Online Supporting Information

Table S1: Prevalence of BMI categories in Finland in 1975, 1981, 1990, and 2011 according to NCD-RisC statistics, agestandardized among adults aged 20+ (1)

BMI category prevalence in Finland

	1975	1981	1990	2011
Underweight, %	2	2	1	1
Healthy weight, %	62	58	51	41
Overweight, %	29	32	35	37
Obesity, %	7	9	13	21
Mean BMI, kg/m ²	24.5	24.8	25.3	26.1

Values are percentages or mean. BMI = body mass index. BMI categories (kg/m^2): underweight (<18.5), normal weight (18.5 to <25), overweight (25 to <30), obesity (30+).

Reference:

1. NCD-RisC. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. N. C. D. Risk Factor Collaboration. Lancet. 2017;390(10113):2627-42.

Table S2a: Unadjusted values of characteristics of twin individuals in bottom and top PRS deciles

Comparison of characteristics between twins in top and bottom PRS decile

	n (fen	nale %)		Observed B	MI (kg/m²)			ΔBMI (kg/m²)	partial inter	action			
	Bottom	Тор										Bottom	Тор
	PRS	PRS		Bottom PRS	Тор							PRS	PRS
	decile	decile		decile	PRS decile	Contrast	p-value		Contrast	p-value		decile	decile
1975	646 (55)	645 (57)	1975	22.1 (0.1)	24.6 (0.1)	2.5 (0.2)	<0.001	1975 vs 1981	0.3 (0.3)	0.26	PRS, z-score	-1.7 (0.4)	1.8 (0.4)
											Expected BMI		
1981	612 (56)	602 (58)	1981	22.7 (0.1)	25.6 (0.2)	2.9 (0.2)	<0.001	1981 vs 1990	0.4 (0.3)	0.18	1975, kg/m²	21.8 (1.6)	24.5 (1.6)
1990	496 (56)	473 (58)	1990	23.7 (0.2)	27.0 (0.2)	3.3 (0.2)	<0.001	1990 vs 2011	1.4 (0.4)	0.0012			
2011	229 (57)	237 (56)	2011	24.8 (0.2)	29.4 (0.2)	4.7 (0.3)	<0.001						

Values are frequency (percentages) or mean (Delta-method standard error [SE]) and p-values were derived from contrast tests and post-hoc tests of partial interaction from the repeated measures mixed-effects linear regressions. PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of individuals, BMI = body mass index, ΔBMI = BMI difference.

Table S2b: Adjusted values of characteristics of twin individuals in bottom and top PRS deciles

Comparison of characteristics between twins in top and bottom PRS decile

Observed BMI (kg/m²)					ΔBMI (k	g/m²) partial ir	nteraction
	Bottom PRS decile	Top PRS decile	Contrast	p-value		Contrast	p-value
1975	22.1 (0.1)	24.6 (0.1)	2.5 (0.2)	<0.001	1975 vs 1981	0.4 (0.1)	<0.001
1981	22.7 (0.2)	25.6 (0.1)	2.9 (0.2)	<0.001	1981 vs 1990	0.5 (0.2)	0.0035
1990	23.8 (0.2)	27.2 (0.2)	3.4 (0.3)	<0.001	1990 vs 2011	0.9 (0.3)	0.0017
2011	25.7 (0.3)	29.9 (0.3)	4.3 (0.4)	< 0.001			

Values are mean (Delta-method std. err.) and p-values were derived from contrast tests and post-hoc tests of partial interaction from the repeated measures mixed-effects linear regressions. PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of individuals, BMI = body mass index, ΔBMI = BMI difference.

Table S3a: Unadjusted means of observed Body mass index values by polygenic risk score decile over time Body mass index (kg/m^2)

