

Quality of life in adolescents with chronic non-traumatic knee pain: An analysis of 323 adolescents with Patellofemoral Pain and Osgood-Schlatter Disease.

Chris Djurtoft ^{1,2,X}, Tomer Yona ^{3,X}, Ewa Maria Roos ⁴, Kristian Thorborg ^{5,6}, Per Hölmich ^{5,7,8}, Sten Rasmussen ^{9,10}, Jens Lykkegaard Olesen ^{1,9}, Michael Skovdal Rathleff ^{1,2,11}.

Affiliations:

¹ Center for General Practice at Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.

² Department of Health Science and Technology, Aalborg University, Denmark.

³ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

⁴ Research Unit for Musculoskeletal Function and Physiotherapy, Center for Muscle and Joint Health, Institute of Sport Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark.

⁵ Sports Orthopedic Research Center – Copenhagen (SORC-C), Hvidovre, Denmark.

⁶ Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen (PMR-C), Hvidovre, Denmark.

⁷ Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark.

⁸ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

⁹ Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.

¹⁰ Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Aalborg University Hospital.

¹¹ Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark.

^X Shared first authorship.

Corresponding author:

Chris Djurtoft. Center for General Practice at Aalborg University, Fyrkildevej 7, 9220, Aalborg, Denmark; and Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University.

Email: cdjurt@dcm.aau.dk

Telephone: +4528257763.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Funding: This study was funded by the Danish Independent Research Foundation (grant DFF-4004-00247) and the Danish Physiotherapists Research Foundation. The authors certify that they have no affiliations with or financial involvement in any organization or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the article.

Ethical Approval and Institutional Review Board: Ethics Committee of North Denmark Region. This study was exempt from a full ethical approval by The North Denmark Region Committee on Health Research Ethics.

Acknowledgements, financial disclosure, and conflict of interest: The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or nonfinancial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Name of the public trials registry and the registration number: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02799394, NCT02402673, NCT01438762.

1 **Abstract**

2 **Introduction:** We aimed to describe Quality of life (QoL) among adolescents with
3 Patellofemoral Pain (PFP) and Osgood-Schlatter Disease (OSD) according to the QoL subscale
4 of The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) and the EuroQol 5-dimensions
5 (EQ-5D) and to investigate the characteristics associated with QoL.

6 **Methods:** This individual participant analysis included data from three clinical trials on
7 adolescents diagnosed with PFP or OSD. We relabeled individual data and constructed a single
8 dataset.

9 **Results:** We included 323 adolescents with PFP or OSD. Total score of KOOS-QoL was 51 ± 18
10 and total index score for the EQ5D was 0.67 ± 0.21 . KOOS-QoL subscale showed that 59%
11 reported being aware of their knee problems daily or constantly, 37% reported severe to
12 extreme lack of confidence in their knees, 27% reported severe to extreme difficulty with their
13 knees, and 20% reported severely or totally modifying their lifestyle to avoid potentially
14 damaging activities to their knee. EQ-5D showed that 77% experienced problems with
15 everyday activities, 47% reported mobility problems, 17% felt worried, sad, or unhappy, and
16 7% reported problems looking after themselves. Older participants (age 17-19) reported worse
17 QoL than younger participants. None of the other characteristics were associated with QoL.

18 **Conclusions:** A high proportion of adolescents with chronic non-traumatic knee pain
19 experience low QoL. More than half were aware of their knee problems at least daily, one in
20 three reported a severe lack of confidence in their knee, and one in six felt worried, sad, or
21 unhappy. QoL was lowest among older adolescents.

22 **Key words:** Quality of life; Non-traumatic knee pain; Patellofemoral Pain; Osgood-Schlatter
23 Disease.

24

25 **Highlights:**

- 26 - One in every three adolescents with PFP or OSD reported a severe lack of confidence
27 in their knee.
- 28 - Many adolescents reported being sad or worried, and modified their usual activities due
29 to their knee pain.
- 30 - Clinicians may extend the scope and include pain management strategies to address
31 psychological perspectives when managing adolescents diagnosed with PFP or OSD.
- 32 - Modifiable targets such as adolescents understanding of pain may be a beneficial
33 management strategy to consider in rehabilitation for PFP or OSD.

34

35

36 **Introduction**

37 Chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMP) affects up to one-third of adolescents (age 10-19) ^{6,9,34}.
38 During this critical period in life, pain may have detrimental impact on a child's physical,
39 emotional, and social functioning ^{6,8,17}. Non-traumatic knee pain is the most common pain
40 origin among adolescents, with Patellofemoral Pain (PFP) and Osgood-Schlatter Disease
41 (OSD) having the highest prevalence ³⁴. PFP and OSD show similar pain patterns, including
42 pain in the anterior part of the knee, and are commonly observed among highly active
43 adolescents ^{28,35}. PFP and OSD are often seen as self-limiting, but recent evidence shows that
44 approximately half of the adolescents having knee pain will continue to experience pain after
45 12 months, and nearly 75% of adolescents will reduce sports participation due to their knee
46 pain ^{12,29,32}. The impact of PFP and OSD has significant consequences, as issues extend to basic
47 functional tasks (running and stair-walking), shorter sleeping time, worse sleep quality, and
48 worse quality of life (QoL) than their peers without pain ^{12,22,25,29,32}.

