

Clinical Methods Supporting Recognition of Early Post-Stroke Seizures:

A Systematic Scoping Review

Clare Gordon^{a*}, Hedley Emsley^b Catherine Elizabeth Lightbody^a, Andrew Clegg^c, Catherine Harris^c, Joanna Harrison^c, Catherine Emma Davidson^a, Jasmine Wall^b, Caroline Watkins^a

^a Faculty of Health and Care, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, United Kingdom; ^b Lancaster Medical School, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK; ^c Health Technology Assessment Unit, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK

*Correspondence to: Brook Building, University of Central Lancashire, PR1 2HE. Email address:

cgordon8@uclan.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Background: Stroke is one of the commonest cause of seizures and epilepsy and is the leading cause of epilepsy over the age of 60 (1, 2). Post-stroke seizures and epilepsy are associated with increased mortality, disability and recurrent hospital admissions (3, 4). Seizures occurring in the immediate aftermath of the acute stroke can complicate a patient's stroke diagnosis and management or can even go undiagnosed resulting in increased risk of mortality, disability and hospital readmissions. There is limited evidence on detection, observation, diagnosis and management of early post-stroke seizures as part of acute stroke treatment.

Objectives: The objective of this series of scoping reviews is to map the extent and type of literature in relation to in-hospital early post-stroke seizures. For this paper, the specific objectives relate to the clinical methods used in the bedside identification and observation, usually performed by nurses, of early post-stroke seizures (EPSS) in adults being treated and managed for acute stroke.

Eligibility criteria: Participants included adults aged 18 years or older with acute ischaemic stroke or primary intracerebral haemorrhage and a diagnosis, or suspected diagnosis, of post-stroke seizures whilst receiving hospital care for their acute stroke.

Sources of evidence: Medline, CINAHL, Embase, and the Cochrane Library databases were searched, including papers published up to October 2021, limited to English language. A broad range of published literature was selected comprising of primary research, including case studies/case reports, conference abstracts, systematic reviews/meta-analyses, clinical guidelines and consensus statements. Reference lists of included studies were also searched.

Charting methods: A data charting table was developed by the reviewers, with key information selected for included articles. Findings have been aggregated to an overview of extent and type of evidence and identify gaps in evidence.

Results: We included two research papers, two clinical guidelines and four discussion papers. There was limited literature on clinical methods used to identify and observe acute stroke patients for seizures. We found no evaluation of different methods aimed at recognising and observing EPSS, and subsequently recommendations lacking detail and consensus on clinical processes.

Conclusion: Early post-stroke seizures are important to diagnose due to associated increases in post-stroke complications, mortality, disability and recurrent hospital admissions. Whilst the diagnostic challenge of EPSS is recognised, there is a need for research looking into how to improve the identification and observation of seizure activity in acute stroke settings.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION

Early post-stroke seizures (EPSS), also termed provoked or acute symptomatic seizures, describe seizures provoked by the acute brain injury associated with a stroke, whereas post-stroke epilepsy arises from long-term changes to the brain after stroke with recurrent seizures that are unprovoked by any other factor, such as metabolic, toxic etc. (5, 6). Post-stroke seizures occurring in the immediate aftermath of the acute stroke can complicate a patient's stroke diagnosis and management or can even go undiagnosed. They may cause new or seemingly unexplained persistence of focal deficits (due to post-ictal paresis), or reduced consciousness, which can be complicated to assess and distinguish from the neurological deficit of the stroke itself leading to diagnostic challenge. There is a lack of consensus in the literature on the definition and timing of what is classed as EPSS or epilepsy, with EPSS defined as occurring between 48 hours and two weeks after acute stroke (5, 7). Whereas the International League Against Epilepsy defines early post-stroke seizures as up to seven days after stroke onset (8).

The risk of developing post-stroke epilepsy is substantially higher in patients presenting with an early seizure than in patients with stroke and no early seizure (6). EPSS are more likely to occur after intracerebral haemorrhage (prevalence 10-16% across stroke populations) but are also common after ischaemic stroke (prevalence 3-15%), in ischaemic stroke with haemorrhagic transformation, cortical involvement and with increasing stroke severity (6, 9). Numerically, given the epidemiology of pathological stroke subtypes, early post-ischaemic stroke seizures will be more frequently encountered in the acute stroke context. It is important to identify and diagnose EPSS as they are associated with increased mortality, disability and recurrent hospital admissions (3, 10). Accurately determining the prevalence of post-stroke seizures, and effective treatment of seizures to prevent their associated complications, depends on the methods used to identify and diagnose seizures and guidance on an agreed systematic approach for clinical practice is currently lacking (5, 11, 12).