PRS percentile	1975	1981	1990	2011	Δ BMI 1975–2011, kg/m ²
0-10	22.1 (0.1)	22.7 (0.1)	23.7 (0.2)	24.8 (0.2)	2.7 [2.5, 2.9]
10-20	22.3 (0.1)	23.1 (0.1)	24.2 (0.2)	24.8 (0.2)	2.4 [2.2, 2.6]
20-30	22.6 (0.1)	23.2 (0.1)	24.1 (0.2)	25.4 (0.2)	2.8 [2.6, 3.0]
30-40	22.9 (0.1)	23.6 (0.1)	24.5 (0.2)	26.1 (0.2)	3.2 [3.0, 3.4]
40-50	23.2 (0.1)	23.9 (0.1)	24.8 (0.2)	25.9 (0.2)	2.7 [2.5, 2.9]
50-60	23.2 (0.1)	23.9 (0.1)	24.9 (0.2)	26.5 (0.2)	3.3 [3.1, 3.5]
60-70	23.2 (0.1)	23.9 (0.1)	25.0 (0.2)	26.4 (0.2)	3.2 [3.0, 3.4]
70-80	23.7 (0.1)	24.4 (0.1)	25.6 (0.2)	27.4 (0.2)	3.7 [3.5, 3.9]
80-90	24.2 (0.1)	25.0 (0.1)	26.3 (0.2)	28.2 (0.2)	4.1 [3.9, 4.3]
90-100	24.6 (0.1)	25.6 (0.1)	27.0 (0.2)	29.4 (0.2)	4.8 [4.7, 5.0]

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.) and ΔBMI values are mean [95% confidence interval].

Table S3b: Adjusted means body mass index values by polygenic risk score decile over time.

Body mass index (kg/m²)							
PRS percentile	1975	1981	1990	2011	ΔBMI 1975–2011, kg/m ²		
0-10	22.1 (0.1)	22.7 (0.1)	23.8 (0.2)	25.7 (0.2)	3.6 [3.4, 3.8]		
10-20	22.4 (0.1)	23.2 (0.1)	24.4 (0.2)	26.0 (0.2)	3.6 [3.4, 3.9]		
20-30	22.7 (0.1)	23.3 (0.1)	24.4 (0.2)	26.6 (0.2)	3.9 [3.7, 4.2]		
30-40	22.9 (0.1)	23.7 (0.1)	24.8 (0.2)	27.2 (0.2)	4.3 [4.1, 4.5]		
40-50	23.1 (0.1)	23.8 (0.1)	25.0 (0.2)	27.1 (0.2)	4.0 [3.7, 4.2]		
50-60	23.1 (0.1)	23.9 (0.1)	25.1 (0.2)	27.6 (0.2)	4.4 [4.2, 4.7]		
60-70	23.3 (0.1)	24.0 (0.1)	25.3 (0.2)	27.5 (0.2)	4.2 [3.9, 4.4]		
70-80	23.6 (0.1)	24.5 (0.1)	25.8 (0.2)	28.4 (0.2)	4.8 [4.5, 5.0]		
80-90	24.2 (0.1)	25.0 (0.1)	26.4 (0.2)	29.2 (0.2)	5.0 [4.8, 5.3]		
90-100	24.6 (0.1)	25.6 (0.1)	27.1 (0.2)	30.0 (0.2)	5.4 [5.2, 5.6]		

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.) and Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval].

Table S3c: Number of individuals by polygenic risk score decile over time.

PRS percentile	1975	1981	1990	2011
0-10	646	612	496	229
10-20	646	604	479	211
20-30	645	616	484	206
30-40	646	612	489	218
40-50	644	605	464	196
50-60	647	616	462	197
60-70	645	606	473	236
70-80	645	608	487	212
80-90	645	596	483	212
90-100	645	602	473	237

Values are frequency.

Table S4a: Regression analyses between PRS_{BMI} and BMI in 8,309 MZ and DZ twin individuals

	β BMI \sim PRS _{BMI}	R^2	P-value	PRS min	PRS max	PRS range	BMI over PRS range
PRS _{BMI} (z-score [95% CI])	0.71 [0.64; 0.78]	0.049	< 0.001	-3.48	3.68	7.16	5.1 [4.6; 5.7]
Intercept	23.3 [23.3; 23.4]						

Beta (β) and [95% confidence interval, 95% CI], BMI = body mass index, PRS_{BMI} = polygenic risk score for body mass index, min = minimum, max = maximum

Table S4b: Regression analyses between PRS_{BMI} and BMI of 8,309 MZ and DZ twin individuals, corrected for age and sex

