Supplementary Materials:

Cost-effectiveness of the second COVID-19 booster vaccination in the United States

This supplementary document describes in detail the model construction and estimation of parameters presented in the main text.

1.1 Health states and the force of infections

We developed a decision-analytic SEIR-Markov model by five age groups (0-4yrs with 18,827,338 individuals, 5-11yrs with 28,584,443 individuals, 12-17yrs with 26,154,652 individuals, 18-49yrs with 138,769,369 individuals, and 50+yrs with 119,557,943 individuals) to simulate the disease transmission and progression of the Omicron variant over a period of 180 days in the United States (US). Our model, in each age group, consisted of 13 health states including 6 uninfected states depicting varied vaccination status and 7 infected states depicting varied disease progression of COVID-19 (**Figure 1**).

We defined the 13 health states as follows.

- Susceptible: individuals who have not been vaccinated.
- One dose vaccinated: individuals who have revived one dose vaccination.
- Fully vaccinated: individuals who have completed series vaccinations.
- Short-term booster VE: the vaccine efficacy from 2 weeks to 4 months after a booster dose.
- Long-term booster VE: the vaccine efficacy 4 months beyond a booster dose.
- Second booster VE: the vaccine efficacy after a second booster shot.
- Incubation (E): cases prior to symptom onset.
- Asymptomatic (A): cases who never developed symptoms ever throughout the course of their disease.
- Mild/moderate (I): cases without pneumonia and cases with mild pneumonia.
- Severe: cases who developed dyspnoea and/or hypoxemia and managed in a hospital but not requiring intensive care unit.
- Critical: cases who developed respiratory failure, and/or septic shock, and/or multiple organ dysfunction/failure and managed in an intensive care unit; some of them who recuperated from critical disease need to go through the recuperation stage-remaining in the hospital or other health care facility
- Recovered: cases who recovered from infection stages.
- Death: COVID-19 related death

People with various vaccination status by age group have different risk of being infected and different disease progression to different clinical outcomes. For the susceptible individuals, the infection risk is measured by the parameter of force of infection ($\lambda_{i,t}$), *i*, *j* denotes five age groups (0-4yrs, 5-11yrs, 12-17yrs, 18-49yrs, and 50+yrs). The $\lambda_{i,t}$ is given by

$$\lambda_{i,t} = \beta_{i,t} \sum_{j=1}^{5} k_{i,j} \frac{(\omega E_{j,t} + \omega A_{j,t} + I_{j,t})}{N_{i,j}}$$

Where the transmission coefficient $(\beta_{i,t})$ denotes the probability that a susceptible individual has been infected by contacting other infectious cases by age group once. The $\lambda_{i,t}$ is determined by the contact metric between age groups $(k_{i,j})$ and number of infectious patients (E, A, I). Previous studies had reported a 75% lower infectiveness (1- ω) of latent, asymptomatic individuals (E, A) compared with symptomatic individuals (I)¹. The contact metric in the US is obtained from the polished literature as follows.²

				mections		
		0-4	5-11	12-17	18-49	≥50
e	0-4	2.24	1.32	0.67	5.02	2.40
ptib]	5-11	1.08	6.19	2.61	5.16	2.24
Jusce	12-17	0.40	3.99	7.27	7.41	2.06
01	18-49	0.60	1.00	1.46	8.60	2.76
	≥50	0.38	0.60	0.59	4.97	3.99

1.2 Real-world vaccine efficacy against Omicron infection and severe progression

To identify real-world vaccine efficacy (VE) against Omicron infection and severe progression, we collected multiple scientific literature including real population incidence among varied vaccination status groups from an ongoing systematic review conducted by The International Vaccine Access Center³. We searched the published report version (10th Nov 2022) and found 99 relevant papers regarding the mRNA-based booster vaccine effectiveness against Omicron (80 booster VE papers and 26 second booster VE papers, of which 7 repeated). We scrutinized the full texts of all papers for eligibility, of which 81 were excluded (27 studies included no original cases number; 22 studies adopt vaccinated individuals as reference; 10 studies were focused on special population such as pregnancies, previous infection and patients receiving haemodialysis; 19 studies included sample during BA. 1 Omicron dominant period; 3 studies were designed by cohort research and not enough to produce meta-analysis). After elimination of ineligible literature, we finally included 18 studies from an ongoing systematic review (17 booster VE papers and 5 second booster VE papers, of which 4 repeated).