In the context of the absence of an agreed definition for EPSS and lack of reference specifically to EPSS in national guidance, this study was undertaken as part of a series of three reviews, which aimed to systematically scope the practice and research literature on in-hospital EPSS, to identify current knowledge on its clinical recognition and diagnosis, map the inclusion of EPSS within national and international clinical guidelines, and identify current evidence on its in-hospital management. Our focus for this sub-study was to map the breadth of evidence in relation to clinical methods used to support identification and observation of early post-stroke seizures (EPSS) and identify gaps in this evidence. Our research question was: *What is known from the existing literature about the clinical methods used for identifying and observing seizures in adults being treated for acute stroke?*

METHODS

The review was guided by Arksey and O'Malley's framework which includes: (i) identifying the research question; (ii) searching for relevant papers; (iii) selecting papers; (iv) charting the data; (v) collating, summarising and reporting the results (13, 14). The inclusion criteria and methods were pre-specified and published online (OSF ID: bkejc) (15).

Identifying the research question and eligibility criteria

The research question was developed with input from subject experts comprising of academics and clinicians and patient and public involvement (PPI) for the identification of relevant outcomes. We included practice (i.e., clinical guidelines) and research literature involving adults (>18 years) with acute stroke (ischaemic or primary intracerebral haemorrhage) and seizures that occurred in hospital, within two weeks of stroke onset. We included seizures occurring at stroke onset and seizures occurring with an acute stroke intervention such as reperfusion therapies. We excluded literature reporting on seizure as a stroke mimic, patients with known epilepsy or seizures before their stroke, and patients with diagnosis of subdural and subarachnoid haemorrhages or cerebral ischaemia without arterial circulation obstruction, such as vasospasm or secondary to trauma. We included literature published up to October 2021, all study types including systematic reviews with meta-analysis and non-research literature such as clinical guidelines and consensus statements. We excluded papers published in languages other than English. See Table 1 for key elements of the review question.

Table 1. PICO criteria for the research aim.

Population	Interest	Context
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adults (≥ 18 yrs) Acute ischaemic stroke Primary intracerebral haemorrhage (haemorrhagic stroke) Diagnosis / suspected early post-stroke seizures or epilepsy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevalence Incidence Seizure recognition/identification Seizure/epilepsy diagnosis Management of early seizures/epilepsy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Receiving in-patient hospital care for acute stroke Less than 2 weeks post stroke onset

Searching for relevant papers

MEDLINE (Ovid), CINAHL (EBSCOhost), EMBASE (Ovid) and the Cochrane Library databases were searched up to 1st October 2021. To ensure that all relevant information was captured, we also searched a variety of grey literature sources (searched January 2022): Grey Literature Report, OpenGrey and Web of Science Conference Proceedings to identify studies, case reports and conference abstracts of relevance to this review. We also conducted a targeted search using Google of the grey literature and specifically searched national and international organisations' websites with an interest in stroke and/or seizures, such as the Stroke Association, the Epilepsy Society, the International League Against Epilepsy, the British and Irish Association of Stroke Physicians, the European Stroke Organisation and the American Stroke Association. A hand search was conducted using the reference lists of included papers to identify additional relevant papers. The search strategy was developed and piloted by an information specialist (CH) with input from the project team. The search strategies are provided in Appendix 2 and are published online (15).

Selection of sources of evidence and charting the data

Following the searches, duplicate records were removed in EndNote before results were uploaded into Rayyan© online collaborative systematic review software (16) for record management and title and abstract screening. A two-part screening process against the inclusion criteria was used: (a) a title and abstract review and (b) full text review.

Title and abstract screening were conducted mainly by one reviewer, with 1000 citations independently screened by two reviewers (CG & JW) with 91.5% agreement between reviewers. Full text papers were assessed against the inclusion criteria, reasons for exclusion were recorded and are reported in the results by one reviewer. Any disagreements that arose were resolved through discussion with the wider project team. The results of the search selection are reported using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses extension for scoping review (PRISMA-ScR) flow diagram (17). The completed PRISMA-ScR checklist is presented in Appendix 1.

