

Quality of life in adolescents with chronic non-traumatic knee pain: An analysis of 323 adolescents with Patellofemoral Pain and Osgood-Schlatter Disease.

Chris Djurtoft ^{1,2,X}, Tomer Yona ^{3,X}, Ewa Maria Roos ⁴, Kristian Thorborg ^{5,6}, Per Hölmich ^{5,7,8}, Sten Rasmussen ^{9,10}, Jens Lykkegaard Olesen ^{1,9}, Michael Skovdal Rathleff ^{1,2,11}.

Affiliations:

¹ Center for General Practice at Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.

² Department of Health Science and Technology, Aalborg University, Denmark.

³ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

⁴ Research Unit for Musculoskeletal Function and Physiotherapy, Center for Muscle and Joint Health, Institute of Sport Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark.

⁵ Sports Orthopedic Research Center – Copenhagen (SORC-C), Hvidovre, Denmark.

⁶ Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen (PMR-C), Hvidovre, Denmark.

⁷ Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark.

⁸ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

⁹ Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.

¹⁰ Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Aalborg University Hospital.

¹¹ Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark.

^X Shared first authorship.

Corresponding author:

Chris Djurtoft. Center for General Practice at Aalborg University, Fyrkildevvej 7, 9220, Aalborg, Denmark; and Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University.

Email: cdjurt@dcu.aau.dk

Telephone: +4528257763.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Funding: This study was funded by the Danish Independent Research Foundation (grant DFF-4004-00247) and the Danish Physiotherapists Research Foundation. The authors certify that they have no affiliations with or financial involvement in any organization or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the article.

Ethical Approval and Institutional Review Board: Ethics Committee of North Denmark Region. This study was exempt from a full ethical approval by The North Denmark Region Committee on Health Research Ethics.

Acknowledgements, financial disclosure, and conflict of interest: The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or nonfinancial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Name of the public trials registry and the registration number: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02799394, NCT02402673, NCT01438762.

Abstract

Introduction: We aimed to describe Quality of life (QoL) among adolescents with Patellofemoral Pain (PFP) and Osgood-Schlatter Disease (OSD) according to the QoL subscale of The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) and the EuroQol 5-dimensions (EQ-5D) and to investigate the characteristics associated with QoL.

Methods: This individual participant analysis included data from three clinical trials on adolescents diagnosed with PFP or OSD. We relabeled individual data and constructed a single dataset.

Results: We included 323 adolescents with PFP or OSD. Total score of KOOS-QoL was 51 ± 18 and total index score for the EQ5D was 0.67 ± 0.21 . KOOS-QoL subscale showed that 59% reported being aware of their knee problems daily or constantly, 37% reported severe to extreme lack of confidence in their knees, 27% reported severe to extreme difficulty with their knees, and 20% reported severely or totally modifying their lifestyle to avoid potentially damaging activities to their knee. EQ-5D showed that 77% experienced problems with everyday activities, 47% reported mobility problems, 17% felt worried, sad, or unhappy, and 7% reported problems looking after themselves. Older participants (age 17-19) reported worse QoL than younger participants. None of the other characteristics were associated with QoL.

Conclusions: A high proportion of adolescents with chronic non-traumatic knee pain experience low QoL. More than half were aware of their knee problems at least daily, one in three reported a severe lack of confidence in their knee, and one in six felt worried, sad, or unhappy. QoL was lowest among older adolescents.

Key words: Quality of life; Non-traumatic knee pain; Patellofemoral Pain; Osgood-Schlatter Disease.

Highlights:

- One in every three adolescents with PFP or OSD reported a severe lack of confidence in their knee.
- Many adolescents reported being sad or worried, and modified their usual activities due to their knee pain.
- Clinicians may extend the scope and include pain management strategies to address psychological perspectives when managing adolescents diagnosed with PFP or OSD.
- Modifiable targets such as adolescents understanding of pain may be a beneficial management strategy to consider in rehabilitation for PFP or OSD.

Introduction

Chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMP) affects up to one-third of adolescents (age 10-19)^{6,9,34}. During this critical period in life, pain may have detrimental impact on a child's physical, emotional, and social functioning^{6,8,17}. Non-traumatic knee pain is the most common pain origin among adolescents, with Patellofemoral Pain (PFP) and Osgood-Schlatter Disease (OSD) having the highest prevalence³⁴. PFP and OSD show similar pain patterns, including pain in the anterior part of the knee, and are commonly observed among highly active adolescents^{28,35}. PFP and OSD are often seen as self-limiting, but recent evidence shows that approximately half of the adolescents having knee pain will continue to experience pain after 12 months, and nearly 75% of adolescents will reduce sports participation due to their knee pain^{12,29,32}. The impact of PFP and OSD has significant consequences, as issues extend to basic functional tasks (running and stair-walking), shorter sleeping time, worse sleep quality, and worse quality of life (QoL) than their peers without pain^{12,22,25,29,32}.

