1	Preparing correctional settings for the next pandemic: a modelling study of COVID-19
2	outbreaks in two high-income countries
3	
4	Jisoo A. Kwon ¹ , Neil A. Bretaña ² , Nadine Kronfli ^{3,4} , Camille Dussault ³ Luke Grant ⁵ , Jennifer
5	Galouzis ⁵ , Wendy Hoey ⁶ , James Blogg ⁶ , Andrew R. Lloyd ¹ , Richard T. Gray ¹
6	
7	1. Kirby Institute, UNSW Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
8	2. University of South Australia, Australia
9	3. Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
10	4. McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
11	5. Corrective Services NSW, Australia
12	6. Justice Health Forensic Mental Health Network NSW, Australia
13	
14	Correspondence to:
15	Dr Jisoo Amy Kwon
16	Surveillance Evaluation and Research Program
17	The Kirby Institute
18	UNSW Sydney
19	Sydney NSW 2052
20	Australia
21	akwon@kirby.unsw.edu.au
22	Phone: +61-2 9385 0900 Fax: +61-2 9385 0920
23	

С	л
2	4

- 25 Article Summary Line: High vaccination coverage is required to eliminate the risk of an
- 26 outbreak in prisons
- **Running title:** Modelling COVID-19 transmission in prison settings
- 28 Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, modelling, prison, Australia, Canada
- **Abstract word count**: 150/150
- 31 Manuscript word count: 4400

37 Abstract

38 Correctional facilities are high-priority settings for coordinated public health responses to the 39 COVID-19 pandemic. These facilities are at high risk of disease transmission due to close 40 contacts between people in prison and with the wider community. People in prison are also 41 vulnerable to severe disease given their high burden of co-morbidities. We developed a 42 mathematical model to evaluate the effect of various public health interventions, including 43 vaccination, on the mitigation of COVID-19 outbreaks, applying it to prisons in Australia and 44 Canada. We found that, in the absence of any intervention, an outbreak would occur and 45 infect almost 100% of people in prison within 20 days of the index case. However, the rapid 46 rollout of vaccines with other non-pharmaceutical interventions would almost eliminate the 47 risk of an outbreak. Our study highlights that high vaccination coverage is required for 48 variants with high transmission probability to completely mitigate the outbreak risk in 49 prisons.

50

52 Introduction

53 Since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020, correctional facilities around the 54 world have experienced significant outbreaks of SARS-CoV-2 [1-5]. Such facilities 55 (including gaols/jails, prisons, and other custodial settings), termed here "prisons", are 56 vulnerable to outbreaks of SARS-CoV-2 and other highly transmissible respiratory infections 57 due to their congregate nature with unavoidable close contact between people. People in 58 prison are particularly vulnerable to severe COVID-19 given the higher prevalence of co-59 morbidities and poorer social determinants of health compared to the general population [2, 60 6, 7]. Prisons' enclosed environments mean that SARS-CoV-2 can easily spread between 61 people in prison, correctional and healthcare staff (for an Australian prison setting and this 62 terminology will be used throughout the manuscript)/correctional employees (in a Canadian 63 prison setting), and visitors. The transfer of people in prison between correctional facilities 64 and into the community can also fuel outbreaks in other facilities and into surrounding 65 communities [8]. Prisons are therefore high-priority settings for coordinated public health responses to the COVID-19 pandemic and future outbreaks of other respiratory infections [3, 66 67 9-12]. However, the response to COVID-19 in prisons has been hampered due to limited 68 access and use of personal protective equipment (PPE) in resource limited settings, poorer 69 access and delay to the vaccination and vaccine hesitancy, security and logistical constraints, 70 frequent movement of people between correctional settings, and the continuous entry and exit 71 of people into the prison [13-17]. Correctional settings, therefore, require system-level and 72 evidence-based responses [18, 19].

There have been several modelling studies evaluating the potential impact of prison-specific interventions to mitigate COVID-19 outbreaks in correctional settings. It was estimated that a large COVID-19 outbreak would be expected in prisons without both non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) such as the use of PPE for people in prison and staff, decarceration of

77 people in prison, quarantine at reception, isolation of people who are infected with COVID-78 19, and vaccination [20-24], particularly with delta and omicron variants [25-27], resulting in significant mortality [28]. These models, however, did not consider the heterogeneous 79 80 transmission network inside prisons, the characteristics of the population (among whom there 81 is an increased risk of severe disease), and, for the most part, failed to use real-world data for 82 calibration. These previous studies also neglected to focus on the combination of public 83 health interventions that could potentially mitigate COVID-19 outbreaks. To our knowledge, 84 this is the first study which has sought to model a combination of intervention strategies 85 using models validated with 'real-world' data. In this study, we aimed to develop a COVID-86 19 model for two high-income prison settings in Australia and Canada and validate the model 87 outputs against outbreak data from these two settings, and sought to evaluate the potential 88 impact of various intervention scenarios in averting cases and morbidity.

89

90

91 Methods

92 We previously developed a COVID-19 Incarceration model by expanding on an existing 93 spreadsheet model originally developed by Recidiviz (https://www.recidiviz.org) [29] to 94 capture additional complex features within prison environments, to reflect the mixing patterns 95 between people in prison and correctional and healthcare staff, and to model a broad range of interventions and mitigation strategies for COVID-19 outbreaks. The model is publicly 96 97 available under an open-access license (GNU General Public License, Version 3) via GitHub 98 [30] along with a user manual. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of New South Wales Sydney, Australia (HC200780). No 99

additional approval from the McGill University Health Centre Research Ethics Board was

- 101 necessary for the Canadian dataset as it was publicly available.
- 102 Settings for the Australian and Canadian prisons

The Australian prison is a maximum-level quarantine prison with approximately 1,000 adult men (>18 years old). The Canadian prison is the largest provincial prison in Quebec, where it was the epicenter of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic, with a capacity of 1,400 adult men (>18 years old) [31, 32]. Both prisons experienced SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks while multiple non pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) were in place, but prior to a vaccine being available. A more detailed explanation of COVID-19 outbreaks in both prisons and interventions implemented will be explained later.

