

1 Prevalence and predictors of not testing for HIV among 2 young men in Papua New Guinea: a cross-sectional 3 analysis from a nationally representative sample

4 McKenzie Ken Maviso^{1*}

5 ¹Division of Public Health, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New
6 Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea

7
8 *Corresponding author

9 Email: mckenzie.maviso@upng.ac.pg

10 11 Abstract

12 HIV testing is a crucial strategy for HIV prevention, treatment, care, and support. However, its
13 uptake is suboptimal among young people, particularly in settings where the HIV burden is
14 highest. In Papua New Guinea (PNG), HIV testing and its predictors among young men are
15 understudied. This study aimed to assess the prevalence and predictors of not testing for HIV
16 among young men aged 15–24 years in PNG. Data were drawn from the 2016–2018 PNG
17 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS). A total of 1,362 young men were included in the
18 study. A multivariable logistic regression analysis using the complex sampling technique was
19 used to determine predictors of not testing for HIV. Results were reported as adjusted Odds
20 Ratios (AOR) with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI). The prevalence of not testing for HIV
21 among young men was 82.7%. Young men who were never married (AOR 1.88; 95% CI:
22 1.19–2.96), did not own a mobile phone (AOR 1.79; 95% CI: 1.17–2.77), had not paid anyone
23 for sex (AOR 2.82; 95% CI: 1.29–6.14), and had no STIs (AOR 1.97; 95% CI: 1.00–3.85) had
24 higher odds of not testing for HIV. The odds of not testing for HIV remained lower among
25 young men who did not always use a condom during sex (AOR 0.62; 95% CI: 0.39–0.96). HIV
26 testing among young men in this study was much lower, suggesting an urgent need to adopt
27 novel prevention approaches to address this shortfall. Furthermore, youth-oriented health
28 services should be prioritized with a focus on improving HIV knowledge, risk reduction, and
29 behaviour change among young men in this setting.

30 **Keywords:** HIV, HIV testing, STIs, Young people, Papua New Guinea

31

32 **Introduction**

33 Globally, significant progress has been made in efforts to address the burden of the human
34 immunodeficiency virus (HIV), leading to a 32% reduction in new infections since 2010 [1]. At
35 the same time, there was a 46% decrease in new HIV infections among young people aged
36 15–24 years [2]. Despite the remarkable progress, HIV continues to have a significant impact
37 on this population, with new infection rates remaining high and health disparities becoming
38 more evident [3,4]. Two out of every seven new HIV infections in 2019 were among young
39 people [2], contributing to an estimated 36% of new HIV infections globally [5]. In the Asia–
40 Pacific region, an estimated 63,000 young people were living with HIV in 2021, contributing to
41 26% of new HIV infections, with young men being twice as likely to acquire HIV as young
42 women [6]. In addition, about 35% of young females and 65% of young males in the region
43 were newly infected with HIV, despite being credited with some of the initial achievements in
44 HIV prevention interventions [7]. HIV testing rates remain low among this population, with
45 many unaware of their statuses, and those living with the virus exhibit low adherence to
46 antiretroviral therapy (ART) [8–10], which is below reaching the ambitious goals of ART
47 adherence [11].

48 Sexual risk behaviours such as having sex at an early age, having multiple sexual partners,
49 having sex under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and having unprotected sex are well-
50 documented drivers for HIV and other STIs [12–14]. In addition, a lack of HIV knowledge and
51 inconsistent condom use, especially among young people, significantly increase the risk of
52 HIV transmission [15–17]. Efforts to increase knowledge of condom use and reduce HIV risks,
53 as well as HIV testing, remain critical for young people. In particular, HIV testing is among the

54 cornerstones of most HIV prevention strategies, in which individuals can be educated about
55 risky behaviours regarding the infection and offered an initial step into the continuum of care,
56 as well as preventing transmission [18]. This aligns with the ambitious UNAIDS 95–95–95
57 target: 95% of all people living with HIV will know their actual status; 95% of people with
58 diagnosed HIV infection will receive sustained antiretroviral therapy (ART); and 95% of all
59 people receiving ART will have viral suppression [19].

60 Papua New Guinea (PNG) is one of the most linguistically diverse countries in the Western
61 Pacific region and has the highest prevalence of HIV. In 2020, an estimated 55,000 people
62 were living with HIV [20]. Among the general adult population with HIV in PNG, the incidence
63 has been increasing among young people, with 27% of new infections occurring in 2020 [6].
64 Recent estimates suggest that HIV prevalence among young people in PNG stands at 0.2%
65 and 0.4% for males and females, respectively [21]. Heterosexual sex remains the
66 predominant mode of HIV transmission among the adult population in the country. Efforts to
67 strengthen the availability and accessibility of HIV testing services as part of the National
68 Strategic Plan for HIV and AIDS (Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome) have expanded
69 over the years [22]. HIV testing, which includes risk reduction counselling that greatly
70 influences one's perception of HIV acquisition and increases access to ART, has been shown
71 to change HIV-related risk behaviours in PNG [23–25].

72 Despite commendable efforts to increase the availability of HIV testing and treatment services
73 in all provinces of PNG, the current HIV counselling and testing rate, particularly among
74 young people, falls within the national HIV strategic guidelines [22]. Their engagement further
75 remains a challenge within the cascade of HIV services as well as access to sexual and
76 reproductive health [26,27]. While understanding the drivers of HIV among this population
77 remains multifaceted, the incidence and prevalence of HIV have been widely explored among

78 the general adult population [28–32] and key populations [33–35]. Among young men, prior
79 studies have found that their attitudes toward HIV and sex are designed through dominant
80 sociocultural narratives and understandings, and these influence their perceptions about
81 sexual health, including sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and HIV [36]. HIV transmission
82 can be prevented by recognizing and addressing the risks and protective factors associated
83 with it.

84 In PNG, the evidence on targeted HIV prevention programs for young people is limited.
85 Furthermore, the country has not reported nationally representative prevalence estimates or
86 the distribution of young men who do not test for HIV. Such information would be important in
87 developing targeted interventions and national-level policies for HIV prevention. The aim of
88 this study was to estimate the prevalence of not testing for HIV and associated predictors
89 among young men aged 15–24 years in PNG.