A recent individual participants meta-analysis investigating prognostic factors for long-term outcomes demonstrated that 51% of adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain still report knee pain after 12 months¹². Notably, low Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) was one of the strongest prognostic factors for poor outcomes and the only identified construct that seems modifiable¹². Importantly, modifiable risk factors have high clinical value, as they might help clinicians tailor patient-centered management strategies to enhance rehabilitation success²³. However, it is challenging to identify characteristics of predictive value and previous evidence regarding prognostic factors in adolescents CMP is sparse and inconsistent^{12,13,27}.

Despite HRQoL has been suggested as an important modifiable prognostic factor, it has remained unclear which characteristics are associated with this phenomenon in this population^{12,13}. However, QoL is a multidimensional construct that is highly subjective and associated

with many aspects in adolescents experiencing CMP, such as stress, loneliness, lower self-efficacy, and lower self-esteem^{16,20,22}. Additionally, identifying ways to manage and improve QoL was recently ranked as the second highest research priority within CMP²⁶, which emphasizes the need for an increased understanding of what influences QoL in adolescents diagnosed with PFP or OSD. This will strengthen our knowledge of this phenomenon and plausibly provide important modifiable targets for current management strategies²⁷.

Combining individual participants' data from three published original trials on adolescents with PFP or OSD strengthens statistical power and raises an opportunity to explore what influences QoL in a larger group of adolescents with the two most common knee conditions. Therefore, we aimed to 1) describe QoL among adolescents with PFP or OSD according to the EuroQol 5-dimensions (EQ-5D) and The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) QoL and 2) to investigate measures of QoL and its association with characteristics in terms of sports participation, sports activities per week, pain duration, pain intensity, bilateral knee pain, body mass index (BMI), sex, age, and use of pain medication.

Methods

Study Design

Our study is reported in accordance with the STROBE statement⁷. This cross-sectional explorative study was designed as an individual participant analysis of three previous clinical trials on adolescents (defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the second decade of life, 10-19 years old⁴⁰) suffering from chronic non-traumatic knee pain. Trials are registered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02799394, NCT02402673, NCT01438762). Signed informed consent was obtained from all participants and all data were stored on a secure fileshare. This study was initiated by the original author group of the original publications^{28,33,36}.

Description of Included Studies

The three studies recruited adolescents diagnosed with PFP or OSD. Two studies included adolescents with PFP, and one included adolescents with OSD.

Patient Demographics and Exposures

All studies collected information on sports participation, sports activities per week, pain duration, bilateral knee pain, BMI, sex, age, and use of pain medication; additionally, we collected knee pain intensity (worst pain last week), which was measured using visual analog scale or numeric pain rating scales.

Knee-related QoL was collected by the KOOS questionnaire, which contains five subscales (pain, symptoms, activity in daily living, function in sport and recreation, and knee-related QoL) scored from 0 to 100 (worst to best)^{4,37}. As this analysis's primary interest was to describe QoL, we collected data from the KOOS-QoL subscale and individual items representing the knee-related QoL. Health-related QoL was measured by the EQ-5D in study one and the EQ-5D youth version in studies two and three. The two versions contain the same items but slightly different wordings to ensure adolescents below the age of 15 also comprehend the language used. The Danish weights were used to calculate the EQ-5D index score (a higher index score equals better QoL)⁴⁹.

Data Harmonization and Checking

After acquiring the data, we relabeled individual data sets and recoded them to ensure consistency and construct a single, merged dataset. The individual patient data validity was checked by undertaking completeness and consistency checks on individual participant data to identify missing or invalid (e.g., out of range) items. Missing information or inconsistencies were checked and rectified, as necessary. The original three studies were powered to explore the clinical benefits of a specific management strategy. The sample size was thus fixed by the original studies.

Data Analysis

Normally distributed continuous variables are described as mean \pm standard deviation or 95% confidence intervals. Non-normally distributed data is presented as median and [range]. Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies and percentages.

For Knee-related QoL, we calculated the subscale mean score measured by the KOOS-QoL as recommended where points are summed, converted to percentages of a maximum score and reversed to a 0-100, worst to best scale ^{4,37}. In addition, the individual items were divided into scores from Likert scales ^{4,37}. All items were answered on a 5-point Likert scale (never/not at all, monthly/mildly, weekly/moderately, daily/severely, constantly/totally/extreme), yielding 0–4 points, with 0 points representing no difficulty ^{4,37}. For the QoL measured by the EQ-5D, we present the index score as a general proxy for QoL, the “health profiles”, and the individual five items divided into “no problems” or “any problem”, as suggested in the EQ-5D User Guide ⁴⁹.

Characteristics associated with the KOOS-QoL and EQ-5D are presented using box plots, displaying the range, median, and interquartile range of scores. The horizontal line represents the median score, and each box represents the interquartile range. The “whiskers” signify the lowest and highest score for each group. The circles outside the whiskers represent scores above the 10th and 90th percentiles, respectively; these scores fall outside the regular range of values in a distribution and are regarded as outliers. For pragmatic reasons, we divided BMI into five groups (1: <18.5 - 2: 18.5 to 24.9 - 3: 25 to 29.9 - 4: 30 to 39.9 - and 5: ≥ 40), according to the description provided by the WHO ⁵. No participants had a BMI >40, therefore this category is not represented. We divided knee pain duration into three groups (1: 0-6 month - 2: 6-12 month - and 3: ≥ 12 month), as recommended ¹, and lastly, knee pain-intensity was divided into quartiles (Q1: 0-.25 - Q2: 0.25-0.5 - Q3: 0.5-0.75 and Q4: 0.75-1). All analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 25.

