Table S1. Quality scores for papers included in the review

Article Name and Author: Study 1	The surgical debrief: Just another checklist or an instrument to drive cultural change?	Leonard et al. (2021)
Title – Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	Yes	
interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
Purpose or research questio n - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	No	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	No	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Yes	
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Yes	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data	No	

security issues

rationale**

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study **Units of study** - Number and relevant characteristics Yes of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data prior Yes to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-

identification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, No etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach;

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role	Yes	15/21
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and		
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 2	Structured feedback enhances compliance with operating room debriefs	But et al. (2020)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Yes	
the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background, purpose,		
methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes	
and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes	
rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected;	Yes	

criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics	
review board and participant consent, or explanation	
for lack thereof; other Yesconfidentiality and data	
security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes
of participants, documents, or events included in the	
study; level of participation (could be reported in	
results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	
entry, data management and security, verification of	
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-	
identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	No
etc., were identified and developed, including the	
researchers involved in data analysis; usually	
references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	Yes
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate	
analytic findings	
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -	

Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and	Yes	16/21
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 3	Debriefing: the forgotten phase of the	Bartz-Kurycki
	surgical safety checklist	et al. (2017)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
Purpose or research questio n - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	No	
recommended; rationale** Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions,	No	

approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes
rationale**	. 00
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	103
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
	NO
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics	
review board and participant consent, or explanation	
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data	
security issues	.,
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes
of participants, documents, or events included in the	
study; level of participation (could be reported in	
results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	
entry, data management and security, verification of	
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-	
identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	No
etc., were identified and developed, including the	
researchers involved in data analysis; usually	
references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	. 55
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
integration with prior research of theory	

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate	No	
analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of	Yes	
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and	No	
conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	13/21
Article Name and Author: Study 4	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?	Dharampal et al. (2016)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
Purpose or research questio n - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	Yes	

Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes
rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics	
review board and participant consent, or explanation	
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data	
security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	103
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes
of participants, documents, or events included in the	163
study; level of participation (could be reported in	
results)	Vaa
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	
entry, data management and security, verification of	
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-	
identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	Yes
etc., were identified and developed, including the	
researchers involved in data analysis; usually	
references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and

credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory	Vos	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate	Yes	
analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	v	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and	ies	
conclusions; how these were managed		
_		40/04
Funding - Sources of funding and other support: role	Yes	19/21
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and	Yes	19/21
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	19/21
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and	Yes Operating room efficiency	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation	
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose,	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes Yes	Porta et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 5 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment Yes Yes	Porta et al.

Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded

theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -

Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability

Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; Y rationale**

Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**

Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -

Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**

Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,

questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, No

No

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

etc., were identified and developed, including the		
researchers involved in data analysis; usually		
references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	Yes	
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate		
analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	No	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role	No	13/21
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and		
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 6	Briefing and debriefing in the cardiac	Papaspyros et
	operating room. Analysis of impact	al. (2009)
	on theatre team attitude and patient	
	safety	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Yes	
the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background, purpose,		
methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes	

of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement **Purpose or research question** - Purpose of the study Yes and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm -No Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -No Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability **Context** - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; Yes rationale** Sampling strategy - How and why research No participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**

Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics
review board and participant consent, or explanation
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data
security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected;

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**

Data collection instruments and technologies -Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in

results)

the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Yes	
	the military to enhance the performance of surgical teams	
, a dolo namo ana mamon stady /	organizational learning model from	(2007)
Article Name and Author: Study 7	Briefing-debriefing: using a reflexive	Vashdi et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and	No	13/21
conclusions; how these were managed	No	12/21
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
findings	NI.	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
analytic findings		
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	Yes	
integration with prior research or theory		
include development of a theory or model, or		
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
rationale**		
references a specific paradigm or approach;		
researchers involved in data analysis; usually		
etc., were identified and developed, including the		
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	Yes	
identification of excerpts		
entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-		
to and during analysis, including transcription, data		
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	No	

grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	
interview, focus group) is recommended	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes
using the abstract format of the intended	
publication; typically includes background, purpose,	
methods, results, and conclusions	
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem	
statement	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes
and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes
rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics	
review board and participant consent, or explanation	
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data	
security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale** Data collection instruments and technologies -	
	Yes

Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)		
changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	No	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts	Yes	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	Yes	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	No	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Yes	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes	
Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Yes	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	No	
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	15/21

Article Name and Author: Study 8	Surfacing safety hazards using stanardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center	Bandari et al. (2012)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
Purpose or research questio n - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	No	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	No	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Yes	
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Yes	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	No	

Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes
of participants, documents, or events included in the	
study; level of participation (could be reported in	
results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	
entry, data management and security, verification of	
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-	
identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	No
etc., were identified and developed, including the	
researchers involved in data analysis; usually	
references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	No
	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	No Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support,	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of	Yes

findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role	No	14/21
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and		
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 9	Implementing standardized operating	Berenholtz et
	room briefings and debriefings at a	al. (2009)
	large regional medical center	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background, purpose,		
methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes	
and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	No	
rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes	
participants, documents, or events were selected;		
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was		
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**		
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes	
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics		
review board and participant consent, or explanation		
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data		

security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes

Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, No etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale **

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

No

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role	No	14/21
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and		
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 10	Use of briefings and debriefings as a tool in improving teamwork, efficiency, and communication in the operating theatre	Bethune et al. (2011)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	No	
the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended	-	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background, purpose,		
methods, results, and conclusions	Vec	
Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	Yes	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes	
and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	Vas	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Yes	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	No	

participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	No
Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	Yes
Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	Yes
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	Yes
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts	No
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	No
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Yes
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes

transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	No	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	13/21
Article Name and Author: Study 11	Implementation of surgical debriefing	Brindle et al.
	programs in large health systems: an	(2018)
	exploratory qualitative analysis	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	Yes	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research questio n - Purpose of the study	Yes	
and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	Yes	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	Yes	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	No	

Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Yes
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	Yes
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes
of participants, documents, or events included in the	
study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	No
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	NO
entry, data management and security, verification of	
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-	
identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	Yes
etc., were identified and developed, including the	
researchers involved in data analysis; usually	
references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	No
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	Yes
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate	
analytic findings	

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	17/21
Article Name and Author: Study 12	Debriefing in the OR: A quality improvement project	Finch et al. (2019)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes Yes	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	No	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with	No	

participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes
rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics	
review board and participant consent, or explanation	
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data	
security issues	.,
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale** Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	163
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	No
of participants, documents, or events included in the	
study; level of participation (could be reported in	
results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	
entry, data management and security, verification of	
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-	
identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	No
etc., were identified and developed, including the	
researchers involved in data analysis; usually	
references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	.,
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes

interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	No	
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate		
analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	N.	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	No	
findings	V	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed	No	13/21
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and	No	13/21
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 13	Coaching to improve the quality of	Kleiner et al.
Article Name and Author. Study 13		
Article Name and Author. Study 13	communication during briefings and debriefings	(2014)
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	communication during briefings and	
	communication during briefings and debriefings	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	communication during briefings and debriefings	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	communication during briefings and debriefings	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance	communication during briefings and debriefings	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded)	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	communication during briefings and debriefings Yes Yes Yes Yes	

qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability **Context** - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; Yes rationale** **Sampling strategy** - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale ** Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -Yes Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues **Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale ** Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics Yes of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) **Data processing** - Methods for processing data prior Yes to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts **Data analysis** - Process by which inferences, themes, No etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -Yes Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,

audit trail, triangulation); rationale **

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or	Yes	
integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	No	
Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Yes	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	18/21
· · · · · ·		
Article Name and Author: Study 14	Effects of perioperative briefing and debriefing on patient safety: a prospective intervention study	Leong et al. (2017)
Article Name and Author: Study 14 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	debriefing on patient safety: a	=
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	debriefing on patient safety: a prospective intervention study	=
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose,	debriefing on patient safety: a prospective intervention study Yes	=
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem	debriefing on patient safety: a prospective intervention study Yes Yes	=

identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -

Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability

Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; Yes rationale**

Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**

Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics

review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**

Data collection instruments and technologies -Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	No	
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate		
analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	West	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings	V	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role	No	15/21
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and	NO	13/21
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 15	Changing operating room culture:	Magill et al.
Article Name and Author. Study 13	implementation of a postoperative	(2017)
	debrief and improved safety culture	(2017)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Yes	
the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background, purpose,		
methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes
and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm	No
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes
rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics	
review board and participant consent, or explanation	
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data	
security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
-	163
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	.,
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes
of participants, documents, or events included in the	
study; level of participation (could be reported in	
results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	

entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	No	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Yes	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes	
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
Con Aller and		
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
9-	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and	Yes	15/21
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed		15/21
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role		15/21
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and		15/21 Mundt et al.
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in	Mundt et al.
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 16	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room	Mundt et al.
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 16 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room	Mundt et al.
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 16 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room	Mundt et al.
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 16 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room	Mundt et al.
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 16 Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room	Mundt et al.

publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions **Problem formulation** - Description and significance Yes of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study Yes and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm -Yes Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale ** Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -No Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability **Context** - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; Yes rationale** Sampling strategy - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale ** Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -Yes Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues **Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale ** Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

	implementation of preoperative	(2009)
Article Name and Author: Study 17	Predictors of successful	Paull et al.
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and	Yes	19/21
conclusions; how these were managed	Voc	10/21
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
findings	Voc	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	v	
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
analytic findings		
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	Yes	
integration with prior research or theory	v	
include development of a theory or model, or		
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
rationale**		
references a specific paradigm or approach;		
researchers involved in data analysis; usually		
etc., were identified and developed, including the		
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	Yes	
identification of excerpts		
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-		
entry, data management and security, verification of		
to and during analysis, including transcription, data		
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes	
results)		
study; level of participation (could be reported in		
of participants, documents, or events included in the		
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes	

	training
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Yes
the study Identifying the study as qualitative or	
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,	
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	
interview, focus group) is recommended	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes
using the abstract format of the intended	
publication; typically includes background, purpose,	
methods, results, and conclusions	
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem	
statement	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes
and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes
rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics	
review board and participant consent, or explanation	
for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data	
security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	

and analysis, iterative process, thangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures in	
response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics	Yes
of participants, documents, or events included in the	
study; level of participation (could be reported in	
results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior	Yes
to and during analysis, including transcription, data	
entry, data management and security, verification of	
data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-	
identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes,	No
etc., were identified and developed, including the	
researchers involved in data analysis; usually	
references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	No
notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate	
analytic findings	
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -	
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how	
findings and conclusions connect to, support,	
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier	
scholarship; discussion of scope of	
application/generalizability; identification of unique	
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	No
findings	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No
or perceived influence on study conduct and	

and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of

conclusions; how these were managed

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting

12/21

Article Name and Author: Study 18	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care	Rose et al. (2018)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Yes	
the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background, purpose,		
methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes	
and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes	
rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes	
participants, documents, or events were selected;		
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was		
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**		
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No	
Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics		

No

review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**

Data collection instruments and technologies -

Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

Yes

No

application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings	163	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role	Yes	15/21
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and		
reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 19	Long?term effects of perioperative	Schaap et al.
	briefing and debriefing on team	(2020)
	climate: A mixed2method evaluation	
	study	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of	Yes	
the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background, purpose,		
methods, results, and conclusions	Voc	
Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	Yes	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study	Yes	
and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors;	Yes	

rationale**

Sampling strategy - How and why research
participants, documents, or events were selected;
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics

Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**

Data collection instruments and technologies -Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Data processing - Methods for processing data prior Y to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

analytic findings

analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of unique		
contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role	No	
of funders in data collection, interpretation, and		
reporting		