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***Purpose:*** This supplementary file contains a sub-analysis of gait training cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) modalities. The purpose was to compare whether there are any transfer effects between a gait training intervention and upper-body exercise (i.e., arm crank ergometry performance in a CPET).

***Conclusion:*** Although there are no significant subgroup differences between arm-crank ergometry and treadmill performance following a gait training exercise intervention, there are larger pooled effect estimates for absolute and relative peak oxygen consumption in interventions using a treadmill CPET.

**Figure 1:** Forest plot of absolute peak oxygen consumption with gait training interventions grouped into subgroups by cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) modality. Subgroup difference p-value was adjusted for multiple comparisons; statistically significant at *p*<0.025.
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**Figure 2:** Forest plot of relative peak oxygen consumption with gait training interventions grouped into subgroups by cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) modality. Subgroup difference p-value was adjusted for multiple comparisons; statistically significant at *p*<0.025.



**Figure 3:** Forest plot of peak power output with gait training interventions using arm-crank ergometry (ACE) cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET). No interventions used treadmill CPET.