110 <u>Model structure</u>

111 The scenarios and structures of the model were informed by a reference group drawn from 112 both healthcare and correctional organizations. A detailed explanation of the model structure 113 is available elsewhere [33]. Here we provide a summary and focus on the intervention 114 scenarios investigated in the two settings. Briefly, the model is compartmental and 115 implemented in Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA). The model includes compartments 116 representing the number of people in prison and staff who are susceptible, exposed, 117 infectious, have mild illness, severe illness, are hospitalized, and recovered, with the number 118 of deaths and new infections calculated daily (Figure 1). The model incorporates potential 119 virus transmission between people in prison, correctional and healthcare staff, and visitors. It 120 allows the designation of the prevalence of vulnerabilities in the population which could lead 121 to severe COVID-19 disease, varied numbers of close contacts, and the daily intake and 122 release of people in prison. People in prison are grouped by age in the model with a certain 123 proportion in each age group considered 'vulnerable' to severe COVID-19 (classified as a

124 patient of concern). Seven age-group cohorts were used for people in prison (0-19, 20-44, 45-125 54, 55-64, 65-74, 75-84, and 85+ years of age). The model incorporated the probability of 126 showing symptoms, being hospitalized, and moving to critical or intensive care among those 127 hospitalized (Table 1). Age-specific infection fatality rates were specified for each age group. 128 As people in prison enter the prison, they are allocated to each age group based on the age 129 distribution of the people currently incarcerated. The model is implemented in a difference 130 equation framework with the number of people in each compartment updated daily over 120 131 days. The model tracks people in prison who enter the prison either through reception or via 132 transfer from another correctional setting, the daily number of visitors, and correctional and 133 healthcare staff working at the site. People in prison leave the model population to reflect the 134 number that are released after the end of their sentence or released early as a public health 135 mitigation measure. We assumed symptomatic people in prison were not released until 136 recovered. Staff are assumed to attend the prison site every day. Individuals from the 137 community can visit the site every day to represent family visitors, but they are assumed to 138 only have a limited number of contacts each visit (with a family member in prison and 139 correctional staff).

140 The COVID-19 progression rates were based on published data. The transmission of COVID-141 19 from infected to susceptible people per close contact with an infectious person had a value 142 of 0.05 for the alpha variant [34], a value based on epidemics in Wuhan, China, accounting 143 for different contacts through school, home, work and other contacts. While distance used for 144 a close contact varies internationally, for the purposes of our analyses, we defined a close 145 contact to be a distance of less than 1.5 meters for longer than 15 minutes. We assumed the 146 transmission probability was 1.5 times higher for the delta variant [35], and two times higher 147 for the omicron variant, compared to the alpha variant [26, 27]. This transmission probability 148 was adjusted to reflect the variable of susceptibility by age, the use of PPE (including masks,

149 hand washing, and personal hygiene measures) and disease stage (Table 1). Viral shedding 150 during the course of infection was considered to be low during the exposed stage [36], and 151 hospitalized patients (assumed to be isolated) and healthcare workers were assumed to always 152 wear PPE (assumed to be 1 in the 'Infectious' stage and from 0 'Exposure' to 0.8 among 153 healthcare staff (Table 1)). The number of contacts is specified in the model for each 154 population group, and we assumed homogeneous mixing within the modelled prison setting. 155 The effect of vaccination in preventing transmission and reducing hospitalization among 156 people in prison and staff receiving the first and second dose is detailed in Table 1.

157 Interventions incorporated into the model

158 The effects of five intervention strategies to reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission were 159 incorporated into the model (Figure 2). All NPIs are delineated in light pink and vaccination 160 in dark orange. These include: 1. Deferred incarceration or early release of people in prison 161 (decarceration), 2. Use of PPE by staff or people in prison, including gloves and masks, 3. 162 Quarantine of new people in prison at reception (assumed quarantine for 14 days for all 163 newly admitted people in prison in single cells (preferred) or in groups (if quarantine capacity 164 is limited), 4. Isolation of people in prison with suspected or proven infection (assumed 165 isolation for 14 days), and 5. Vaccination of people in prison and staff. Each intervention was 166 simulated individually or in combination (combining interventions from 1 to 4) for NPIs, and 167 a combination of NPI with vaccination (combining interventions from 1 to 5).

168 <u>Demographic data</u>

We collected data regarding demographics and prison characteristics from Corrective Services NSW (CSNSW) and the Justice Health & Forensic Mental Health Network (JHFMHN) for the Australian prison and the Ministry of Public Security [37, 38] for the Canadian prison. The number of contacts per person each day was estimated by the average

173 number of people in prison in each cell, yard capacity; the size of work, training, or exercise 174 groups; the number of patients each healthcare staff saw each day; the number of correctional 175 staff working each shift and attending change-over meetings; and by surveys of staff and 176 people in NSW prisons (collected separately and provided by CSNSW). Although staff work 177 in shifts, they were assumed to intermingle extensively during each shift resulting in a high 178 number of contacts and sufficient enough to transmit the virus during shifts. The number of 179 contacts per healthcare staff) was estimated from the number of patients seen per day. It was 180 assumed that 70-80% of healthcare staff have close contact with other correctional staff 181 (personal communication with CSNSW and JHFMHN reference group). As this information 182 was not available for the Canadian prison, we used similar intermingling and number of 183 contacts per inmate and staff as the Australian prison as both facilities have similar structures 184 and resources. We gathered all the detailed data explained above through consultation with 185 the reference group which was then incorporated into the parameters.