Results

Characteristics of participants

A total of 323 participants were included in the dataset; 237 (73%) were girls, 241 (75%) reported bilateral knee pain, and their ages ranged from 10 to 19 (Table 1). Of all participants, 243 (75%) engaged in sports activities at least twice a week, and 66 (21%) used pain medication due to knee pain.

Table 1. Characteristics of included participants and studies. Descriptive data are mean (SD) unless otherwise stated.

	Study 1 Patellofemoral pain (n=121)	Study 2 Osgood Schlatter (n=51)	Study 3 Patellofemoral Pain (n=151)	All participants (n=323)
Age (years)	17 [15-19]	13 [10-15]	13 [10-14]	14 [10-19]
Female sex, n (%)	97 (80.2%)	25 (49%)	115 (76.2%)	237 (73.4%)
Body mass index, (kg/m ²)	21.6 [17.1-32.8]	20.3 [14.7-27.6]	19 [14-28]	19.8 [14-32.8]
Pain duration (months)	39 [2-192]	18 [2-60]	18 [2-78]	24 [2-192]
Worst pain last week (VAS/NRS)	48 [3-97]	70 [0-100]	60 [0-100]	55 [0-100]
Bilateral knee pain, n (%)	95 (78.5)	35 (68.6)	111 (73.5)	241 (74.8)
Use of pain medication, n (%)	24 (19.8)	6 (11.8)	36 (23.8)	66 (20.6)
Sport activities (yes), n (%)	81 (66.9)	38 (74.5)	124 (82.1)	243 (75.2)
Sport activities per week	2 [0-7]	2 [0-6]	2 [0-7]	2 [0-7]

Continuous variables are presented as median and [range]. Nominal variables are presented as number (percentages).
Abbreviations; SD, Standard deviation; NRS, numeric rating scale; VAS, visual analogue scale.

KOOS-QoL subscale scores

KOOS-QoL subscale score for the 323 participants was 51±18. The most prominent item of KOOS-QoL was awareness of the knee problem, with 191 (59%) reporting daily or constant awareness (Table 2). Adolescents that reported severe to extreme lack of confidence in their knees were 119 (36%) and 87 (27%) reported severe to extreme difficulty with their knees. Lastly, 64 (20%) reported severely or totally modifying their lifestyle to avoid potentially damaging activities.

TABLE 2. Participants Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) QoL subscale

	Study 1 (n=121) Patellofemoral pain	Study 2 (n=51) Osgood Schlatter	Study 3 (n=144) Patellofemoral Pain	All participants (n=323)
Q1. How often are you aware of your knee problem?				
Never	2 (1.7)	1 (2)	0	3 (0.9)
Monthly	7 (5.8)	1 (2)	3 (2)	11 (3.4)
Weekly	62 (51.2)	9 (17.6)	40 (26.5)	111 (34.4)
Daily	47 (38.8)	32 (62.7)	87 (57.6)	166 (51.4)
Constantly	3 (2.5)	8 (15.7)	14 (9.3)	25 (7.7)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Q2. Have you modified your lifestyle to avoid potentially damaging activities to your knee?				
Not at all	40 (33.1)	7 (13.7)	36 (26.8)	83 (25.7)
Mildly	53 (43.8)	15 (29.4)	54 (35.8)	122 (37.8)
Moderately	9 (7.4)	14 (27.5)	24 (15.9)	47 (14.6)
Severely	15 (12.4)	10 (19.6)	25 (16.6)	50 (15.5)
Totally	4 (3.3)	5 (9.8)	5 (3.3)	14 (4.3)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Q3. How much are you troubled with lack of confidence in your knee?				
Not at all	7 (5.8)	4 (7.8)	27 (17.9)	38 (11.8)
Mildly	33 (27.3)	12 (23.5)	28 (18.5)	73 (22.6)
Moderately	35 (28.9)	12 (23.5)	39 (25.8)	86 (26.6)
Severely	43 (35.3)	21 (41.2)	41 (27.2)	105 (32.5)
Extremely	3 (2.5)	2 (3.9)	9 (6)	14 (4.3)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Q4. In general, how much difficulty do you have with your knee?				
None	4 (3.3)	4 (7.8)	10 (6.6)	18 (5.6)
Mild	36 (29.8)	5 (9.8)	21 (13.9)	62 (19.2)
Moderate	62 (51.2)	17 (33.3)	70 (46.4)	149 (46.1)
Severe	18 (14.9)	24 (47.1)	39 (25.8)	81 (25.1)
Extreme	1 (0.8)	1 (2)	4 (2.6)	6 (1.9)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
KOOS QoL subscale Mean Score (Mean, SD)	55±16	43±16	51±19	51.4±18

Abbreviations; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; SD, Standard deviation; QoL, Quality of life.