49 A recent individual participants meta-analysis investigating prognostic factors for long-term
50 outcomes demonstrated that 51% of adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain still report knee
51 pain after 12 months ¹². Notably, low Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) was one of the
52 strongest prognostic factors for poor outcomes and the only identified construct that seems
53 modifiable ¹². Importantly, modifiable risk factors have high clinical value, as they might help
54 clinicians tailor patient-centered management strategies to enhance rehabilitation success ²³.
55 However, it is challenging to identify characteristics of predictive value and previous evidence
56 regarding prognostic factors in adolescents CMP is sparse and inconsistent ^{12,13,27}.

57 Despite HRQoL has been suggested as an important modifiable prognostic factor, it has
58 remained unclear which characteristics are associated with this phenomenon in this population
59 ^{12,13}. However, QoL is a multidimensional construct that is highly subjective and associated

60 with many aspects in adolescents experiencing CMP, such as stress, loneliness, lower self-
61 efficacy, and lower self-esteem^{16,20,22}. Additionally, identifying ways to manage and improve
62 QoL was recently ranked as the second highest research priority within CMP²⁶, which
63 emphasizes the need for an increased understanding of what influences QoL in adolescents
64 diagnosed with PFP or OSD. This will strengthen our knowledge of this phenomenon and
65 plausibly provide important modifiable targets for current management strategies²⁷.

66 Combining individual participants' data from three published original trials on adolescents
67 with PFP or OSD strengthens statistical power and raises an opportunity to explore what
68 influences QoL in a larger group of adolescents with the two most common knee conditions.
69 Therefore, we aimed to 1) describe QoL among adolescents with PFP or OSD according to the
70 EuroQol 5-dimensions (EQ-5D) and The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score
71 (KOOS) QoL and 2) to investigate measures of QoL and its association with characteristics in
72 terms of sports participation, sports activities per week, pain duration, pain intensity, bilateral
73 knee pain, body mass index (BMI), sex, age, and use of pain medication.

74 **Methods**

75 **Study Design**

76 Our study is reported in accordance with the STROBE statement⁷. This cross-sectional
77 explorative study was designed as an individual participant analysis of three previous clinical
78 trials on adolescents (defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the second decade
79 of life, 10-19 years old⁴⁰) suffering from chronic non-traumatic knee pain. Trials are registered
80 on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02799394, NCT02402673, NCT01438762). Signed informed
81 consent was obtained from all participants and all data were stored on a secure fileshare. This
82 study was initiated by the original author group of the original publications^{28,33,36}.

83 **Description of Included Studies**

84 The three studies recruited adolescents diagnosed with PFP or OSD. Two studies included
85 adolescents with PFP, and one included adolescents with OSD.

86 **Patient Demographics and Exposures**

87 All studies collected information on sports participation, sports activities per week, pain
88 duration, bilateral knee pain, BMI, sex, age, and use of pain medication; additionally, we
89 collected knee pain intensity (worst pain last week), which was measured using visual analog
90 scale or numeric pain rating scales.

91 Knee-related QoL was collected by the KOOS questionnaire, which contains five subscales
92 (pain, symptoms, activity in daily living, function in sport and recreation, and knee-related
93 QoL) scored from 0 to 100 (worst to best)^{4,37}. As this analysis's primary interest was to
94 describe QoL, we collected data from the KOOS-QoL subscale and individual items
95 representing the knee-related QoL. Health-related QoL was measured by the EQ-5D in study
96 one and the EQ-5D youth version in studies two and three. The two versions contain the same
97 items but slightly different wordings to ensure adolescents below the age of 15 also
98 comprehend the language used. The Danish weights were used to calculate the EQ-5D index
99 score (a higher index score equals better QoL)⁴⁹.

100 **Data Harmonization and Checking**

101 After acquiring the data, we relabeled individual data sets and recoded them to ensure
102 consistency and construct a single, merged dataset. The individual patient data validity was
103 checked by undertaking completeness and consistency checks on individual participant data to
104 identify missing or invalid (e.g., out of range) items. Missing information or inconsistencies
105 were checked and rectified, as necessary. The original three studies were powered to explore
106 the clinical benefits of a specific management strategy. The sample size was thus fixed by the
107 original studies.

108 **Data Analysis**

109 Normally distributed continuous variables are described as mean \pm standard deviation or 95%
110 confidence intervals. Non-normally distributed data is presented as median and [range].

111 Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies and percentages.

112 For Knee-related QoL, we calculated the subscale mean score measured by the KOOS-QoL as
113 recommended where points are summed, converted to percentages of a maximum score and
114 reversed to a 0-100, worst to best scale^{4,37}. In addition, the individual items were divided into
115 scores from Likert scales^{4,37}. All items were answered on a 5-point Likert scale (never/not at
116 all, monthly/mildly, weekly/moderately, daily/severely, constantly/totally/extreme), yielding
117 0–4 points, with 0 points representing no difficulty^{4,37}. For the QoL measured by the EQ-5D,
118 we present the index score as a general proxy for QoL, the “health profiles”, and the individual
119 five items divided into “no problems” or “any problem”, as suggested in the EQ-5D User Guide
120⁴⁹.

