Modelling mpox vaccination and behavior

## Appendix for "Modelling the impact of vaccination and sexual behavior change on reported cases of mpox in Washington D.C.

## 3 Parameterizing Behavior Change

We chose to analyze activity in individual subreddits (individual conversation boards on 4 reddit, a social media discussion based website) as a proxy for risk awareness following prior 5 work on HIV awareness<sup>26</sup>. We first searched for LGBTQ+ focused subreddits that (a) had more 6 than 10,000 members, and (b) contained at least 5 posts or comment threads containing 7 "monkeypox", "mpox", or "mpx". We identified 7 sites: r/askgaybros, r/askgaybrosover30, 8 9 r/askgaymen, r/gay, r/gaybros, r/lgbt, r/lgbtnews. For each of these subreddits, we collected posts by date, and the number of comments on each post, filtering out early posts concerning first 10 11 reports of mpox in non-U.S. countries (Fig. S1A). A day that includes many posts with many comments indicates a high level of interest in mpox among the observed LGBTQ+ community. 12 However, not all comments on a post occur on the day that the post is made, particularly since 13 posts receiving many comments will stay on the "front page" of a subreddit for several days, 14 meaning that they will be among the first post that site visitors see. Thus, to represent the interest 15 over time that a single post can represent, we fit an exponential decay curve to the number of 16 comments received each day by the most commented post containing "monkeypox" posted on 17 these subreddits. We then spread the number of comments made on each post over days 18 19 according to this exponential decay (Fig. S1B). Because posts that attract comments are shown to more users, the number of comments a post receives may be a exponential function of interest in 20 a topic. Thus, we take a log transform of comments per day (Fig. S1C). Finally, we take a 2 week 21 22 running average of log(comments) to generate risk perception among MSM over time (Fig. S1D). The maximum relative reduction in probability of one-time partnerships per day in our 23

- 24 model (40%) occurs on the day of maximum reddit activity (July 30<sup>th</sup>). This relative decrease is
- then scaled over time with reddit activity, e.g. we model a 20% reduction in one-time partnership

probability on July 11<sup>th</sup> and September 24<sup>th</sup>, when reddit activity was half of the maximum value.






Figure S1: Here, we transform reddit data into our model's relative risk perception metric. For the red line in all panels, the Y-axis represents 1 week running average of case reports from Washington D.C., set relative to 1, over months on the X-axis. For blue dots, Y-axis represents (A) number of posts + number of comments in examined subreddits containing mpox related terms, relative to 1, (B) Number of posts and comments, taking into account the exponential decay of comments over time, (C) the log transform of panel B, (D) the running 2 week average of panel C.

These the subreddits are not specific to D.C., and thus we needed to quantify regional
variation in online mpox interest to ascertain whether subreddit searches needed to be corrected

Modelling mpox vaccination and behavior

for regional interest. Thus, we examined the proportion of Google searches containing 36 "monkeypox", "mpox", or "mpx" from cities which experienced early mpox outbreaks (D.C., 37 New York, and Chicago) compared to cities that experienced later outbreaks or that did not 38 experience a substantial outbreak (Atlanta, Houston, Phoenix, Seattle). We find that Google 39 searches for these terms generally do not vary by location within the U.S. (Fig. S2), indicating 40 that the timing of the general population's risk perception of mpox did not depend on local 41 trends. Further, we find that Google search interest peaks at a similar point to reddit activity. 42 Thus we conclude that mpox risk perception is synchronous nationally, meaning that national 43 trends can be used as a proxy for local risk perception. 44




Figure S2: Google search trends for "monkeypox" do not vary by location. The Y-axis represents the
proportion of all Google searches from a location which contained the term "monkeypox", relative to 1,
over time on the X-axis. Colors indicate search location. The early peak corresponds to early news reports

Modelling mpox vaccination and behavior

on international mpox cases and initial cases reported in the United States. The main peak correspondswith the declaration of mpox as a public health emergency.