	β ΒΜΙ	R^2	P-value	Min	Max	Range	BMI over range
PRS _{BMI} (z-score, [95% CI])	0.78 [0.73; 0.84]	0.293	<0.001	-3.48	3.68	7.16	5.6 [5.2; 6.0]
Age, years	0.15 [0.14; 0.15]		< 0.001	18	75	57	8.4
Sex	-1.53 [-1.64; -1.41]		< 0.001	1 (men)	2 (women)	1	-1.5
Intercept	20.6 [20.4; 20.9]		< 0.001				

Beta (β) and [95% confidence interval, 95% CI], BMI = body mass index, PRS_{BMI} = polygenic risk score for body mass index, min = minimum, max = maximum




Figure S1: Scatterplots of observed body mass index (BMI) against expected BMI (A) and the absolute difference between observed and expected BMI based on polygenic risk scores (PRS) plotted against PRS (B) in all twin individuals from complete twin pairs in 1975. The gray line at 2.0 kg/m² represents the average BMI deviation.




Figure S2: Categorizing the BMI difference (Δ BMI) between the observed body mass index (BMI) and the expected BMI in monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twin pairs discordant and concordant for PRS-enriched BMI (based on observed and expected BMI values). n = number of pairs.

Table S5: Number of twin pairs that participated per timepoint in subgroups of monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

Twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	MZ tw	in pairs	DZ twin pairs			
	with one of the co-	twin's observed BMI	with one of the co-twin's observed BMI			
	Below expectation	Above expectation	Below expectation	Above expectation		
1975, n (female % of n)	36 (64)	73 (53)	179 (57)	289 (59)		
1981, n (female % of n)	33 (64)	69 (54)	159 (53)	249 (61)		
1990, n (female % of n)	20 (60)	49 (51)	103 (56)	182 (61)		
2011, n (female % of n)	11 (64)	23 (52)	26 (69)	57 (51)		

Values are frequency (percentage). BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, n = number of pairs, MZ = monozygotic, DZ = dizygotic.

Table S6: Number of twin pairs that participated per timepoint in subgroups of monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

Twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	MZ twin pairs' observed BMI in both co-twins			DZ twin pairs' observed BMI in both co-twins			
	Below expectation	Within expectation	Above expectation	Below expectation	Within expectation	Above expectation	
1975, n (female %)	145 (66)	463 (54)	88 (63)	162 (53)	702 (52)	113 (52)	
1981, n (female %)	139 (68)	433 (55)	80 (64)	149 (55)	640 (54)	105 (52)	
1990, n (female %)	102 (69)	337 (56)	60 (62)	100 (50)	448 (54)	71 (58)	
2011, n (female %)	48 (63)	210 (58)	37 (73)	32 (50)	209 (54)	24 (50)	

Values are frequency (percentage). BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($< 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, n = number of pairs, MZ = monozygotic, DZ = dizygotic.

Table S7: Polygenic risk score and expected BMI in 1975 in subgroups of MZ and DZ twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

Twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	MZ twin pairs with one of	DZ twin pairs with one of the co-twin's observed BMI						
	Below expectation	Above expectation	Below expectation (n = 179)			Above expectation		
	(n = 36)	(n = 73)				(n =		
	Both co-twins below	Both co-twins above	Co-twin below	Co-twin within		Co-twin within	Co-twin above	
	and within expectation	and within expectation	expectation	expectation	p-value	expectation	expectation	p-value
PRS, z-score	0.4 (1.3)	0.1 (1.1)	0.1 (0.9)	0.3 (0.9)	<0.001	-0.1 (1.0)	0.3 (1.0)	< 0.001
Expected BMI, kg/m ²	23.5 (1.7)	23.5 (1.6)	23.8 (1.8)	24.0 (1.8)	0.31	23.3 (1.6)	23.6 (1.7)	0.022

Values are mean (SD) and t-tests gave p-values. BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, MZ = monozygotic, DZ = dizygotic, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = observed BMI minus expected BMI, | Δ BMI| = absolute values of observed BMI minus expected BMI

Table S8: Polygenic risk scores and expected BMI in 1975 in subgroups of MZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

MZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	Both co-twins in MZ pairs below expectation	Both co-twins in MZ pairs within expectation	Both co-twins in MZ pairs above expectation					
	(n = 136)	(n = 432)	(n = 80)					
PRS, z-score	0.1 (0.9)	-0.2 (1.0)	0.0 (1.1)					
Expected BMI, kg/m ²	23.1 (1.7)	22.6 (1.7)	22.9 (1.7)					

