

Difficulty with Mobility among the Aged in Ghana: Evidence from Wave 2 of the World Health Organization’s study on Global Ageing and Adult Health

Authors

Kingsley Boakye¹, Antoinette Ama Aidoo^{1,3}, Mohammed Aliyu¹, Daniel Boateng^{1,2}, and Emmanuel Kweku Nakua¹

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana

²Julius Global Health, Julius Centre for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands

³St. Patrick’s Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Offinso, Ashanti Region, Ghana

Corresponding Author

Kingsley Boakye, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana. Email: boakyekingsley9452@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5243-1855>

ABSTRACT

Background: The recent decline in mortality, increase in life expectancy and technological and scientific advancements have led to an increasingly ageing population. About 727 million people globally were aged 65 or more in 2020, and 1 in 6 people will be age 65 years or above by 2050. About 7% of Ghana's population was over 60 years in 2010, and projected to be 12% by 2050. However, the aged are confronted with degenerative conditions that translate into difficulty with mobility. The study was conducted to investigate the difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana.

Methods: The study utilised a cross-sectional dataset of the 2014/2015 (wave 2) Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health and included 1,848 participants aged ≥ 50 years. The survey command was applied to adjust for sampling biases and the design of the study. At 5% alpha level, a chi-square test of independence was conducted to determine the association between dependent and independent variables. At 95% confidence interval and 5% alpha level, three-level multilevel logistic regression models were performed. The fixed-effects were presented in odds ratio and the random effects were presented using the Intra-Class Correlation. All analysis were performed using STATA statistical software version 16.0.

Results: Out of the 1,848 participants, 62.3% had difficulty with mobility. Additionally, age (80 and above) [AOR=4.70, 95%CI=2.34 – 9.43], difficulty performing household activities [AOR=6.96, 95%CI=5.03 – 9.64], experiencing bodily pains [AOR=3.21, 95%CI=1.81 – 5.60] and bodily discomfort [AOR=3.39, 95%CI=1.91 – 5.99] and difficulty with vision [AOR=1.70, 95%CI=1.18 – 2.43] had higher odds of difficulty with mobility. However, engaging in vigorous activities [AOR=0.44, 95%CI=0.32 – 0.63] and having good health [AOR=0.41, 95%CI=0.19 – 0.88] were protective of difficulty with mobility.

Conclusion: The study concludes that the aged in Ghana had higher prevalence (62.3%) of difficulty with mobility which is associated with age (80 and above), difficulty performing household activities, bodily pains and discomfort, and difficulty with vision. This suggests the need to provide support and assistive devices for the aged and provide geriatric care including recreational fields and care homes to address the health and physical needs of the aged in Ghana.

Keywords: Difficulty, Mobility, Aged, Elderly, Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health

Background

The global population is swiftly ageing and this is attributed to significant reductions in mortality at younger ages in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) and continuing increases in life expectancy among the aged globally (Biritwum *et al.*, 2013; World Health Organization (WHO), 2015). In the year 2020, an estimated 727 million people were over 65 years and by 2050, an estimated 20% of the global population will be over 65 years (United Nations (UN), 2020). According to WHO, about 2 billion people globally will be over 60 years by 2050 and over 400 million will be aged 80 years and above, out of which 80% will dwell in LMICs (WHO, 2014). This trend in ageing will increase following the scientific, medical and technological advancements globally (Giardini *et al.*, 2018).

Ageing is inevitable, however, rapid ageing is problematic for people in LMICs (Tardif, 2014) and this requires critical attention (Porter, Tewodros and Gorman, 2018). Unfortunately, the world is unable to address the swift demands arising from the growing numbers of aged, even in higher-income countries (Tardif, 2014). In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), including Ghana, the rapid ageing population is presenting challenges to the already weakened health systems. By 2050, SSA is projected to have 8.3% of its population as aged (WHO, 2014). Ghana had 7% of the aged (60+ years) in 2010, a figure among the highest proportions in SSA, and projected to increase to 12% by 2050 (GSS, 2013; Nutakor *et al.*, 2021). As of 1960, life expectancy in Ghana was 46.9 years, however, in 2020, it increased to 63.7 years which is further projected to increase to 70 years by 2050, and 76.2 years by 2100 (Awuviry-Newton *et al.*, 2021; UN, 2019). Meanwhile, this increase in life expectancy is not tantamount to an increase in good health (Lopes *et al.*, 2021). This is a clear-cut indication of the impending burden of care for the aged.

The inadequate preparedness to deal with this demographic shift, coupled with little attention given to the aged is quite problematic (Brammah and Rosenberg, 2021). Ageing brings about a deterioration in health leading to high levels of morbidity and difficulty with mobility, termed as impaired movement (Rosso *et al.*, 2011; Brammah & Rosenberg, 2021). Mobility is key to living independently and quality of life. Mobility also accounts for the well-being of the aged population because it promotes healthy living (Cuignet *et al.*, 2020). However, mobility limitations are increasingly prevalent among the aged, especially those aged 70 years and above, affecting more than one-third (35%) (Freiberger *et al.*, 2020). The difficulty in mobility among the aged contributes to increased falls, hospitalisation, mortality and decreased quality of life (Freiberger *et*

al., 2020). Hence, as the population ages, maintaining independent mobility is critical, especially for women who mostly have heightened risk of functional decline and disability (Bergland *et al.*, 2017). The difficulty with mobility among aged could result in loss of muscle mass (sarcopenia), osteoporosis and obesity and it is associated with health problems and injuries (Braithwaite and Rosenberg, 2021). The aged with mobility difficulties have increased rates of morbidity, poorer quality of life and are more probable to be socially isolated (Manini, 2013). Hence, it is important to pay critical attention to the situation of the aged, particularly in areas of research and policy (Braithwaite and Rosenberg, 2021). There is currently a paucity of literature in Ghana regarding mobility among the aged. This lack of data means there will be inaccurate and unreliable data for policymaking, apt interventions and formulation of policies and programs in relation to mobility (Biritwum *et al.*, 2013). The aim of this paper was to investigate difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana. Thus, the paper provides current evidence and contributes to bridging the literature gap on difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana.

