatch repair in Lynch syndrome and
465		microsatellite instability. Cancer Med 2023.
466	37.	Baharudin R, Ishak M, Muhamad Yusof A, et al. Epigenome-Wide DNA Methylation
467		Profiling in Colorectal Cancer and Normal Adjacent Colon Using Infinium Human
468		Methylation 450K. Diagnostics (Basel) 2022;12.
469	38.	Barrow TM, Klett H, Toth R, et al. Smoking is associated with hypermethylation of the
470		APC 1A promoter in colorectal cancer: the ColoCare Study. J Pathol 2017;243:366-375.
471	39.	Haider S, Waggott D, Lalonde E, et al. A bedr way of genomic interval processing. Source
472		Code for Biology and Medicine 2016;11:14.
473	40.	Colaprico A, Silva TC, Olsen C, et al. TCGAbiolinks: an R/Bioconductor package for
474		integrative analysis of TCGA data. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44:e71.
475	41.	Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
476		RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 2014;15:550.

477	42.	Phipson B, Maksimovic J, Oshlack A. missMethyl: an R package for analyzing data from
478		Illumina's HumanMethylation450 platform. Bioinformatics 2016;32:286-8.
479	43.	Mori T, Kondo H, Hase T, et al. Dietary phospholipids ameliorate fructose-induced
480		hepatic lipid and metabolic abnormalities in rats. J Nutr 2011;141:2003-9.
481	44.	Tranchida F, Tchiakpe L, Rakotoniaina Z, et al. Long-term high fructose and saturated fat
482		diet affects plasma fatty acid profile in rats. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2012;13:307-17.
483	45.	Lien EC, Vander Heiden MG. A framework for examining how diet impacts tumour
484		metabolism. Nature Reviews Cancer 2019;19:651-661.
485	46.	Jang C, Hui S, Lu W, et al. The Small Intestine Converts Dietary Fructose into Glucose and
486		Organic Acids. Cell Metab 2018;27:351-361 e3.
487	47.	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture.
488		2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 8th ed, 2015.
489	48.	Yang Q, Zhang Z, Gregg EW, et al. Added sugar intake and cardiovascular diseases
490		mortality among US adults. JAMA Intern Med 2014;174:516-24.
491	49.	Carethers JM. Risk factors for colon location of cancer. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol
492		2018;3:76.
493	50.	Carethers JM, Doubeni CA. Causes of Socioeconomic Disparities in Colorectal Cancer and
494		Intervention Framework and Strategies. Gastroenterology 2020;158:354-367.
495	51.	Konukiewitz B, Schmitt M, Silva M, et al. Loss of CDX2 in colorectal cancer is associated
496		with histopathologic subtypes and microsatellite instability but is prognostically inferior
497		to hematoxylin–eosin-based morphologic parameters from the WHO classification.
498		British Journal of Cancer 2021;125:1632-1646.
499		