

Supplementary Material

Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with motor deficits after brain tumor resection: a randomised, double-blind, sham-controlled trial

Melina Engelhardt^{a,b,c*}, Heike Schneider^a, Jan Reuther^d, Ulrike Grittner^e, Peter Vajkoczy^a, Thomas Picht^{a,b,f}, Tizian Rosenstock^{a,g}

^aCharité - Universitätsmedizin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Department of Neurosurgery, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

^bCharité – Universitätsmedizin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Einstein Center for Neurosciences, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

^cCharité – Universitätsmedizin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, International Graduate Program Medical Neurosciences, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

^dCharité - Universitätsmedizin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Department for Physical Medicine, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

^eCharité - Universitätsmedizin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Biometry and Clinical Epidemiology, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

^fCluster of Excellence Matters of Activity. Image Space Material, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin, Germany

^gBerlin Institute of Health at Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, BIH Biomedical Innovation Academy, BIH Charité Digital Clinician Scientist Program, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

	group difference for models without interaction of group*time	p	7 days	p	1 month	p	3 months	p
Fugl Meyer Score, mean difference (95%CI)	--		13.78 (-9.50-37.06)	0.351	18.34 (-11.40-48.04)	0.215	9.47 (-19.40-38.30)	0.505
BMRC prox, OR (95%CI), ref: control	--		4.55 (0.19-109.8)	0.351	2.20 (0.10-47.50)	0.615	0.48 (0.02-11.10)	0.646
BMRC dist, OR (95%CI), ref: control	13.6 (0.38-484)	0.152	--		--		--	
Finger tapping, OR (95%CI), ref: control	2.69 (0.24-30.8)	0.420	--		--		--	
NIHSS, mean difference (95%CI)	--		-3.95 (-7.68-0.21)	0.039	-4.38 (-8.12-0.64)	0.024	-3.83 (-7.57-0.097)	0.045
KPS, mean difference (95%CI)	--		8.34 (-16.2-32.9)	0.488	17.97 (-11.8-47.7)	0.223	11.84 (-17.7-41.4)	0.415
NHPT, OR (95%CI), ref: control	--		1.23 (-1.88-4.34)	0.437	0.55 (-2.48-3.58)	0.720	0.30 (-2.59-3.19)	0.839
EORTC, mean difference (95%CI)	--		13.0 (-15.1-41.1)	0.354	12.7 (-15.8-41.1)	0.373	14.9 (-15.0-44.8)	0.320

Table A.1 Impact of motor eloquent ischemia. Treatment effects (mean group differences/odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, p-values) for different outcomes (based on separate models for each outcome, adjusted for baseline measures and including time point, group and interaction group*time point) in the subgroup of patients with motor eloquent ischemia (n=16; 5 in rTMS group, 11 in sham group; 48 measures per model). Models for the finger tapping and distal BMRC scores did not converge when the interaction term for group * time point was included. They were therefore analysed only with a main effect for group and time point. Estimates are based on mixed models or GEEs. prox = proximal muscles, dist = distal muscles, KPS = Karnofsky Performance Status, NHPT = Nine-Hole Peg Test.

Before imputation (complete case analysis)

	N individuals	N measu res	group difference for models without interaction of group*time	p	7 days	p	1 month	p	3 months	p
Fugl Meyer Score, mean difference (95%CI)	27	52	--		-5.78 (-19.00-7.43)	0.378	-15.22 (-31.83-1.40)	0.072	2.33 (-15.00-19.66)	0.788
KG prox, OR (95%CI), ref: control	29	71	--		1.65 (0.18-15.23)	0.658	3.19 (0.23-45.53)	0.384	0.15 (0.01-2.35)	0.175
KG dist, OR (95%CI), ref: control	30	71	--		8.62 (0.07-1078.83)	0.382	511.00 (1.55-168746.16)	0.035	0.42 (0.002-80.65)	0.747
Finger tapping, OR (95%CI), ref: control	27	54	6.29 (0.94-42.00)	0.058	--	--	--	--	--	
NIHSS, mean difference (95%CI)	29	70	--		-2.09 (-4.21-0.02)	0.053	-1.91 (-4.21-0.39)	0.101	-0.57 (-2.89-1.74)	0.621
KPS, mean difference (95%CI)	30	71	--		2.58 (-8.26-13.41)	0.633	9.15 (-2.81-21.10)	0.131	-0.46 (-12.55-11.64)	0.902
NHPT, OR (95%CI), ref: control	30	66	--		1.43 (0.22-9.26)	0.708	1.88 (0.20-17.27)	0.579	1.00 (0.10-10.17)	1.000
EORTC QoL, mean difference (95%CI)	21	47	--		2.19 (-11.60-15.92)	0.748	4.42 (-12.70-21.58)	0.605	3.10 (-13.50-19.67)	0.707

After single imputation of informative missings									
	27	59	--	5.50 (-9.37-20.37)	0.457	7.44 (-10.12-25.00)	0.398	-4.23 (-22.09-13.60)	0.636
Fugl Meyer Score, mean difference (95%CI)			--						
BMRC prox, OR (95%CI), ref: control	30	79	--	1.51 (0.12-19.20)	0.752	2.65 (0.18-39.70)	0.481	0.21 (0.01-3.20)	0.258
BMRC dist, OR (95%CI), ref: control	30	79	--	4.90 (0.10-234.00)	0.424	46.90 (0.55-4030.00)	0.090	0.20 (0.01-12.00)	0.464
Finger tapping, OR (95%CI), ref: control	28	61	3.86 (0.59-25.10)	0.158	--	--	--	--	--
NIHSS, mean difference (95%CI)	29	78	--	-2.08 (-4.68-0.52)	0.114	-1.73 (-4.44-0.99)	0.207	-7.13 (-24.71-10.44)	0.419
KPS, mean difference (95%CI)	30	79	--	1.12 (-15.30-17.53)	0.891	3.84 (-13.88-21.55)	0.666	-0.46 (-12.55-11.64)	0.940
NHPT, OR (95%CI), ref: control	30	75	--	1.43 (0.22-9.26)	0.708	0.90 (0.13-6.08)	0.914	0.58 (0.08-4.39)	0.601
EORTC, mean difference (95%CI)	23	56	--	6.41 (-11.60-24.44)	0.476	4.89 (-15.60-25.41)	0.633	-4.69 (-24.00-14.59)	0.626

Table A.2 Sensitivity analyses. Treatment effects (mean group differences/odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, p-values) for different outcomes before imputation and after single imputation for informative missings (based on separate models for each outcome, adjusted for baseline measures and including time point, group and interaction group*time point). Models for the finger tapping did not converge when the interaction term for group * time point was included. They were therefore analysed only with a main effect for group and timepoint. Estimates are based on mixed models or GEEs. prox = proximal muscles, dist = distal muscles, KPS = Karnofsky Performance Status, NHPT = Nine-Hole Peg Test.