90 **Methods**

91 **Study design and sample**

92 We used secondary data from the 2016–2018 PNGDHS, a cross-sectional two-stage cluster
93 sampling study representative of the entire country, for analysis. The survey used the list of
94 census units (CUs) from the 2011 PNG National Population and Housing Census as the
95 sampling frame [37]. Stratification of the sample was achieved by two categories: urban and
96 rural areas, resulting in 43 sampling strata except for the National Capital District, which has
97 no rural areas. In each stratum, samples of CUs were arbitrarily selected in two stages: The
98 first stage involved the selection of 800 CUs, which was done using probability proportional to
99 CU size. In the second stage, 24 households from each cluster were systematically selected
100 through probability sampling, which yielded a total sample size of 19,200 households. The

101 selected sample consisted of 17,505 households. Of the total number of households selected,
102 16,754 were occupied, and 16,021 were successfully interviewed (96% response rate) [38].
103 Data were collected using two sets of validated questionnaires: household and (male)
104 individual questionnaires. The dataset was created to analyze the HIV testing variable by
105 merging the relevant information from these two questionnaires. Of the 7,333 men (aged 15–
106 49 years) who participated in the interviews, 1,362 young men (aged 15–24 years), with
107 variables of interest were included in the study, as shown in Fig 1. Details on the sampling
108 technique, training of the survey team, household selection, survey questionnaires, and
109 validation procedures are available in the final report [39].

110



111

112

Fig 1. Flowchart of sample selection

113 **Study Variables**

114 The primary outcome variable of this study was “ever been tested for HIV?” and was coded
115 as “0” for “no” or “1” for “yes.” The explanatory variables in this study were selected based on
116 literature and their relevance [40–42] and were divided into three categories: socio-
117 demographic characteristics (such as age group, marital status, educational level, occupation,
118 wealth index, region, and place of residence); HIV-related knowledge (such as having ever
119 heard of AIDS or STIs, can get HIV from mosquito bites, and knowing about the mother-to-
120 child transmission (MTCT) of HIV during birth and through breastfeeding); and HIV-related
121 factors (such as the age of sexual debut, number of sexual partners, had ever paid for sex,
122 always use a condom, know a testing facility, ever tested for HIV, and had any STI in the last
123 12 months). Other health-related risk behaviours measured included exposure to mass media
124 (such as newspapers, magazines, radio, and television) and mobile phone ownership.

125 **Statistical analysis**

126 Our analyses were restricted to participants with complete data for the variables of interest.
127 We performed descriptive statistics and bivariate analysis to explore the characteristics of the
128 sample, which were presented as frequencies (the actual number of participants) and
129 proportions (weighted %). Then we conducted a bivariate analysis to examine associations
130 between the outcome variable (ever been tested for HIV) and selected explanatory variables.
131 Variables with a significant p-value ≤ 0.05 were included in the multivariable logistic
132 regression model. Finally, we performed a multivariable logistic regression analysis to
133 determine predictors of not testing for HIV after adjusting for potential confounders. Complex
134 data analysis techniques were employed to account for the multistage sampling used in the
135 DHS. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were reported. All

136 statistical analyses were performed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
137 (SPSS), Version 26.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

138 This work is reported under the STROBE guidelines for cross-sectional studies (included as
139 S1 File) [43].

140 **Ethical Considerations**

141 The Institutional Review Board of ICF International and the PNG Medical Advisory Committee
142 (MRAC), the National Department of Health, examined and approved the 2016–2018
143 PNGDHS protocol. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the survey
144 was conducted. The datasets were de-identified, with no identifiable information on the survey
145 participants when accessed for analysis. This study received a letter of approval from the
146 DHS program.

147 **Results**

148 **Characteristics of the study population**

149 **Table 1** presents the participants' characteristics. Overall, 1,362 sexually active young men
150 aged 15–24 years were enrolled in the study. More than three-quarters (82%) of young men
151 lived in rural areas, and 73.7% of them were never married. Less than half of them had
152 primary (44.3%) and secondary (45.4%) education, and 62% were not working at the time of
153 the survey. Approximately 72% of the young men read newspapers or magazines and
154 listened to the radio, and 57.8% owned mobile phones. Regarding HIV knowledge, almost all
155 the young men had heard of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (92.7%) and STIs
156 (94.3%), and 70.8% of them knew about a testing facility. More than half knew about the
157 MTCT of HIV during birth and by breastfeeding (65% and 54.6%, respectively). The majority,
158 83.9%, of young men initiated sex before the age of 20, and 57.7% had multiple sexual

159 partners. Nearly one-third (27.7%) of young men do not always use condoms during sex.
160 Young men who reported paying anyone for sex or having STIs in the last 12 months
161 remained significantly low (5.1% and 5.3%, respectively).

162

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population (N = 1,362)

Variables	Frequency	(%)*
<u>Demographics</u>		
Age group (years)		
15–19	414	(29.9)
20–24	948	(70.1)
Marital status		
Never married	1,011	(73.7)
Married	321	(24.3)
Divorced/separated	30	(2.0)
Education		
No formal education	76	(5.8)
Primary	614	(44.3)
Secondary	632	(45.4)
Tertiary	40	(4.5)
Occupation (n = 1,361)		
Not working	881	(62.0)
Working	480	(38.0)
Wealth Index		
Poor	330	(29.3)
Middle	233	(19.0)
Rich	799	(51.7)
Residence		
Urban	453	(18.0)
Rural	909	(82.0)
Read newspaper/magazine (n = 1,348)		
No	433	(28.4)
Yes	915	(71.6)
Listen to radio (n = 1,355)		
No	412	(28.3)
Yes	943	(71.7)

Continued

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population (N = 1,362)

