

## Supplementary Data

Childhood and Adolescents neurodevelopmental disorders and developmental outcomes  
Polygenic risk scoring "genetic scoring" or "polygenic scoring"

\*Note: Search terms used were broad in order to maintain consistency throughout all databases without being too specific (which results in fewer hits which excludes other vital papers). These broad search terms were intended to also include and capture hits for papers on internalising and externalising behaviours which form part of the crucial inclusion criteria.

Concepts

keywords / Mesh terms

Combine with AND or OR

### Search terms to be used:

- Developmental psychopathology
- Neurodevelopment disorders (MESH)
- Polygenic risk
- Polygenic score
- Genetic risk
- Child
- Adolescent
- Young people
- Youth

**Supplementary Table 1: Full Search Strategy**

Database name	MESH	Keywords	Final search	hits
PubMed	Neurodevelopment disorders	Developmental psychopathology OR Neurodevelopmental Disorders		
		Polygenic risk OR Polygenic risk score OR Genetic risk score		
		Child OR Adolescent OR Youth OR Young people		

			((Neurodevelopmental Disorders[Text Word] OR Developmental psychopathology[Text Word]) AND (Polygenic risk[Text Word] OR Polygenic risk score[Text Word] OR Genetic risk score[Text Word])) AND (Child[Text Word] OR Adolescent[Text Word] OR Youth[Text Word] OR Young people[Text Word])	19
SCOPUS		"Development*" "psychopatholog*" OR "Neurodevelopment* Disorder*"		
		"Polygenic risk*" OR "Polygenic risk score*" OR "Genetic risk score*"		
		Child* OR Adolescen* OR Youth OR "Young people"		
			"Development*" OR "psychopatholog*" OR "Neurodevelopment* Disorder*" AND "Polygenic risk*" OR "Polygenic risk score*" OR "Genetic risk score*" AND Child* OR Adolescen* OR Youth OR "Young people"	674
Psych Info (via Ebscohost)	MM (exploded) (S1) "Neurodevelopmental Disorders"	(S2) "Development*" OR "psychopatholog*"		11,701

		OR "Neurodevelopment* Disorder*"		
		(S3) "Polygenic risk*" OR "Polygenic risk score*" OR "Genetic risk score*"		885
		(S4) Child* OR Adolescen* OR Youth OR "Young people"		1,195,759
			<b>S1 AND S2 AND S3 AND S4</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Web of Science (all databases)</b>	"Polygenic risk*" "Genetic risk*" "Epigenetic association*" Child* Adolescen* Young people Youth			
			Set 1 "polygenic risk *" OR "genetic risk *" OR "Epigenetic association*"	28 601
			Set 2 Child* OR adolescen*OR youth OR "young people"	3 753 903
			Set 3 "Development* psychopathology "	2 483
			<b>#1 AND #2 AND #3</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>CINAHL complete (via ebscohost)</b>				
		(#1) "Development* psychopatholog*" OR		11701

		“Neurodevelopment* Disorder*”		
		(#2) “Polygenic risk*” OR “Polygenic risk score*” OR “Genetic risk score*”		885
		(#3) Child* OR Adolescen* OR Youth OR “Young people”		1,195,759
			<b># 1 AND #2 AND #3</b>	<b>7</b>

**Table 2: Methodological Quality assessment Q-Genie tool**

Author (year)	Study Rationale	Selecti on & definiti on of outco me of interes t	Selecti on & compa rability of compa rison groups (if applica ble)	Techn ical classif icatio n of the exposu re	Non-techn ical classif icatio n of the exposu re	Other sources of bias	Sample size and power	A priori plannin g of analyse s	Statisti cal metho d & control for confou nding	Testing of assumptio ns and inference for genetic analyses	Appropria teness of inferences drawn from results	Q score
Kwong et al 2021	4	4	4	7	7	7	3	6	5	6	6	59
Sudre et al (2020)	6	5	5	5	5	3	1	5	4	5	4	48
Hannigan et al (2021)	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	3	3	5	48
Aguilar-Lacasana et al (2020)	5	5	5	3	5	3	1	5	4	3	5	44
Akingbuwa (2020)	5	3	5	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	5	54
Jansen (2020)	5	5	3	5	4	5	2	5	5	5	5	49

Martin et al (2015)	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	3	3	4	4	45
Mistry et al (a)bipolar	3	4	5	5	5	5	2	3	3	2	3	40
Mistry (b)	5	3	5	3	5	3	2	3	2	2	3	36
Nivard et al (2017)	5	3	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	2	5	47
Nudel et al (2020)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	3	7	52
Rice et al (2019)	5	3	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	1	5	47
Riglin et al (2017)	5	3	5	3	5	5	3	5	5	1	5	43
Riglin (2018)	5	2	5	3	1	5	2	5	4	1	5	38
Riglin (2016)	5	3	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	40
Salvatore (2015)	3	3	5	5	1	4	1	3	3	1	3	33

**Poor quality:** 1–2; **Good quality:** 3–4; **Very good quality:** 5–6; **Excellent quality:** 7. For studies with control groups: scores  $\leq 35$  indicate poor-quality studies,  $> 35$  and  $\leq 45$  indicate studies of moderate quality, and  $> 45$  indicate good-quality studies. For studies without control groups: scores  $\leq 32$  indicate poor-quality studies,  $> 32$  and  $\leq 40$  indicate studies of moderate quality, and  $> 40$  indicate good-quality studies  
NA not applicable