Data charting process

We developed and piloted our data charting form with evidence synthesis experts (JH & AC) based on scoping review methodology (13). The piloting process included data charting of three papers independently with comparison of accuracy and comprehension after completion. Charting of the data was divided between the three reviewers (CG, JW, CD). Where results of the same study were reported in more than one publication, we collated the results and used the publication with the most data relevant to our research question as the primary reference. Data charted included type of paper (e.g., primary research, conference proceedings, clinical guideline), study aims, methods,

clinical assessment method, participants, study location, study setting, type of stroke, type of seizure and key results relevant to our research question (e.g., sensitivity and specificity of tool). A scoping review does not typically involve a quality assessment and therefore we did not appraise the quality of evidence (14). Due the large number of records screened (n=15,033), included records were grouped into the following categories 1. Clinical methods, 2. Diagnosis, 3. Management and 4. Epidemiology. Some records were categorised into more than one category. This paper will report results on the first category - clinical methods to support identification and observation of EPSS. All data is reported in a narrative format.

RESULTS

Selection of sources of evidence

We included 617 papers, eight of which were categorised as papers on clinical methods used to support bedside identification and observation of seizures. The selection process is outlined in the PRISMA-SR diagram (Figure 1). Date of publication ranged from 2002-2021. Papers were from three different countries, six from the United States of America (USA) and two from Europe (Belgium, Switzerland). Four papers were discussion papers, two primary research and two clinical guidance. Three discussion papers provided recommendations on observation methods of EPSS in critical care settings and one (18) in specialist stroke services (Table 2).

Table 2. Discussion papers for the identification and observation of early post stroke seizures.

First author, year	Country	Clinical setting	Clinical method to identify seizure	Duration of method	Indications
Kraus, 2002	USA	Critical care	Continuous EEG	Not reported	Not reported
Vespa, 2005	USA	Critical care	Continuous EEG on monitor at bedside, nurse continuous review with routine periodic review by physician	5 days	Lack of clinical seizure activity not an indication to avoid cEEG

De Reuck, 2009	Belgium	Stroke	EEG	Not reported	As soon as possible after the ictal event
Bautista, 2020	USA	Critical care	Nurse seizure assessment Bedside EEG recording	Continuous 1 st five mins and until returned to baseline	On admission

For the two research papers, one study recruited participants from a single stroke unit, and the case study reported on a patient in the emergency department (Table 3). One clinical guideline provided guidance on acute ischaemic stroke and the second on primary intracerebral haemorrhage (19, 20). Both guidance papers were from the USA (Table 4).

Table 3. Studies on clinical methods for the identification and observation of early post stroke seizures.

First author, year	Country	Study type	Study aim	Number of participants	Male (%)	Ischaemic stroke (%)	Clinical method to identify seizure
Carrera, 2006	Switzerland	Case control	To determine the incidence and risk factors of electrical seizures and other electrical epileptic activity using continuous EEG (cEEG) in patients with acute stroke	100	58 (58)	91 (91)	Continuous EEG
Mader, 2020	USA	Case report	To describe a case of stroke-onset seizures during acute ischemic stroke of the midbrain to illustrate how stroke-onset seizures might differ from other early-onset seizures	1	0 (0)	1 (100)	Spouse witness Continuous EEG

Table 4. Clinical guidelines for the identification and observation of early post stroke seizures.

First author, year	Country	Clinical setting	Clinical method to identify seizure	Duration of method	Indications
Hemphill, 2015	USA	Acute stroke	Continuous EEG	At least 24 hours	Depressed mental status out of proportion to the stroke
Green, 2021	USA	Acute stroke	Standardised approach to recognition, assessment and documentation of the seizure Neurological examination EEG	Not reported	Monitor with EEG for change in mental status or depressed LoC out of proportion to the stroke

Characteristics of primary research papers

Table 3 provides a summary of the key characteristics. Neither research study had a primary aim to evaluate methods of recognising and observing seizures as part of usual nursing observations. Participants' ages ranged from 31-94 years and a mean age of 69. Ethnicity was not reported. The case control study (21) had 99% of the participants and had a mixed sample of ischaemic and 9% (n=9) haemorrhagic stroke. Its aim was to determine the incidence of electrical seizures and epileptic electrical activity using continuous electroencephalogram (cEEG) (21). Although the paper did not evaluate the methods used for seizure recognition and monitoring, the authors provided a narrative description of the methods used in the study. The second research paper, a case study, reported on an unusual case of stroke-onset seizure from acute midbrain infarction and provided a qualitative description of the process of seizure recognition and observation accompanied with diagnostic cEEG monitoring (22).

Synthesis of results on clinical methods of identification and observation of seizures

Our main aim for this review was to map available literature on methods used in the identification and bedside observation of EPSS, usually performed by nurses. The review demonstrates a significant lack of coverage in the literature in this specific area of clinical practice. No records were retrieved that evaluated the accuracy of different clinical methods. Five method types were identified: (i) continuous EEG, (ii) periodic EEG, (iii) clinician (nurse) observation, (iv) video recording, and (v) family witness. Continuous EEG was the most frequently occurring method type (Figure 2).