186 <u>The Baseline scenario</u>

187 The Australian prison experienced an outbreak with the delta variant from 11 August 2021 188 following multiple entries of infected inmates and staff. There were multiple NPIs in place at 189 the time of the outbreak including: decarceration of people in prison, reduction in contacts, 190 quarantine for 14 days at reception (entry), isolation of people in prison with suspected or 191 proven infection, PPE for people in prison and staff, and thermal screening of non-essential 192 staff and family visitors. Note that decarceration of people in prison in the Australian prison 193 strategy was existed but the population size was not changed during the outbreak of COVID-194 19.

The Canadian prison experienced an outbreak during the early stages of the pandemic from 196 15 April 2020 when staff infected with the alpha variant entered the prison. Prior to this 197 outbreak, there were several NPIs already in place aimed at controlling the number of close

198 contacts each day, including: isolation among people in prison with suspected or proven 199 infection, cessation of all visitors, 14-day quarantine of newly incarcerated people, and the 200 distribution of PPE for all staff. Distribution of PPE to all people in prison was introduced in 201 this prison during the outbreak from 2 June 2020 onwards.

202 To correspond to what is believed to have occurred and set a 'baseline scenario' for both the 203 Australian and Canadian prison models (Figure 3), we used the prison-specific demographic 204 data as well as the interventions in place at the time of each prison's first outbreak. No 205 vaccines were available in either prison at the time of the outbreak, however, vaccination 206 began in the Australian prison among people in prison and staff during the outbreak and it 207 likely contributed to mitigating the outbreak. In the Canadian prison, the first vaccine was administered on April 30, 2021 (personal communication on 19 January 2022, CIUSSS du 208 209 Nord-de-l'Île-de-Montréal). A counterfactual 'no-response' scenario was run to see how 210 large the COVID-19 outbreak could have been with no interventions in place (Figure 3).

211 Applications of the model

212 Scenarios simulated

We simulated each model intervention separately (using scenarios 1 to 5) and in a combination scenario for 120 days to project the potential epidemic of COVID-19 within people in prison and staff. The number of hospital and intensive care unit (ICU) beds required are estimated from the model.

For the vaccination scenarios, on the advice of the reference group for the Australian prison, we assumed 50% of people in prison and 100% of staff were vaccinated (an estimate of the likely achievable coverage as vaccination of staff was mandated in the prison system). We assumed the same vaccination coverage among people in prison and staff in the Canadian prison as this information was not available. For intervention scenarios, we used the beta

222	variant for both Australian and Canadian prisons to determine the impact of intervention
223	strategies in both prisons. We further simulated a vaccination scenario using the omicron
224	variant in both prisons to assess the possible impact of vaccination status in reducing
225	COVID-19 outbreaks for variants with a higher transmission probability (Appendix).

226

227 **Results**

Model calibration and validation: impact of model assumptions in the Australian and Canadian prisons

230 Our model matched both the Australian and Canadian COVID-19 outbreaks well (Figure 3). 231 In the Australian prison, where all NPIs were in place before the outbreak, the infections 232 peaked on day 23 (Figure 3) with the first death from COVID-19 on day 26. The model 233 estimated that there would have been 850 cumulative infections over 120 days with 1.7% of 234 cases hospitalized at the peak of the infection (Table 2). In the Canadian prison, the infections 235 peaked on day 28, with the first death from COVID-19 on day 33. The model estimated that 236 there would have been 910 cumulative infections over 120 days with 80 people hospitalized 237 at the peak of the infection (Table 2). Although the modelled estimates were higher than the 238 number of people who were diagnosed with COVID-19 in the Canadian prison, we believe 239 that there were undiagnosed cases in the prison (personal communication on 28th July 2020, 240 CIUSSS du Nord-de-l'Île-de-Montréal). Therefore, the estimated modelling outbreak (blue line) was used as the baseline to assess the impact of the interventions compared to the 241 242 baseline scenario.

243 Absence of interventions

244 Australian prison

245 Our model showed that, in the absence of any interventions (no response scenario, assuming 246 admissions and releases continue), almost 100% of people in prison would become infected 247 within 21 days of the outbreak, with 190 infections a day at the peak of the outbreak (day 14; 248 Table 2 and Figure 3). Within 120 days of the outbreak, a total of 180 deaths due to COVID-249 19 were estimated (with deaths yet to plateau by 120 days; Table 2). At the peak of 250 prevalence, approximately 84% of correctional and 92% of healthcare staff would also be 251 infected and unable to attend work at the prison (Appendix, Figure A.1). Furthermore, our 252 model estimated that if no response were in place, 470 hospital including 70 ICU beds would 253 be needed at the peak of the outbreak in the local hospital facility (Table 2).