Methods

Data source and sampling

This study analysed data from 2014/2015 (Wave 2) Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health (SAGE) (Biritwum *et al.*, 2013). In brief, SAGE is a multi-country study that collects data to complement existing ageing data sources to inform policy and programmes. The study employed multi-stage cluster sampling techniques where clusters were systematically sampled with known non-zero selection probability and households residing in the selected clusters identified/listed and individuals in those selected households interviewed for the study. The WHO and the University of Ghana Medical School, Department of Community Health collaborated to implement SAGE Wave 2 study. Detailed description of the methods is published elsewhere (Biritwum *et al.*, 2013; Charlton *et al.*, 2016).

The Wave 2 WHO's SAGE study interviewed 4,735 individuals, primarily focusing on older adults (≥ 50 years), but for comparison purposes, a smaller sample of those aged 18 to 49 years was also included in the study (Aheto and Dagne, 2021). However, this study was restricted to 1,848 aged (50+ years) who had complete information on variables of interest.

Dependent variable

The main dependent variable was difficulty with mobility which was computed from a combination of two questions from the questionnaire of the WHO SAGE study; “overall in the last 30 days, how much difficulty did you have with moving around?” and “how much difficulty did you have engaging in vigorous activities?” The questions had responses “none”, “mild”, “moderate”, “severe” and “extreme/cannot do”. The two questions were merged and the response categories, “mild”, “moderate”, “severe” and “extreme/cannot do” recoded as “difficulty”, and “none” was classified as “no difficulty”. “No difficulty” and “difficulty” were then recoded into “0” and “1”, respectively.

Independent variables

The study considered 18 independent variables to help determine factors associated with difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana. The variables were age, place of residence, gender, marital status, ever had formal education, ethnicity, religion, ever worked, perceived health status, difficulty with household activities, bodily pains, bodily discomfort, difficulty with sight/vision, body mass index (BMI), engage in vigorous activities, diagnosed with arthritis, diagnosed with stroke, and diagnosed with depression. These variables have been used to determine difficulty with mobility among the aged elsewhere (McDonald-Miszczak et al., 2001; Shafrin et al., 2017).

Additionally, age was recoded into “50 – 59”, “60 – 69”, “70 – 79”, “80 and above”; marital status was recoded into “never married”, “married”, “separated/divorced” and “widowed”; ethnicity was recoded into “Akan”, “Ewe”, “Ga-adangbe”, “Gruma/Grusi/Guan” and “Mande-busanga/Mole-dagboni”; religion was recoded “no religion”, “Christian”, “Islam” and “traditionalist”; health status was recoded into “good” and “poor/bad”; difficulty with work was recoded into “no difficulty” and “difficulty”; BMI was recoded into “underweight”, “normal/healthy”, “overweight” and “obese”; difficulty with sight/vision was recoded into “no difficulty” and “difficulty”; bodily discomfort was recoded into “no discomfort” and “discomfort”; diagnosed with depression was recoded into “not depressed” and “depressed”. The initial coding for these selected variables in the WHO’s SAGE study is attached as a supplementary file (Appendix 1).

Ethical clearance

The SAGE study was approved by the World Health Organization's Ethical Review Board (reference number RPC149) and the Ethical and Protocol Review Committee, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana. Written informed consent was obtained from all study respondents. All the SAGE study followed all ethical procedures and methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations which ensured that participants' rights were not violated.

Data Management and analytical procedures

Data for the study was downloaded after authors sought for permission. The data was then cleaned using self-written commands to check for incompleteness and errors in STATA version 16.0. All errors including completeness and consistency were checked before actual analysis.

Data cleaning using self-written commands as well as statistical analysis were performed using STATA statistical software version 16.0 (StataCorp, 2021). First, "survey set command" was applied to account for the sampling biases, complex survey design and generalisability of findings, respectively. Following that, descriptive computations were conducted to describe the general sampled characteristics. At 5% alpha threshold, a chi-square test of independence was conducted to ascertain the association between dependent and independent variables. Collinearity diagnostics was performed and reported using variance inflation factor (VIF). The results indicated no evidence of collinearity between independent variables (Mean VIF=1.65, Maximum VIF=5.05, Minimum VIF=1.02) (see Appendix 2).

A multilevel logistic regression model was conducted to determine the association between the dependent and independent variables. The extension from the single-level model to multilevel regression model is warranted due to the clustering and/or hierarchical structure of the SAGE dataset, where individuals are nested within households/EAs (Aheto and Dagne, 2021). The presence of nesting leads to correlation between observations in each cluster and violation of the independence assumption in Generalised Linear Models (GLM) (Amegbor *et al.*, 2020). Hence, the use of a single-level model (GLM) will lead to misspecification and errors in parameter estimates (Heck, 2009; Cofie, 2020) making multilevel the suitable and excellent model. The study considered individuals (ids) as level 1 identifiers and EAs as the level-2 identifier to explain the variability and clustering between and within-groups (Makupe, Kumwenda and Kazembe, 2019).

As such, at 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and 5% alpha threshold, three-stage multilevel regression models were built to assess the relationship between dependent and independent variables. The first was the null model (Model 0) which accounted for the variability of the outcome variables that could be attributed to the clustering of the PSUs/clusters. Subsequently, a second model (Model I) also assessed the crude association between dependent and independent variables. Finally, Model II (adjusted model) was conducted to account for the effect of other covariates. The outputs were presented using Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% CI. Due to the nesting, the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was utilised to assess the model fitness and the model with low AIC values was selected as the best model (Portet, 2020).