121 Characteristics associated with the KOOS-QoL and EQ-5D are presented using box plots,
122 displaying the range, median, and interquartile range of scores. The horizontal line represents
123 the median score, and each box represents the interquartile range. The "whiskers" signify the
124 lowest and highest score for each group. The circles outside the whiskers represent scores
125 above the 10th and 90th percentiles, respectively; these scores fall outside the regular range of
126 values in a distribution and are regarded as outliers. For pragmatic reasons, we divided BMI
127 into five groups (1: <18.5 - 2: 18.5 to 24.9 - 3: 25 to 29.9 - 4: 30 to 39.9 - and 5: \geq 40),
128 according to the description provided by the WHO⁵. No participants had a BMI >40, therefore
129 this category is not represented. We divided knee pain duration into three groups (1: 0-6 month
130 - 2: 6-12 month - and 3: \geq 12 month), as recommended¹, and lastly, knee pain-intensity was
131 divided into quartiles (Q1: 0-.25 - Q2: 0.25-0.5 - Q3: 0.5-0.75 and Q4: 0.75-1). All
132 analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 25.

133 Results

134 Characteristics of participants

135 A total of 323 participants were included in the dataset; 237 (73%) were girls, 241 (75%)
136 reported bilateral knee pain, and their ages ranged from 10 to 19 (Table 1). Of all participants,
137 243 (75%) engaged in sports activities at least twice a week, and 66 (21%) used pain medication
138 due to knee pain.

139 **Table 1.** Characteristics of included participants and studies. Descriptive data are mean (SD) unless otherwise stated.

	Study 1 Patellofemoral pain (n=121)	Study 2 Osgood Schlatter (n=51)	Study 3 Patellofemoral Pain (n=151)	All participants (n=323)
Age (years)	17 [15-19]	13 [10-15]	13 [10-14]	14 [10-19]
Female sex, n (%)	97 (80.2%)	25 (49%)	115 (76.2%)	237 (73.4%)
Body mass index, (kg/m ²)	21.6 [17.1-32.8]	20.3 [14.7-27.6]	19 [14-28]	19.8 [14-32.8]
Pain duration (months)	39 [2-192]	18 [2-60]	18 [2-78]	24 [2-192]
Worst pain last week (VAS/NRS)	48 [3-97]	70 [0-100]	60 [0-100]	55 [0-100]
Bilateral knee pain, n (%)	95 (78.5)	35 (68.6)	111 (73.5)	241 (74.8)
Use of pain medication, n (%)	24 (19.8)	6 (11.8)	36 (23.8)	66 (20.6)
Sport activities (yes), n (%)	81 (66.9)	38 (74.5)	124 (82.1)	243 (75.2)
Sport activities per week	2 [0-7]	2 [0-6]	2 [0-7]	2 [0-7]

140 Continuous variables are presented as median and [range]. Nominal variables are presented as number (percentages).
141 Abbreviations; SD, Standard deviation; NRS, numeric rating scale; VAS, visual analogue scale.

142 KOOS-QoL subscale scores

143 KOOS-QoL subscale score for the 323 participants was 51±18. The most prominent item of
144 KOOS-QoL was awareness of the knee problem, with 191 (59%) reporting daily or constant
145 awareness (Table 2). Adolescents that reported severe to extreme lack of confidence in their
146 knees were 119 (36%) and 87 (27%) reported severe to extreme difficulty with their knees.
147 Lastly, 64 (20%) reported severely or totally modifying their lifestyle to avoid potentially
148 damaging activities.

TABLE 2. Participants Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) QoL subscale

	Study 1 (n=121) Patellofemoral pain	Study 2 (n=51) Osgood Schlatter	Study 3 (n=144) Patellofemoral Pain	All participants (n=323)
Q1. How often are you aware of your knee problem?				
Never	2 (1.7)	1 (2)	0	3 (0.9)
Monthly	7 (5.8)	1 (2)	3 (2)	11 (3.4)
Weekly	62 (51.2)	9 (17.6)	40 (26.5)	111 (34.4)
Daily	47 (38.8)	32 (62.7)	87 (57.6)	166 (51.4)
Constantly	3 (2.5)	8 (15.7)	14 (9.3)	25 (7.7)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Q2. Have you modified your lifestyle to avoid potentially damaging activities to your knee?				
Not at all	40 (33.1)	7 (13.7)	36 (26.8)	83 (25.7)
Mildly	53 (43.8)	15 (29.4)	54 (35.8)	122 (37.8)
Moderately	9 (7.4)	14 (27.5)	24 (15.9)	47 (14.6)
Severely	15 (12.4)	10 (19.6)	25 (16.6)	50 (15.5)
Totally	4 (3.3)	5 (9.8)	5 (3.3)	14 (4.3)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Q3. How much are you troubled with lack of confidence in your knee?				
Not at all	7 (5.8)	4 (7.8)	27 (17.9)	38 (11.8)
Mildly	33 (27.3)	12 (23.5)	28 (18.5)	73 (22.6)
Moderately	35 (28.9)	12 (23.5)	39 (25.8)	86 (26.6)
Severely	43 (35.3)	21 (41.2)	41 (27.2)	105 (32.5)
Extremely	3 (2.5)	2 (3.9)	9 (6)	14 (4.3)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Q4. In general, how much difficulty do you have with your knee?				
None	4 (3.3)	4 (7.8)	10 (6.6)	18 (5.6)
Mild	36 (29.8)	5 (9.8)	21 (13.9)	62 (19.2)
Moderate	62 (51.2)	17 (33.3)	70 (46.4)	149 (46.1)
Severe	18 (14.9)	24 (47.1)	39 (25.8)	81 (25.1)
Extreme	1 (0.8)	1 (2)	4 (2.6)	6 (1.9)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
KOOS QoL subscale Mean Score (Mean, SD)	55±16	43±16	51±19	51.4±18

Abbreviations; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; SD, Standard deviation; QoL, Quality of life.

