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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 1:** Methods of exercise intensity prescription classified into thresholds provided by the ACSM [1]. | | | | | |
|  | **%V̇O2peak** | **%HRpeak** | **%V̇O2reserve or %HRR** | **RPE (Borg 6 – 20 scale)** | **%1 RM** |
| Light | 37 – 45 | 57 – 63 | 30 – 39 | 9 – 11 | 30 – 49 |
| Moderate | 46 – 63 | 64 – 76 | 40 – 59 | 12 – 13 | 50 – 69 |
| Vigorous | 64 – 90 | 77 – 95 | 60 – 89 | 14 – 17 | 70 – 84 |
| Supramaximal | ≥91 | ≥96 | ≥90 | ≥18 | ≥85 |
| RPE assessed via the Borg (6 – 20 scale) [2]. %1 RM, percentage of one repetition maximum; ACSM, American College of Sports Medicine; %HRpeak, percentage of peak heart rate; %HRR, percentage of heart rate reserve; RPE, rating of perceived exertion; %V̇O2peak, percentage of peak oxygen uptake; %V̇O2reserve, percentage of oxygen uptake reserve. | | | | | |
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