Results

Table 1 presents the socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants. Descriptively, more than one-third (39.7%) were aged between 50 – 59 years and nearly one-third (31.9%) were in the category of 60 – 69 years. Little over fifty percent (52.9%) reside in rural areas and were males (56.0%). Nearly two-thirds (60.3%) were married and nearly two-thirds (61.0%) attained formal education. Among those who were educated formally, nearly half (46.5%) had basic education and secondary education (46.1%), respectively. Nearly half of participants (46.9%) were Akans and a little above three-fourths (75.4%) were Christians.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of participants

Variable	Unweighted		Weighted	
	Frequency (n=1,848)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n=1,848)	Percentage (%)
Age group (years)				
50 – 59	457	24.7	457	39.7
60 – 69	679	36.8	679	31.9
70 – 79	480	26.0	480	18.9
≥80	232	12.5	200	9.5
Place of residence				
Urban	762	41.2	762	47.1
Rural	1,086	58.8	1,086	52.9
Gender				
Male	953	51.6	953	56.0
Female	895	48.4	895	44.0
Marital status				
Never married	62	3.3	62	3.0
Married	981	53.1	981	60.3

Separated/divorced	234	12.7	234	11.8
Widowed	571	30.9	571	24.9
Ever had formal education				
No	880	47.6	880	39.0
Yes	968	52.4	968	61.0
If yes, highest educational level (n=968)				
Basic	429	44.3	429	46.5
Secondary	470	48.6	470	46.4
Tertiary	69	7.1	69	7.1
Ethnicity				
Akan	932	50.4	932	46.9
Ewe	130	7.0	130	11.0
Ga-adangbe	214	11.6	214	13.1
Gruma/Grusi/Guan	129	7.0	129	6.2
Mande-busanga/mole-dabani	443	22.8	443	22.8
Religion				
Christian	1,354	73.3	1,354	75.4
Moslem	327	17.7	327	17.1
Traditionalist	105	5.7	105	4.5
No religion	62	3.3	62	3.0

Difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana

Figure 1 is a pictorial presentation of the weighted prevalence of difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana. Nearly two-thirds of participants (62.3%) had difficulty with mobility and more than one-third (37.7%) had no difficulty.




Figure 1: Self-reported difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana

The results of the chi-square test of independence showed that age group, gender, marital status, ever attended school, health status, difficulty with work/house activities, experience bodily pains, bodily discomfort, visual difficulty, BMI, engage in vigorous activities and diagnosed of depression were associated with difficulty with mobility (Table 2).

Table 2: Bivariate analysis of demographic features and factors associated with difficulty with mobility among the aged

Variables	Weighted		Difficulty with Mobility		X ²	P-value
	(n)	(%)	No difficulty, n (%)	Difficulty, n (%)		
Age group (years)					20.488	<0.001
50 – 59	457	39.7	224 (19.5)	233 (20.2)		
60 – 69	679	31.9	246 (12.2)	433 (19.7)		
70 – 79	480	19.0	115 (4.9)	365 (14.1)		
≥80	200	8.1	28 (1.1)	204 (8.3)		
Place of residence					0.039	0.844
Urban	762	47.1	258 (17.5)	504 (29.6)		
Rural	1,086	52.9	355 (20.2)	731 (32.7)		
Gender					18.984	<0.001
Male	953	56.0	347 (24.4)	606 (31.7)		
Female	895	44.0	266 (13.3)	629 (30.6)		
Marital status					14.058	<0.001
Never married	62	3.0	38 (1.9)	24 (1.1)		
Married	981	60.3	376 (25.8)	605 (34.5)		
Separated/divorced	234	11.8	68 (3.8)	166 (8.0)		
Widowed	571	24.9	131 (6.1)	440 (18.8)		
Had formal education					24.432	<0.001
No	880	39.0	225 (10.8)	655 (28.2)		
Yes	968	61.0	388 (26.8)	580 (34.2)		
Ethnicity					1.363	0.249
Akan	932	46.9	299 (18.5)	633 (28.4)		
Ewe	130	11.0	53 (3.5)	77 (7.5)		
Ga-adangbe	214	13.1	85 (5.8)	129 (7.3)		
Gruma/Grusi/Guan	129	6.2	58 (2.9)	71 (3.3)		
Mande/Mole-dagbani	443	2.8	118 (7.0)	325 (15.8)		
Religion					0.902	0.437
Christian	1,354	75.4	454 (28.4)	900 (46.9)		
Moslem	327	17.1	114 (6.9)	213 (10.1)		
Traditionalist	105	5.7	33 (1.5)	72 (3.0)		
No religion	62	3.3	12 (0.8)	50 (2.3)		
Have ever worked					2.018	0.157
No	54	3.2	23 (1.7)	31 (1.5)		