254 Canadian prison

255 For the following intervention scenarios in the Canadian prison, the delta variant was used to 256 ensure consistency with the Australian prison. The model showed that, in the absence of a 257 public health response (no response scenario), there would have been a large spike of 258 COVID-19 cases (assuming admissions and releases continue) with almost 100% of people in 259 prison becoming infected within 20 days (Figure 3). In this scenario, a total of 4,520 people 260 in prison and staff would be infected during 120 days of an outbreak, with 160 deaths (Table 261 2). At the peak of the prevalence among people in prison, 94% of correctional and 83% of 262 healthcare staff would also be infected and unable to attend work at the prison (Appendix 263 A.1). Our model estimated that if no response were in place, 320 hospital including 50 ICU 264 beds would be needed at the peak of the outbreak (Table 2).

265

266 Interventions

267 <u>Australian prison</u>

268 The model predicted that reducing the prison population size (decarceration) had the biggest 269 impact in reducing infections (among both people in prison and staff) in the Australian prison 270 (59% reduction in cumulative incidence), followed by isolation of people in prison (52% 271 reduction), PPE (11% reduction), and quarantine at reception (6% reduction in reception 272 prison) (Figure 4 (a), Table 2). The model also showed that each intervention would reduce 273 the number of occupied hospital and ICU beds (Table 2). In combination, the interventions 274 (baseline) led to a substantially reduced outbreak with 77% fewer infections during the 120-275 day outbreak period compared to the no-response scenario (Figure 4 (a), Table 2).

276 <u>Canadian prison</u>

277 In the Canadian prison, decarceration also had the biggest impact in reducing cumulative 278 infection over 120 days (69% reduction), followed by PPE (17% reduction), quarantine at 279 reception (12% reduction), and isolation of people in prison (5% reduction) (Figure 4 (b), 280 Table 2). The impact of isolation was smaller in the Canadian prison than in the Australian 281 prison due to the reduced capacity for isolation and quarantine of people in prison (a 282 maximum of 100 inmates for isolation and 252 for quarantine in the Canadian prison 283 compared to a maximum of 900 for isolation and guarantine in the Australian prison). In the 284 baseline scenario, 80% of COVID-19 infections were averted compared to the no-response 285 scenario over 120 days (Figure 4 (b), Table 2).

286 <u>Vaccination coverage among people in prison with/without NPIs</u>

The model predicted immunization of people in prison and staff would have a substantial impact on COVID-19 outbreaks. Ensuring at least 50% of people in prison are vaccinated with 100% of staff fully vaccinated (following a mandate which occurred in Australia but not in Canada) from day 0 in both prison settings would completely prevent an outbreak from occurring if other NPIs remain in place during the 120-day outbreak period (Figure 4, Table

292 2). Our model predicted that 50% vaccination coverage among people in prison is not enough
293 to prevent COVID-19 outbreaks when transmission probability is high such as for the
294 omicron variant, which has twice the transmission probability of the alpha variant (Appendix
295 Figure A.2 & Figure A.3). Conversely, vaccinating 100% of people in prison and staff would
296 prevent COIVD-19 outbreaks for both beta and omicron variants (Appendix Figure A.2 &
297 Figure A.3).

298 <u>Coverage of vaccination among staff</u>

Ensuring 100% of correctional and healthcare staff are fully vaccinated (defined as a minimum of two doses of any mRNA vaccine) would mitigate transmission among staff even without other NPIs ensuring there would be minimal impact on the workforce (with delta variant, Appendix Figure A.4). In this scenario, almost 77% of the outbreaks among staff would be reduced but would still occur among people in prison, with a slower growth rate and a lower peak in daily infections, even if the outbreak was initiated by a staff member.

305

306 Discussion

307 We developed a mathematical model incorporating the infrastructure of prison settings, 308 COVID transmission, and disease dynamics. The model was used to assess combinations of 309 targeted public health strategies to illustrate epidemic patterns and the effect of prevention or 310 mitigation programs. The model can be readily adapted for application to different prison 311 settings and to other respiratory viruses with similar transmission patterns, and so could be 312 used for general pandemic preparedness in prison settings in the future. We applied the model 313 to two prisons in two high-income countries - Australia and Canada, including the key 314 characteristics of the prisons where real-world COVID-19 outbreaks occurred. Our model 315 showed that modelling outputs predicted the COVID-19 caseload well, and highlighted the

fact that there is a substantial risk of a major COVID-19 outbreak within prisons if an infected person/staff enters in the absence of control measures. Most importantly, our model demonstrated that NPI combined with vaccination (completely prevent an outbreak from occurring) was the most effective interventions, followed by decarceration (59-69% reduction in cumulative incidence over 120 days) in reducing COVID-19 outbreaks in prison settings.

321 The model showed that NPIs, and in particular, decarceration, can reduce the size of a 322 COVID-19 outbreak within prisons and substantially reduce associated morbidity and 323 mortality. Reducing the prison population size (decarceration), quarantine of people in prison 324 at reception, and isolation of symptomatic people in prison are designed to reduce close 325 contacts between infected and susceptible individuals—and essentially reduce the susceptible 326 population within a prison, while the widespread use of PPE and vaccination reduces the risk 327 of transmission during close contact. While these interventions are effective, our modelling 328 showed that an outbreak could still occur. Our findings are important for resource-limited 329 settings where access to vaccines in correctional settings may not available. For example, in 330 Cambodia, prison authorities were urged to take action to reduce COVID-19 outbreaks [39] 331 as the average prison occupancy was greater than three times its capacity. Hence, a decision 332 to release people from prison (primarily those who pose minimal risk to public safety) was 333 made to reduce overcrowding [39]. Reducing the number of people entering or leaving 334 prisons to minimize the change of the COVID-19 outbreaks was also introduced in NSW 335 prisons in the early outbreaks [40]