Variables	Frequency	(%)*
Owns a mobile phone (n = 1,360)		
No	628	(42.2)
Yes	732	(57.8)
<u>HIV knowledge</u>		
Ever heard of AIDS		
No	107	(7.3)
Yes	1,255	(92.7)
Ever heard of STI (n = 1,361)		
No	86	(5.7)
Yes	1,275	(94.3)
Know HIV testing facility (n = 1,254)		
No	349	(29.2)
Yes	905	(70.8)
Can get HIV from mosquito bites (n = 1,255)		
No or don't know	970	(76.6)
Yes	285	(23.4)
MTCT during birth (n = 1,253)		
No or don't know	473	(35.0)
Yes	780	(65.0)
MTCT through breastfeeding (n = 1,251)		
No or don't know	552	(45.4)
Yes	699	(54.6)
<u>HIV-related risk factors</u>		
Age of sexual debut (years)		
< 20	1,171	(83.9)
20 or more	191	(16.1)
Number of sexual partners (n = 1,358)		
0–1	1,160	(85.3)
2 or more	198	(14.7)
Paid for sex (n = 1,313)		
No	1,248	(94.9)
Yes	65	(5.1)

Continued

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population (N = 1,362)

Variables	Frequency	(%)*
Always use a condom (n = 1,249)		
No	354	(27.7)
Yes	895	(72.3)
Had any STIs (last 12 months) (n = 1,350)		
No or don't know	1,275	(94.7)
Yes	75	(5.3)
Ever tested for HIV		
No	1,103	(82.7)
Yes	259	(17.3)

*Weighted percentage (%); MTCT – Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV.

163


164 **The prevalence of ever being tested for HIV**

165 The overall prevalence of having been tested for HIV among young men was 17.3% (95% CI:
166 0.15–0.19), whereas having not been tested was 82.7% (95% CI: 0.81–0.85), as reported in

167 **Table 1.** Across the region, the prevalence of HIV testing remained low and differed

168 significantly, ranging from 11% in the Momase region to 21.9% in the Highlands region, as

169 shown in **Fig 2.**



170

171

Fig 2. The prevalence of ever tested for HIV among young men by region in PNG.

172 **Bivariate analysis of ever been tested for HIV**

173 **Table 2** shows the association between demographic characteristics, HIV knowledge, related
 174 risk factors, and having ever been tested for HIV among young people in PNG. In the
 175 bivariate analysis, having not been tested for HIV remained higher among young men aged
 176 20–24 (68.3%), never been married (75.6%), had a primary education (46.4%), not working
 177 (63.8%), and living in rural areas (84%). Furthermore, having not been tested for HIV was
 178 common among young men who read newspapers/magazines (69.5%), listened to the radio
 179 (70.1%), and owned a mobile phone (54.8%). Over half (52.7%) of those who knew about the
 180 MTCT of HIV through breastfeeding did not test for HIV. The number of sexual partners ($p =$
 181 0.003), paying anyone for sex ($p = 0.001$), always using a condom during sex ($p = 0.018$), and
 182 having any STIs in the last 12 months ($p < 0.001$) were all statistically significant variables in
 183 HIV-related risk factors.

184

Table 2. Bivariate analysis of ever been tested for HIV among young men (15–24 years) in PNG (N = 1,362)

Variables	HIV testing		p-value
	No, <i>n</i> (%) [*]	Yes, <i>n</i> (%) [*]	
<u>Demographic factors</u>			
Age group (years)			0.004
15–19	355 (31.7)	59 (21.9)	
20–24	748 (68.3)	200 (78.1)	
Marital status			0.003
Never married	838 (75.6)	173 (64.6)	
Married	243 (22.6)	78 (32.3)	
Divorced/separated	22 (1.8)	8 (3.1)	
Education			< 0.001
No formal education	70 (6.6)	6 (2.2)	
Primary	533 (46.4)	81 (33.9)	
Secondary	477 (42.7)	155 (58.0)	
College	23 (4.3)	17 (5.8)	

Continued

Table 2. Bivariate analysis of ever been tested for HIV among young men (15–24 years) in PNG (N = 1,362)

Variables	HIV testing		p-value
	No, <i>n</i> (%) [*]	Yes, <i>n</i> (%) [*]	
Occupation (n = 1,361)			0.004
Not working	735 (63.8)	146 (53.6)	
Working	367 (36.2)	113 (46.4)	
Wealth Index			< 0.001
Poor	298 (31.7)	32 (17.9)	
Middle	193 (18.8)	40 (20.2)	
Rich	612 (49.5)	187 (61.9)	
Residence			< 0.001
Urban	339 (16.0)	114 (27.2)	
Rural	764 (84.0)	145 (72.8)	
Read newspaper/magazine (n = 1,348)			< 0.001
No	381 (30.5)	52 (18.8)	
Yes	708 (69.5)	207 (81.3)	
Listen to radio (n = 1,355)			0.008
No	353 (29.9)	59 (21.1)	
Yes	745 (70.1)	198 (78.9)	
Own a mobile phone (n = 1,360)			< 0.001
No	549 (45.2)	79 (27.8)	
Yes	552 (54.8)	180 (72.2)	
<u>HIV knowledge</u>			
Can get HIV from mosquito bites (n = 1,255)			0.183
No or don't know	783 (77.4)	187 (73.2)	
Yes	213 (22.6)	72 (26.8)	
MTCT during birth (n = 1,253)			0.187
No or don't know	390 (34.2)	83 (38.8)	
Yes	604 (65.8)	176 (61.2)	
MTCT by breastfeeding (n = 1,251)			0.005
No or don't know	463 (47.3)	89 (36.9)	
Yes	531 (52.7)	168 (63.1)	

Continued

Table 2. Bivariate analysis of ever been tested for HIV among young men (15–24 years) in PNG (N = 1,362)

Variables	HIV testing		p-value
	No, <i>n</i> (%) [*]	Yes, <i>n</i> (%) [*]	
<u>HIV-related factors</u>			
Age of sexual debut (years)			0.411
< 20	946 (83.5)	225 (85.7)	
20 or more	157 (16.5)	34 (14.3)	
Number of sexual partners (n = 1,358)			0.003
0–1	946 (86.7)	214 (78.9)	
2 or more	153 (13.3)	45 (21.1)	
Paid for sex (n = 1,313)			< 0.001
No	1,022 (96.3)	226 (94.9)	
Yes	41 (3.7)	24 (5.1)	
Always use a condom (n = 1,249)			0.018
No	271 (26.2)	83 (34.1)	
Yes	719 (73.8)	176 (65.9)	
Had any STIs (last 12 months) (n = 1,350)			< 0.001
No or don't know	1,041 (95.8)	234 (89.7)	
Yes	50 (4.2)	25 (10.3)	

^{*}Weighted percentage (%); MTCT – Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV; Chi-square (p ≤ 0.05).

