

Supplemental Material

S1 DVC Errors

Supplemental Table S1: Average Baseline Error

	Baseline E_{rr} error	Baseline E_{zz} error	Baseline E_{rz} error	Baseline $E_{\theta\theta}$ error	Baseline $E_{r\theta}$ error	Baseline $E_{r\theta}$ error	Baseline U_r error	Baseline U_z error	Baseline U_θ error
LC072	0.0023	0.0023	0.0021	0.0019	-0.00010	-0.0046	0.44	-0.90	0.63
LC117	-0.00043	0.0011	-0.00019	-0.000015	-0.00046	-0.0055	-0.62	-0.72	-2.94
LC167	0.0012	0.0027	0.0014	-0.00037	0.0033	-0.0053	-0.72	-0.70	-3.79
LC181	0.00091	0.0067	0.0028	-0.0043	-0.00048	-0.0091	-0.58	-2.07	9.01
LC182	0.00014	0.00083	0.00020	0.00083	0.000056	0.0056	-0.12	0.20	3.51
LC208	-0.0012	-0.00035	0.0012	-0.0027	0.00025	-0.0033	1.31	-0.096	4.23
LC229	0.0018	0.0010	0.00033	0.00082	0.0039	-0.0096	0.81	-0.018	-0.28
LC238	0.0000029	0.00022	-0.00059	-0.00018	-0.00037	0.00016	0.26	-0.075	0.17
LC260	-0.000067	0.00067	-0.0020	-0.0024	-0.0012	0.000044	0.72	-0.45	4.20
LC296	-0.00046	0.0017	-0.00080	-0.00067	0.00025	-0.0052	-0.59	0.082	-0.065
LC297	0.00044	0.0014	-0.00049	0.0028	0.00049	-0.0024	-0.26	1.01	0.21
LC322	-0.00056	0.0016	0.0011	0.0016	0.00071	-0.0024	-0.22	-1.20	-1.26
LC323	-0.00084	-0.0000070	0.0014	0.000091	-0.0025	0.0014	0.65	0.021	-0.35
LC328	-0.000042	0.00030	0.0012	-0.0015	0.00027	0.0019	0.26	0.29	-0.94
LC356	-0.0013	0.0013	-0.00041	-0.00046	0.00090	0.0042	0.48	0.20	0.97
LC068	0.0015	-0.000033	-0.0013	-0.0021	-0.0017	-0.0043	0.23	0.24	1.21

Supplemental Table S2: Average correlation error

	Correlation E_{rr} error	Correlation E_{zz} error	Correlation E_{rz} error	Correlation $E_{\theta\theta}$ error	Correlation $E_{r\theta}$ error	Correlation $E_{r\theta}$ error	Correlation U_r error	Correlation U_z error	Correlation U_θ error
LC072	-0.0013	0.0040	-0.00052	0.0028	-0.000074	-0.00095	0.012	0.74	-0.35
LC117	-0.00067	0.0052	0.00017	0.0024	0.000082	-0.00052	-0.061	0.62	0.39
LC167	-0.0014	0.0037	-0.000095	0.0028	0.00011	-0.00028	0.052	0.65	0.27
LC181	-0.00071	0.0049	-0.000019	0.0030	0.00043	0.00071	-0.011	0.65	-0.28
LC182	-0.00012	0.0055	-0.00033	0.0035	0.000023	-0.00030	-0.0099	0.67	0.22
LC208	-0.0021	0.0091	0.00015	0.0040	0.00033	0.00015	0.068	0.57	-0.067
LC229	-0.00067	0.0062	0.00024	0.0039	0.00013	0.0014	-0.18	0.32	-0.28
LC238	-0.00062	0.0043	-0.000069	0.0031	0.000094	0.000019	0.051	0.63	0.14
LC260	-0.0012	0.0042	-0.000082	0.0029	0.000068	0.00039	-0.0037	0.73	-0.12
LC296	-0.00046	0.0058	0.00052	0.0030	0.00012	0.00038	-0.18	0.47	-0.20
LC297	-0.00067	0.0052	0.000012	0.0028	0.0000066	-0.00040	-0.034	0.68	0.095
LC322	-0.0030	0.0051	-0.0000029	0.0027	-0.00060	0.0013	-0.20	0.54	0.058
LC323	-0.00077	0.0055	0.000060	0.0026	0.0000079	0.00062	0.038	0.73	-0.16
LC328	-0.0025	0.0054	0.00030	0.0026	-0.000083	-0.00018	-0.070	0.61	-0.15
LC356	-0.0012	0.0052	-0.000056	0.0028	0.000097	-0.0025	0.16	0.76	0.49
LC068	-0.0015	0.0055	-0.000047	0.0023	0.0000011	-0.00021	-0.073	0.52	-0.34