151 **EQ-5D Scores**

152 The total index score of the EQ5D was 0.67 ± 0.21 . Pain or discomfort was the most affected item on
 153 EQ-5D (Table 3), with 297 (92%) reporting problems. A total of 251 (77%) participants reported
 154 problems with usual activities and 153 (47%) had problems with mobility. Feeling worried, sad, or
 155 unhappy was noted in 55 (17%) participants, and 23 (7%) reported having problems looking after
 156 themselves.

157 **TABLE 3.** EQ-5D data, showing frequencies and proportions by dimension and severity level.


	Study 1 (n=121)	Study 2 (n=51)	Study 3 (n=151)	All participants (n=323)
Mobility				
No problems	70 (57.9)	25 (49)	68 (45)	163 (50.5)
Some problems	51 (42.1)	26 (51)	68 (45)	145 (44.9)
A lot of problems	0	0	8 (5.3)	8 (2.5)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Looking After Myself				
No problems	118 (97.5)	45 (88.2)	130 (86.1)	293 (90.7)
Some problems	3 (2.5)	6 (11.8)	14 (.93)	23 (7.1)
A lot of problems	0	0	0	0
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Doing Usual Activities				
No problems	28 (23.1)	7 (13.7)	31 (20.5)	66 (20.4)
Some problems	90 (74.4)	36 (70.6)	91 (60.3)	217 (67.2)
A lot of problems	3 (2.5)	8 (15.7)	22 (14.6)	33 (10.2)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Having Pain or Discomfort				
No problems	9 (7.4)	1 (2)	9 (6)	19 (5.9)
Some problems	107 (88.4)	38 (74.5)	98 (64.9)	243 (75.2)
A lot of problems	5 (4.1)	12 (23.5)	37 (24.5)	54 (16.7)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Feeling Worried, Sad or Unhappy				
No problems	106 (87.6)	40 (78.4)	115 (76.2)	261 (80.8)
Some problems	14 (11.6)	9 (17.6)	26 (17.2)	49 (15.2)
A lot of problems	1 (0.8)	2 (3.9)	3 (2)	6 (1.9)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
EQ5D Index-score (Mean, SD)	0.74 (0.12)	0.63 (0.23)	0.62 (0.25)	0.67 (0.21)

158 Abbreviations; EQ5D, EuroQoL 5-dimensions; SD, Standard deviation.

159 **Characteristics associated with QoL.**

160 Based on visual interpretation, we examined the association between measures of QoL and included
 161 variables. Visual inspection showed no linear association between weekly sports participation and
 162 KOOS-QoL or EQ-5D scores (Figure 1). Adolescents participating in little or no sport and those


163 participating six times weekly or more reported the lowest QoL. In addition, adolescents reported
164 being sports active had equal level of QoL as those who did not participate in sports. Visual inspection
165 revealed no association between knee pain intensity and KOOS-QoL or EQ-5D scores. For pain
166 duration, no association was observed at any time-points. Adolescents reporting use of pain
167 medication did not score lower quality of life compared to adolescents reporting no use of pain
168 medication.



169

170 **FIGURE 1.** EQ-5D-Y index and KOOS QoL scores by sport participation per week. The error bars represent the 95% confidence
171 intervals. Abbreviations; EQ5D, EuroQol 5-dimensions; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; Q, Quartiles; QoL,
172 Quality of life. A= Sports activities per week; B= Knee pain duration; C= Knee pain intensity; D= Pain medication; E= Sports
173 participation.

174 Sex was not associated with lower QoL, and older participants (age 17-19) reported lower QoL than
175 younger participants (Figure 2). Adolescents having bilateral knee pain reported similar levels of QoL
176 as adolescents having unilateral knee pain, and lastly, for BMI, we observed no difference in
177 perceived QoL between any of the predefined groups.



178

179 **FIGURE 2.** EQ-5D-Y index and KOOS QoL scores by gender. The error bars represent the 95% confidence intervals. Abbreviations;
180 EQ5D, EuroQol 5-dimensions; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; QoL, Quality of life; A= Sex; B= Age; C=
181 Body mass index (BMI); D= Bilateral knee pain.

182

183 Discussion

184 We found that many adolescents reported a lack of confidence in their knee, often felt sad or worried,
185 and modified their usual activities due to their knee pain. Our findings contribute to the growing
186 evidence base that PFP and OSD are associated with negative psychological impact. This may
187 contribute to the complex experience of CMP^{17,42}. These findings extend our current knowledge and
188 highlight the negative impact chronic non-traumatic knee pain can have on adolescents. This may
189 prompt clinicians with new targets during rehabilitation, beyond the functional deficits these young
190 individuals diagnosed with PFP or OSD may experience.