Values are mean (SD) and t-tests gave p-values. MZ = monozygotic, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($< 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, n = number of pairs

Table S9: Polygenic risk scores and expected BMI in 1975 in subgroups of DZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

DZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	•	v expectation 162)		•	n expectation 702)		•	e expectation 113)	
	Co-twin with lower BMI	Co-twin with higher BMI	p-value	Co-twin with lower BMI	Co-twin with higher BMI	p-value	Co-twin with lower BMI	Co-twin with higher BMI	p-value
PRS, z-score	0.2 (0.9)	0.2 (0.9)	0.81	-0.1 (1.0)	-0.1 (0.9)	0.016	0.1 (1.0)	0.1 (0.9)	0.82
Expected BMI, kg/m ²	23.7 (1.7)	23.7 (1.7)	0.96	23.0 (1.8)	23.0 (1.8) 23.1 (1.7) 0.59		23.6 (1.7) 23.6 (1.7)		0.96

Values are mean (SD) and t-tests gave p-values. DZ = dizygotic, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($< 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, n = number of pairs.

Table S10a: Unadjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of monozygotic twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

MZ twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

One of the MZ co-twin's observed BMI

	Below expect	ation (n = 36)			Above expect	ation (n = 73)		
	Co-twin below	Co-twin within			Co-twin within	Co-twin above		
	expectation	expectation	Contrast	p-value	expectation	expectation	Contrast	p-value
1975, kg/m ²	20.2 (0.4)	24.1 (0.4)	3.8 (0.6)	<0.001	23.3 (0.4)	27.8 (0.4)	4.5 (0.5)	<0.001
1981, kg/m ²	21.2 (0.4)	24.0 (0.5)	2.7 (0.6)	<0.001	24.7 (0.4)	27.3 (0.4)	2.5 (0.5)	<0.001
1990, kg/m ²	21.3 (0.6)	24.6 (0.5)	3.3 (0.8)	<0.001	26.5 (0.4)	28.4 (0.4)	1.9 (0.6)	0.002
2011, kg/m ²	23.3 (0.8)	26.4 (0.8)	3.1 (1.1)	0.006	28.2 (0.6)	31.3 (0.6)	3.1 (0.9)	0.001
Δ BMI 1975–2011, kg/m ²	3.0 [2.4, 3.7]	2.3 [1.6, 3.0]			4.9 [4.4, 5.4]	3.5 [3.0, 4.0]		
ΔBMI 1975–2011, p-value	0.001	0.011			<0.001	< 0.001		

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. MZ = monozygotic, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅.

Table S10b: Adjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of monozygotic twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

MZ twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

One of the MZ co-twin's observed BMI

	Below expect	ation (n = 36)			Above expect				
	Co-twin below expectation	Co-twin within expectation	Contrast	p-value	Co-twin within expectation	Co-twin above expectation	Contrast	p-value	
1975, kg/m ²	20.2 (0.3)	24.1 (0.3)	3.8 (0.1)	<0.001	23.3 (0.2)	27.8 (0.2)	4.5 (0.2)	<0.001	
1981, kg/m ²	21.3 (0.5)	24.0 (0.5)	2.7 (0.5)	<0.001	24.8 (0.3)	27.3 (0.3)	2.5 (0.3)	<0.001	
1990, kg/m ²	21.9 (0.6)	25.2 (0.5)	3.3 (0.6)	< 0.001	26.5 (0.5)	28.6 (0.5)	2.1 (0.6)	0.001	
2011, kg/m ²	24.6 (1.0)	27.8 (1.0)	3.2 (1.1)	0.003	28.7 (0.7)	32.0 (0.7)	3.2 (1.0)	0.001	
ΔBMI 1975–2011, kg/m^2	4.3 [3.1, 5.6]	3.7 [2.5, 5.0]			5.5 [4.5, 6.4]	4.2 [3.2, 5.2]			
ΔBMI 1975–2011, p-value	<0.001	<0.001			<0.001	<0.001			

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. MZ = monozygotic, discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅.