Supplemental Table S3: The specimen-averaged baseline and correlation errors (n = 16, 15 patients)

	Strain Outcomes		Baseline error			Correlation error		
	Mean	std	mean	std	p-value	mean	std	p-value
E_{zz}	0.14	0.21	0.0013	0.0017	0.020	0.0053	0.0012	0.023
E_{rr}	0.000099	0.014	0.00021	0.0011	0.97	-0.0012	0.00079	0.72
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0028	0.024	-0.00042	0.0018	0.70	0.0029	0.00049	0.36
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0048	0.013	0.00020	0.0016	0.17	0.000046	0.00022	0.16
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0061	0.016	-0.0024	0.0044	0.37	-0.000023	0.00092	0.14
E_{rz}	0.0050	0.026	0.00037	0.0013	0.50	0.000014	0.00024	0.45

S2 LC depth change with strain

Supplemental Table S4: Linear regression analysis comparing the follow-up anterior LC depth change to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at no		Change in		R^2
	LC depth		strain per	1 μm anterior	
	change	p -value	change	p -value	
E_{zz}	-0.032	0.58	-0.0024	0.0044	0.48
E_{rr}	0.0015	0.79	0.000031	0.67	0.015
$E_{\theta\theta}$	0.0031	0.73	0.00014	0.23	0.11
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0053	0.30	0.000031	0.62	0.019
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.011	0.056	-0.00011	0.11	0.18
E_{rz}	0.00024	0.98	-0.000028	0.82	0.0042
E_{max}	0.0099	0.85	-0.0023	0.0028	0.51
Γ_{max}	0.025	0.31	-0.0011	0.0019	0.54

S3 LC after-lysis and IOP change

Supplemental Table S5: Linear regression analysis comparing the IOP decrease from before to 20 minutes after suturelysis to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain $n = 16$, 15 patients, LC Depth $n = 15$, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per 1mmHg IOP		R^2
	IOP	p -value	decrease	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-94.81	0.00035	2.50	0.046	0.27
E_{zz}	0.23	0.018	-0.0063	0.22	0.11
E_{rr}	-0.0018	0.76	0.00014	0.68	0.013
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0035	0.74	0.000053	0.93	0.00057
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0076	0.17	-0.00021	0.51	0.031
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0037	0.58	-0.00018	0.64	0.016
E_{rz}	0.012	0.30	-0.00048	0.45	0.042
E_{max}	0.25	0.0076	-0.0055	0.26	0.090
Γ_{max}	0.14	0.0030	-0.0024	0.30	0.077

Supplemental Table S6: Linear regression analysis comparing the IOP decrease from before trabeculectomy to after-lysis to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain $n = 16$, 15 patients, LC Depth $n = 15$, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per 1mmHg IOP decrease		R^2
	IOP	p -value	p -value	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-65.71	0.0029	0.691	0.66	0.016
E_{zz}	0.16	0.040	-0.0022	0.72	0.0095
E_{rr}	-0.0044	0.29	0.00064	0.084	0.20
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.011	0.13	0.0012	0.060	0.23
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0021	0.61	0.00038	0.28	0.082
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0092	0.080	0.00044	0.31	0.072
E_{rz}	0.0068	0.43	-0.00026	0.73	0.0088
E_{max}	0.19	0.010	-0.0022	0.70	0.011
Γ_{max}	0.12	0.0021	-0.0015	0.60	0.021