191 Explanation of Findings

192 Of 323 adolescents, 77% changed their usual activities to avoid what they perceived as damaging to
193 their knees, and 36% reported severe to extreme lack of confidence in their knees. Our findings are

194 consistent with a previous study among adolescents diagnosed with either PFP or OSD which showed
195 more than 50% reported their reduced sports participation, due to “*pain*”, and “*I am afraid to damage*
196 *my knee*”³⁵. Adolescents understanding of their pain condition may have a direct impact on how they
197 interpret and manage their pain^{8,17,42}. Some adolescents with a lived experience of CMP ascribe
198 positive changes in understanding of pain (i.e., pain as non-threatening) as important contributors
199 towards their recovery^{8,15,17}. Johansen et al. recently showed that the individual perceptions of ‘why
200 do I have pain’ (i.e., functional theories) are strong drivers of behavior in young adults with non-
201 traumatic knee pain underlining that knowledge about their condition is important¹⁴. Adolescents
202 with more knowledge about causes of their pain, also showed increased ability to accept, cope and
203 control their knee pain¹⁴. This helped them to adjust their perceived QoL and may explain why QoL
204 is associated with prognosis^{12,14,17}. Combined with our findings, it might suggest that these
205 psychological aspects, such as negative beliefs about pain play an important role in rehabilitation for
206 adolescents.

207 Our findings raise important questions about current rehabilitation for adolescents experiencing
208 chronic non-traumatic knee pain. Traditionally, PFP and OSD management has focused on physical
209 interventions, such as exercise, resistance training, taping, and stretching^{3,41,47,48}. However, it is
210 unclear if these interventions target the QoL dimensions we have identified^{6,24,48}. Previous research
211 on adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain show that over the course of treatment QoL does not
212 improve to the same extent as pain and function^{28,30,31,33}. This opens up the question if we need to
213 reconsider content and focus during rehabilitation of adolescents with PFP or OSD^{2,6}. Our findings
214 suggest evidence-based management for adolescents with PFP and OSD may benefit from an even
215 broader range of modifiable targets, such as understanding of pain, low confidence, negative
216 emotions, and fear of damaging the knees to improve QoL and potentially their prognosis.
217 Nonetheless, we cannot exclude any possibilities that other relevant contributing dimensions may
218 play a significant role during rehabilitation since these were not measured. The cross-sectional design

219 of this study prevents us from both causal conclusions and the longitudinal understanding; we urge
220 future studies to examine this further.

221 In the current study, we observed that over half (59%) of adolescents with PFP or OSD reported daily
222 or constant awareness of their knee pain and almost one fifth felt worried, sad, or unhappy. However,
223 these negative beliefs and important dimensions of QoL were not associated with duration of knee
224 pain, although this being a well-known determinant for prognosis ¹². Measures of QoL have been
225 suggested as a proxy for current state in life in relation to self-evaluated health and therefore, prone
226 to significant change in meaning over time ^{39,45}. Adolescents' preferences and goals change over the
227 course of time and are highly dependent of the perceived stage of rehabilitation ^{14,15,46}. Sprangers and
228 Schwartz describe this phenomenon as *response shift*; a result of changing personal norms, values, or
229 conceptualizing on QoL throughout the course of a condition ^{43,45}. The response shift illustrates
230 individual capacity to adapt to their context (i.e., acceptance of pain), shifting focus away from one
231 cannot (for now), to what they can do to exert control of pain in their current situation ^{43,45}. This aligns
232 with qualitative evidence where some adolescents with CMP ascribe that enhanced knowledge of
233 pain legitimize self-management behaviors that makes it easier to accept limitations, justify actions
234 to other peers, prioritize new life goals and thereby accomplish satisfactory level of perceived QoL
235 ^{8,14,15,38}. The temporal and adaptive nature of this phenomenon may explain why perceived QoL
236 differs from other outcomes in the long-term ⁴⁵.

237 We did not observe any strong association between measures of QoL and characteristics, only older
238 adolescents (≥ 17 years) reported lower QoL compared with younger adolescents. However, a
239 common theme across our results were the large data variability, which emphasizes the heterogeneity
240 of self-reported QoL in this population. This is consistent with previous research on traumatic knee
241 injuries in young individuals ⁴⁴. They showed that QoL was very variable and that several individual
242 psychological, social, and contextual dimensions related to QoL influenced and changed differently

243 across all staged during rehabilitation ⁴⁴. These issues reflect the diverse impact and needs during
244 rehabilitation these young individuals may experience. Adolescents' diverse clinical presentation
245 may provide a plausible explanation for current insufficient evidence of recommending specific
246 treatments in the context of non-traumatic knee pain ^{24,48}. It has been suggested that current
247 management for adolescents CMP may benefit from higher degree of personalization that fit into
248 each individual context ^{6,21}. The current study adds new insights into this field of adolescents suffering
249 from PFP or OSD. Our results may help tackle some of the challenges clinicians face when tailoring
250 rehabilitation to fit individual adolescent.

251 **Clinical Implications**

252 Our findings may help increase clinicians' awareness of psychological perspectives in adolescents
253 diagnosed with PFP or OSD. It is our clear recommendation that clinicians keep our results in mind
254 when providing personalized evidence-based pain assessment and subsequent management for
255 adolescents with PFP or OSD. There may be unique management options to consider in clinical
256 settings. Future management might benefit from clinicians addressing the whole biopsychosocial
257 perspective tailored to the individual's context ^{6,24,48}. Lancet Child & Adolescent Health Commission
258 emphasized the importance of intervening earlier to ensure young people get the proper management
259 at the right time. Early identification of these modifiable targets may help clinicians tailor
260 personalized rehabilitation to optimize relevant outcomes, such as adolescents perceived QoL ⁶.