EQ-5D Scores

The total index score of the EQ5D was 0.67 ± 0.21 . Pain or discomfort was the most affected item on EQ-5D (Table 3), with 297 (92%) reporting problems. A total of 251 (77%) participants reported problems with usual activities and 153 (47%) had problems with mobility. Feeling worried, sad, or unhappy was noted in 55 (17%) participants, and 23 (7%) reported having problems looking after themselves.

TABLE 3. EQ-5D data, showing frequencies and proportions by dimension and severity level.


	Study 1 (n=121)	Study 2 (n=51)	Study 3 (n=151)	All participants (n=323)
Mobility				
No problems	70 (57.9)	25 (49)	68 (45)	163 (50.5)
Some problems	51 (42.1)	26 (51)	68 (45)	145 (44.9)
A lot of problems	0	0	8 (5.3)	8 (2.5)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Looking After Myself				
No problems	118 (97.5)	45 (88.2)	130 (86.1)	293 (90.7)
Some problems	3 (2.5)	6 (11.8)	14 (.93)	23 (7.1)
A lot of problems	0	0	0	0
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Doing Usual Activities				
No problems	28 (23.1)	7 (13.7)	31 (20.5)	66 (20.4)
Some problems	90 (74.4)	36 (70.6)	91 (60.3)	217 (67.2)
A lot of problems	3 (2.5)	8 (15.7)	22 (14.6)	33 (10.2)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Having Pain or Discomfort				
No problems	9 (7.4)	1 (2)	9 (6)	19 (5.9)
Some problems	107 (88.4)	38 (74.5)	98 (64.9)	243 (75.2)
A lot of problems	5 (4.1)	12 (23.5)	37 (24.5)	54 (16.7)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
Feeling Worried, Sad or Unhappy				
No problems	106 (87.6)	40 (78.4)	115 (76.2)	261 (80.8)
Some problems	14 (11.6)	9 (17.6)	26 (17.2)	49 (15.2)
A lot of problems	1 (0.8)	2 (3.9)	3 (2)	6 (1.9)
Missing	0	0	7 (4.6)	7 (2.2)
EQ5D Index-score (Mean, SD)	0.74 (0.12)	0.63 (0.23)	0.62 (0.25)	0.67 (0.21)

Abbreviations; EQ5D, EuroQol 5-dimensions; SD, Standard deviation.

Characteristics associated with QoL.

Based on visual interpretation, we examined the association between measures of QoL and included variables. Visual inspection showed no linear association between weekly sports participation and KOOS-QoL or EQ-5D scores (Figure 1). Adolescents participating in little or no sport and those

163 participating six times weekly or more reported the lowest QoL. In addition, adolescents reported
 164 being sports active had equal level of QoL as those who did not participate in sports. Visual inspection
 165 revealed no association between knee pain intensity and KOOS-QoL or EQ-5D scores. For pain
 166 duration, no association was observed at any time-points. Adolescents reporting use of pain
 167 medication did not score lower quality of life compared to adolescents reporting no use of pain
 168 medication.



169 **FIGURE 1.** EQ-5D-Y index and KOOS QoL scores by sport participation per week. The error bars represent the 95% confidence
 170 intervals. Abbreviations; EQ5D, EuroQol 5-dimensions; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; Q, Quartiles; QoL,
 171 Quality of life. A= Sports activities per week; B= Knee pain duration; C= Knee pain intensity; D= Pain medication; E= Sports
 172 participation.
 173
 174 Sex was not associated with lower QoL, and older participants (age 17-19) reported lower QoL than
 175 younger participants (Figure 2). Adolescents having bilateral knee pain reported similar levels of QoL
 176 as adolescents having unilateral knee pain, and lastly, for BMI, we observed no difference in
 177 perceived QoL between any of the predefined groups.




FIGURE 2. EQ-5D-Y index and KOOS QoL scores by gender. The error bars represent the 95% confidence intervals. Abbreviations; EQ5D, EuroQol 5-dimensions; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; QoL, Quality of life; A= Sex; B= Age; C= Body mass index (BMI); D= Bilateral knee pain.

Discussion

We found that many adolescents reported a lack of confidence in their knee, often felt sad or worried, and modified their usual activities due to their knee pain. Our findings contribute to the growing evidence base that PFP and OSD are associated with negative psychological impact. This may contribute to the complex experience of CMP^{17,42}. These findings extend our current knowledge and highlight the negative impact chronic non-traumatic knee pain can have on adolescents. This may prompt clinicians with new targets during rehabilitation, beyond the functional deficits these young individuals diagnosed with PFP or OSD may experience.