Where possible, vaccination of both people in prison and staff, combined with NPIs, will mitigate all future outbreaks and should be prioritized in countries where this has not occurred [41]. Our model highlighted that at the peak of prevalence among people in prison, 94% of correctional and 83% of healthcare staff would be infected and unable to attend work at prison. The loss of correctional and healthcare staff in person due to COVID-19, not only

341 jeopardizes the safety and wellbeing of incarcerated individuals but also poses a significant 342 threat to the public health of the wider community, as the virus can easily spread beyond the prison walls through staff members who may unknowingly carry the infection outside of the 343 344 facility. Additionally, the absence of correctional staff can lead to a breakdown of order and 345 security within the prison, making it more difficult to maintain the safety and rehabilitation of 346 people in prison. Thus, it is crucial to prioritize the health and safety of correctional staff in 347 order to ensure the overall well-being of both those incarcerated and the general public. It is 348 also important to note that maintaining a high level of booster uptake is essential to ensure the 349 immunity of both people in prison and staff in correctional settings which will help mitigate 350 the risk of new outbreaks occurring.

351 A recent modelling study in the United Kingdom assessed the impact of vaccination, 352 combined with various restriction levels (different rules in place to reduce close contacts 353 including opening of non-essential shops, retails, traveling throughout the country or abroad, 354 national lockdown), in reducing the number of people hospitalized and deaths due to COVID-355 19 [42]. The model highlighted that even with low level restrictions, vaccination can prevent 356 number of people being hospitalized. The feasibility of achieving this comprehensive 357 approach will likely vary across prisons, depending on the available resources, health and 358 correctional infrastructure, and nature of operations within the facility. Another modelling 359 study also showed that the combination of NPIs and vaccination can prevent deaths due to 360 COVID-19, but required immense effort [20, 43]. For example, more than doubling of the 361 vaccination rate was needed to halve the deaths within 100 days [43]. Therefore, it is evident 362 that ongoing NPIs are needed in prison even if the vaccination rates are high, particularly 363 with the emergence of increasingly transmissible COVID-19 variants.

There are some limitations to our study. It is important to note that as our model is compartmental, it does not capture all the complexities within a prison, or the specific

366 interactions between individuals. This means it may overestimate the magnitude of an 367 outbreak in a prison where the internal structure includes multiple wings and yards that can 368 be isolated from each other in the event of an outbreak. Our model is also deterministic which 369 means it does not capture probabilistic effects when the infection numbers are small. The 370 model describes the movement between quarantine and isolation and the general prison 371 population as an average rate equal to the inverse of the quarantine/isolation period. This 372 means that there can be a slow release of infected individuals from quarantine/isolation in the 373 model catalyzing an outbreak earlier than what might be expected. Depending on the 374 intervention parameters, these are shown as a delayed trajectory with a slightly lower peak. 375 However, people in prison in quarantine/isolation may still interact with staff, and exposed 376 individuals may be released at the end of their quarantine/isolation periods, meaning that this 377 slow spread of infection from quarantine/isolation is not unrealistic. Our model did not take 378 into account the reduction in population size resulting from policing and court orders during 379 COVID-19 outbreaks. For our next study, we plan to develop a more detailed individual-380 based model that considers the movement of inmates between prisons and courts. Finally, our 381 model does not describe the impact of varied testing strategies for COVID-19.

382 Our study has several strengths. While our model was designed to investigate interventions 383 for SARS-CoV-2 transmission in prisons, its structure is flexible enough to consider other 384 respiratory infections in other closed population settings by changing the transmission 385 probability. It also ensures flexibility to define and assess different scenarios and a 386 combination of targeted public health strategies to illustrate epidemic patterns and the effect 387 of prevention or mitigation programs. Here, we focused on COVID-19 outbreaks in two 388 'real-world' prison settings with intervention strategies to mitigate future outbreaks. Finally, 389 the Australian and Canadian prisons were both male prisons, however, model inputs were

based on published data for both females and males. Therefore, our findings are likelygeneralizable to female prisons.

392	In conclusion, our analysis demonstrates that the entry of one infected person into prison is
393	sufficient to establish an outbreak, infecting almost all people in prison within 120 days in the
394	absence of an intervention. A high vaccination coverage, in combination with other NPIs,
395	would eliminate the risk of an outbreak in a prison, but the feasibility of these interventions
396	will depend on both the health and custodial infrastructure of the facility. Lessons learnt from
397	this study can be used to evaluate other respiratory viruses in congregate settings in the future.

399 Acknowledgements

400 JAK produced results, interpretation of findings, and wrote the manuscript; NAB provided interpretation of findings and manuscript writing; NK assisted in data collection, 401 402 interpretation of findings, and manuscript writing; CD assisted in data collection, 403 interpretation of findings, and manuscript writing; LG led the study, providing oversight in 404 the design, implementation, interpretation of findings, and manuscript writing; JG, WH, and 405 JB assisted in data collection and editing the manuscript; ARL led the study, providing 406 oversight in the design, implementation, interpretation of findings, and manuscript writing; 407 RTG led the study, providing oversight in the design, implementation, interpretation of 408 findings, and manuscript writing. We acknowledge Nicola Archer-Faux from Department of 409 Communities and Justice, Kimberley Conlan from Corrective Services NSW, Joshua Taylor 410 from NSW health, Colette McGrath from Justice Health Forensic Mental Health Network 411 NSW, Kevin Corcoran from Corrective Services NSW, Andrew Warren and Justine Kunz 412 from the Recidiviz team, and David Boettiger from the Kirby Institute, UNSW for their input.