Table S11a: Unadjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of dizygotic twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

DZ twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

One of the DZ co-twin's observed BMI

	Below expect	ation (n = 179)			Above expecta	tion (n = 289)		
	Co-twin	Co-twin				Co-twin		
	below	within			Co-twin within	above		
	expectation	expectation	Contrast	p-value	expectation	expectation	Contrast	p-value
1975, kg/m^2	20.2 (0.2)	24.4 (0.2)	4.2 (0.3)	<0.001	23.0 (0.2)	28.4 (0.2)	5.3 (0.3)	<0.001
1981, kg/m²	21.2 (0.2)	25.0 (0.2)	3.8 (0.3)	<0.001	24.0 (0.2)	28.9 (0.2)	4.9 (0.3)	<0.001
1990, kg/m ²	21.9 (0.2)	26.0 (0.2)	4.1 (0.3)	<0.001	25.0 (0.2)	30.1 (0.2)	5.2 (0.3)	<0.001
2011, kg/m ²	23.8 (0.4)	27.8 (0.4)	4.0 (0.6)	<0.001	26.6 (0.4)	32.0 (0.4)	5.4 (0.5)	<0.001
Δ BMI 1975–2011, kg/m ²	3.5 [3.0, 4.0]	3.4 [2.9, 3.9]			3.6 [3.2, 3.9]	3.6 [3.2, 4.0]		
ΔBMI 1975–2011, p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001			<0.001	< 0.001		

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. DZ = dizygotic, discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅.

Table S11b: Adjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of dizygotic twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

DZ twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

One of the DZ co-twin's observed BMI

	Below expecta	ation (n = 179)			Above expec	tation (n = 289)		
	Co-twin below	Co-twin within	Contract		Co-twin within	Co-twin above	Caratasat	
	expectation	expectation	Contrast	p-value	expectation	expectation	Contrast	p-value
1975, kg/m²	20.2 (0.1)	24.4 (0.1)	4.2 (0.1)	< 0.001	23.0 (0.1)	28.4 (0.1)	5.3 (0.1)	<0.001
1981, kg/m²	21.2 (0.2)	24.9 (0.2)	3.7 (0.2)	<0.001	24.0 (0.2)	28.8 (0.2)	4.8 (0.2)	< 0.001
1990, kg/m²	22.4 (0.3)	26.3 (0.3)	4.0 (0.4)	<0.001	25.3 (0.2)	30.3 (0.2)	5.0 (0.3)	< 0.001
2011, kg/m ²	25.7 (0.6)	29.2 (0.6)	3.5 (0.8)	<0.001	27.4 (0.4)	32.6 (0.4)	5.2 (0.6)	< 0.001
Δ BMI 1975–2011, kg/m ²	5.5 [4.6, 6.3]	4.8 [4.0, 5.6]			4.3 [3.8, 4.9]	4.2 [3.6, 4.8]		
ΔBMI 1975–2011, p-value	< 0.001	<0.001			<0.001	<0.001		

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. DZ = dizygotic, discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅.

Table S12a: Unadjusted values from post-hoc tests of partial interaction between co-twin subgroup and BMI between adjacent timepoints in monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

BMI differences between co-twins and adjacent timepoints in twin pairs discordant for PRSenriched BMI

	MZ co-twing with (n = 3	nin	MZ co-twi vs wi (n =	thin	DZ co-twi vs wi (n = 1	thin	DZ co-twins above vs within (n = 289)		
	Contrast p-value		Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	
1975 vs 1981, kg/m ²	-1.1 (0.9)	0.21	-2.0 (0.8)	0.009	-0.3 (0.4)	0.39	-0.4 (0.4)	0.25	
1981 vs 1990, kg/m ²	0.6 (1.0) 0.56		-0.6 (0.8) 0.44		0.3 (0.4)	0.45	0.3 (0.4)	0.49	
1990 vs 2011, kg/m ²	-0.2 (1.3)	0.87	1.2 (1.1)	0.27	-0.1 (0.7)	0.87	0.2 (0.6)	0.76	

Values are mean (SE) and post-hoc tests of partial interaction gave p-values. Discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, MZ = monozygotic, DZ = dizygotic.