Explanation of Findings

Of 323 adolescents, 77% changed their usual activities to avoid what they perceived as damaging to their knees, and 36% reported severe to extreme lack of confidence in their knees. Our findings are

194 consistent with a previous study among adolescents diagnosed with either PFP or OSD which showed
195 more than 50% reported their reduced sports participation, due to “*pain*”, and “*I am afraid to damage*
196 *my knee*”³⁵. Adolescents understanding of their pain condition may have a direct impact on how they
197 interpret and manage their pain^{8,17,42}. Some adolescents with a lived experience of CMP ascribe
198 positive changes in understanding of pain (i.e., pain as non-threatening) as important contributors
199 towards their recovery^{8,15,17}. Johansen et al. recently showed that the individual perceptions of ‘why
200 do I have pain’ (i.e., functional theories) are strong drivers of behavior in young adults with non-
201 traumatic knee pain underlining that knowledge about their condition is important¹⁴. Adolescents
202 with more knowledge about causes of their pain, also showed increased ability to accept, cope and
203 control their knee pain¹⁴. This helped them to adjust their perceived QoL and may explain why QoL
204 is associated with prognosis^{12,14,17}. Combined with our findings, it might suggest that these
205 psychological aspects, such as negative beliefs about pain play an important role in rehabilitation for
206 adolescents.

207 Our findings raise important questions about current rehabilitation for adolescents experiencing
208 chronic non-traumatic knee pain. Traditionally, PFP and OSD management has focused on physical
209 interventions, such as exercise, resistance training, taping, and stretching^{3,41,47,48}. However, it is
210 unclear if these interventions target the QoL dimensions we have identified^{6,24,48}. Previous research
211 on adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain show that over the course of treatment QoL does not
212 improve to the same extent as pain and function^{28,30,31,33}. This opens up the question if we need to
213 reconsider content and focus during rehabilitation of adolescents with PFP or OSD^{2,6}. Our findings
214 suggest evidence-based management for adolescents with PFP and OSD may benefit from an even
215 broader range of modifiable targets, such as understanding of pain, low confidence, negative
216 emotions, and fear of damaging the knees to improve QoL and potentially their prognosis.
217 Nonetheless, we cannot exclude any possibilities that other relevant contributing dimensions may
218 play a significant role during rehabilitation since these were not measured. The cross-sectional design

219 of this study prevents us from both causal conclusions and the longitudinal understanding; we urge
220 future studies to examine this further.

221 In the current study, we observed that over half (59%) of adolescents with PFP or OSD reported daily
222 or constant awareness of their knee pain and almost one fifth felt worried, sad, or unhappy. However,
223 these negative beliefs and important dimensions of QoL were not associated with duration of knee
224 pain, although this being a well-known determinant for prognosis ¹². Measures of QoL have been
225 suggested as a proxy for current state in life in relation to self-evaluated health and therefore, prone
226 to significant change in meaning over time ^{39,45}. Adolescents' preferences and goals change over the
227 course of time and are highly dependent of the perceived stage of rehabilitation ^{14,15,46}. Sprangers and
228 Schwartz describe this phenomenon as *response shift*; a result of changing personal norms, values, or
229 conceptualizing on QoL throughout the course of a condition ^{43,45}. The response shift illustrates
230 individual capacity to adapt to their context (i.e., acceptance of pain), shifting focus away from one
231 cannot (for now), to what they can do to exert control of pain in their current situation ^{43,45}. This aligns
232 with qualitative evidence where some adolescents with CMP ascribe that enhanced knowledge of
233 pain legitimize self-management behaviors that makes it easier to accept limitations, justify actions
234 to other peers, prioritize new life goals and thereby accomplish satisfactory level of perceived QoL
235 ^{8,14,15,38}. The temporal and adaptive nature of this phenomenon may explain why perceived QoL
236 differs from other outcomes in the long-term ⁴⁵.

237 We did not observe any strong association between measures of QoL and characteristics, only older
238 adolescents (≥ 17 years) reported lower QoL compared with younger adolescents. However, a
239 common theme across our results were the large data variability, which emphasizes the heterogeneity
240 of self-reported QoL in this population. This is consistent with previous research on traumatic knee
241 injuries in young individuals ⁴⁴. They showed that QoL was very variable and that several individual
242 psychological, social, and contextual dimensions related to QoL influenced and changed differently

243 across all staged during rehabilitation ⁴⁴. These issues reflect the diverse impact and needs during
244 rehabilitation these young individuals may experience. Adolescents' diverse clinical presentation
245 may provide a plausible explanation for current insufficient evidence of recommending specific
246 treatments in the context of non-traumatic knee pain ^{24,48}. It has been suggested that current
247 management for adolescents CMP may benefit from higher degree of personalization that fit into
248 each individual context ^{6,21}. The current study adds new insights into this field of adolescents suffering
249 from PFP or OSD. Our results may help tackle some of the challenges clinicians face when tailoring
250 rehabilitation to fit individual adolescent.

251 **Clinical Implications**

252 Our findings may help increase clinicians' awareness of psychological perspectives in adolescents
253 diagnosed with PFP or OSD. It is our clear recommendation that clinicians keep our results in mind
254 when providing personalized evidence-based pain assessment and subsequent management for
255 adolescents with PFP or OSD. There may be unique management options to consider in clinical
256 settings. Future management might benefit from clinicians addressing the whole biopsychosocial
257 perspective tailored to the individual's context ^{6,24,48}. Lancet Child & Adolescent Health Commission
258 emphasized the importance of intervening earlier to ensure young people get the proper management
259 at the right time. Early identification of these modifiable targets may help clinicians tailor
260 personalized rehabilitation to optimize relevant outcomes, such as adolescents perceived QoL ⁶.