185

186 **Multivariable analysis of predictors of not testing for HIV**

187 **Table 3** presents adjusted predictors of not testing for HIV. Marital status, mobile phone

188 ownership, having ever paid anyone for sex, always using a condom during sex, and having

189 any STI in the last 12 months were significant predictors of not testing. In the multivariable

190 analysis, young men who were never married (AOR 1.88; 95% CI: 1.19–2.96), who did not

191 own a mobile phone (AOR 1.79; 95% CI: 1.17–2.77), who had not paid anyone for sex (AOR

192 2.82; 95% CI: 1.29–6.14), and who had no STIs or did not know (AOR 1.97; 95% CI: 1.00–

193 3.85) had higher odds of not being tested for HIV. In contrast, young men who did not always

194 use a condom during sex (AOR 0.62; 95% CI: 0.39–0.96) had lower odds of not being tested
 195 for HIV.

196

Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of predictors of not testing for HIV among young men (15–24 years) in PNG (N = 1,362)

Variables	AOR (95% CI)	p-value
Age group (years)		0.413
15–19	1.24 (0.74–2.06)	
20–24	1	
Marital status		0.017
Married	1	
Never married	1.88 (1.19–2.96)	
Divorced/separated	0.97 (0.29–3.24)	
Education		0.882
No formal education	1.15 (0.25–5.31)	
Primary	0.92 (0.33–2.55)	
High/Secondary	0.79 (0.28–2.22)	
College/Tertiary	1	
Occupation		0.148
Not working	1.40 (0.89–2.21)	
Working	1	
Wealth Index		0.234
Poor	1.47 (0.78–2.79)	
Middle	0.82 (0.42–1.61)	
Rich	1	
Residence		0.077
Urban	1	
Rural	1.60 (0.95–2.69)	
Read a newspaper/magazine		0.287
No	1.32 (0.79–2.20)	
Yes	1	
Listen to radio		0.978
No	0.99 (0.61–1.62)	
Yes	1	
Owns a mobile phone		0.008
No	1.79 (1.17–2.77)	
Yes	1	
MTCT by breastfeeding		0.087
No or don't know	1.45 (0.95–2.22)	
Yes	1	

Continued

Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of predictors of not testing for HIV among young men (15–24 years) in PNG (N = 1,362)

Variables	AOR (95% CI)	p-value
Number of sexual partners		0.184
0–1	1.41 (0.85–2.33)	
2 or more	1	
Paid for sex		0.009
No	2.82 (1.29–6.14)	
Yes	1	
Always use a condom		0.033
No	0.62 (0.39–0.96)	
Yes	1	
Had any STI (last 12 months)		0.049
No or don't know	1.97 (1.00–3.85)	
Yes	1	

1 – Reference Category; AOR – Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI – Confidence Interval; MTCT – Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV.

197

198

Discussion

199

This study assessed the prevalence and predictors of not testing for HIV among young men

200

aged 15–24 years in PNG. Our study found a statistically significant association between

201

marital status, mobile phone ownership, having paid anyone for sex, always using a condom

202

during sex, and having any STI and not testing for HIV among young men ($p < 0.05$). The

203

prevalence of testing for HIV among young men in this study was much lower (17.3%)

204

compared to those who were not tested. In addition, the prevalence of not testing for HIV

205

differed significantly by region, ranging from 78.1% in the Highlands to 89% in the Momase.

206

These results were consistent with the literature [40]. The difference in HIV testing could be

207

interpreted in the context of young men's risk behaviours and whether HIV services are

208

accessible in each region. Given the high HIV prevalence (0.9%) in the country [20], the low

209 HIV testing rates among this group are a concern. This study offers insights into HIV testing
210 among young men living in a high HIV prevalence setting.

211 Our study found a significantly lower prevalence of HIV testing among young men than in
212 studies conducted in Nigeria [40] and the Gambia [41]; however, these findings contributed to
213 a better understanding of the current HIV prevention challenges among young men in PNG.
214 The low rates of HIV testing found in this study show only a minimal decrease in the HIV
215 testing uptake in this age group when compared to the country's recent data [39]. While the
216 reasons are unclear, there is growing evidence that low uptake of HIV testing in this
217 population is impeded by the inaccessibility of HIV services, confidentiality and disclosure
218 issues, stigma, the perceived risk of sexual exposure, poor social support, and the attitudes of
219 health workers [44–47]. This provides a plausible explanation for the low uptake of HIV
220 testing, with increased HIV vulnerability suggesting possible transmission among the study
221 population. Young people, who remain most vulnerable to HIV acquisition and transmission,
222 seem to have minimal attention [3,12]. This finding is still implausible given UNAIDS'
223 ambitious goals to end the HIV epidemic by 2030 [11]. Given the importance of timely HIV
224 diagnosis, treatment, and retention in care [48,49], there is a need for specific policy
225 frameworks that focus on strengthening HIV prevention while simultaneously addressing
226 social and contextual barriers to access and uptake of HIV among young men in PNG.

227 While education was not statistically related to not testing for HIV in our study, in similar
228 studies from South Africa [10] and The Gambia [41], educational attainment and HIV
229 knowledge were found to be significant predictors of HIV testing among young people. We
230 further found that the odds of not testing remained high among young men with low
231 educational attainment and unemployment. This association may imply that, as previously
232 reported [40,41,50], a lack of HIV knowledge and a low wealth index were recognized as

233 barriers to HIV prevention, care, and treatment efforts, as well as engagement in risky sexual
234 behaviours. Such low rates of testing and lack of HIV knowledge increase the possibility that
235 this group is unaware of their HIV-positive statuses and may, therefore, accidentally transmit
236 HIV to others. However, there is increasing evidence that higher education attainment and
237 HIV knowledge are potential proxy measures for HIV prevention [51,52]. Knowledge of HIV
238 and disclosure status remains a critical step in the HIV prevention cascade, particularly
239 among young people, as it has been associated with reducing risky behaviours among the
240 most at-risk population, including those who have HIV [51,53]. As the availability of HIV
241 testing services expands to meet the demand for HIV incidence reduction in PNG, more
242 alternative HIV testing models are required to increase testing for HIV among young men. Our
243 study suggests that effective, innovative pedagogy for sexual and reproductive health
244 education and youth-friendly initiatives are required to stimulate positive sexual behaviour and
245 increase the uptake of HIV testing in this population.