Figure 1. PRISMA-SR diagram to show the selection process for the review.




Figure 2. Frequency of occurrence of methods for identifying and observing seizures in the eight included papers




Table 4 provides a summary of the key information for each method.

- (i) Continuous EEG (cEEG). Six papers referred to cEEG to identify and observe EPSS. Five of these papers were from the USA. Indications and duration of cEEG varied; either cEEG is commenced routinely at the earliest opportunity after stroke (22, 23) or commenced depending on clinical complications, usually depressed level of consciousness (20-22). There was consensus that cEEG monitoring should be used similarly to continuous cardiac (ECG) monitoring. Type of cEEG and duration of monitoring was only reported in two papers (21, 23): cEEG ranged from 14-8 channels and duration from 24h to 7 days.

Three papers described cEEG at the bedside with a monitor for nursing observation (21, 23, 24) and two described nurses requiring skills to identify electrical seizure activity (23, 24). Bautista (2020) outlined EEG knowledge required on frequency, repetition, amplitude, distribution, timing, persistence, morphology, and symmetry (24). In addition to nurse observation, two papers described retrospective review of the cEEG by either a physician trained in EEG interpretation or by an electroencephalographer (21, 23).

- (ii) Periodic EEG. Two papers referred to periodic EEG for either change in level of consciousness out of proportion to the stroke (Green 2021), or to be conducted as soon as possible after an EPSS (18). No details were given regarding the EEG channel system.
- (iii) Clinician observation. Two papers described the type of nurse clinical observation of seizures. Green (2021) recommended nurses adopt a standardised approach to recognition of post-stroke complications, including recognition of seizures, but does not provide details on the approach to use (19). Bautista (2020) recommended a systematic assessment of seizures once recognised and provides details on key assessment areas: level of consciousness, eye deviation, gaze, pupil size, urinary incontinence, body movements and motor function (24). The onset and duration of seizure is recommended to be recorded along with neurological assessment continuously for the first five minutes of the ictal phase, and subsequent periodic assessments in ictal and postictal phases until the patient has returned to their baseline.
- (iv) Video recording. Mader's (2020) case report describes video recording to seizure observation and diagnosis (22). The patient was video recorded alongside cEEG. The paper reports on a 28 second clonic seizure observed on video but, due to movement artefact, unable to be identified on EEG. This case also draws attention to the narrow time window to observe seizures if relying on human observation.
- (v) Family witness. Mader's (2020) case report also highlights the contribution of relatives in observing seizure activity (22). The patient's husband noticed her first post-stroke seizure - a 30 second episode of bilateral leg jerking 30 minutes after suspected brain stem stroke. This was the only paper referring to family's contribution to recognition of seizure.

Table 5. Themes of clinical methods for the identification and observation of early post stroke seizures.

Method theme	Description	Start from stroke onset	Duration	Indication
Continuous EEG				
Vespa, 2005	14 channel EEG At bedside with monitor for nurse to observe. Physician trained in EEG interpretation review EEG at least 3 times per day and when nurse identifies suspicious activity.	Earliest opportunity after admission to ICU	5 to 7 days	If resources limited, intracerebral haemorrhage should have priority over ischaemic stroke due to higher risk. Lack of clinical seizure activity not an indication to avoid EEG
Carrera, 2006	10 electrodes, 10-20 system with 8 channel sub-set EEG trace displayed at bedside with retrospective review of tracing by electroencephalographer	Start time varied	Duration approx. 24h (mean 17.5h)	Depending on stroke severity, general condition and complications
Hemphill, 2015	No description	Not stated	Not stated	In ICH patients with depressed mental status that is out of proportion to the degree of brain injury
Mader, 2020	No description	At stroke onset	Not stated	Depressed level of consciousness
Bautista, 2020	Observe bedside EEG similar to cardiac monitoring. EEG should be reviewed for	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated

frequency, repetition, amplitude, distribution,
timing, persistence, morphology, symmetry