261 **Strength and limitations**

262 Strengths of our study include the large sample, the broad age range of participants, and the use of
263 two complementary measures of QoL; one knee-specific and one generic measure which yielded
264 similar results ¹¹. The following limitations should also be considered in interpreting the findings of
265 our study. First, our results rely on a cross-sectional explorative study design. Therefore, our results
266 should be seen as hypotheses generating rather than proving any causal or temporal relationships

between measures of QoL and characteristics. Second, recent studies emphasize that current measures of QoL are prone to conceptual and methodological challenges and may lack validity of the target construct^{10,11,19}. This means our data must be interpreted cautiously as we may have missed important dimensions of QoL^{11,18,19,45}.

Future Research

Using qualitative methods, future studies should explore the questions of QoL to capture the whole experience of chronic non-traumatic knee pain and understand how and why changes in perception occur over time. We encourage future studies to use a longitudinal design to understand how QoL can be modified and explore co-occurring dimensions associated with QoL as well as changes in QoL. This will provide essential knowledge to further understand the complexity of living well with (or despite) the knee pain and potentially develop new management strategies for these individuals.

Conclusion

A high proportion of adolescents with chronic non-traumatic knee pain experience low QoL. More than half were aware of their knee problems at least daily, one in three reported a severe lack of confidence in their knee, and one in six felt worried, sad, or unhappy. Boys reported lower QoL than girls and QoL were lowest among older adolescents. These results may inform relevant targets in rehabilitation for adolescent non-traumatic knee pain.

References

1. Barton CJ, de Oliveira Silva D, Morton S, Collins NJ, Rathleff MS, Vicenzino B, van Middelkoop M, Crossley KM, Callaghan MJ, Selfe J, Holden S, Lack S, Macri EM, Bazett-Jones DM, Earl-Boehm JE, Riel H, Powers CM, Davis IS, Morrissey D: REPORT-PFP: a consensus from the International Patellofemoral Research Network to improve REPORTing of quantitative PatelloFemoral Pain studies. *Br J Sports Med* 55:1135, 2021.
2. Birnie KA, Ouellette C, Amaral T do, Stinson JN: Mapping the evidence and gaps of interventions for pediatric chronic pain to inform policy, research, and practice: A systematic review and quality assessment of systematic reviews. *Can J Pain* 4:129–48, 2020.
3. Ciatawi K, Dusak IWS: Osgood-Schlatter disease: a review of current diagnosis and management. *Curr Orthop Pract* 33:, 2022.
4. Collins NJ, Misra D, Felson DT, Crossley KM, Roos EM: Measures of knee function: International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) Subjective Knee Evaluation Form, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Physical Function Short Form (KOOS-PS), Knee Outcome Survey Activities of Daily Living Scale (KOS-ADL), Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale, Oxford Knee Score (OKS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), Activity Rating Scale (ARS), and Tegner Activity Score (TAS). *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 63:S208–28, 2011.
5. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J: Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health Organ* 85:660–7, 2007.
6. Eccleston C, Fisher E, Howard RF, Slater RC, Forgeron P, Palermo TM, Birnie KA, Anderson BJ, Chambers CT, Crombez G, Ljungman G, Jordan I, Jordan Z, Roberts C, Schechter NL, Sieberg CB, Tibboel D, Walker SM, Wilkinson D, Wood C: Delivering transformative action in paediatric pain: a Lancet Child & Adolescent Health Commission. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* , 2020.
7. Elm E von, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP: Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ* 335:806, 2007.
8. Fegran L, Johannessen B, Ludvigsen MS, Westergren T, Høie M, Slettebø Å, Rohde G, Helseth S, Haraldstad K: Experiences of a non-clinical set of adolescents and young adults living with persistent pain: a qualitative metasynthesis. *BMJ Open* 11:e043776, 2021.
9. Fuglkjær S, Dissing KB, Hestbæk L: Prevalence and incidence of musculoskeletal extremity complaints in children and adolescents. A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 18:418, 2017.
10. Hansen CF, Jensen J, Odgaard A, Siersma V, Comins JD, Brodersen J, Krogsgaard MR: Four of five frequently used orthopedic PROMs possess inadequate content validity: a COSMIN evaluation of the mHHS, HAGOS, IKDC-SKF, KOOS and KNEES-ACL. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 30:3602–15, 2022.