246 Our findings revealed that significant proportions of young men in rural areas were not tested
247 for HIV, a finding comparable to studies in other countries [40,41]. It is not surprising that
248 access to and utilization of HIV testing services in rural areas were low in this study, which
249 could be construed in terms of their diffusion, including societal norms and perceptions about
250 HIV. Recent studies showed that HIV testing options in rural areas are frequently impeded by
251 stigma and disclosure issues, including limited access, under-resourcing, and the cost of
252 services that may lead to delays in testing [46,54,55], an area that needs further investigation
253 in PNG's context. The WHO guidelines have highlighted the need for strategic and novel
254 approaches to implement HIV testing services for hard-to-reach populations, such as self-
255 testing and community-based HIV testing [56]. These strategies are suggested as having the
256 potential to increase testing uptake, especially among young people who would not normally

257 access HIV testing services [44,57]. Increasing self-testing and mobile community-based HIV
258 testing should continue to be expanded as complementary approaches, especially in rural
259 areas where HIV testing is low and health disparities are more pronounced.

260 Regarding mobile phone ownership, more than half (57.8%) of young men who owned mobile
261 phones were not tested for HIV in this study. Our study did not explore the relationships
262 between mobile phones and HIV testing. However, mobile phones in healthcare have shown
263 promising results in a hard-to-reach population for HIV prevention [58–60]. Mobile phones can
264 be used as mediums for preventing HIV in young people and serving as reminders for health
265 action, reinforcing sexual risk reduction skills, increasing HIV knowledge, and linking them to
266 HIV testing [58,60]. Studies evaluating the mobile phone's efficacy in health care have shown
267 that it increases HIV testing, condom use, and HIV/STI knowledge among young people [61].
268 Furthermore, mobile phones could be feasible for addressing complex intersecting barriers in
269 the HIV continuum to improve optimal outcomes for young men. Recent evidence suggests
270 that mobile phone technologies can potentially help overcome barriers associated with low
271 HIV testing uptake among young men, including stigmatization, discrimination, fear of refusal,
272 lack of privacy and confidentiality, and embarrassment in seeking sexual health services, by
273 providing safe, cost-effective, and tailored prevention interventions [58,62]. Our study
274 underscores the urgency for innovative strategies to reach and deliver HIV prevention
275 services to young people—a most at-risk population with suboptimal engagement in HIV
276 prevention programs. This study further highlights the significance of a formative evaluation
277 concerning the feasibility and acceptability of mobile phone-based HIV prevention
278 interventions.

279 In this study, we found that having paid anyone for sex was a statistically significant predictor
280 of not testing for HIV. Those who did not pay for sex were almost three times (AOR 2.83)

281 more likely to not be tested for HIV compared to their counterparts. This finding is different
282 from another study, which reported that having paid for sex was associated with low HIV
283 testing rates (26.4%) [63]. Young men who participate in high-risk sexual activities may not
284 accurately perceive their HIV risk; therefore, they report low rates of HIV testing and do not
285 consistently use condoms during sex. Risk perception issues may as well explain the
286 interesting finding that young men who were sexually active but had not paid for sex reported
287 statistically significant rates of not testing for HIV. The fact that young men are engaging in
288 risky behaviours such as paying for sex may indicate that their overall perception of risk is
289 different from that of those who are not participating in these behaviours [12,52]. Additionally,
290 the higher odds of not being tested for HIV among this group compared to those who paid for
291 sex could be due to a fear of disclosing their social identities (i.e., male sex workers or men
292 who have sex with men), experiencing stigma and discrimination, including accessing HIV
293 treatment and care if HIV-positive, as previously reported in studies [50,64]. This is one
294 possible explanation, which is why they masked their social identities during the survey. While
295 the data in this study did not identify young men as key populations, there is empirical
296 evidence that they engage in transactional sex [4,6,63]. In PNG, transactional sex is unlawful,
297 but the practice is prevalent [35], and this, along with other structural barriers, may have
298 limited young men's access to HIV testing services. Innovative risk reduction and behaviour
299 change interventions are needed to address this gap in this population.

300 Our study showed that less than a quarter (26.2%) of young men do not always use condoms
301 during sex compared to their counterparts. Our findings are consistent with those from Brazil
302 [14] and Indonesia [16], showing low rates of condom use among young people (22.8% and
303 27.4%, respectively). Low and sporadic use of condoms has been linked with poor knowledge
304 of HIV and sexual health education, which are potential risk factors for HIV transmission

305 [13,15]. The high proportion of young men with primary education in this study suggests that
306 this could be one possible explanation for low condom use. Another reason accounting for
307 this finding could be the result of the multifaceted array of individual- and interpersonal-level
308 influences on condom use among young people. There is evidence that unequal gender and
309 social norms, peer influences, negative perceptions about condoms and their use, and poor
310 youth-friendly sexual and reproductive health services have been associated with low and
311 inconsistent condom use among young people [16,65]. However, consistent condom use has
312 been acknowledged as one of the most fundamental preventative measures against HIV
313 acquisition and transmission [13]. In other recent studies, rates of consistent condom use
314 have been shown to increase with higher levels of education and comprehensive sexual
315 health education [15,17,66]. Approaches aimed at improving consistent condom use among
316 young men should consider multi-level influences, including attitudes and decisions around
317 condom use. Our findings suggest that comprehensive information, education, and
318 communication on condom self-efficacy could increase condom use and attitudes toward its
319 use among this population.

320 **Study strengths and limitations**

321 The findings reported in this study have some limitations. First, results from this study should
322 be interpreted with caution as it was restricted to young people (aged 15–24 years) only,
323 limiting the generalizability to a wider population. Second, data discrepancies from the
324 selected samples may be a limitation since secondary data was utilized for this study. For
325 instance, there was a wide variation in the selected samples, more so in females than males.
326 Third, considering the age of the study sample, questions relating to their sexual behaviours
327 may have resulted in recall bias. Fourth, discussions around the subject of sexuality are
328 sensitive and perceived as taboo in some societies, which may have led to underreporting or

329 overreporting. Nevertheless, the findings of the study are based on nationally representative
330 survey data, which provided insights on predictors of not testing for HIV among young men.
331 The study offers significant information for optimizing HIV prevention programs that target
332 young people in the country.