Kraus, 2002	No description	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Periodic EEG				
Green, 2021	No description	Not stated	Not stated	EEG for change in mental status or depressed level of consciousness out of proportion to the stroke
De Reuck, 2009	No description	Not stated	Not stated	EEG performed as soon as possible after ictal event
Clinician observation				
Green, 2021	Nurses should have a standardised approach to recognition of seizures. Assessment and documentation of the seizure	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Bautista, 2020	Monitor airway, level of consciousness, eye deviation, gaze, pupil size, urinary incontinence, body movements and motor function. Responsiveness, awareness, motor function and language should be assessed in ictal and postictal phase Record onset and duration of seizure	Not stated	During 1 st 5 minutes observe continuously In ictal and post ictal phases until patient back at their baseline	Not stated
Video recording				

Mader, 2020	Video consistent with clonic seizure for 28 seconds	At stroke onset, duration not reported	Not stated	Continuous with EEG
Family witness				
Mader, 2020	Relative noted 30 second episode of bilateral leg jerking 30 minutes after drop in level of consciousness	30 minutes after change in neurology indicating acute stroke	Not stated	Not stated

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first scoping review on the clinical methods used with acute stroke patients to identify and observe EPSS. We included eight papers: two research papers, two clinical guidelines and four discussion papers. We found no evaluation of different methods aimed at recognising and observing EPSS, and subsequently recommendations lacking detail and consensus on clinical processes. Continuous EEG is the method most referred to in the literature with detailed information of how to conduct observations at the bedside from critical care papers. Capturing seizure activity, either witnessed directly or by video recording, is key for contributing to accurate diagnosis and evaluating treatment. This is challenging when seizure activity can be subtle and only for a few seconds. This review identified that trained clinician observation, video recordings and relative witnesses all may have a role. More research into effective methods to capture observed seizure activity on acute stroke units, including support from relatives and the stroke multidisciplinary team, may be of value in improving seizure recognition.

We found in screening papers for the larger review, most available literature is concerned with the epidemiology and management of EPSS, with an assumption that post-stroke seizures are recognised by clinicians to start diagnostic investigations. More studies using EEG investigating seizure prevalence and characteristics in acute stroke were retrieved in our searches, but these papers were excluded as they did not provide detail on the assessment procedure, nor what methods were used to identify patients with suspected seizure activity. Whilst there is literature using clinical recognition and observation methods, such as EEG and clinical observations, our review has highlighted a lack of attention, particularly in the nursing literature, on the most effective and accurate methods for acute stroke patients.

We conducted a scoping review rather than a systematic review due to the lack of consensus in the literature on definition of EPSS. We aimed to include a wide range of literature using a systematic search process in extensive databases and within grey literature, but it is possible that we have missed some relevant literature. We did not undertake a formal quality assessment, but we did chart data on methodological information that informed our interpretation of the evidence. We did exclude papers that had mixed early and late seizure onset participants or where onset of seizure after stroke was not clear.

Conclusions

Research on the prevalence, diagnosis and management of EPSS relies on effective recognition and observation of post-stroke patients for seizures. A lack of evidence on methods supporting identification and observation of seizures in post-stroke patients may contribute to underestimation of its prevalence and result in delayed diagnosis, increased complications and mortality. There is a need for more attention in research and clinical practice into consistent, systematic observation for EPSS and which methods, or combination of methods, might improve recognition rates of suspected seizure activity and ultimately improved diagnosis.

FUNDING

This review has been conducted in collaboration with, and supported through, National Institute of Health and Social Care Research (NIHR) Northwest Coast Applied Research Collaboration (ARC NWC).

CW, AC, CH, and JH are part-funded by the National Institute for Health Research Applied Research Collaboration North West Coast (NIHR ARC NWC). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Appendix 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	1
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	2
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	2-3
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	3
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	3-4
Information sources*	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	4
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	19-23
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	4-5
Data charting process‡	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	4-5
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	4-5
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	N/A: see 5
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	4-5

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
RESULTS			
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	5-6, 9
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	5-7
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	N/A
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	5-8, 10-14
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	8, 10-14
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	15
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	15
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	16
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	16

JB1 = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

* Where *sources of evidence* (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

† A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote).

‡ The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (6) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JB1 guidance (4, 5) refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

§ The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 and 19 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

From: Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169:467–473. doi: [10.7326/M18-0850](https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850).