Supplemental Table S7: Linear regression analysis comparing the IOP decrease from after-lysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per 1mmHg IOP decrease		R^2
	IOP	p -value	p -value	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-49.49	0.0054	-2.85	0.11	0.18
E_{zz}	0.092	0.13	0.012	0.096	0.19
E_{rr}	0.0030	0.44	-0.00069	0.13	0.16
$E_{\theta\theta}$	0.00059	0.93	-0.00082	0.32	0.070
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0045	0.26	0.000078	0.86	0.0023
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0052	0.27	-0.00023	0.67	0.013
E_{rz}	0.00010	0.99	0.0012	0.17	0.13
E_{max}	0.13	0.028	0.011	0.11	0.17
Γ_{max}	0.086	0.0052	0.0053	0.099	0.18

Supplemental Table S8: Linear regression analysis comparing the years between after-lysis and follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per		R^2
	years	p -value	1 year	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-103.07	0.012	22.13	0.21	0.12
E_{zz}	0.094	0.48	0.023	0.70	0.011
E_{rr}	0.0011	0.90	-0.00050	0.90	0.0013
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.025	0.081	0.011	0.086	0.20
$E_{r\theta}$	-0.0033	0.67	0.0040	0.26	0.090
$E_{z\theta}$	0.0094	0.28	-0.0076	0.061	0.23
E_{rz}	-0.00040	0.98	0.0027	0.71	0.0099
E_{max}	0.12	0.35	0.028	0.62	0.018
Γ_{max}	0.073	0.24	0.017	0.53	0.028

Supplemental Table S9: Linear regression analysis comparing the mean IOP recorded between after-lysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per		R^2
	IOP	p -value	1mmHg IOP	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-110.42	0.020	4.37	0.23	0.11
E_{zz}	0.30	0.069	-0.015	0.28	0.082
E_{rr}	-0.0016	0.88	0.00016	0.86	0.0022
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.015	0.42	0.0011	0.48	0.036
$E_{r\theta}$	-0.0051	0.59	0.00090	0.27	0.085
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.00037	0.97	-0.00052	0.60	0.020
E_{rz}	0.021	0.30	-0.0014	0.40	0.051
E_{max}	0.31	0.051	-0.012	0.34	0.066
Γ_{max}	0.16	0.037	-0.0052	0.41	0.050

Supplemental Table S10: Linear regression analysis comparing the maximum IOP recorded between after-lysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain $n = 16$, 15 patients, LC Depth $n = 15$, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per		R^2
	IOP	p -value	1mmHg IOP	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-74.43	0.037	0.65	0.64	0.017
E_{zz}	0.19	0.15	-0.0022	0.68	0.012
E_{rr}	0.00067	0.93	-0.000028	0.94	0.00048
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.014	0.31	0.00056	0.36	0.060
$E_{r\theta}$	-0.0069	0.30	0.00058	0.060	0.23
$E_{z\theta}$	0.0018	0.84	-0.00039	0.32	0.071
E_{rz}	-0.0066	0.65	0.00057	0.38	0.055
E_{max}	0.22	0.081	-0.0019	0.71	0.0099
Γ_{max}	0.12	0.043	-0.00076	0.76	0.0070

Supplemental Table S11: Linear regression analysis comparing the minimum IOP recorded between after-lysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain $n = 16$, 15 patients, LC Depth $n = 15$, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per		R^2
	IOP	p -value	1mmHg IOP	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-86.95	0.0070	4.40	0.28	0.087
E_{zz}	0.22	0.048	-0.014	0.38	0.056
E_{rr}	-0.0037	0.58	0.00067	0.51	0.032
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0075	0.54	0.00083	0.64	0.016
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0016	0.79	0.00055	0.56	0.025
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0070	0.37	0.00015	0.89	0.0013
E_{rz}	0.020	0.11	-0.0027	0.15	0.14
E_{max}	0.25	0.021	-0.013	0.39	0.053
Γ_{max}	0.14	0.0082	-0.0061	0.39	0.054