Yes	1,794	96.8	590 (36.0)	1,204 (60.8)		
Self-rated health status					62.798	<0.001
Poor/bad	1,663	89.4	11 (0.7)	174 (9.9)		
Good	32	10.6	602 (37.0)	1,061 (52.4)		
Difficulty with household activities					187.983	<0.001
No difficulty	704	41.2	478 (29.2)	135 (8.5)		
Difficulty	1,144	58.8	226 (12.1)	1,009 (50.3)		
Experience body pains					202.198	<0.001
No	690	39.8	471 (28.6)	219 (11.3)		
Yes	1,158	60.2	142 (9.1)	1,016 (51.1)		
Bodily discomfort					149.854	<0.001
No discomfort	705	41.3	482 (29.2)	223 (12.1)		
Discomfort	1,143	58.7	131 (8.5)	1,012 (50.2)		
Difficulty with sight					47.255	<0.001
No difficulty	1,081	60.4	514 (30.1)	567 (30.3)		
Difficulty	767	39.6	99 (7.6)	668 (32.0)		
BMI					6.280	<0.001
Underweight	992	46.1	290 (15.0)	702 (31.2)		
Normal/healthy	695	42.7	266 (18.3)	429 (24.4)		
Overweight	124	8.0	49 (3.9)	75 (4.1)		
Obese	37	3.2	8 (0.5)	29 (2.7)		
Engage in vigorous work/activities					20.039	<0.001
No	1,327	69.8	347 (21.6)	980 (48.2)		
Yes	521	30.2	266 (16.0)	255 (14.1)		
Diagnosed of arthritis					3.268	0.072
No	1,660	88.3	563 (34.7)	1,097 (53.6)		
Yes	188	11.7	50 (3.0)	138 (8.7)		
Diagnosed of stroke					2.789	0.100
No	1,816	98.5	605 (37.3)	1,211 (61.2)		
Yes	32	1.5	8 (0.3)	24 (1.2)		
Diagnosed of depression					4.292	0.040
No	1,829	99.1	3 (0.1)	16 (0.7)		
Yes	19	0.9	610 (37.6)	1,219 (61.6)		

Table 3 presents the multi-level logistic regression results of difficulty with mobility among the aged. Participants aged 80 and above compared to 50 – 59 years [OR=13.05, 95%CI=7.50 – 21.95], females compared to males [OR=1.54, 95%CI=1.22 – 1.95], widows compared to never married [OR=6.20, 95%CI=3.24 – 11.8] and those with difficulty with household activities [OR=17.9, 95%CI=13.6 – 23.6] had higher odds of difficulty with mobility. Additionally, participants who

experienced bodily pains compared to no pains [OR=17.0, 95%CI=13.0 – 22.3], experienced bodily discomfort compared to no discomfort [OR=20.0, 95%CI=15.0 – 26.4] and had difficulty with sight compared with no difficulty [OR=6.31, 95%CI=4.78 – 8.33] had higher odds of difficulty with mobility. Moreover, respondents who had formal education compared to no formal education [OR=0.40, 95%CI=0.31 – 0.52], self-reported to have good health compared to poor health [OR=0.08, 95%CI=0.04 – 0.15], those with normal weight compared to underweight [OR=0.65, 95%CI=0.51 – 0.83], those who engaged in vigorous activities compared to those who do not [OR=0.24, 95%CI=0.18 – 0.31] and those diagnosed with depression compared to those who are not [OR=0.23, 95%CI=0.06 – 0.92] had decreased odds of difficulty with mobility. In the adjusted model (Model II), participants aged 80 and above compared to 50 – 59 years [AOR=4.70, 95%CI=2.34 – 9.43], participants with difficulty performing household activities compared to no difficulty [AOR=6.96, 95%CI=5.03 – 9.64] participants who experienced bodily pains compared to no pains [AOR=3.21, 95%CI=1.81 – 5.60], and experienced bodily discomfort compared to no discomfort [AOR=3.39, 95%CI=1.91 – 5.99] had higher odds of difficulty with mobility.

Table 3: Multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression results of difficulty with mobility

Variables	Model 0		Model I		Model II	
	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	AOR	95%CI
Age group (years)						
50 – 59 (ref)			1		1	
60 – 69			2.10***	[1.57 – 2.81]	1.89***	[1.30 – 2.76]
70 – 79			4.33***	[3.08 – 6.08]	2.05***	[1.31 – 3.22]
≥80			13.05***	[7.50 – 21.95]	4.70***	[2.34 – 9.43]
Gender						
Male (ref)			1		1	
Female			1.54***	[1.22 – 1.95]	0.88	[0.59 – 1.31]
Marital status						
Never married (ref)			1		1	
Married			2.41**	[1.29 – 4.49]	1.47	[0.67 – 3.24]
Separated/divorced			4.36***	[2.20 – 8.64]	1.97	[0.82 – 4.41]
Widowed			6.20***	[3.24 – 11.80]	1.94	[0.84 – 4.13]
Had formal education						
No (ref)			1		1	
Yes			0.40***	[0.31 – 0.52]	0.80	[0.57 – 1.12]
Health status						
Poor/bad (ref)			1		1	

Good		0.08***	[0.04 – 0.15]	0.40*	[0.19 – 0.88]
Difficulty with household activities					
No difficulty (ref)		1	1	1	1
Difficulty		17.9***	[13.6 – 23.6]	6.96***	[5.03 – 9.64]
Experience bodily pains					
No (ref)		1	1	1	1
Yes		17.0***	[13.0 – 22.3]	3.21***	[1.81 – 5.60]
Experienced bodily discomfort					
No discomfort (ref)		1	1	1	1
Discomfort		20.0***	[15.0 – 26.4]	3.39***	[1.91 – 5.99]
Difficulty with sight					
No difficulty (ref)		1	1	1	1
Difficulty		6.31***	[4.78 – 8.33]	1.70**	[1.18 – 2.43]
BMI					
Underweight (ref)		1	1	1	1
Normal		0.65**	[0.51 – 0.83]	1.12	[0.81 – 1.56]
Overweight		0.65	[0.41 – 1.03]	1.43	[0.76 – 2.69]
Obese		2.34	[0.95 – 5.75]	3.00	[0.92 – 9.82]
Engage in vigorous activities					
No (ref)		1	1	1	1
Yes		0.24***	[0.18 – 0.31]	0.44***	[0.32 – 0.63]
Diagnosed of depression					
No (ref)		1	1	1	1
Yes		0.23*	[0.06 – 0.92]	0.31	[0.04 – 2.48]
Random effect					
ICC	0.26			0.17	
LR test	141.21***			27.09***	
Model specification diagnostics					
AIC	2,211.17			1,337.16	
BIC	2,222.21			1,458.64	

95%CI= 95% confidence intervals in brackets, OR=Odds Ratio, AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, 1=Reference category.