51 <u>Fitting procedure</u>

We drew most model parameters from published literature (Table S1). However, we fit 52 five unknown parameters to Washington D.C. case data: the probability of transmission per 53 54 contact ( $\mu$ ), the length in days of the pre-symptomatic infectious period (l), the number of 55 individuals infected with mpox virus at the Capital Pride Parade/Festival, occurring on June 11-56 12 ( $\varepsilon$ ), the maximum percent reduction in probability of one-time sexual contact per day in 57 response to the mpox outbreak ( $\omega$ ), and the proportion of administered vaccine doses reported by Washington D.C. that went to the modeled population rather than non-msm or non-resident 58 59 commuters. When fitting to D.C. case data, we removed all case reports occurring in cisgendered 60 women (defined as case reports where both sex at birth and gender identity were reported as female). 61

To increase the efficiency of our fitting procedure, we fit parameters over two different 62 time periods. We first ran the model from May 21 - Jul 10. This period encompasses the Capital 63 Pride Parade/Festival and the period during which individuals who contracted mpox at pride 64 would develop symptoms and report for testing. However, this period occurs before large 65 numbers of individuals were vaccinated or a large proportion of the population were aware of the 66 risk of mpox (by our reddit post metric). Thus, we set behavior change and vaccination to zero, 67 and fit all other parameters to this 50 day period. We conducted a grid search, running the model 68 69 across a range of values for these three parameters ( $\mu$ =[0.6,0.90], l=[1,4],  $\varepsilon$ =[0,60]). We then selected the combination of parameters that minimized the negative log likelihood (nll) of 70 median cumulative cases over time in the model generating observed cumulative cases over time 71

reported in Washington D.C. (using a Poisson distribution). When fitting, we ran each parameter combination for 60 simulations. We performed this procedure to a parameter interval of 0.1 for  $\mu$ , 10 for  $\varepsilon$ , and 1 for *l*. We found that over this time period the best fit parameter set included an 80% probability of transmission per sex act, a 4 day latent period, and 40 individuals infected at D.C. pride.

77 We thus set  $\varepsilon = 40$ ,  $\mu = 0.8$  and l = 4 and then ran our model from May 21 – December 10. This time period includes the decline of daily case reports, and so should inform intervention 78 79 parameters. Thus, we again conducted a grid search, running the model across a range of values for maximum behavior change and proportion of reported vaccines administered to the modeled 80 population ( $\omega = [0.3, 0.7], \theta = [0.5, 1.0]$ ). We performed this procedure to a parameter interval of 81 0.1 for  $\mu$  and  $\theta$ . We found that the best fitting parameter set slightly underestimated case reports 82 in the early stages of the outbreak, and slightly overestimated case reports in the later stages of 83 the outbreak. Thus, we varied  $\mu$  and  $\omega$  over smaller intervals, before maximizing likelihood at 84  $\varepsilon = 40, \mu = 0.875, l = 4, \omega = 0.4$  and  $\theta = 1.0$ . 85

86 To test the likelihood of the outbreak ending entirely due to infection-driven herd 87 immunity, we repeated the above fitting process while holding behavior change and vaccine 88 administration at 0 ( $\omega = 0$  and  $\theta = 0$ ).

## 90 Table S1: model parameters

Parameter Description	Value	Source	
Initial Conditions			
Population size	37,400	Grey et al. 2016	
Initial number infectious	5	Model Assumption	
individuals		-	
Date seeded with initial	May 21 <sup>th</sup>	Case report data	
infections		-	
Number individuals infected	40	Fit to case report data	
at D.C. pride		1	
Sexual Network Parameters			
Proportion individuals with 0	0.471	Jenness et al. 2017	
main and 0 casual partners			
Proportion individuals with 0	0.167	Jenness et al. 2017	
main and 1 casual partners			
Proportion individuals with 0	0.074	Jenness et al. 2017	
main and 2 casual partners			
Proportion individuals with 1	0.220	Jenness et al. 2017	
main and 0 casual partners			
Proportion individuals with 1	0.047	Jenness et al. 2017	
main and 1 casual partners			
Proportion individuals with 1	0.021	Jenness et al. 2017	
main and 2 casual partners			
Mean duration of Main	407 days	Jenness et al. 2017	
partnerships	5		
Mean duration of Casual	166 days	Jenness et al. 2017	
partnerships			
Probability per day of	0.0093	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et	
individual with 0 casual and 0		al. 2020	
main partners having a one-			
time sexual contact			
Probability per day of	0.012	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et	
individual with 1 casual and 0		al. 2020	
main partners having a one-			
time sexual contact			
Probability per day of	0.012	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et	
individual with 2 casual and 0		al. 2020	
main partners having a one-			
time sexual contact			
Probability per day of	0.0080	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et	
individual with 0 casual and 1		al. 2020	
main partners having a one-			
time sexual contact			
Probability per day of	0.0079	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et	
individual with 1 casual and 1		al. 2020	