413

414 **Disclaimers**

This study was funded by Corrective Services NSW. The Kirby Institute is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and is affiliated with the Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Sydney. The views expressed in this publication do not necessarily represent the position of the Australian Government.

419

420 Biographical Sketch

Dr. Amy Kwon is a mathematical modeler (lecturer) in Sydney. Her main research focuses onthe development of mathematical models to understand the epidemiology of infectious

423	disea	se and predict the impact and cost-effectiveness of interventions. She also leads other
424	mode	elling projects to design intervention strategies and their impacts to assist governments in
425	maki	ng informed decisions that affect the annual budget and resource allocation.
426	Refe	rences
427		
428	1.	The COVID PRISON PROJECT. News about COVID-19 in Prisons across the World.
429		[cited 2021 14 July]; Available from: https://covidprisonproject.com/additional-
430		resources/global-outbreaks/.
431	2.	Yang, H. and J.R. Thompson, Fighting covid-19 outbreaks in prisons. BMJ, 2020.
432		369 : p. m1362.
433	3.	Wang, E.A., B. Western, and D.M. Berwick, COVID-19, Decarceration, and the Role
434		of Clinicians, Health Systems, and Payers: A Report From the National Academy of
435		<i>Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine.</i> JAMA, 2020. 324 (22): p. 2257-2258.
436	4.	Kronfli, N., et al., Importance of occupation for SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and
437		COVID-19 vaccination among correctional workers in Quebec, Canada: A cross-
438		sectional study. Front Public Health, 2022. 10: p. 1021871.
439	5.	Kronfli, N., et al., Seroprevalence and Risk Factors for Severe Acute Respiratory
440		Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Among Incarcerated Adult Men in Quebec, Canada, 2021.
441		Clin Infect Dis, 2022. 75 (1): p. e165-e173.
442	6.	Dumont, D.M., et al., Public health and the epidemic of incarceration. Annu Rev
443		Public Health, 2012. 33 : p. 325-39.
444	7.	Kinner, S.A., et al., Prisoner and ex-prisoner health - improving access to primary
445		care. Aust Fam Physician, 2012. 41(7): p. 535-7.
446	8.	Stanley, L.L., Influenza at San Quentin Prison, California. Public Health Reports
447		(1896-1970), 1919. 34 (19): p. 996-1008.

- 448 9. Kinner, S.A., et al., *Prisons and custodial settings are part of a comprehensive*
- 449 *response to COVID-19.* Lancet Public Health., 2020. **5**(4): p. e188-e189. doi:
- 450 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30058-X. Epub 2020 Mar 17.
- 451 10. World Health Organization, Preparedness, prevention and control of COVID-19 in
- 452 *prisons and other places of detention: interim guidance*. 2021, World Health
- 453 Organization: Regional Office for Europe.
- 454 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Interim guidance on management
- 455 of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in correctional and detention facilities.
- 456 2022 [cited 2022 30 August]; Available from:
- 457 <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/correction-</u>
- 458 <u>detention/guidance-correctional-detention.html</u>.
- 459 12. Lopez, W.D., et al., Preventing the Spread of COVID-19 in Immigration Detention
- 460 *Centers Requires the Release of Detainees.* Am J Public Health, 2021. **111**(1): p. 110-
- 461 115.
- 462 13. Lessard, D., et al., Barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 vaccine acceptability
- 463 *among people incarcerated in Canadian federal prisons: A qualitative study.* Vaccine
- 464 X, 2022. **10**: p. 100150.
- 465 14. Ortiz-Paredes, D., et al., *Reasons for COVID-19 vaccine refusal among people*
- 466 *incarcerated in Canadian federal prisons*. PLoS One, 2022. **17**(3): p. e0264145.
- 467 15. Romanchuk, K., et al., Determinants of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine willingness among
- 468 people incarcerated in 3 Canadian federal prisons: a cross-sectional study. CMAJ
- 469 Open, 2022. **10**(4): p. E922-E929.
- 470 16. Zolotarova, T., et al., *Education increases COVID-19 vaccine uptake among people in*
- 471 *Canadian federal prisons in a prospective randomized controlled trial: The*
- 472 *EDUCATE study.* Vaccine, 2023. **41**(8): p. 1419-1425.

- 473 17. Justice Health and Forensic Mental Health Network. In response to 'Prisoners being
- 474 *denied vaccinations'*. 31 September 2021; Available from:
- 475 <u>https://www.justicehealth.nsw.gov.au/about-us/news-and-events/media-statements.</u>
- 47618.World Health Organization, Preparedness, prevention and control of COVID-19 in
- 477 *prisons and other places of detention.* WHO: Denmark.
- 478 19. Duarte, C., et al., COVID-19 outbreak in a state prison: a case study on the
- 479 *implementation of key public health recommendations for containment and prevention.*
- 480 BMC Public Health, 2022. **22**(1): p. 977.
- 481 20. Ryckman, T., et al., *Outbreaks of COVID-19 variants in US prisons: a mathematical*
- 482 *modelling analysis of vaccination and reopening policies.* Lancet Public Health, 2021.
- **483 6**(10): p. e760-e770.
- 484 21. Adiga, A., et al., *Mathematical Models for COVID-19 Pandemic: A Comparative*485 *Analysis.* J Indian Inst Sci, 2020. 100(4): p. 793-807.
- 486 22. Anastassopoulou, C., et al., *Data-based analysis, modelling and forecasting of the*487 *COVID-19 outbreak.* PLoS One, 2020. 15(3): p. e0230405.
- 488 23. Jewell, N.P., J.A. Lewnard, and B.L. Jewell, *Predictive Mathematical Models of the*
- 489 *COVID-19 Pandemic: Underlying Principles and Value of Projections.* JAMA, 2020.
- **323**(19): p. 1893-1894.
- 491 24. Kucharski, A.J., et al., *Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a*492 *mathematical modelling study*. Lancet Infect Dis, 2020. 20(5): p. 553-558.
- 493 25. Irvine, M., et al., Modeling COVID-19 and Its Impacts on U.S. Immigration and
- 494 *Customs Enforcement (ICE) Detention Facilities*, 2020. J Urban Health, 2020. 97(4):
 495 p. 439-447.
- 496 26. Yang, W. and L. Shaman, *SARS-CoV-2 transmission dynamics in South Africa and*497 *epidemiological characteristics of the Omicron variant.* medRxiv, 2021.