Appendix 2: Search Strategies

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process, In-Data-Review & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily 1946 to September 30, 2021

Date searched: 01/10/2021

- 1 Epilepsy/
- 2 Seizures/
- 3 Status Epilepticus/
- 4 1 or 2 or 3
- 5 exp Stroke/
- 6 exp Cerebral Hemorrhage/
- 7 5 or 6
- 8 4 and 7
- 9 (Post-stroke seizure* or Poststroke seizure* or Post-stroke epilep* or Poststroke epilep* or postisch?emic stroke epilep* or post-isch?emic stroke epilep* or postisch?emic stroke seizure* or post-isch?emic stroke seizure* or postisch?emic epilep* or post-isch?emic epilep* or posth?emorrhagic stroke epilep* or post-h?emorrhagic stroke epilep* or posth?emorrhagic stroke seizure* or post-h?emorrhagic stroke seizure* or acute symptomatic seizure*).ti,ab,kw.
- 10 ((seizure* or convuls* or epilep*) and (stroke* or poststroke or post-stroke or cerebrovascular accident* or cva or intracerebral h?emorrhage* or cerebral h?emorrhage* or brain h?emorrhage* or cerebral infarction* or brain infarction*)).ti.
- 11 ((seizure* or epilep* or convuls*) adj6 (stroke* or poststroke or post-stroke or cerebrovascular accident* or cva or intracerebral h?emorrhage* or cerebral h?emorrhage* or brain h?emorrhage* or cerebral infarction* or brain infarction*) adj6 (related or associated or follow* or after or onset or caus* or during or occur* or within)).ab.
- 12 8 or 9 or 10 or 11
- 13 limit 12 to english language
- 14 exp animals/ not humans.sh.
- 15 13 not 14
- 16 (exp child/ or exp infant/ or exp adolescent/) not exp Adult/
- 17 15 not 16

Database: Embase (Ovid) 1974 to 2021 September 30

Date searched: 01/10/2021

- 1 epilepsy/
- 2 seizure/
- 3 epileptic state/
- 4 1 or 2 or 3
- 5 cerebrovascular accident/
- 6 brain infarction/
- 7 brain hemorrhage/
- 8 5 or 6 or 7
- 9 4 and 8
- 10 (Post-stroke seizure* or Poststroke seizure* or Post-stroke epilep* or Poststroke epilep* or postisch?emic stroke epilep* or post-isch?emic stroke epilep* or postisch?emic stroke seizure* or post-isch?emic stroke seizure* or postisch?emic seizure* or post-isch?emic seizure* or postisch?emic epilep* or post-isch?emic epilep* or posth?emorrhagic stroke epilep* or post-h?emorrhagic stroke epilep* or posth?emorrhagic stroke seizure* or post-h?emorrhagic stroke seizure* or acute symptomatic seizure*).ti,ab,kw.
- 11 ((seizure* or convuls* or epilep*) and (stroke* or poststroke or post-stroke or cerebrovascular accident* or cva or intracerebral h?emorrhage* or cerebral h?emorrhage* or brain h?emorrhage* or cerebral infarction* or brain infarction*)).ti.
- 12 ((seizure* or epilep* or convuls*) adj6 (stroke* or poststroke or post-stroke or cerebrovascular accident* or cva or intracerebral h?emorrhage* or cerebral h?emorrhage* or brain h?emorrhage* or cerebral infarction* or brain infarction*) adj6 (related or associated or follow* or after or onset or caus* or during or occur* or within)).ab.
- 13 9 or 10 or 11 or 12
- 14 limit 13 to english language
- 15 (rat or rats or mouse or mice or swine or porcine or murine or sheep or lambs or pigs or piglets or rabbit or rabbits or cat or cats or dog or dogs or cattle or bovine or monkey or monkeys or trout or marmoset\$1).ti. and animal experiment/
- 16 Animal experiment/ not (human experiment/ or human/)
- 17 15 or 16
- 18 14 not 17
- 19 (exp child/ or exp adolescence/ or exp adolescent/) not exp adult/
- 20 18 not 19

Database: CINAHL Complete (via EBSCOhost)