Supplemental Table S12: Linear regression analysis comparing the range of IOPs recorded between after-lysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per		R^2
	IOP	p -value	1mmHg IOP	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-63.01	0.023	0.14	0.91	0.00092
E_{zz}	0.15	0.13	-0.00056	0.91	0.00085
E_{rr}	0.0015	0.80	-0.000099	0.77	0.0064
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0090	0.40	0.00043	0.47	0.038
$E_{r\theta}$	-0.0021	0.68	0.00047	0.12	0.17
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.00061	0.93	-0.00038	0.31	0.072
E_{rz}	-0.0070	0.52	0.00082	0.18	0.12
E_{max}	0.18	0.058	-0.00036	0.94	0.00040
Γ_{max}	0.11	0.024	-0.000035	0.99	0.000016

Supplemental Table S13: Linear regression analysis comparing the standard deviation of IOPs recorded between after-lysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per		R^2
	IOP	p -value	1mmHg IOP	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-68.20	0.020	1.55	0.74	0.0090
E_{zz}	0.18	0.092	-0.0077	0.67	0.014
E_{rr}	0.0013	0.83	-0.00027	0.81	0.0041
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0072	0.53	0.00096	0.64	0.017
$E_{r\theta}$	-0.00040	0.94	0.0011	0.28	0.081
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.00069	0.92	-0.0012	0.36	0.061
E_{rz}	-0.0057	0.63	0.0023	0.28	0.084
E_{max}	0.21	0.038	-0.0073	0.67	0.014
Γ_{max}	0.12	0.015	-0.0033	0.68	0.012

Supplemental Table S14: Linear regression analysis comparing the variance of IOPs recorded between after-lysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in strain per		R^2
	IOP	p -value	1mmHg IOP	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-61.76	0.0051	0.031	0.94	0.00049
E_{zz}	0.14	0.053	-0.00016	0.91	0.00089
E_{rr}	0.0010	0.82	-0.000034	0.73	0.0088
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0054	0.50	0.000094	0.58	0.022
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0013	0.74	0.00013	0.15	0.14
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0040	0.43	-0.000076	0.49	0.035
E_{rz}	-0.00069	0.93	0.00021	0.25	0.093
E_{max}	0.18	0.014	-0.00018	0.90	0.0012
Γ_{max}	0.11	0.0036	-0.000082	0.91	0.0010

S4 LC after-lysis and glaucomatous neuropathy

Supplemental Table S15: Linear regression analysis comparing the RNFL thickness measured at suturelysis to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0 μ m		Change in strain per		R^2
	RNFL	p -value	1 μ m RNFL	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-234.78	0.018	2.77	0.063	0.24
<i>E_{zz}</i>	0.84	0.026	-0.011	0.054	0.24
<i>E_{rr}</i>	0.017	0.50	-0.00027	0.50	0.033
<i>E_{$\theta\theta$}</i>	0.0015	0.97	-0.000068	0.92	0.00067
<i>E_{rθ}</i>	0.012	0.62	-0.00011	0.77	0.0063
<i>E_{zθ}</i>	0.019	0.49	-0.00041	0.36	0.060
<i>E_{rz}</i>	0.064	0.17	-0.00095	0.20	0.12
<i>E_{max}</i>	0.81	0.026	-0.010	0.070	0.22
<i>Γ_{max}</i>	0.38	0.032	-0.0044	0.11	0.17

Supplemental Table S16: Linear regression analysis comparing the MD measured at suturelysis to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0dB		Change in strain per		R^2
	MD	p -value	1dB MD	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-82.44	0.011	-2.00	0.38	0.059
E_{zz}	0.19	0.080	0.0049	0.56	0.024
E_{rr}	-0.0014	0.84	-0.00014	0.80	0.0050
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.00086	0.94	0.00019	0.85	0.0027
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0032	0.61	-0.00015	0.77	0.0065
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0061	0.42	0.000000033	1.00	<0.0001
E_{rz}	0.010	0.43	0.00050	0.63	0.017
E_{max}	0.24	0.024	0.0062	0.44	0.043
Γ_{max}	0.15	0.0057	0.0038	0.32	0.071