• • •		
main partners having a one-		
time sexual contact	0.0070	
Probability per day of	0.0079	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et
individual with 2 casual and 1		al. 2020
main partners having a one-		
time sexual contact		
Probability per day of	0	Jenness et al. 2017
individual in activity group 1		
having a one-time sexual		
contact		
Probability per day of	0.001	Jenness et al. 2017
individual in activity group 2		
having a one-time sexual		
contact		
Probability per day of	0.0054	Jenness et al. 2017
individual in activity group 3		
having a one-time sexual		
contact		
Probability per day of	0.01	Jenness et al. 2017
individual in activity group 4		
having a one-time sexual		
contact		
Probability per day of	0.032	Jenness et al. 2017
individual in activity group 5		
having a one-time sexual		
contact		
Probability per day of	0.29	Weiss et al. 2020
individual in activity group 6		
having a one-time sexual		
contact		
Proportion of the population	0.19	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et
in activity groups 1-5 each		al. 2020
Proportion of the population	0.05	Jenness et al. 2017, Weiss et
in activity group 6		al. 2020
Mean square root of age	0.464	Jenness et al. 2017
difference between Main		
partners		
Mean square root of age	0.586	Jenness et al. 2017
difference between Casual		
partners		
Mean square root of age	0.544	Jenness et al. 2017
difference between one-time		
partners		
Proportion of population who	0.242	Jenness et al. 2017
are exclusively insertive		
difference between one-time partners Proportion of population who		

Proportion of population who are exclusively receptive	0.321	Jenness et al. 2017
Proportion of population who	0.437	Jenness et al. 2017
are versatile	0.+57	
Probability of having sexual	0.22	Jenness et al. 2017
contact per timestep with		
Main partner		
Probability of having sexual	0.14	Jenness et al. 2017
contact per timestep with		
Casual partner		
Probability of having sexual	1	Jenness et al. 2017
contact per timestep with		
one-time partner		
Natural History Parameters		
Probability of transmission	0.875	Fit to case report data
per sexual contact		
Mean duration of post-	7.6 days	Charniga et al. 2022
exposure, pre-symptomatic		
period		
Mean duration of pre-	4 days	Fit to case report data
symptomatic infectious		
period	27.1	
Mean duration of infectious	27 days	Spicknall et al. 2022
period		
Treatment Seeking and Vaccin		
Probability of seeking	0.8	Farley et al. 2003
treatment		
Duration of infectious period	15 days on day 1, linearly	Figure S3
if seeking treatment (time	decreases to 5.0 days on day	
until medical attention post-	42, stays at 5.0 days for rest of simulation.	
symptoms) Vaccine efficacy before	37%	Payne et al. 2022
second dose	5770	Fayne et al. 2022
Vaccine efficacy after second	69%	Payne et al. 2022
dose	0370	1 ayrie et al. 2022
Minimum Time between first	28 days	
and second dose	20 days	
Behavior Change Parameters		
Maximum percent reduction	40%	Fit to case report data
in daily probability of		
forming one-time sexual		
partnerships.		
paranersinps.		

- 92 Table S2: Vaccination first-dose administration data for Washington D.C. Doses in first four
- rows contain only doses used for post-exposure prophylaxis and so were not included in our
- 94 model.