- 326 11. Haraldstad K, Wahl A, Andenæs R, Andersen JR, Andersen MH, Beisland E, Borge CR,
327 Engebretsen E, Eisemann M, Halvorsrud L, Hanssen TA, Haugstvedt A, Haugland T,
328 Johansen VA, Larsen MH, Løvereide L, Løyland B, Kvarme LG, Moons P, Norekvål TM,
329 Ribu L, Rohde GE, Urstad KH, Helseth S, network the L: A systematic review of quality of
330 life research in medicine and health sciences. *Quality of Life Research* 28:2641–50, 2019.
- 331 12. Holden S, Kasza J, Winters M, van Middelkoop M, Rathleff MS, Group AKH: Prognostic
332 factors for adolescent knee pain: an individual participant data meta-analysis of 1281
333 patients. *Pain* 162:, 2021.
- 334 13. Huguet A, Tougas ME, Hayden J, McGrath PJ, Stinson JN, Chambers CT: Systematic
335 review with meta-analysis of childhood and adolescent risk and prognostic factors for
336 musculoskeletal pain. *Pain* 157:2640–56, 2016.
- 337 14. Johansen SK, Holden S, Pourbordbari N, Jensen MB, Thomsen JL, Rathleff MS:
338 PAINSTORIES: Exploring the Temporal Developments in the Challenges, Barriers, and
339 Self-Management Needs of Adolescents with Longstanding Knee Pain: A Qualitative,
340 Retrospective Interview Study with Young Adults Experiencing Knee Pain Since
341 Adolescence. *J Pain Elsevier*; 23:577–94, 2022.
- 342 15. Joslin R, Donovan-Hall M, Roberts L: Exploring the Outcomes That Matter Most to Young
343 People Treated for Chronic Pain: A Qualitative Study. *Children (Basel)* 8:, 2021.
- 344 16. Karimi M, Brazier J: Health, Health-Related Quality of Life, and Quality of Life: What is the
345 Difference? *Pharmacoeconomics* 34:645–9, 2016.
- 346 17. Khanom S, McDonagh JE, Briggs M, Bakir E, McBeth J: Adolescents' experiences of
347 fluctuating pain in musculoskeletal disorders: a qualitative systematic review and thematic
348 synthesis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 21:645, 2020.
- 349 18. Krogsgaard MR, Hansen CF: Patient-reported outcome measures: it is time for authors,
350 reviewers, journal editors and health care strategists to take sufficient responsibility. *Knee*
351 *Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 30:3589–93, 2022.
- 352 19. Le CY, Truong LK, Holt CJ, Filbay SR, Dennett L, Johnson JA, Emery CA, Whittaker JL:
353 Searching for the Holy Grail: A Systematic Review of Health-Related Quality of Life
354 Measures for Active Youth. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy Journal of*
355 *Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*; 51:478–91, 2021.
- 356 20. Losada-Puente L, Araújo AM, Muñoz-Cantero JM: A Systematic Review of the Assessment
357 of Quality of Life in Adolescents. *Soc Indic Res* 147:1039–57, 2020.
- 358 21. McCracken LM, Yu L, Vowles KE: New generation psychological treatments in chronic
359 pain. *BMJ* 376:e057212, 2022.
- 360 22. Mikkelsen HT, Haraldstad K, Helseth S, Skarstein S, Småstuen MC, Rohde G: Pain and
361 health-related quality of life in adolescents and the mediating role of self-esteem and self-
362 efficacy: a cross-sectional study including adolescents and parents. *BMC Psychol* 9:128,
363 2021.

- 364 23. Murillo C, Vo T-T, Vansteelandt S, Harrison LE, Cagnie B, Coppieters I, Chys M, Timmers
365 I, Meeus M: How do psychologically based interventions for chronic musculoskeletal pain
366 work? A systematic review and meta-analysis of specific moderators and mediators of
367 treatment. *Clin Psychol Rev* 94:102160, 2022.
- 368 24. Neal BS, Bartholomew C, Barton CJ, Morrissey D, Lack SD: Six Treatments Have Positive
369 Effects at 3 Months for People With Patellofemoral Pain: A Systematic Review With Meta-
370 analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 52:750–68, 2022.
- 371 25. Palermo TM, Law E, Churchill SS, Walker A: Longitudinal Course and Impact of Insomnia
372 Symptoms in Adolescents With and Without Chronic Pain. *J Pain Elsevier*; 13:1099–106,
373 2012.
- 374 26. Paskins Z, Farmer CE, Manning F, Andersson DA, Barlow T, Bishop FL, Brown CA, Clark
375 A, Clark EM, Dulake D, Gulati M, le Maitre CL, Jones RK, Loughlin J, Mason DJ,
376 McCarron M, Millar NL, Pandit H, Peat G, Richardson SM, Salt EJ, Taylor EJ, Troeberg L,
377 Wilcox RK, Wise E, Wilkinson C, Watt FE: Research priorities to reduce the impact of
378 musculoskeletal disorders: a priority setting exercise with the child health and nutrition
379 research initiative method. *Lancet Rheumatol Elsevier*; , 2022.
- 380 27. Pate JW, Hancock MJ, Hush JM, Gray K, Pounder M, Pacey V: Prognostic factors for pain
381 and functional disability in children and adolescents with persisting pain: A systematic
382 review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Pain John Wiley & Sons, Ltd*; 24:722–41,
383 2020.
- 384 28. Rathleff MS, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Winiarski L, Krommes K, Holden S, Thorborg
385 K: Activity Modification and Load Management of Adolescents With Patellofemoral Pain: A
386 Prospective Intervention Study Including 151 Adolescents. *Am J Sports Med SAGE*
387 *Publications Inc STM*; 47:1629–37, 2019.
- 388 29. Rathleff MS, Holden S, Straszek CL, Olesen JL, Jensen MB, Roos EM: Five-year prognosis
389 and impact of adolescent knee pain: a prospective population-based cohort study of 504
390 adolescents in Denmark. *BMJ Open* 9:e024113, 2019.
- 391 30. Rathleff MS, Mølgaard CM, Fredberg U, Kaalund S, Andersen KB, Jensen TT, Aaskov S,
392 Olesen JL: High-load strength training improves outcome in patients with plantar fasciitis: A
393 randomized controlled trial with 12-month follow-up. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 25:e292-300,
394 2015.
- 395 31. Rathleff MS, Rathleff CR, Holden S, Thorborg K, Olesen JL: Exercise therapy, patient
396 education, and patellar taping in the treatment of adolescents with patellofemoral pain: a
397 prospective pilot study with 6 months follow-up. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 4:73, 2018.
- 398 32. Rathleff MS, Rathleff CR, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S, Roos EM: Is Knee Pain During
399 Adolescence a Self-limiting Condition?: Prognosis of Patellofemoral Pain and Other Types
400 of Knee Pain. *Am J Sports Med SAGE Publications Inc STM*; 44:1165–71, 2016.
- 401 33. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S: Exercise during school hours when added
402 to patient education improves outcome for 2 years in adolescent patellofemoral pain: a
403 cluster randomised trial. *Br J Sports Med* 49:406, 2015.

34. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S: High prevalence of daily and multi-site pain – a cross-sectional population-based study among 3000 Danish adolescents. *BMC Pediatr* 13:191, 2013.
35. Rathleff MS, Winiarski L, Krommes K, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Olesen JL, Holden S, Thorborg K: Pain, Sports Participation, and Physical Function in Adolescents With Patellofemoral Pain and Osgood-Schlatter Disease: A Matched Cross-sectional Study. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy* 50:149–57, 2020.
36. Rathleff MS, Winiarski LM, Krommes K, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Olesen JL, Holden S, Thorborg K: Activity Modification and Knee Strengthening for Osgood-Schlatter Disease: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Orthop J Sports Med* 8:, 2020.
37. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD: Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)—Development of a Self-Administered Outcome Measure. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy* 28:88–96, 1998.
38. Ruskin D, Harris L, Stinson J, Kohut SA, Walker K, McCarthy E: “I Learned to Let Go of My Pain”. The Effects of Mindfulness Meditation on Adolescents with Chronic Pain: An Analysis of Participants’ Treatment Experience. *Children (Basel)* 4:, 2017.
39. Schwartz CE, Rapkin BD: Reconsidering the psychometrics of quality of life assessment in light of response shift and appraisal. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2:16, 2004.
40. Singh JA, Siddiqi M, Parameshwar P, Chandra-Mouli V: World Health Organization Guidance on Ethical Considerations in Planning and Reviewing Research Studies on Sexual and Reproductive Health in Adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 64:427–9, 2019.
41. Smith BE, Hendrick P, Bateman M, Moffatt F, Rathleff MS, Selfe J, Smith TO, Logan P: Current management strategies for patellofemoral pain: an online survey of 99 practising UK physiotherapists. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 18:181, 2017.
42. Sørensen K, Christiansen B: Adolescents’ experience of complex persistent pain. *Scand J Pain* 15:106–12, 2017.
43. Sprangers MAG, Schwartz CE: Integrating response shift into health-related quality of life research: a theoretical model. *Soc Sci Med* 48:1507–15, 1999.
44. Truong LK, Mosewich AD, Holt CJ, Le CY, Miciak M, Whittaker JL: Psychological, social and contextual factors across recovery stages following a sport-related knee injury: a scoping review. *Br J Sports Med [Internet]* 54:1149, 2020. Available from: <http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/54/19/1149.abstract>
45. Vanier A, Oort FJ, McClimans L, Ow N, Gulek BG, Böhnke JR, Sprangers M, Sébille V, Mayo N, Group the RSSW: Response shift in patient-reported outcomes: definition, theory, and a revised model. *Quality of Life Research* 30:3309–22, 2021.
46. Weiss KE, Hahn A, Wallace DP, Biggs B, Bruce BK, Harrison TE: Acceptance of Pain: Associations With Depression, Catastrophizing, and Functional Disability Among Children

and Adolescents in an Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain Rehabilitation Program. *J Pediatr Psychol* 38:756–65, 2013.

47. Willy RW, Hogle LT, Barton CJ, Bolgla LA, Scalzitti DA, Logerstedt DS, Lynch AD, Snyder-Mackler L, McDonough CM: Patellofemoral Pain. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy* 49:CPG1–95, 2019.

48. Winters M, Holden S, Lura CB, Welton NJ, Caldwell DM, Vicenzino BT, Weir A, Rathleff MS: Comparative effectiveness of treatments for patellofemoral pain: a living systematic review with network meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* , 2020.

49. EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-Y User Guide, 2020. [cited 2022 Aug 15]. Available from: <https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides>.