Discussion

We investigated the difficulty with mobility among the aged (≥ 50 years) in Ghana. The key findings were that among the aged (≥ 50 years) in Ghana, nearly two-thirds (62.3%) had difficulty with mobility. Moreover, difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana is associated with age (80 and above), difficulty performing household activities, bodily pains and discomfort, and difficulty with vision.

The study revealed that nearly two-thirds (62.3%) of the aged had difficulty with mobility. In congruence to the study's findings, a global study by Webber *et al.* (2010) revealed that about half of people aged 65 years or older reported difficulties with respect to walking or climbing stairs (Webber, Porter and Menec, 2010). Other studies conducted among the aged in other parts of Africa however reported lesser prevalence. For instance, a study conducted among the aged (≥ 50 years) in Nigeria revealed that 35.8% had difficulty with mobility (Balogun and Guntupalli, 2016) indicating that nearly two-thirds (64.2%) had no difficulty with mobility. Also, Yaya and colleagues had a contrasting finding in their cross-national study in South Africa and Uganda. The study revealed that 20% of the aged had difficulty with mobility (Yaya *et al.*, 2020). The likely justification for the difficulty with mobility is the loss of strength and function that is characterised by sarcopenia in adulthood (Billot *et al.*, 2020) leading to slow walking, less stability, inefficiency, poor timing and coordination of postures and poor gaiting (Brach and Vanswearigen, 2013). Another plausible explanation is the alteration of muscular strength during old age (Billot *et al.*, 2020) and decrease in physical strength each year after sixty years (Grimmer *et al.*, 2019; Billot *et al.*, 2020). Despite the high prevalence of difficulty with mobility reported across studies, variations exist in the prevalence estimates which could be attributed to the differences in study settings, genetic make-up and study designs employed.

This study revealed that participants aged 80 years and above had increased odds of difficulty with mobility. It is logical to argue that mobility difficulties increases with ageing stemming from the deterioration in functioning and degenerative conditions owing to sarcopenia (Khan, 2018). This argument is supported by research in Ghana that revealed that the aged are mostly confronted with degenerative conditions and physical deterioration that affect their capacity to effectively function including mobility (Kpessa-Whyte and Tsekpo, 2020). Even though, mobility challenges however

could be prevalent at younger ages, research revealed that mobility difficulties are mostly prevalent at old age which could also be attributed to the decline in strength in the muscles (Miszkurka *et al.*, 2012). A research conducted in Nigeria also revealed that the aged (>70 years) are at heightened risk of mobility difficulties (Balogun and Guntupalli, 2016). This decline in mobility could be present even in the absence of co-morbidity because ageing induces biological and functional decline at several levels (including loss of muscle strength and mass and decline in balance) resulting in difficulty in mobility (Billot *et al.*, 2020).

This study further revealed that participants who engage in vigorous activities or exercise had lower odds of difficulty with mobility and participants with difficulty with mobility had difficulty exercising or performing household activities even though, reverse causality cannot be completely ruled out in this association. Corroborating and affirming the finding, a study in Canada concluded that the aged who engage in vigorous activities have reduced risk of difficulty with mobility (Paterson and Warburton, 2010). Similarly, in Taiwan, research revealed that engaging in household activities was associated lower odds of mobility limitations (Lêng and Wang, 2016). This is because, engaging in household activities and exercise make the aged physically active and be more productive thereby increasing mobility (Lêng and Wang, 2016). Researchers and scholars have chronicled that not engaging in vigorous activities or exercise is an independent determinant for mobility decline among the aged (Daley and Spinks, 2000). The plausible explanation is that engagement in exercise or physical and household activities help in contracting the skeletal muscle leading to an increase in energy expenditure thereby reducing risk for mobility limitations (Welmer *et al.*, 2013). Another justifiable reason is that these activities are characterized by planned, structured, and repetitive movement which can preserve or improve physical determinants of mobility thereby reducing the odds of difficulty with mobility or otherwise.

The study also revealed that participants who experienced bodily discomfort and pains had increased odds of difficulty with mobility. In a related study in West Africa, health conditions including bodily pains and discomfort are risk factors for mobility disability (Miszkurka *et al.*, 2012). Consistent with the above finding, in USA, research found that the presence of mild-to-moderate pain among the aged was independently associated with poor or difficulty with mobility among the aged (Schepker *et al.*, 2017). The association between pain and mobility limitation

could however be as a result of reverse causation. Musich et al. for instance, in their study in the USA found that higher levels of mobility limitations were linked to pain among the aged. The authors, therefore, recommended mobility-enhancing interventions that could promote successful ageing (Musich *et al.*, 2018).

Participants who had difficulty with vision had higher odds of difficulty with mobility. Similarly, in a study in rural India, the authors found mobility losses of 5.1, 10.2, and 23.4 points of 100 among older people with visual difficulties, low vision, and blindness, respectively (Nirmalan *et al.*, 2005). This finding is also similar to other related studies conducted in high-income countries. For instance, related studies in Israel, Canada, China, and USA that found that the aged who had visual difficulty had walking and stair climbing difficulties (Jacobs et al., 2005; Popescu et al., 2011; Fenwick et al., 2016; Swenor et al., 2015). Research revealed that older adults with vision difficulties had significantly difficulty with mobility including slower walking speeds compared to non-visually impairment individuals (Miyata *et al.*, 2021). The likely explanation is that the slowness in walking speed is a way to maintain or improve their mobility safety.