Existing evidence indicated that the booster VE would gradually wane with time and the Centre of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommended second booster for those aged \geq 50 years 4 months after their prior dose to increase their protection further⁴⁻⁷. Thus, we defined the VE from 2 weeks to 4 months after a booster dose as a 'short-term booster VE', whereas the VE beyond 4 months as a 'long-term booster VE'. Combined with the VE classification of preventing Omicron infection and severe progression, we extracted original cases data using standard tables designed by case-control studies (details were shown in Additional file.xlsx). Then, we used random-effects meta-analysis to generate overall odds ratio (OR) estimates. Finally, we calculated the VE using the formula of (1–OR) multiplied by 100%.⁵

Variables	Pooled OR	VE (%)
Short-term booster VE for preventing non-BA.1 infection	0.645(0.739, 0.564)	35.5 (26.1, 43.6)
Short-term booster VE for preventing severe disease	0.212 (0.272, 0.166)	78.8 (72.8, 83.4)
Long-term booster VE for preventing non-BA.1 infection	1.268 (1.740, 0.924)	-26.8 (-74.0, 7.7)
Loge-term booster VE for preventing severe disease	0.756 (0.904, 0.632)	24.4 (9.6, 36.8)
2 nd booster VE for preventing non-BA.1 infection, compared with no vaccination	0.599 (0.759, 0.473)	40.1 (24.1, 52.7)
2 nd booster VE for preventing severe disease, compared with no vaccination	0.370 (0.545, 0.252)	63.0 (45.5, 74.8)