Table S12b: Adjusted values from post-hoc tests of partial interaction between co-twin subgroup and BMI between adjacent timepoints in monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs discordant for PRS-enriched BMI

BMI differences between co-twins and adjacent timepoints in twin pairs discordant for PRSenriched BMI

	MZ co-twins below vs within (n = 36)		MZ co-twin vs wit (n = 2	hin	DZ co-twins with (n = 1	in	DZ co-twins above vs within (n = 289)		
	Contrast p-value		Contrast p-valu		Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	
1975 vs 1981, kg/m ²	-1.1 (0.5) 0.015		-2.0 (0.3)	<0.001	-0.5 (0.2)	0.004	-0.5 (0.2)	0.007	
1981 vs 1990, kg/m ²	0.6 (0.5) 0.24		-0.5 (0.5)	0.37	0.3 (0.4)	0.43	0.2 (0.2)	0.48	
1990 vs 2011, kg/m ²	-0.1 (1.2)	0.95	1.2 (0.9)	0.19	-0.5 (0.8)	0.55	0.2 (0.5)	0.69	

Values are mean (SE) and post-hoc tests of partial interaction gave p-values. Discordant for PRS-enriched BMI = large within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($\geq 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, BMI = body mass index, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, MZ = monozygotic, DZ = dizygotic.

Table S13a: Unadjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of monozygotic twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

Observed BMI (kg/m²) in MZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	М	Z pair below ex	pectation	·	MZ	Z pair within exp	ectation		MZ pair above expectation				
		(n = 136)				(n = 432)			(n = 80)				
	Co-twin with	Co-twin with			Co-twin with	Co-twin with			Co-twin with	Co-twin with			
	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	
1975	19.4 (0.2)	20.2 (0.2)	0.9 (0.3)	0.001	22.0 (0.1)	22.9 (0.1)	0.9 (0.2)	<0.001	26.3 (0.4)	27.5 (0.4)	1.2 (0.6)	0.028	
1981	20.6 (0.2)	20.8 (0.2)	0.2 (0.3)	0.37	23.0 (0.1)	23.7 (0.1)	0.7 (0.2)	<0.001	27.2 (0.4)	27.7 (0.4)	0.5 (0.6)	0.35	
1990	21.5 (0.2)	21.6 (0.2)	0.04 (0.3)	0.88	24.0 (0.1)	24.8 (0.1)	0.7 (0.2)	<0.001	28.6 (0.4)	29.3 (0.4)	0.7 (0.6)	0.27	
2011	23.3 (0.3)	23.6 (0.3)	0.2 (0.4)	0.59	25.9 (0.2)	26.2 (0.2)	0.3 (0.3)	0.18	29.0 (0.6)	30.6 (0.6)	1.6 (0.8)	0.062	
ΔΒΜΙ	4.0 [3.7, 4.2]	3.3 [3.1, 3.6]			3.9 [3.8, 4.0]	3.3 [3.2, 3.4]			2.7 [2.3, 3.1]	3.1 [2.7, 3.5]			
ΔΒΜΙ <i>Ρ</i>	<0.001	<0.001			<0.001	<0.001			<0.001	< 0.001			

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. BMI = body mass index, MZ = monozygotic, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index (< 3 kg/m²) considering both observed and expected BMI, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅, Δ BMI *P* = p-values for BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅ by co-twin group.

Table S13b: Adjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of monozygotic twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

Observed BMI (kg/m²) in MZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	MZ pair below expectation				MZ pair within expectation				MZ pair above expectation			
		(n = 136)			(n = 432)			(n = 80)			
	Co-twin with	Co-twin with			Co-twin with Co-twin with				Co-twin with	Co-twin with		
	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value
1975	19.4 (0.1)	20.2 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	< 0.001	22.0 (0.1)	22.9 (0.1)	0.9 (0.04)	<0.001	26.3 (0.3)	27.5 (0.3)	1.2 (0.1)	<0.001
1981	20.6 (0.2)	20.8 (0.2)	0.2 (0.1)	0.19	23.0 (0.1)	23.7 (0.1)	0.7 (0.1)	<0.001	27.2 (0.3)	27.7 (0.3)	0.4 (0.3)	0.22
1990	21.8 (0.2)	21.8 (0.2)	-0.03 (0.2)	0.88	24.1 (0.1)	24.8 (0.1)	0.7 (0.1)	<0.001	28.6 (0.4)	29.2 (0.4)	0.5 (0.5)	0.30
2011	23.6 (0.4)	24.4 (0.4)	0.8 (0.6)	0.13	26.5 (0.2)	26.9 (0.2)	0.5 (0.3)	0.11	30.5 (0.7)	31.8 (0.7)	1.4 (0.9)	0.11
ΔΒΜΙ	4.2 [3.7, 4.7]	4.2 [3.6, 4.7]			4.4 [4.2, 4.7]	4.0 [3.7, 4.3]			4.2 [3.4, 5.0]	4.4 [3.6, 5.1]		
ΔΒΜΙ <i>Ρ</i>	<0.001	< 0.001			<0.001	< 0.001			<0.001	< 0.001		