Additionally, the study revealed that the aged who reported having good health status compared with poor health had decreased odds of difficulty with mobility. Poor health has been shown to be a determinant of mobility limitations among the aged in a similar study in Nigeria (Balogun and Guntupalli, 2016). In other words, the aged who perceived to have good health (that is, devoid of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases, osteoporosis, muscular weakness) had decreased odds of difficulty with mobility (McPhee *et al.*, 2016). In congruence to the above, a study published elsewhere revealed that difficulties with mobility is central to healthy ageing (Satariano *et al.*, 2012). The authors further justified that having poor health is associated with limitations in both walking and driving. Interestingly, research in the United Kingdom revealed that even older adults who perceived their health to be good are not devoid of mobility limitations due to ageing (Degens *et al.*, 2021). However, among these healthy older people, mobility limitation is due to weakness of the body and not slower muscle contractile properties (Degens *et al.*, 2021).

Strength and limitations

The study is novel because it presented updated information on difficulty with mobility among the aged in Ghana. Also, the study utilised secondary data from nationally representative cross-sectional survey with relatively large sample size (n=1,848). Additionally, the researcher applied

rigorous, advanced statistical and analytical methods to analyse the data for the study making it robust. However, despite the above-mentioned strengths, the study had its limitations that cannot be overemphasised. The study utilised a dataset that was collected from respondents' self-report making recall bias inevitable. The study is also liable to social desirability biases (tendency of respondents to bias responses to make it appropriate or socially acceptable) due to the cross-sectional nature of SAGE study. The cross-sectional nature of the survey also led to the failure to establish a causal relationship. Furthermore, some of the associations found in this study could be due to reverse causality.

Conclusion

The aged in Ghana had higher prevalence (62.3%) of difficulty with mobility which is associated with age (80 and above), difficulty performing household activities, bodily pains and discomfort, and difficulty with vision. This suggests the need to provide geriatric care including assistive devices, care homes and recreational fields to address the health and physical needs of the aged in Ghana.

DECLARATION

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The authors of this manuscript did not participate in the actual data gathering processes. Hence, we sought permission by officially writing for the dataset. However, the WHO's Wave 2 study sought ethical approval from the World Health Organization's Ethical Review Board (reference number RPC149) and the Ethical and Protocol Review Committee, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana. The SAGE study followed all ethical procedures and ensured that participants' rights were not violated. Written informed consent was obtained from all study respondents and the dataset was anonymised before making it available to the public.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during this current study are freely available upon making official request to WHO-SAGE Team through the WHO website at <http://www.who.int/healthinfo/sage/cohorts/en/>. However, registration is required for access to the data files.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors. No official endorsement by the World Health Organization or Ministry of Health of Ghana/Ghana Health Service is intended or should be inferred.

Funding

No funding was received for the study

Author's contributions

KB, DB, and EKN developed the concept. KB conducted the formal analysis and interpreted the results. KB, AAA, MA, DB, and EKN drafted the manuscript. All authors proofread the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Acknowledgements

For the SAGE, financial support was provided by the U.S. National Institute on Aging through Interagency Agreements (OGHA 04034785; YA1323-08-CN-0020; Y1-AG-1005-01) with the World Health Organization and a Research Project Grant (R01 AG034479- 64401A1). WHO contributed financial and human resources to SAGE. The Ministry of Health, Ghana, is supportive of SAGE. The University of Ghana's Department of Community Health contributed training facilities, data entry support, and storage of materials. The Ghana Statistical Office provided the sampling information for the sampling frame and updates.

References

- Aheto, J.M.K. and Dagne, G.A. (2021) ‘Multilevel modeling, prevalence, and predictors of hypertension in Ghana: Evidence from Wave 2 of the World Health Organization’s Study on global AGEing and adult health’, *Health Science Reports*, 4(4). doi:10.1002/hsr2.453.
- Amegbor, P.M., Braimah, J.A., Adjaye-Gbewonyo, D., Rosenberg, M.W. and Sabel, C.E. (2020) ‘Effect of cognitive and structural social capital on depression among older adults in Ghana: A multilevel cross-sectional analysis’, *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 89(September 2019), p. 104045. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2020.104045.
- Appiah, F. (2022) ‘Individual and community-level factors associated with early initiation of antenatal care: Multilevel modelling of 2018 Cameroon Demographic and Health Survey’, *PLoS ONE*, 17(4 April), pp. 1–15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0266594.
- Awuviry-Newton, K., Wales, K., Tavener, M., Kowal, P. and Byles, J. (2021) ‘Functional difficulties and toileting among older adults in Ghana: Evidence from the World Health Organization Study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE) Ghana Wave 1’, *Ageing and Society*, pp. 1–23. doi:10.1017/S0144686X21000453.
- Balogun, S.A. and Guntupalli, A.M. (2016) ‘Gender difference in the prevalence and socio-demographic correlates of mobility disability among older adults in Nigeria’, *European Journal of Ageing*, 13(3), pp. 231–239. doi:10.1007/s10433-016-0386-z.
- Bergland, A., Jørgensen, L., Emaus, N. and Strand, B.H. (2017) ‘Mobility as a predictor of all-cause mortality in older men and women: 11.8 year follow-up in the Tromsø study’, *BMC Health Services Research*, 17(1), pp. 1–7. doi:10.1186/s12913-016-1950-0.
- Billot, M., Calvani, R., Urtamo, A., Sánchez-Sánchez, J.L., Ciccolari-Micaldi, C., Chang, M., Roller-Wirnsberger, R., Wirnsberger, G., Sinclair, A., Vaquero-Pinto, N., Jyväkorpi, S., Öhman, H., Strandberg, T., Schols, J.M.G.A., Schols, A.M.W.J., Smeets, N., Topinkova, E., Michalkova, H., Bonfigli, A.R., Lattanzio, F., Rodríguez-Mañas, L., Coelho-Júnior, H., Broccatelli, M., D’elia, M.E., Biscotti, D., Marzetti, E. and Freiburger, E. (2020) ‘Preserving mobility in older adults with physical frailty and sarcopenia: Opportunities, challenges, and recommendations for physical activity interventions’, *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 15, pp. 1675–1690. doi:10.2147/CIA.S253535.
- Biritwum, R., Mensah, G., Yawson, A. and Minicuci, N. (2013) ‘Study on global AGEing and Adult Health (SAGE), Wave 1’, *Who Sage*, pp. 1–111.
- Biritwum, R.B., Mensah, G., Minicuci, N., Yawson, A.E., Naidoo, N., Chatterji, S. and Kowal, P. (2013) ‘Household characteristics for older adults and study background from SAGE Ghana Wave 1’, *Global Health Action*, 6(1), pp. 1–14. doi:10.3402/gha.v6i0.20096.
- Brach, J.S. and Vanswearigen, J.M. (2013) ‘Interventions to Improve Walking in Older Adults Jennifer’, *Early Human Development*, 83(1), pp. 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2006.05.022.
- Braimah, J.A. and Rosenberg, M.W. (2021) “‘They Do Not Care about Us Anymore’:

Understanding the Situation of Older People in Ghana', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), pp. 1–15. doi:10.3390/ijerph18052337.

Brown, C.J. and Flood, K.L. (2013) 'Mobility limitation in the older patient: A clinical review', *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*, 310(11), pp. 1168–1177. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.276566.

Charlton, K., Ware, L.J., Menyanu, E., Biritwum, R.B., Naidoo, N., Pieterse, C., Madurai, S.L., Baumgartner, J., Asare, G.A., Thiele, E. and Schutte, A.E. (2016) 'Leveraging ongoing research to evaluate the health impacts of South Africa ' s salt reduction strategy : a prospective nested cohort within the WHO-SAGE multicountry , longitudinal study', *BMJ Open*, 6, pp. 1–10. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013316.

Cuignet, T., Perchoux, C., Caruso, G., Klein, O., Klein, S., Chaix, B., Kestens, Y. and Gerber, P. (2020) 'Mobility among older adults: Deconstructing the effects of motility and movement on wellbeing', *Urban Studies*, 57(2), pp. 383–401. doi:10.1177/0042098019852033.

Daley, M.J. and Spinks, W.L. (2000) 'Exercise, mobility and aging', *Sports Medicine*, 29(1), pp. 1–12. doi:10.2165/00007256-200029010-00001.

Degens, H., Attias, J., Evans, D., Wilkins, F. and HodsonTole, E. (2021) 'The mobility limitation in healthy older people is due to weakness and not slower muscle contractile properties', *PLoS ONE*, 16(6 June), pp. 1–16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0253531.

Fausset, C.B., Rogers, W. and Fisk, A.D. (2014) 'Challenges to Aging in Place: Understanding Home Maintenance Difficulties', *J Hous Elderly*, 23(1), pp. 1–7. doi:10.1080/02763893.2011.571105.Challenges.

Freiberger, E., Sieber, C.C. and Kob, R. (2020) 'Mobility in Older Community-Dwelling Persons: A Narrative Review', *Frontiers in Physiology*, 11(September), pp. 1–13. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.00881.

Giardini, A., Maffoni, M., Kardas, P. and Costa, E. (2018) 'A cornerstone of healthy aging: do we need to rethink the concept of adherence in the elderly?', *Patient preference and adherence*, 12, pp. 1003–1005. doi:10.2147/PPA.S164686.

Heck S.L., R.H.. T. (2009) 'Introduction to Multilevel Modeling Techniques', pp. 1–19.

Iezzoni, L.I., McCarthy, E.P., Davis, R.B. and Siebens, H. (2001) 'Mobility difficulties are not only a problem of old age', *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16(4), pp. 235–243. doi:10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016004235.x.

Khan, R.M. (2018) 'Mobility impairment in the elderly', *InnovAiT: Education and inspiration for general practice*, 11(1), pp. 14–19. doi:10.1177/1755738017748567.

Kpessa-Whyte, M. and Tsekpo, K. (2020) 'Lived Experiences of the Elderly in Ghana: Analysis of Ageing Policies and Options for Reform', *Journal of Cross-Cultural Gerontology*, 35(3), pp. 341–352. doi:10.1007/s10823-020-09401-z.