333 **Conclusion**

334 Our study found that HIV testing among young men remained significantly low in PNG,
335 suggesting an unmet need for targeted HIV prevention programs. Young men were less likely
336 to get tested for HIV if they were unmarried, had no formal education, were unemployed, were
337 from rural areas, did not have mobile phones, did not pay for sex, did not always use a
338 condom during sex, and did not know they had any STIs. Efforts to increase HIV testing
339 should include novel prevention approaches to supplement the current national HIV
340 prevention services and address this shortfall. Improving access to HIV testing services,
341 particularly for rural young men, may further increase self-testing uptake and their acceptance
342 of treatment and care. Additionally, youth-oriented health services should be prioritized with a
343 focus on improving HIV knowledge, risk reduction, and behaviour change among young men
344 in this setting.

345

346 **Supporting information**

347 The STROBE guidelines for cross-sectional studies (included as S1 File).

348 **Declarations**

349 **Authors' contributions**

350 MKM: Conceived and designed the experiments; Performed the analysis and interpreted the
351 data; Wrote the manuscript. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

352 **Funding**

353 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial,
354 or not-for-profit sectors.

355 **Availability of Data and Materials**

356 The 2016–2016 PNGDHS dataset used in this study is not publicly available since the author
357 received a data access letter from the DHS specific to the project, but is available from the
358 DHS team upon request at <https://dhsprogram.com/>

359 **Conflict of Interest**

360 The author declares that there are no competing interests.

361 **Acknowledgments**

362 I would like to thank the Measure DHS program and its partners for permitting me to analyze
363 the 2016–2018 PNGDHS data.

364 **References**

- 365 [1] UNAIDS. Global HIV statistics: Fact sheet 2022 2022.
- 366 [2] UNAIDS. Young people and HIV. Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2021.
- 367 [3] Koenig LJ, Hoyer D, Purcell DW, Zaza S, Mermin J. Young People and HIV: A Call to
368 Action. *Am J Public Health* 2016;106:402–5. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302979>.
- 369 [4] Bekker L-G, Hosek S. HIV and adolescents: focus on young key populations. *J Int AIDS*
370 *Soc* 2015;18:20076. <https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.18.2.20076>.
- 371 [5] Khalifa A, Stover J, Mahy M, Idele P, Porth T, Lwamba C. Demographic change and HIV
372 epidemic projections to 2050 for adolescents and young people aged 15-24. *Null*
373 *2019;12:1662685*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2019.1662685>.
- 374 [6] UNAIDS. Putting young key populations first — HIV and young people from key
375 populations in the Asia and Pacific region 2022. Geneva, Switzerland: 2022.
- 376 [7] UNICEF. Adolescent HIV prevention: Turning the tide against AIDS will require more
377 concentrated focus on adolescents and young people 2020.
378 <https://data.unicef.org/topic/hivaids/adolescents-young-people/>.

- 379 [8] Ajayi AI, Awopegba OE, Adeagbo OA, Ushie BA. Low coverage of HIV testing among
380 adolescents and young adults in Nigeria: Implication for achieving the UNAIDS first 95.
381 PLOS ONE 2020;15:e0233368. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233368>.
- 382 [9] Kim S-H, Gerver SM, Fidler S, Ward H. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in
383 adolescents living with HIV: systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS 2014;28:1945–
384 56. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000000316>.
- 385 [10] Peltzer K, Matseke G. Determinants of HIV testing among young people aged 18 - 24
386 years in South Africa. Afr Health Sci 2013;13:1012–20.
387 <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v13i4.22>.
- 388 [11] Wong VJ, Murray KR, Phelps BR, Vermund SH, McCarraher DR. Adolescents, young
389 people, and the 90-90-90 goals: a call to improve HIV testing and linkage to treatment.
390 AIDS 2017;31 Suppl 3:S191–4. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000001539>.
- 391 [12] Manu A, Ogum-Alangea D, Azilaku JC, Anaba EA, Torpey K. Risky sexual behaviours
392 and HIV testing among young people in Ghana: evidence from the 2017/2018 Multiple
393 Indicator Cluster Survey. Reproductive Health 2022;19:125.
394 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-022-01439-1>.
- 395 [13] Mhlongo S, Dietrich J, Otwombe KN, Robertson G, Coates TJ, Gray G. Factors
396 Associated with Not Testing For HIV and Consistent Condom Use among Men in
397 Soweto, South Africa. PLOS ONE 2013;8:e62637.
398 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062637>.
- 399 [14] Felisbino-Mendes MS, Araújo FG, Oliveira LVA, Vasconcelos NM de, Vieira MLFP, Malta
400 DC. Sexual behaviors and condom use in the Brazilian population: analysis of the
401 National Health Survey, 2019. Rev Bras Epidemiol 2021;24:e210018.
402 <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-549720210018.supl.2>.
- 403 [15] Bolarinwa OA, Ajayi KV, Sah RK. Association between knowledge of Human
404 Immunodeficiency Virus transmission and consistent condom use among sexually active
405 men in Nigeria: An analysis of 2018 Nigeria Demographic Health Survey. PLOS Glob
406 Public Health 2022;2:e0000223. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0000223>.
- 407 [16] Putra IGNE, Astuti D, Widyastari DA, Phuengsamran D. Prevalence and determinants of
408 condom use among male adolescents in Indonesia 2021;33.
409 <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijamh-2018-0141>.
- 410 [17] Finigan-Carr NM, Craddock JB, Johnson T. Predictors of condom use among system-
411 involved youth: The importance of Sex Ed. Children and Youth Services Review
412 2021;127:106130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2021.106130>.
- 413 [18] World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on HIV testing services, 2019 2020.
- 414 [19] UNAIDS. Understanding Fast-Track: Accelerating action to end the AIDS Epidemic by
415 2030. Geneva, Switzerland: 2015.
- 416 [20] UNAIDS. UNAIDS Data 2021. Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2021.