261 **Strength and limitations**

262 Strengths of our study include the large sample, the broad age range of participants, and the use of
263 two complementary measures of QoL; one knee-specific and one generic measure which yielded
264 similar results ¹¹. The following limitations should also be considered in interpreting the findings of
265 our study. First, our results rely on a cross-sectional explorative study design. Therefore, our results
266 should be seen as hypotheses generating rather than proving any causal or temporal relationships

267 between measures of QoL and characteristics. Second, recent studies emphasize that current measures
268 of QoL are prone to conceptual and methodological challenges and may lack validity of the target
269 construct^{10,11,19}. This means our data must be interpreted cautiously as we may have missed important
270 dimensions of QoL^{11,18,19,45}.

271 **Future Research**

272 Using qualitative methods, future studies should explore the questions of QoL to capture the whole
273 experience of chronic non-traumatic knee pain and understand how and why changes in perception
274 occur over time. We encourage future studies to use a longitudinal design to understand how QoL
275 can be modified and explore co-occurring dimensions associated with QoL as well as changes in
276 QoL. This will provide essential knowledge to further understand the complexity of living well with
277 (or despite) the knee pain and potentially develop new management strategies for these individuals.

278 **Conclusion**

279 A high proportion of adolescents with chronic non-traumatic knee pain experience low QoL. More
280 than half were aware of their knee problems at least daily, one in three reported a severe lack of
281 confidence in their knee, and one in six felt worried, sad, or unhappy. Boys reported lower QoL than
282 girls and QoL were lowest among older adolescents. These results may inform relevant targets in
283 rehabilitation for adolescent non-traumatic knee pain.

284

285

286 **References**

- 287 1. Barton CJ, de Oliveira Silva D, Morton S, Collins NJ, Rathleff MS, Vicenzino B, van
288 Middelkoop M, Crossley KM, Callaghan MJ, Selfe J, Holden S, Lack S, Macri EM, Bazett-
289 Jones DM, Earl-Boehm JE, Riel H, Powers CM, Davis IS, Morrissey D: REPORT-PFP: a
290 consensus from the International Patellofemoral Research Network to improve REPORTing
291 of quantitative PatelloFemoral Pain studies. *Br J Sports Med* 55:1135, 2021.
- 292 2. Birnie KA, Ouellette C, Amaral T do, Stinson JN: Mapping the evidence and gaps of
293 interventions for pediatric chronic pain to inform policy, research, and practice: A systematic
294 review and quality assessment of systematic reviews. *Can J Pain* 4:129–48, 2020.
- 295 3. Ciatawi K, Dusak IWS: Osgood-Schlatter disease: a review of current diagnosis and
296 management. *Curr Orthop Pract* 33:, 2022.
- 297 4. Collins NJ, Misra D, Felson DT, Crossley KM, Roos EM: Measures of knee function:
298 International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) Subjective Knee Evaluation Form,
299 Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis
300 Outcome Score Physical Function Short Form (KOOS-PS), Knee Outcome Survey Activities
301 of Daily Living Scale (KOS-ADL), Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale, Oxford Knee Score
302 (OKS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC),
303 Activity Rating Scale (ARS), and Tegner Activity Score (TAS). *Arthritis Care Res*
304 (Hoboken) John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 63:S208–28, 2011.
- 305 5. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J: Development of a
306 WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health Organ*
307 85:660–7, 2007.
- 308 6. Eccleston C, Fisher E, Howard RF, Slater RC, Forgeron P, Palermo TM, Birnie KA,
309 Anderson BJ, Chambers CT, Crombez G, Ljungman G, Jordan I, Jordan Z, Roberts C,
310 Schechter NL, Sieberg CB, Tibboel D, Walker SM, Wilkinson D, Wood C: Delivering
311 transformative action in paediatric pain: a Lancet Child & Adolescent Health Commission.
312 *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* , 2020.
- 313 7. Elm E von, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP:
314 Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement:
315 guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ* 335:806, 2007.
- 316 8. Fegran L, Johannessen B, Ludvigsen MS, Westergren T, Høie M, Slettebø Å, Rohde G,
317 Helseth S, Haraldstad K: Experiences of a non-clinical set of adolescents and young adults
318 living with persistent pain: a qualitative metasynthesis. *BMJ Open* 11:e043776, 2021.
- 319 9. Fuglkjær S, Dissing KB, Hestbæk L: Prevalence and incidence of musculoskeletal extremity
320 complaints in children and adolescents. A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*
321 18:418, 2017.
- 322 10. Hansen CF, Jensen J, Odgaard A, Siersma V, Comins JD, Brodersen J, Krogsgaard MR:
323 Four of five frequently used orthopedic PROMs possess inadequate content validity: a
324 COSMIN evaluation of the mHHS, HAGOS, IKDC-SKF, KOOS and KNEES-ACL. *Knee*
325 *Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 30:3602–15, 2022.