- Lêng, C.H. and Wang, J. Der (2016) ‘Daily home gardening improved survival for older people with mobility limitations: An 11-year follow-up study in Taiwan’, *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 11, pp. 947–954. doi:10.2147/CIA.S107197.
- Lopes, M.J., de Pinho, L.G., Fonseca, C., Goes, M., Oliveira, H., Garcia-Alonso, J. and Afonso, A. (2021) ‘Functioning and cognition of portuguese older adults attending in residential homes and day centers: A comparative study’, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(13). doi:10.3390/ijerph18137030.
- Makupe, D.J., Kumwenda, S. and Kazembe, L. (2019) ‘An application of mixed-effect models to analyse contraceptive use in Malawian women’, *Contraception and Reproductive Medicine*, 4(1), pp. 1–11. doi:10.1186/s40834-019-0088-y.
- Manini, T.M. (2013) ‘Mobility decline in old age: A time to intervene’, *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 41(1), p. 2. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e318279fdc5.
- McDonald-Miszczak, L., Wister, A. V. and Gutman, G.M. (2001) ‘Self-care among older adults: An analysis of the objective and subjective illness contexts’, *Journal of Aging and Health*, 13(1), pp. 120–145. doi:10.1177/089826430101300106.
- McPhee, J.S., French, D.P., Jackson, D., Nazroo, J., Pendleton, N. and Degens, H. (2016) ‘Physical activity in older age: perspectives for healthy ageing and frailty’, *Biogerontology*, 17(3), pp. 567–580. doi:10.1007/s10522-016-9641-0.
- Miszkurka, M., Zunzunegui, M.V., Langlois, É. V., Freeman, E.E., Kouanda, S. and Haddad, S. (2012) ‘Gender differences in mobility disability during young, middle and older age in West African adults’, *Global Public Health*, 7(5), pp. 495–508. doi:10.1080/17441692.2011.630676.
- Miyata, K., Yoshikawa, T., Harano, A., Ueda, T. and Ogata, N. (2021) ‘Effects of visual impairment on mobility functions in elderly: Results of Fujiwara-kyo Eye Study’, *PLoS ONE*, 16(1 January), pp. 1–9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0244997.
- Musich, S., Wang, S.S., Ruiz, J., Hawkins, K. and Wicker, E. (2018) ‘The impact of mobility limitations on health outcomes among older adults’, *Geriatric Nursing*, 39(2), pp. 162–169. doi:10.1016/j.gerinurse.2017.08.002.
- Nirmalan, P.K., Tielsch, J.M., Katz, J., Thulasiraj, R.D., Krishnadas, R., Ramakrishnan, R. and Robin, A.L. (2005) ‘Relationship between vision impairment and eye disease to vision-specific quality of life and function in rural India: The aravind comprehensive eye survey’, *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, 46(7), pp. 2308–2312. doi:10.1167/iovs.04-0830.
- Nutakor, J.A., Dai, B., Zhou, J., Larnyo, E., Gavu, A.K. and Asare, M.K. (2021) ‘Association between socioeconomic status and cognitive functioning among older adults in Ghana’, *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 36(5), pp. 756–765. doi:10.1002/gps.5475.
- Paterson, Hd.H. and Warburton, D.E. (2010) ‘Physical activity and functional limitations in older adults: a systematic review related to Canada’s Physical Activity Guidelines’, *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 7(38), p. 190. Available at:

<http://www.ijbnpa.org/content/7/1/38>.

Pengpid, S., Peltzer, K. and Susilowati, I.H. (2019) 'Cognitive Functioning and Associated Factors in Older Adults: Results from the Indonesian Family Life Survey-5 (IFLS-5) in 2014-2015', *Current Gerontology and Geriatrics Research*, 2019, pp. 23–25. doi:10.1155/2019/4527647.

Porter, G., Tewodros, A. and Gorman, M. (2018) 'Mobility, transport and older people's well-being in sub-Saharan Africa: Review and prospect', *Geographies of Transport and Ageing*, pp. 75–100. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-76360-6_4.

Portet, S. (2020) 'A primer on model selection using the Akaike Information Criterion', *Infectious Disease Modelling*, 5, pp. 111–128. doi:10.1016/j.idm.2019.12.010.

Sakari, R. and Ritva (2013) *Mobility and its decline in old age: determinants and associated factors, Studies in sport, physical education and health;0356-1070 ;190*.

Satariano, W.A., Guralnik, J.M., Jackson, R.J., Marottoli, R.A., Phelan, E.A. and Prohaska, T.R. (2012) 'Mobility and aging: New directions for public health action', *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(8), pp. 1508–1515. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2011.300631.

Schepker, C.A., Leveille, S.G., Pedersen, M.M., Ward, R.E., Kurlinski, L.A., Grande, L., Kiely, D.K. and Bean, J. (2017) 'Effect of Pain and Mild Cognitive Impairment on Mobility', *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 64(1), pp. 138–143. doi:10.1111/jgs.13869.Effect.

Shafrin, J., Sullivan, J., Goldman, D.P. and Gill, T.M. (2017) 'The association between observed mobility and quality of life in the near elderly', *PLoS ONE*, 12(8), pp. 1–13. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0182920.

Shen, L., Tang, X., Li, C., Qian, Z., Wang, J. and Liu, W. (2021) 'Status and Factors of Cognitive Function Among Older Adults in Urban China', *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12(September). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.728165.

StataCorp (2021) 'Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.', pp. 2021–2023.

Swenor, B.K., Simonsick, E.M., Ferrucci, L., Newman, A.B., Rubin, S., Valerie, W. and ABC, S. for the H. (2015) 'Visual Impairment and Incident Mobility Limitations: The Health ABC Study', *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 63(1), pp. 46–54. doi:10.1111/jgs.13183.Visual.

Tardif, D. (2014) 'Editorial: Global elderly care in crisis', *The Lancet*, 383(9921), p. 927. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60463-3.

United Nations (2020) 'World Population Ageing 2020 Highlights - Ten key messages', *United Nations*, (October 2020), pp. 1–2.

Webber, S.C., Porter, M.M. and Menec, V.H. (2010) 'Mobility in older adults: A comprehensive framework', *Gerontologist*, 50(4), pp. 443–450. doi:10.1093/geront/gnq013.

Welmer, A.K., Angleman, S., Rydwick, E., Fratiglioni, L. and Qiu, C. (2013) ‘Association of Cardiovascular Burden with Mobility Limitation among Elderly People: A Population-Based Study’, *PLoS ONE*, 8(5), pp. 1–7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065815.

World Health Organisation (WHO) (2014) ‘Ghana country assessment report on ageing and health’, *World Health Organisation* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2.

World Health Organization (WHO) (2015) *World Report on Ageing and Health*. Geneva.

Yaya, S., Idriss-Wheeler, D., Sanogo, N.A., Vezina, M. and Bishwajit, G. (2020) ‘Self-reported activities of daily living, health and quality of life among older adults in South Africa and Uganda: a cross sectional study’, *BMC Geriatrics*, 20(1), pp. 1–11. doi:10.1186/s12877-020-01809-z.