- 417 [21] UNAIDS. Country Factsheet: HIV and AIDS Estimates 2021. Country: Papua New
418 Guinea 2022. <https://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/papuanewguinea>.
- 419 [22] NACS. Papua New Guinea National STI and HIV Strategy 2018-2022. Port Moresby,
420 Papua New Guinea: 2018.
- 421 [23] Gare J, Kelly-Hanku A, Ryan CE, David M, Kaima P, Imara U, et al. Factors Influencing
422 Antiretroviral Adherence and Virological Outcomes in People Living with HIV in the
423 Highlands of Papua New Guinea. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0134918–e0134918.
424 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134918>.
- 425 [24] Man WYN, Kelly A, Worth H, Frankland A, Shih P, Kupul M, et al. Sexual risk behaviour,
426 marriage and ART: a study of HIV-positive people in Papua New Guinea. *AIDS*
427 *Research and Therapy* 2013;10:17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-6405-10-17>.
- 428 [25] Worth H. HIV Prevention in Papua New Guinea: Is It Working or Not? *World Journal of*
429 *AIDS* 2012;2:117.
- 430 [26] Baigry MI, Ray R, Lindsay D, Kelly-Hanku A, Redman-MacLaren M. Barriers and
431 enablers to young people accessing sexual and reproductive health services in Pacific
432 Island Countries and Territories: A scoping review. *PLOS ONE* 2023;18:e0280667.
433 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280667>.
- 434 [27] Gray N, Azzopardi P, Kennedy E, Willersdorf E, Creati M. Improving Adolescent
435 Reproductive Health in Asia and the Pacific: Do We Have the Data? A Review of DHS
436 and MICS Surveys in Nine Countries. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2013;25:134–44.
437 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539511417423>.
- 438 [28] Kelly-Hanku A, Nightingale CE, Pham MD, Mek A, Homiehombo P, Bagita M, et al. Loss
439 to follow up of pregnant women with HIV and infant HIV outcomes in the prevention of
440 maternal to child transmission of HIV programme in two high-burden provinces in Papua
441 New Guinea: a retrospective clinical audit. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e038311.
442 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038311>.
- 443 [29] Maviso M. Sociodemographic characteristics of people tested HIV-positive by notifying
444 testing facilities in Papua New Guinea, 2010-2014: A descriptive analysis. *Papua New*
445 *Guinea Medical Journal* 2020;63:132–43.
- 446 [30] Persson A, Kelly-Hanku A, Mek A, Mitchell E, Nake Trumb R, Worth H, et al. Polygyny,
447 Serodiscordance and HIV Prevention in Papua New Guinea: A Qualitative Exploration of
448 Diverse Configurations. *Null* 2020;21:248–63.
449 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14442213.2020.1758202>.
- 450 [31] Persson A, Kelly-Hanku A, Mek A, Mitchell E, Nake Trumb R, Worth H, et al. Making
451 Sense of Serodiscordance: Pathways and Aftermaths of HIV Testing among Couples
452 with Mixed HIV Status in Papua New Guinea. *The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology*
453 2021;22:298–314. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14442213.2021.1942184>.

- 454 [32] Vallely A, Ryan CE, Allen J, Sauk JC, Simbiken CS, Wapling J, et al. High prevalence
455 and incidence of HIV, sexually transmissible infections and penile foreskin cutting among
456 sexual health clinic attendees in Papua New Guinea. *Sex Health* 2014;11:58–66.
- 457 [33] Hakim AJ, Coy K, Badman SG, Willie B, Narokobi R, Gabuzzi J, et al. One size does not
458 fit all: HIV prevalence and correlates of risk for men who have sex with men, transgender
459 women in multiple cities in Papua New Guinea. *BMC Public Health* 2019;19:623.
460 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6942-7>.
- 461 [34] Kelly-Hanku A, Willie B, Weikum D, Boli Neo R, Kupul M, Coy K. Kauntim mi tu: Multi-
462 site summary report from the key population integrated bio-behavioural survey, Papua
463 New Guinea. Goroka: Papua New Guinea Institute of Medical Research and Kirby
464 Institute, UNSW Sydney 2018.
- 465 [35] Weikum D, Kelly-Hanku A, Hou P, Kupul M, Amos-Kuma A, Badman SG, et al. Kuantim
466 mi tu (“Count me too”): Using Multiple Methods to Estimate the Number of Female Sex
467 Workers, Men Who Have Sex With Men, and Transgender Women in Papua New
468 Guinea in 2016 and 2017. *JMIR Public Health Surveill* 2019;5:e11285.
469 <https://doi.org/10.2196/11285>.
- 470 [36] Kelly A, Akuani F, Kepa B, Pirpir L, Mek A, Kupul M, et al. Young people’s attitudes
471 towards sex and HIV in the Eastern Highlands of Papua New Guinea. Monograph
472 2008;1.
- 473 [37] National Statistical Office [PNG]. 2011 National Population and Housing Census of
474 Papua New Guinea. Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea: 2013.
- 475 [38] National Statistical Office [PNG], ICF. Papua New Guinea Demographic and Health
476 Survey 2016-18. Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea & Rockville, Maryland, USA: NSO &
477 ICF; 2019.
- 478 [39] National Statistical Office (NSO) [Papua New Guinea], ICF. Papua New Guinea
479 Demographic and Health Survey 2016-18. Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea, and
480 Rockville, Maryland, USA: NSO and ICF; 2019.
- 481 [40] Ajayi AI, Awopegba OE, Adeagbo OA, Ushie BA. Low coverage of HIV testing among
482 adolescents and young adults in Nigeria: Implication for achieving the UNAIDS first 95.
483 *PLOS ONE* 2020;15:e0233368. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233368>.
- 484 [41] Sonko I, Chung M-H, Hou W-H, Chen W-T, Chang P-C. Predictors of HIV testing among
485 youth aged 15–24 years in The Gambia. *PLOS ONE* 2022;17:e0263720.
486 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263720>.
- 487 [42] Asaolu IO, Gunn JK, Center KE, Koss MP, Iwelunmor JI, Ehiri JE. Predictors of HIV
488 Testing among Youth in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Cross-Sectional Study. *PLOS ONE*
489 2016;11:e0164052. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0164052>.
- 490 [43] Cuschieri S. The STROBE guidelines. *Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia* 2019;13:S31.
491 https://doi.org/10.4103%2Fsja.SJA_543_18.