- 326 11. Haraldstad K, Wahl A, Andenæs R, Andersen JR, Andersen MH, Beisland E, Borge CR,
327 Engebretsen E, Eisemann M, Halvorsrud L, Hanssen TA, Haugstvedt A, Haugland T,
328 Johansen VA, Larsen MH, Løvereide L, Løyland B, Kvarme LG, Moons P, Norekvål TM,
329 Ribu L, Rohde GE, Urstad KH, Helseth S, network the L: A systematic review of quality of
330 life research in medicine and health sciences. *Quality of Life Research* 28:2641–50, 2019.
- 331 12. Holden S, Kasza J, Winters M, van Middelkoop M, Rathleff MS, Group AKH: Prognostic
332 factors for adolescent knee pain: an individual participant data meta-analysis of 1281
333 patients. *Pain* 162:, 2021.
- 334 13. Huguet A, Tougas ME, Hayden J, McGrath PJ, Stinson JN, Chambers CT: Systematic
335 review with meta-analysis of childhood and adolescent risk and prognostic factors for
336 musculoskeletal pain. *Pain* 157:2640–56, 2016.
- 337 14. Johansen SK, Holden S, Pourbordbari N, Jensen MB, Thomsen JL, Rathleff MS:
338 PAINSTORIES: Exploring the Temporal Developments in the Challenges, Barriers, and
339 Self-Management Needs of Adolescents with Longstanding Knee Pain: A Qualitative,
340 Retrospective Interview Study with Young Adults Experiencing Knee Pain Since
341 Adolescence. *J Pain Elsevier*; 23:577–94, 2022.
- 342 15. Joslin R, Donovan-Hall M, Roberts L: Exploring the Outcomes That Matter Most to Young
343 People Treated for Chronic Pain: A Qualitative Study. *Children (Basel)* 8:, 2021.
- 344 16. Karimi M, Brazier J: Health, Health-Related Quality of Life, and Quality of Life: What is the
345 Difference? *Pharmacoeconomics* 34:645–9, 2016.
- 346 17. Khanom S, McDonagh JE, Briggs M, Bakir E, McBeth J: Adolescents' experiences of
347 fluctuating pain in musculoskeletal disorders: a qualitative systematic review and thematic
348 synthesis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 21:645, 2020.
- 349 18. Krogsgaard MR, Hansen CF: Patient-reported outcome measures: it is time for authors,
350 reviewers, journal editors and health care strategists to take sufficient responsibility. *Knee
351 Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 30:3589–93, 2022.
- 352 19. Le CY, Truong LK, Holt CJ, Filbay SR, Dennett L, Johnson JA, Emery CA, Whittaker JL:
353 Searching for the Holy Grail: A Systematic Review of Health-Related Quality of Life
354 Measures for Active Youth. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy Journal of
355 Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*; 51:478–91, 2021.
- 356 20. Losada-Puente L, Araújo AM, Muñoz-Cantero JM: A Systematic Review of the Assessment
357 of Quality of Life in Adolescents. *Soc Indic Res* 147:1039–57, 2020.
- 358 21. McCracken LM, Yu L, Vowles KE: New generation psychological treatments in chronic
359 pain. *BMJ* 376:e057212, 2022.
- 360 22. Mikkelsen HT, Haraldstad K, Helseth S, Skarstein S, Småstuen MC, Rohde G: Pain and
361 health-related quality of life in adolescents and the mediating role of self-esteem and self-
362 efficacy: a cross-sectional study including adolescents and parents. *BMC Psychol* 9:128,
363 2021.

- 364 23. Murillo C, Vo T-T, Vansteelandt S, Harrison LE, Cagnie B, Coppeters I, Chys M, Timmers
365 I, Meeus M: How do psychologically based interventions for chronic musculoskeletal pain
366 work? A systematic review and meta-analysis of specific moderators and mediators of
367 treatment. *Clin Psychol Rev* 94:102160, 2022.
- 368 24. Neal BS, Bartholomew C, Barton CJ, Morrissey D, Lack SD: Six Treatments Have Positive
369 Effects at 3 Months for People With Patellofemoral Pain: A Systematic Review With Meta-
370 analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 52:750–68, 2022.
- 371 25. Palermo TM, Law E, Churchill SS, Walker A: Longitudinal Course and Impact of Insomnia
372 Symptoms in Adolescents With and Without Chronic Pain. *J Pain Elsevier*; 13:1099–106,
373 2012.
- 374 26. Paskins Z, Farmer CE, Manning F, Andersson DA, Barlow T, Bishop FL, Brown CA, Clark
375 A, Clark EM, Dulake D, Gulati M, le Maitre CL, Jones RK, Loughlin J, Mason DJ,
376 McCarron M, Millar NL, Pandit H, Peat G, Richardson SM, Salt EJ, Taylor EJ, Troeberg L,
377 Wilcox RK, Wise E, Wilkinson C, Watt FE: Research priorities to reduce the impact of
378 musculoskeletal disorders: a priority setting exercise with the child health and nutrition
379 research initiative method. *Lancet Rheumatol Elsevier*; , 2022.
- 380 27. Pate JW, Hancock MJ, Hush JM, Gray K, Pounder M, Pacey V: Prognostic factors for pain
381 and functional disability in children and adolescents with persisting pain: A systematic
382 review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Pain John Wiley & Sons, Ltd*; 24:722–41,
383 2020.
- 384 28. Rathleff MS, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Winiarski L, Krommes K, Holden S, Thorborg
385 K: Activity Modification and Load Management of Adolescents With Patellofemoral Pain: A
386 Prospective Intervention Study Including 151 Adolescents. *Am J Sports Med SAGE*
387 *Publications Inc STM*; 47:1629–37, 2019.
- 388 29. Rathleff MS, Holden S, Straszek CL, Olesen JL, Jensen MB, Roos EM: Five-year prognosis
389 and impact of adolescent knee pain: a prospective population-based cohort study of 504
390 adolescents in Denmark. *BMJ Open* 9:e024113, 2019.
- 391 30. Rathleff MS, Mølgaard CM, Fredberg U, Kaalund S, Andersen KB, Jensen TT, Aaskov S,
392 Olesen JL: High-load strength training improves outcome in patients with plantar fasciitis: A
393 randomized controlled trial with 12-month follow-up. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 25:e292-300,
394 2015.
- 395 31. Rathleff MS, Rathleff CR, Holden S, Thorborg K, Olesen JL: Exercise therapy, patient
396 education, and patellar taping in the treatment of adolescents with patellofemoral pain: a
397 prospective pilot study with 6 months follow-up. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 4:73, 2018.
- 398 32. Rathleff MS, Rathleff CR, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S, Roos EM: Is Knee Pain During
399 Adolescence a Self-limiting Condition?: Prognosis of Patellofemoral Pain and Other Types
400 of Knee Pain. *Am J Sports Med SAGE Publications Inc STM*; 44:1165–71, 2016.
- 401 33. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S: Exercise during school hours when added
402 to patient education improves outcome for 2 years in adolescent patellofemoral pain: a
403 cluster randomised trial. *Br J Sports Med* 49:406, 2015.