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. BMI = body mass index, MZ = monozygotic, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index (< 3 kg/m²) considering both observed and expected BMI, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅, Δ BMI P = p-values for BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅ by co-twin group.

Table S14a: Unadjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of dizygotic twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

Observed BMI (kg/m²) in DZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

DZ pair below expectation

DZ pair within expectation

DZ pair

	DZ pair below expectation				DZ pair within expectation				DZ pair above expectation			
		(n = 162)				(n = 702)			(n = 113)			
	Co-twin with	Co-twin with			Co-twin with	Co-twin with			Co-twin with	Co-twin with		
	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	lower BMI	higher BMI	Contrast	p-value
1975	19.9 (0.2)	20.8 (0.2)	0.9 (0.2)	<0.001	22.4 (0.1)	23.4 (0.1)	1.0 (0.1)	<0.001	27.0 (0.3)	28.3 (0.3)	1.2 (0.4)	0.002
1981	20.6 (0.2)	21.6 (0.2)	0.9 (0.2)	<0.001	23.2 (0.1)	24.0 (0.1)	0.7 (0.1)	<0.001	27.9 (0.3)	28.6 (0.3)	0.7 (0.4)	0.10
1990	21.8 (0.2)	22.6 (0.2)	0.7 (0.3)	0.007	24.3 (0.1)	25.0 (0.1)	0.7 (0.2)	<0.001	28.8 (0.3)	29.6 (0.3)	0.8 (0.5)	0.071
2011	23.8 (0.3)	24.5 (0.3)	0.7 (0.5)	0.12	25.9 (0.2)	26.5 (0.2)	0.6 (0.2)	0.009	30.7 (0.6)	31.2 (0.6)	0.5 (0.8)	0.56
ΔΒΜΙ	3.9 [3.6, 4.2]	3.7 [3.4, 4.0]			3.5 [3.3, 3.6]	3.1 [2.9, 3.2]			3.7 [3.1, 4.2]	2.9 [2.3, 3.4]		
ΔΒΜΙ <i>Ρ</i>	< 0.001	<0.001			<0.001	< 0.001			<0.001	< 0.001		

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. BMI = body mass index, DZ = dizygotic, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index (< 3 kg/m²) considering both observed and expected BMI, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅, Δ BMI P = p-values for BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₂₀₁₅ by co-twin group.

Table S14b: Adjusted values from contrast tests that compared observed body mass index at each timepoint within subgroups of dizygotic twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

Observed BMI (kg/m²) in DZ twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

		DZ pair below ex	-			DZ pair within e	•		DZ pair above expectation				
		(n = 162	2)			(n = 70	2)		(n = 113)				
	Co-twin with lower BMI	Co-twin with higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	Co-twin with lower BMI	Co-twin with higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	Co-twin with lower BMI	Co-twin with higher BMI	Contrast	p-value	
1975	19.9 (0.1)	20.8 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	<0.001	22.4 (0.1)	23.4 (0.1)	1.0 (0.04)	<0.001	27.0 (0.2)	28.3 (0.2)	1.2 (0.1)	<0.001	
1981	20.7 (0.2)	21.6 (0.2)	0.9 (0.1)	<0.001	23.3 (0.1)	24.0 (0.1)	0.7 (0.1)	<0.001	27.9 (0.3)	28.6 (0.3)	0.7 (0.3)	0.014	
1990	21.9 (0.2)	22.9 (0.2)	1.0 (0.2)	<0.001	24.5 (0.1)	25.2 (0.1)	0.7 (0.2)	<0.001	28.9 (0.4)	30.1 (0.4)	1.2 (0.4)	0.005	
2011	24.3 (0.4)	25.4 (0.4)	1.1 (0.6)	0.066	26.8 (0.2)	27.5 (0.2)	0.7 (0.3)	0.012	32.0 (0.7)	32.7 (0.7)	0.7 (1.0)	0.45	
ΔΒΜΙ	4.4 [3.8, 5.0]	4.5 [4.0, 5.1]			4.4 [4.1, 4.7]	4.1 [3.9, 4.4]			4.9 [4.0, 5.9]	4.4 [3.4, 5.4]			
ΔΒΜΙ <i>Ρ</i>	<0.001	<0.001			<0.001	<0.001			<0.001	<0.001			