Date searched: 01/10/2021

- S1 (MH "Epilepsy")
- S2 (MH "Seizures")
- S3 (MH "Status Epilepticus")
- S4 S1 OR S2 OR S3
- S5 (MH "Stroke+")
- S6 (MH "Cerebral Hemorrhage+")
- S7 S5 OR S6
- S8 S4 AND S7
- S9 "Post-stroke seizure*" or "Poststroke seizure*" or "Post-stroke epilep*" or "Poststroke epilep*" or "postisch#emic stroke epilep*" or "post-isch#emic stroke epilep*" or "postisch#emic stroke seizure*" or "post-isch#emic stroke seizure*" or "postisch#emic seizure*" or "post-isch#emic seizure*" or "postisch#emic epilep*" or "post-isch#emic epilep*" or "posth#emorrhagic stroke epilep*" or "post-h#emorrhagic stroke epilep*" or "posth#emorrhagic stroke seizure*" or "post-h#emorrhagic stroke seizure*" or "acute symptomatic seizure*"
- S10 TI ((seizure* or convuls* or epilep*) and (stroke* or poststroke or "post-stroke" or "cerebrovascular accident*" or cva or "intracerebral h#emorrhage*" or "cerebral h#emorrhage*" or "brain h#emorrhage*" or "cerebral infarction*" or "brain infarction*"))
- S11 AB ((seizure* or epilep* or convuls*) N6 (stroke* or poststroke or "post-stroke" or "cerebrovascular accident*" or cva or "intracerebral h#emorrhage*" or "cerebral h#emorrhage*" or "brain h#emorrhage*" or "cerebral infarction*" or "brain infarction*") N6 (related or associated or follow* or after or onset or caus* or during or occur* or within))
- S12 S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11
- S13 MH animals+
- S14 MH (animal studies)
- S15 TI (animal model*)
- S16 S13 OR S14 OR S15
- S17 MH (human)
- S18 S16 NOT S17
- S19 S12 NOT S18
- S20 (MH "Child+")
- S21 (MH "Infant+")
- S22 (MH "Adolescence+")
- S23 S20 OR S21 OR S22
- S24 (MH "Adult+")

S25 S23 NOT S24

S26 S19 NOT S25

S27 S19 NOT S25 limited to English Language

Database: Cochrane Library via Wiley (all databases)

Date searched: 01/10/2021

- #1 MeSH descriptor: [Epilepsy] explode all trees
- #2 MeSH descriptor: [Seizures] this term only
- #3 MeSH descriptor: [Status Epilepticus] this term only
- #4 #1 OR #2 OR #3
- #5 MeSH descriptor: [Stroke] explode all trees
- #6 MeSH descriptor: [Cerebral Hemorrhage] explode all trees
- #7 #5 OR #6
- #8 #4 AND #7
- #9 (("post stroke" or poststroke or postischemic or postischaemic or "post-ischemic" or "post-ischaemic" or "postischemic Stroke" or "post-ischemic Stroke" or "postischaemic stroke" or "post-ischaemic stroke" or "posthemorrhagic stroke" or "post-hemorrhagic stroke" or "posthaemorrhagic stroke" or "post-haemorrhagic stroke") NEXT (epilep* or seizure*)):ti,ab,kw
- #10 ("acute symptomatic seizure" or "acute symptomatic seizures"):ti,ab,kw
- #11 ((seizure* or convuls* or epilep*) and (stroke* or poststroke or "post-stroke" or (cerebrovascular NEXT accident*) or cva or (intracerebral NEXT h?emorrhage*) or (cerebral NEXT h?emorrhage*) or (brain NEXT h?emorrhage*) or (cerebral NEXT infarction*) or (brain NEXT infarction*))) :ti
- #12 (((seizure* or epilep* or convuls*) NEAR/6 (stroke* or poststroke or "post-stroke" or (cerebrovascular NEXT accident*) or cva or (intracerebral NEXT h?emorrhage*) or (cerebral NEXT h?emorrhage*) or (brain NEXT h?emorrhage*) or (cerebral NEXT infarction*) or (brain NEXT infarction*)) NEAR/6 (related or associated or follow* or after or onset or caus* or during or occur* or within))) :ab
- #13 #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12
- #14 MeSH descriptor: [Animals] explode all trees
- #15 MeSH descriptor: [Humans] explode all trees
- #16 #14 NOT #15
- #17 #13 NOT #16
- #18 MeSH descriptor: [Child] explode all trees
- #19 MeSH descriptor: [Infant] explode all trees
- #20 MeSH descriptor: [Adolescent] explode all trees
- #21 #18 OR #19 OR #20
- #22 MeSH descriptor: [Adult] explode all trees
- #23 #21 NOT #22
- #24 #17 NOT #23