- 404 34. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S: High prevalence of daily and multi-site
405 pain – a cross-sectional population-based study among 3000 Danish adolescents. *BMC*
406 *Pediatr* 13:191, 2013.
- 407 35. Rathleff MS, Winiarski L, Krommes K, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Olesen JL, Holden S,
408 Thorborg K: Pain, Sports Participation, and Physical Function in Adolescents With
409 Patellofemoral Pain and Osgood-Schlatter Disease: A Matched Cross-sectional Study.
410 *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical*
411 *Therapy*; 50:149–57, 2020.
- 412 36. Rathleff MS, Winiarski LM, Krommes K, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Olesen JL, Holden
413 S, Thorborg K: Activity Modification and Knee Strengthening for Osgood-Schlatter Disease:
414 A Prospective Cohort Study. *Orthop J Sports Med* 8:, 2020.
- 415 37. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD: Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis
416 Outcome Score (KOOS)—Development of a Self-Administered Outcome Measure. *Journal*
417 *of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical*
418 *Therapy*; 28:88–96, 1998.
- 419 38. Ruskin D, Harris L, Stinson J, Kohut SA, Walker K, McCarthy E: “I Learned to Let Go of
420 My Pain”. The Effects of Mindfulness Meditation on Adolescents with Chronic Pain: An
421 Analysis of Participants’ Treatment Experience. *Children (Basel)* 4:, 2017.
- 422 39. Schwartz CE, Rapkin BD: Reconsidering the psychometrics of quality of life assessment in
423 light of response shift and appraisal. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2:16, 2004.
- 424 40. Singh JA, Siddiqi M, Parameshwar P, Chandra-Mouli V: World Health Organization
425 Guidance on Ethical Considerations in Planning and Reviewing Research Studies on Sexual
426 and Reproductive Health in Adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 64:427–9, 2019.
- 427 41. Smith BE, Hendrick P, Bateman M, Moffatt F, Rathleff MS, Selfe J, Smith TO, Logan P:
428 Current management strategies for patellofemoral pain: an online survey of 99 practising UK
429 physiotherapists. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 18:181, 2017.
- 430 42. Sørensen K, Christiansen B: Adolescents’ experience of complex persistent pain. *Scand J*
431 *Pain* 15:106–12, 2017.
- 432 43. Sprangers MAG, Schwartz CE: Integrating response shift into health-related quality of life
433 research: a theoretical model. *Soc Sci Med* 48:1507–15, 1999.
- 434 44. Truong LK, Mosewich AD, Holt CJ, Le CY, Miciak M, Whittaker JL: Psychological, social
435 and contextual factors across recovery stages following a sport-related knee injury: a scoping
436 review. *Br J Sports Med [Internet]* 54:1149, 2020. Available from:
437 <http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/54/19/1149.abstract>
- 438 45. Vanier A, Oort FJ, McClimans L, Ow N, Gulek BG, Böhnke JR, Sprangers M, Sébille V,
439 Mayo N, Group the RSSW: Response shift in patient-reported outcomes: definition, theory,
440 and a revised model. *Quality of Life Research* 30:3309–22, 2021.
- 441 46. Weiss KE, Hahn A, Wallace DP, Biggs B, Bruce BK, Harrison TE: Acceptance of Pain:
442 Associations With Depression, Catastrophizing, and Functional Disability Among Children

- 443 and Adolescents in an Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain Rehabilitation Program. *J Pediatr*
444 *Psychol* 38:756–65, 2013.
- 445 47. Willy RW, Hogleund LT, Barton CJ, Bolgla LA, Scalzitti DA, Logerstedt DS, Lynch AD,
446 Snyder-Mackler L, McDonough CM: Patellofemoral Pain. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports*
447 *Physical Therapy Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*; 49:CPG1–95, 2019.
- 448 48. Winters M, Holden S, Lura CB, Welton NJ, Caldwell DM, Vicenzino BT, Weir A, Rathleff
449 MS: Comparative effectiveness of treatments for patellofemoral pain: a living systematic
450 review with network meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* , 2020.
- 451 49. EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-Y User Guide, 2020. [cited 2022 Aug 15]. Available
452 from: <https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides>.
- 453