Supplemental Table S17: Linear regression analysis comparing the VFI measured at suturelysis to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0%		Change in strain per 1%		R^2
	VFI	p -value	VFI	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-13.52	0.80	-0.67	0.31	0.078
E_{zz}	0.021	0.91	0.0016	0.52	0.031
E_{rr}	0.0028	0.82	-0.000038	0.82	0.0039
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0013	0.95	-0.000020	0.94	0.00036
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0063	0.59	-0.000021	0.89	0.0014
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0056	0.69	-0.0000070	0.97	0.00010
E_{rz}	-0.0046	0.85	0.00013	0.67	0.013
E_{max}	0.034	0.85	0.0020	0.41	0.049
Γ_{max}	0.022	0.79	0.0012	0.30	0.075

Supplemental Table S18: Linear regression analysis comparing the MD change from suturelysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0dB		Change in strain per		R^2
	MD	p -value	1dB MD	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-61.07	0.0014	-0.19	0.96	0.00021
E_{zz}	0.14	0.033	-0.0041	0.78	0.0060
E_{rr}	-0.00045	0.90	-0.00049	0.60	0.020
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0066	0.25	-0.0034	0.024	0.31
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0029	0.34	-0.0017	0.033	0.28
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0060	0.17	0.000078	0.94	0.00040
E_{rz}	0.0018	0.78	-0.0029	0.084	0.20
E_{max}	0.17	0.0065	-0.0031	0.82	0.0038
Γ_{max}	0.11	0.0012	-0.00082	0.90	0.0011

Supplemental Table S19: Linear regression analysis comparing the VFI change from suturelysis to follow-up to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	Strain at 0%		Change in strain per 1%		R^2
	VFI	p -value	VFI	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-61.11	0.0014	-0.0698	0.95	0.00029
E_{zz}	0.14	0.027	0.00036	0.94	0.00046
E_{rr}	-0.00077	0.83	-0.00026	0.37	0.058
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0064	0.25	-0.0011	0.020	0.33
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0033	0.30	-0.00044	0.088	0.19
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0064	0.14	-0.000094	0.77	0.0060
E_{rz}	0.0037	0.60	-0.00039	0.48	0.037
E_{max}	0.18	0.0052	0.00061	0.89	0.0015
Γ_{max}	0.11	0.00090	0.00057	0.78	0.0056

S5 Comparison of after-lysis and follow-up strains

Supplemental Table S20: Linear regression analysis comparing the after-lysis strain to the follow-up strain. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients).

	Strain at 0		Change in follow-up strain per 1 after-lysis		R^2
	strain	p -value	strain	p -value	
E_{zz}	0.15	0.037	-1.42	0.71	0.010
E_{rr}	-0.0005	0.90	-0.33	0.75	0.0073
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-0.0023	0.72	0.33	0.53	0.028
$E_{r\theta}$	0.0045	0.21	0.13	0.79	0.0049
$E_{z\theta}$	-0.0074	0.032	-1.67	0.0068	0.42
E_{rz}	0.0050	0.47	0.21	0.87	0.0021
E_{max}	0.21	0.017	-1.62	0.57	0.024
Γ_{max}	0.13	0.0046	-1.48	0.42	0.046

Supplemental Table S21: Linear regression analysis comparing the strain after-lysis to the MD change from suturelysis to follow-up. Also shown are p -values and associated R^2 value (Strain n = 16, 15 patients, LC Depth n = 15, 14 patients).

	MD at 0		Change in MD per 1 after-lysis		R^2
	strain	p -value	strain	p -value	
<i>LC Depth</i>	-1.20	0.24	0.18	0.21	0.11
E_{zz}	-1.49	0.25	36.18	0.61	0.019
E_{rr}	-0.63	0.59	260.70	0.39	0.053
$E_{\theta\theta}$	-1.20	0.26	-54.38	0.53	0.029
$E_{r\theta}$	-0.65	0.52	-238.72	0.12	0.16
$E_{z\theta}$	-1.05	0.32	75.58	0.67	0.013
E_{rz}	-1.11	0.30	17.57	0.93	0.00060
E_{max}	-1.09	0.50	-1.21	0.98	0.000035
Γ_{max}	-0.58	0.73	-31.19	0.68	0.012