REFERENCES

1. Doria JW, Forgacs PB. Incidence, Implications, and Management of Seizures Following Ischemic and Hemorrhagic Stroke. *Current Neurology & Neuroscience Reports*. 2019;19(7): 37. doi: 10.1007/s11910-019-0957-4.
2. Zelano J. Prognosis of poststroke epilepsy. *Epilepsy & Behavior*. 2020;104(1): 106273. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2019.04.026>.
3. Stefanidou M, Das RR, Beiser AS, Sundar B, Kelly-Hayes M, Kase CS, et al. Incidence of seizures following initial ischemic stroke in a community-based cohort: The Framingham Heart Study. *Seizure*. 2017;47(1): 105-110. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2017.03.009.
4. Xu MY. Poststroke seizure: optimising its management. *Stroke & Vascular Neurology*. 2019;4(1): 48-56. doi: 10.1136/svn-2018-000175.
5. Holtkamp M, Beghi E, Benninger F, Kälviäinen R, Rocamora R, Christensen H. European Stroke Organisation guidelines for the management of post-stroke seizures and epilepsy. *European Stroke Journal*. 2017;2(2): 103-115. doi: 10.1177/2396987317705536.
6. Zelano J, Holtkamp M, Agarwal N, Lattanzi S, Trinka E, Brigo F. How to diagnose and treat post-stroke seizures and epilepsy. *Epileptic Disorders*. 2020;22(3): 252-263. doi: 10.1684/epd.2020.1159.
7. Beghi E, Carpio A, Forsgren L, Hesdorffer D, Malmgren K, Sander J, et al. Recommendation for a definition of acute symptomatic seizure. *Epilepsia*. 2010;51(4): 671-675. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2009.02285.x.
8. Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, Bogacz A, Cross JH, Elger CE, et al. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55(4): 475-482. doi: 10.1111/epi.12550.
9. Zhang C, Wang X, Wang Y, Zhang JG, Hu W, Ge M, et al. Risk factors for post-stroke seizures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epilepsy Research*. 2014;108(10): 1806-1816. doi: 10.1016/j.epilepsyres.2014.09.030.
10. Xu Y, Hackett ML, Chalmers J, Lindley RI, Wang X, Li Q, et al. Frequency, determinants, and effects of early seizures after thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke: The ENCHANTED trial. *Neurology Clinical Practice*. 2017;7(4): 324-332. doi: 10.1212/CPJ.0000000000000384.
11. Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), National Clinical Programme for Stroke Ireland. *National Clinical Guideline for Stroke: for the United Kingdom and Ireland*. <https://www.strokeguideline.org/app/uploads/2023/04/National-Clinical-Guideline-for-Stroke-2023.pdf> [Accessed 2023 April 21].

12. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). *Stroke and transient ischaemic attack in over 16s: diagnosis and initial management. NICE guideline [NG128]*.
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng128> [Accessed 2023 April 21].
13. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2005;8(1): 19-32. doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616.
14. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Munn Z, Tricco AC, Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (eds.). *JBIManual for Evidence Synthesis* [Internet]. JBI; 2020. Accessed from: <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global>.
15. Gordon. C, Watkins. C. L, Emsley. H, Harris. C, Lightbody. L, Davidson. C. E. *Recognition of Early Post Stroke Seizures and Epilepsy (REPoSS)*. osf.io/bkejc [Accessed 2023 April 21].
16. RAYYAN. [rayyan@. https://www.rayyan.ai/](mailto:rayyan@rayyan.ai) [Accessed 2023 April 21].
17. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2018;169(7): 467-473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.
18. De Reuck JL. Management of stroke-related seizures. *Acta Neurologica Belgica*. 2009;109(1): 271-276. ISSN: 0300-9009.
19. Green TL, McNair ND, Hinkle JL, Middleton S, Miller ET, Perrin S, et al. Care of the Patient With Acute Ischemic Stroke (Posthyperacute and Prehospital Discharge): Update to 2009 Comprehensive Nursing Care Scientific Statement: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2021;52(5): e179-e197. doi: 10.1161/str.0000000000000357.
20. Hemphill JC, Greenberg SM, Anderson CS, Becker K, Bendok BR, Cushman M, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2015;46(1): 2032-2060. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000069.
21. Carrera E, Michel P, Despl PA, Maeder-Ingvar M, Ruffieux C, Debatisse D, et al. Continuous assessment of electrical epileptic activity in acute stroke. *Neurology*. 2006;67(1): 99-104. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000223361.90278.ca.
22. Mader EC, Jr., Losada V, Baity JC, McKinnies EM, Branch LA. Stroke-Onset Seizures During Midbrain Infarction in a Patient With Top of the Basilar Syndrome. *Journal of Investigative Medicine High Impact Case Reports*. 2020;8(1): 2324709620940497. doi: 10.1177/2324709620940497.
23. Vespa P. Continuous EEG monitoring for the detection of seizures in traumatic brain injury, infarction, and intracerebral hemorrhage: "to detect and protect". *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2005;22(2): 99-106. doi: 10.1097/01.wnp.0000154919.54202.e0.

24. Bautista C. Monitoring for Poststroke Seizures. *Critical Care Nursing Clinics of North America*. 2020;32(1): 85-95. doi: 10.1016/j.cnc.2019.11.006.