Start Date	End Date	First Doses Given	Second Doses Given
May 29, 2022	June 4, 2022	1	0
June 5, 2022	June 11, 2022	23	0
June 12, 2022	June 18, 2022	18	0
June 19, 2022	June 25, 2022	16	0
June 26, 2022	July 2, 2022	319	0
July 3, 2022	July 9, 2022	627	15
July 10, 2022	July 16, 2022	938	13
July 17, 2022	July 23, 2022	3179	32
July 24, 2022	July 30, 2022	3433	64
July 31, 2022	August 6, 2022	4481	78
August 7, 2022	August 13, 2022	2723	279
August 14, 2022	August 20, 2022	1303	377
August 21, 2022	August 27, 2022	1739	2791
August 28, 2022	September 3, 2022	1060	3674
September 4, 2022	September 10, 2022	994	2485
September 11, 2022	September 17, 2022	924	2050
September 18, 2022	September 24, 2022	486	2049
September 25, 2022	October 1, 2022	165	325
October 2, 2022	October 8, 2022	129	388
October 9, 2022	October 15, 2022	101	335
October 16, 2022	October 22, 2022	83	235
October 23, 2022	October 29, 2022	82	155
October 30, 2022	November 5, 2022	69	139
November 6, 2022	November 12, 2022	51	87
November 13, 2022	November 19, 2022	39	77
November 20, 2022	November 26, 2022	22	44
November 27, 2022	December 3, 2022	30	70
December 4, 2022	December 10, 2022	29	61







Figure S3: Time between symptom onset and being tested for orthopoxvirus (OPX) decreased
over the course of the outbreak. Y-axis shows the delay between symptom onset and testing for
orthopoxvirus, over month of illness onset on the X-axis from May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022 to Oct. 17<sup>th</sup>, 2022.
Points represent individual case reports, while the blue line and transparent band represent mean
and 95% confidence interval of the smoothed function of the mean. All case reports are from
Washington D.C.



106 Figure S4: Here, we shrink the effective population size in our model from 37,400 to 20,000 as we assume that a proportion of MSM are not involved in the relevant sexual mixing pool. This 107 means that vaccinations can reach a larger portion of the population, and that infection-driven 108 herd immunity will be achieved faster. We find that the our core finding are unchanged: behavior 109 change averts cases before vaccination, but vaccination averts more cases overall. (A) Y-axis 110 111 shows model estimates of cumulative cases (i.e. individuals who are diagnosed with mpox), over time on the X-axis from May 21<sup>st</sup> 2022 to May 21<sup>st</sup> 2023. (B) Y-axis shows prevalent infections, 112 over time on the X-axis. Solid lines indicate median values from 120 simulations, while 113 transparent bands represent interquartile ranges. Colors indicate intervention combinations. 114






Figure S5: Proportion of sexual activity groups vaccinated over time. Y-axis shows proportion of the whole population or individual activity groups (group 1 = lowest activity, group 6 = highest activity) that are vaccinated with either 1 or 2 doses, over time on the X-axis. Groups 3 and 4 share 1 line because their trends overlap.

123








Figure S6: Y-axis shows the number of individuals in the most sexually active group who are
fully vaccinated, over date on the X-axis. Solid lines indicate median values from 120
simulations, while transparent bands outlined by thin lines represent interquartile ranges.
Decreases in number of individuals who are fully vaccinated occurring from August 2022
onwards represent individuals who experience break-through infections, and thus are no longer
counted in the vaccinated class.








Figure S7: Here, we assume a vaccine efficacy of 85% after first dose and 95% after second 145 dose based on <sup>17</sup>, rather than 37% and 69% vaccine effectiveness, respectively, used in the main 146 text <sup>16</sup>. We find that the our core finding are unchanged: behavior change averts cases before 147 vaccination, but vaccination averts more cases overall. (A) Y-axis shows model estimates of 148 149 cumulative cases (i.e. individuals who are diagnosed with mpox), over time on the X-axis from May 21<sup>st</sup> 2022 to May 21<sup>st</sup> 2023. (B) Y-axis shows prevalent infections, over time on the X-axis. 150 Solid lines indicate median values from 120 simulations, while transparent bands represent 151 interquartile ranges. Colors indicate intervention combinations. 152