- 498 27. Madewell, Z.J., et al., Household Secondary Attack Rates of SARS-CoV-2 by Variant
- 499 and Vaccination Status: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA
- 500 Netw Open, 2022. **5**(4): p. e229317.
- 501 28. Lofgren, E., et al., *The Epidemiological Implications of Jails for Community*,
- 502 *Corrections Officer, and Incarcerated Population Risks from COVID-19.* medRxiv,
- the prepring server for health sciences, 2021.
- recidiviz. *COVID–19 Incarceration Model*. 2020 [cited 2020 March]; Available from:
 https://www.recidiviz.org/.
- 506 30. Gray, R.T. and J.A. Kwon, *covid19-closed-pop-models*. 2021.
- 507 31. Gouvernement du Québec, Étude des crédits 2019–2020. 2019.
- 508 32. Gouvernement du Québec, *Nombre de cas dans les établissements de détention*. 2021.
- 509 33. Kwon, J.A., et al., The COVID-19 Incarceration Model: a tool for corrective staff to
- 510 *analyse COVID-19 outbreak strategies.* International Corrections & Prisons
- 511 Association (ICPA), 2021(12): p. 54-66.
- 512 34. Davies, N.G., et al., Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-
- 513 *19 epidemics*. Nat Med, 2020. **26**(8): p. 1205-1211.
- 514 35. Davies, N.G., et al., *Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage*
- 515 *B.1.1.7 in England*. Science, 2021. **372**(6538).
- 36. He, X., et al., *Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19*.
 Nat Med, 2020. 26(5): p. 672-675.
- 518 37. Infocentre correctionnel, *Données issues du système DACOR*. 2020: Unpublished data.
- 519 38. Système de gestion des visites et des effets de l'Établissement de détention de
- 520 *Montréal*. 2020: Unpublished data.

- 521 39. Human Rights Watch. *Cambodia: Urgently Address Covid-19 Outbreak in Prisons*.
- 522 2021; Available from: <u>https://www.hrw.org/news/2021/05/23/cambodia-urgently-</u>
- 523 <u>address-covid-19-outbreak-prisons</u>.
- 40. Blogg, J., et al., Lessons learned from keeping NSW's prisons COVID-free.
- 525 International Journal of Prisoner Health, 2021. **17**(3): p. 398-405.
- 526 41. Kronfli, N. and M.J. Akiyama, *Prioritizing incarcerated populations for COVID-19*
- 527 *vaccination and vaccine trials*. EClinicalMedicine, 2021. **31**: p. 100659.
- 42. Rinaldi, G., et al., *Epidemiological model based periodic intervention policies for*
- 529 *COVID-19 mitigation in the United Kingdom.* Sci Rep, 2022. **12**(1): p. 15660.
- 43. Xu, Z., B. Wu, and U. Topcu, *Control strategies for COVID-19 epidemic with*
- 531 *vaccination, shield immunity and quarantine: A metric temporal logic approach.*
- 532 PLoS One, 2021. **16**(3): p. e0247660.
- 44. Lauer, S.A., et al., *The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)*
- From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases: Estimation and Application. Ann Intern
 Med, 2020. 172(9): p. 577-582.
- 45. World Health Organization, *Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19).* 2020.
- 46. Linton, N.M., et al., *Incubation Period and Other Epidemiological Characteristics of*
- 5392019 Novel Coronavirus Infections with Right Truncation: A Statistical Analysis of
- 540 *Publicly Available Case Data*. J Clin Med, 2020. **9**(2).
- 47. Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). *Causes of Death, Australia*. 2018 [cited 2020]
- 542 1/10/2020]; Available from: <u>https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/causes-</u>
- 543 <u>death/causes-death-australia/latest-release</u>.
- 48. Rabie, T. and V. Curtis, Handwashing and risk of respiratory infections: a
- 545 *quantitative systematic review*. Trop Med Int Health, 2006. **11**(3): p. 258-67.

- 546 49. Chu, D.K., et al., *Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent*
- 547 *person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review*
- 548 *and meta-analysis.* Lancet, 2020. **395**(10242): p. 1973-1987.
- 549 50. Gostic, K., et al., *Estimated effectiveness of symptom and risk screening to prevent the*550 *spread of COVID-19.* Elife, 2020. 9.
- 551 51. Pouwels, K.B., et al., Effect of Delta variant on viral burden and vaccine effectiveness
- 552 *against new SARS-CoV-2 infections in the UK*. Nat Med, 2021. **27**(12): p. 2127-2135.
- 553 52. Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, *Doherty modelling report for*
- 554 *National Cabinet 30 July 2021*. 2021.
- 555 53. Verity, R., et al., Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-
- 556 *based analysis*. Lancet Infect Dis, 2020. **20**(6): p. 669-677.
- 557 54. Ferguson, N.M., et al., *Report 9: Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs)*558 to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. 2020, Imperial College
- 559 London.
- 560

561

563 Figure 1: Schematic diagram of COVID-19 disease progression among people in prison and

564 staff



565

566 Figure 2: Interventions incorporated into the model. Each intervention and combined

567 interventions were compared to the no response scenario (status quo). Non-pharmaceutical

interventions are in light pink (NPIs) and vaccination is in dark orange.