BMI values are mean (Delta-method std. err.), Δ BMI values are mean [95% confidence interval], and contrast tests gave mean (SE) values and p-values. BMI = body mass index, DZ = dizygotic, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index (< 3 kg/m²) considering both observed and expected BMI, PRS = polygenic risk score, n = number of pairs, Δ BMI = BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅ Δ BMI P = p-values for BMI₂₀₁₁ – BMI₁₉₇₅ by co-twin group.

Table S15a: Unadjusted values from post-hoc tests of partial interaction between co-twin subgroup and body mass index between adjacent timepoints in monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

BMI differences between co-twins and adjacent timepoints in twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	MZ pair (n = 1		MZ pair within (n = 432)		MZ pair above (n = 80)		DZ pair below (n = 162)		DZ pair within (n = 702)		DZ pair above (n = 113)	
	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value
1975 vs 1981, kg/m ²	-0.6 (0.4)	0.091	-0.2 (0.3)	0.42	-0.7 (0.8)	0.39	0.03 (0.3)	0.94	-0.3 (0.2)	0.18	-0.6 (0.6)	0.31
1981 vs 1990, kg/m ²	-0.2 (0.4)	0.63	-0.03 (0.3)	0.92	0.2 (0.8)	0.85	-0.2 (0.4)	0.62	-0.001 (0.2)	1.0	0.2 (0.6)	0.79
1990 vs 2011, kg/m ²	0.2 (0.5)	0.71	-0.4 (0.3)	0.23	0.9 (1.1)	0.40	-0.02 (0.5)	0.98	-0.1 (0.3)	0.68	-0.4 (0.9)	0.68

Values are mean (SE) and post-hoc tests of partial interaction gave p-values. BMI = body mass index, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($< 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, PRS = polygenic risk score, MZ = monozygotic, n = number of pairs, DZ = dizygotic.

Table S15b: Adjusted values from post-hoc tests of partial interaction between co-twin subgroup and body mass index between adjacent timepoints in monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

BMI differences between co-twins and adjacent timepoints in twin pairs concordant for PRS-enriched BMI

	MZ pair below (n = 136)		MZ pair within (n = 432)		MZ pair above (n = 80)		DZ pair below (n = 162)		DZ pair within (n = 702)		DZ pair above (n = 113)	
	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value	Contrast	p-value
1975 vs 1981, kg/m ²	-0.7 (0.2)	<0.001	-0.2 (0.1)	0.037	-0.8 (0.3)	0.016	0.03 (0.1)	0.80	-0.3 (0.1)	0.001	-0.5 (0.3)	0.060
1981 vs 1990, kg/m ²	-0.2 (0.2)	0.22	-0.02 (0.1)	0.87	0.1 (0.4)	0.76	0.1 (0.2)	0.74	-0.01 (0.1)	0.94	0.5 (0.4)	0.20
1990 vs 2011, kg/m ²	0.9 (0.5)	0.08	-0.2 (0.3)	0.41	0.8 (0.7)	0.26	0.1 (0.5)	0.89	0.01 (0.3)	0.98	-0.5 (1.0)	0.61

Values are mean (SE) and post-hoc tests of partial interaction gave p-values. BMI = body mass index, concordant for PRS-enriched BMI = small within-twin-pair differences in body mass index ($< 3 \text{ kg/m}^2$) considering both observed and expected BMI, PRS = polygenic risk score, MZ = monozygotic, n = number of pairs, DZ = dizygotic.