- 492 [44] Indravudh PP, Sibanda EL, d'Elbée M, Kumwenda MK, Ringwald B, Maringwa G, et al. 'I
493 will choose when to test, where I want to test': investigating young people's preferences
494 for HIV self-testing in Malawi and Zimbabwe. *AIDS* 2017;31.
- 495 [45] Sam-Agudu NA, Folayan MO, Ezeanolue EE. Seeking wider access to HIV testing for
496 adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa. *Pediatric Research* 2016;79:838–45.
497 <https://doi.org/10.1038/pr.2016.28>.
- 498 [46] Knight R, Small W, Shoveller JA. HIV stigma and the experiences of young men with
499 voluntary and routine HIV testing. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 2016;38:153–67.
500 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.12345>.
- 501 [47] Nall A, Chenneville T, Rodriguez LM, O'Brien JL. Factors Affecting HIV Testing among
502 Youth in Kenya. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019;16:1450.
503 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16081450>.
- 504 [48] Hosek S, Pettifor A. HIV Prevention Interventions for Adolescents. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*
505 2019;16:120–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11904-019-00431-y>.
- 506 [49] Pettifor A, Stoner M, Pike C, Bekker L-G. Adolescent lives matter: preventing HIV in
507 adolescents. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 2018;13:265–73.
508 <https://doi.org/10.1097/COH.0000000000000453>.
- 509 [50] Sharma S, Malone S, Levy M, Reast J, Little K, Hasen N, et al. Understanding barriers to
510 HIV testing and treatment: a study of young men and healthcare providers in KwaZulu-
511 Natal and Mpumalanga. *South African Health Review* 2019;2019:125–32.
- 512 [51] Faust L, Yaya S. The effect of HIV educational interventions on HIV-related knowledge,
513 condom use, and HIV incidence in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-
514 analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18:1254. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6178-y>.
- 515 [52] Ajide K, Balogun F. Knowledge of HIV and intention to engage in risky sexual behaviour
516 and practices among senior school adolescents in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Archives of Basic and*
517 *Applied Medicine* 2018;6:3.
- 518 [53] Tiwari R, Wang J, Han H, Kalu N, Sims LB, Katz DA, et al. Sexual behaviour change
519 following HIV testing services: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the*
520 *International AIDS Society* 2020;23:e25635. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25635>.
- 521 [54] Sanga ES, Mukumbang FC, Mushi AK, Lerebo W, Zarowsky C. Understanding factors
522 influencing linkage to HIV care in a rural setting, Mbeya, Tanzania: qualitative findings of
523 a mixed methods study. *BMC Public Health* 2019;19:383.
524 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6691-7>.
- 525 [55] Sande L, Maheswaran H, Mangenah C, Mwenge L, Indravudh P, Mkandawire P, et al.
526 Costs of accessing HIV testing services among rural Malawi communities. *AIDS Care*
527 2018;30:27–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2018.1479032>.

- 528 [56] World Health Organization. Guidelines on HIV self-testing and partner notification:
529 supplement to consolidated guidelines on HIV testing services. Geneva, Switzerland:
530 World Health Organization; 2016.
- 531 [57] Wilson KS, Mugo C, Katz DA, Manyeki V, Mungwala C, Otiso L, et al. High Acceptance
532 and Completion of HIV Self-testing Among Diverse Populations of Young People in
533 Kenya Using a Community-Based Distribution Strategy. *AIDS and Behavior*
534 2022;26:964–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-021-03451-1>.
- 535 [58] Feroz AS, Ali NA, Khoja A, Asad A, Saleem S. Using mobile phones to improve young
536 people sexual and reproductive health in low and middle-income countries: a systematic
537 review to identify barriers, facilitators, and range of mHealth solutions. *Reprod Health*
538 2021;18:9–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-020-01059-7>.
- 539 [59] Garg PR, Uppal L, Mehra S, Mehra D. Mobile Health App for Self-Learning on HIV
540 Prevention Knowledge and Services Among a Young Indonesian Key Population: Cohort
541 Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2020;8:e17646–e17646. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17646>.
- 542 [60] Jennings Mayo-Wilson L, Kang B-A, Mathai M, Mak'anyengo MO, Ssewamala FM.
543 Mobile phone access, willingness, and usage for HIV-related services among young
544 adults living in informal urban settlements in Kenya: A cross-sectional analysis.
545 *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 2022;161:104728.
546 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2022.104728>.
- 547 [61] Ippoliti NB, L'Engle K. Meet us on the phone: mobile phone programs for adolescent
548 sexual and reproductive health in low-to-middle income countries. *Reproductive Health*
549 2017;14:11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-016-0276-z>.
- 550 [62] Visser M, Kotze M, van Rensburg MJ. An mHealth HIV prevention programme for youth:
551 lessons learned from the iloveLife.mobi programme in South Africa. *AIDS Care*
552 2020;32:148–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2020.1742866>.
- 553 [63] Dizechi S, Brody C, Tuot S, Chhea C, Saphonn V, Yung K, et al. Youth paying for sex:
554 what are the associated factors? Findings from a cross-sectional study in Cambodia.
555 *BMC Public Health* 2018;18:113. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4999-8>.
- 556 [64] Pantelic M, Casale M, Cluver L, Toska E, Moshabela M. Multiple forms of discrimination
557 and internalized stigma compromise retention in HIV care among adolescents: findings
558 from a South African cohort. *J Intern AIDS Soc* 2020;23.
559 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25488>.
- 560 [65] Aventin Á, Gordon S, Laurenzi C, Rabie S, Tomlinson M, Lohan M, et al. Adolescent
561 condom use in Southern Africa: narrative systematic review and conceptual model of
562 multilevel barriers and facilitators. *BMC Public Health* 2021;21:1228.
563 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11306-6>.
- 564 [66] Yosef T, Nigussie T. Behavioral Profiles and Attitude toward Condom Use among
565 College Students in Southwest Ethiopia. *BioMed Research International* 2020;2020:1–6.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9582139>.