Figure 3: Number of new infections of COVID-19 among people in prison with the existing prevention strategies at the time of the outbreak (baseline, (a) and (c)) and comparison with the no intervention strategies (no response scenario (b) and (d)) in NSW, Australia andQuebec, Canada prisons. The baseline scenario in NSW, Australia was estimated with the delta variant and Quebec, Canada was estimated with the original variant.





Figure 4: Change in the number of people in prison infected, hospitalized, recovered and the number who have died throughout a COVID-19 outbreak (delta variant) in the (a) NSW, Australia and (b) Quebec, Canada under the no-response and intervention scenarios. Each intervention is applied separately and in combination.





b) Quebec, Canada



Table 1: Model inputs and parameter estimates

Parameters	Value	Reference
Disease progression rates		
Time to symptoms	5.1 days	[44]
Non-contagious incubation period	3.1 days	[36]
Mild case recovery time	16 days	[45]
Severe case recovery time	31 days	[45]
Fatality from hospitalization	8.3 days	[46]
		Based on all-cause
		mortality for Indigenous vs
The relative increase in mortality for vulnerable	1.6	non-Indigenous from
		Australian Bureau of
		Statistics [47].

Transmission probability per contact

Original variant	0.05		[34]
Delta variant	0.075		[35]
Omicron variant	0.1		[26, 27]
Relative transmission probability by risk group			
Exposure	0	Assumption	
Infectious	1.0	Base	
Moderate/severe	0.2	Assumption	
Hospitalized	0.2	Assumption	
Quarantined/Isolated	1.0	Assumption	
Healthcare staff	0.8	Assumption	
Effectiveness of interventions			
Reduction in transmission due to handwashing	14%		[48]
Reduction in transmission due to wearing masks	85%		[49]
The sensitivity of infrared thermal scanner for	700/		[50]
fever	/0%		[50]
Duration of quarantine	14 days	Assumption	
Duration of isolation	14 days	Assumption	
Percentage of neutralizing antibodies among			
vaccinated			
First dose	50%		[51]
Second dose	75%		
Efficacy of vaccine in preventing transmission			
First dose	56%		[52]
Second dose	93%		

Efficacy of vaccine in reducing hospitalization

	First dose				70%			[52]	
			Secon	d dose	87%				
Age-dependent parameters									
Age groups	0-19	20-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+		
Proportion	18%	11 5%	50%	73%	78%	78%	78%	[3/]	
symptomatic	1070	41.370	5970	1370	7870	7870	7870	[34]	
Proportion of									
symptomatic	0.0%	2.9%	6.2%	10.0%	14.2%	17.5%	18.4%	[53]	
hospitalized									
Proportion of									
hospitalized									
admitted to	5.0%	5.2%	9.3%	19.8%	35.3%	57%	70.8%	[54]	
critical care									
(ICU)									
Infection									
fatality rate	0.00%	0.09%	0.38%	1.26%	3.11%	6.04%	7.8%	[53]	
(IFR)									

Table 2: Key indicators from a COVID-19 outbreak (delta variant) in two prisons under the no-response and intervention scenarios (people in prison and staff). Each intervention is applied separately and in combination and run for 120 days. Results are for the overall population attending the prison site and are rounded to the nearest 10.

Scenarios	No- response (with entry and discharge continuing)	Deferral/e arly release	Provision of PPE to all staff/ people in prison	Quarant ine at receptio n	Isolati on of people in prison	Combinati on of all NPIs (Baseline)	Baseline + Vaccinat ion
NSW, Austral	ia						
Cumulative new infections	3,740	1,550	3,350	3,520	1,790	850	0
Cumulative mortality	180	100	160	170	100	60	0
Maximum number infected	960	590	780	860	370	50	1
Maximum number of daily cases	190	130	130	170	70	6	0
Day on maximum	Day 14	Day 14	Day 18	Day 15	Day 15	Day 34	Day 1

number of							
daily cases							
Maximum							
number in	400	240	360	360	170	30	0
hospital							
Day on							
maximum	Day 29	Day 27	Day 22	Day 29	Day 22	Dov: 59	Day 7
number in	Day 28	Day 27	Day 55	Day 28	Day 52	Day 58	Day /
hospital							
Maximum							
number in	70	40	60	60	30	5	0
ICU							
Peak							
hospital bed	23.1%	13.7%	20.8%	20.7%	9.7%	1.7%	0.0%
use (%)							
Quebec, Canad	la						
Cumulative							
new	4,520	1,380	3,430	4,000	4,290	910	1
infections							
Cumulative	160	00	120	1.40	160	<u>(</u>)	0
mortality	100	90	130	140	100	00	U
Maximum	- / 2						
number	740	640	520	550	670	190	10

infected							
Maximum number of daily cases	130	100	70	100	110	20	1
Days on maximum number of daily cases	Day 16	Day 15	Day 23	Day 15	Day 16	Day 24	Day 1
Maximum number in hospital	270	230	230	210	260	80	0
Days on maximum number in hospital	Day 34	Day 31	Day 44	Day 35	Day 35	Day 44	0
Maximum number in ICU	50	40	40	40	44	10	0
Peak hospital bed use (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-