	PCR-I	ostive	PCR-I	negetive				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weiaht
,								
		- ·			• 1			
Short-term booster VE for pre	eventing	Omicro	on intect	ion	:			
Chemaitelly et al (2022, Qatar)	4446	30263	3784	18419	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.666	[0.635; 0.699]	2.0%
Chemaitelly et al (2022, Qatar)	492	24748	502	14805		0.578	[0.509; 0.656]	2.0%
Ranzani et al (2022, Brazil)	67051	97051	90079	115157		0.622	[0.610: 0.635]	2.0%
Corquoira et al (2022 Brazil)	40140	205052	20020	105014		0.615	[0 606: 0 625]	2 0%
	40145	293033	55525	193914	<u> </u>	0.013	[0.000, 0.023]	2.0 %
Cerqueira et al (2022, Scotland)	57087	89721	51199	67223		0.547	[0.535; 0.560]	2.0%
Chin et al (2022, USA)	5397	10969	78446	116678		0.472	[0.454; 0.491]	2.0%
Carazo et al (2022.Canada)	27027	27699	43657	44700	· •	0.961	[0.871: 1.060]	2.0%
Grewal et al(2022 Canada)	1842	2647	28133	35138	Ξ.	0 570	10 522 0 6211	2.0%
	4040	2011	4004	7040		0.070	[0.022, 0.021]	2.070
Tseng et al (2022, USA)	1049	2239	4021	/646	i 🖳	0.839	[0.764; 0.922]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022,USA)	554	1352	2133	4974	: 😑	0.925	[0.818; 1.045]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022,USA)	57	214	225	727	:	0.810	[0.576; 1.140]	1.9%
Tseng et al (2022 LISA)	121	554	569	1927		0.667	10 533 0 8341	1 9%
Was at al (2022,00A)	11107	5007	01454	222000		0.007	[0.335, 0.034]	2.00/
wan et al (2022, China)	11497	52623	91154	232886		0.435	[0.425; 0.444]	2.0%
Random effects model		635133		856396	: •	0.645 [[0.564; 0.739]	25.6%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 99\%$, $t^2 = 0.058$	1, p < 0.0)1			:			
					•			
				10 diacas				
Short-term booster vE for pre	eventing	severe	COVID-	19 diseas	ie i			
Chemaitelly et al (2022, Qatar)	20	279	340	851		0.116	[0.072; 0.187]	1.8%
Chemaitelly et al (2022, Qatar)	1	221	21	588 -		0.123	[0.016; 0.918]	0.6%
Nataraian et al (2022 LISA)	775	8046	7057	15210		0 123	0 114 0 133	2.0%
	222	4505	040	2045		0.120	[0.114, 0.100]	2.070
Plumb et al (2022, USA)	232	1202	942	3045		0.363	[0.327, 0.449]	2.0%
Carazo et al (2022, Cananda)	1308	2707	230636	251633		0.085	[0.079; 0.092]	2.0%
Adams et al (2022, USA)	119	675	276	593		0.246	[0.190; 0.317]	1.9%
Adams et al (2022 USA)	83	639	189	506	💻 : 🔤	0 250	[0 187· 0 335]	1.9%
	10	500	00	242		0.240	[0.101, 0.000]	4 50/
Adams et al (2022, USA)	10	000	20	343	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.219	[0.104, 0.461]	1.5%
Carazo et al (2022,Canada)	16748	17091	18290	18415	** :	0.334	[0.272; 0.410]	1.9%
Tartof et al (2022,USA)	50	404	1517	3795		0.212	[0.157; 0.287]	1.9%
Link-Gelles et al (2022 USA)	57	450	1900	6102		0.321	[0 242· 0 426]	1.9%
Yon et al. (2022 China)	16	642	005	4402		0.101	[0.061:0.160]	1 00/
ran et al (2022, China)	10	643	665	4403		0.101	[0.061, 0.166]	1.8%
Yan et al (2022,China)	7	55	111	264		0.201	[0.088; 0.461]	1.5%
Link-Gelles et al (2022,USA)	26	769	263	2491		0.296	[0.196; 0.447]	1.8%
Tseng et al (2022 LISA)	46	83	183	239		0 380	10 225 0 6441	1 7%
	45	20	00	200		0.000	[0.220, 0.044]	4 40/
I seng et al (2022,05A)	15	30	60	92		0.415	[0.178, 0.967]	1.4%
Wan et al (2022,China)	275	13089	15624	88030	🛨 :	0.099	[0.088; 0.112]	2.0%
Surie et al (2022,USA)	167	876	393	828		0.261	[0.210; 0.324]	1.9%
Surie et al (2022 LISA)	13	227	24	170		0 302	10 104.0 7051	1.6%
Dandem offects model	10	40425	24	207607		0.002	[0.104, 0.100]	22.40/
Random enects model		46433		397607	• :	0.212[[0.100; 0.272]	33.1%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$, $t^2 = 0.247$	'9, <i>p</i> < 0.0)1			:			
Long-term booster VE for pre-	venting	Omicro	n infecti	on	:			
Grewal et al(2022 Canada)	7232	8037	91251	98256	: 💶	0.690	[0 639 0 745]	2.0%
The provide the $(2022, 100)$	1402	2502	2012	6740	: 1	1 5 1 0	[1 412: 1 605]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022,03A)	1402	2092	2913	0740	: 🛀	1.546	[1.415, 1.095]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022,USA)	1326	2124	2830	5671	: 🛛 🔛	1.668	[1.506; 1.847]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022,USA)	250	407	567	1069	· 📕	1.410	[1.117; 1.780]	1.9%
Tseng et al (2022 LISA)	715	1148	1696	3054		1 322	1 151 1 510	2.0%
Dendem effects medel	110	44200	1000	444700	:	4.0001	[1.101, 1.010]	0.00/
Random effects model		14306		114790		1.200 [[0.923; 1.740]	9.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 99\%$, $t^2 = 0.125$	6, p < 0.0)1			:			
					:			
Long-term booster VE for pre-	ventina	severe	COVID-	19 diseas	e			
Tartof at al (2022 LISA)	297	7/1	2240	4619	- · · •	1 064	0 011 1 2421	2 0%
	400	070	2040	40005	: 	0.774	[0.311, 1.243]	2.070
LINK-Gelles et al (2022,05A)	480	873	6633	10835	: 🔛	0.774	[0.673; 0.889]	2.0%
Link-Gelles et al (2022,USA)	72	316	625	2130	·	0.711	[0.538; 0.939]	1.9%
Link-Gelles et al (2022,USA)	1046	2068	4913	8479	. 💽	0.743	[0.675; 0.818]	2.0%
Surie et al (2022 USA)	265	974	301	736		0 540	[0 441 0 662]	1.9%
Surie et al (2022 USA)	240	400	200	202	•	0.700	[0.570, 4.040]	1.00/
Surie et al (2022,05A)	219	433	208	303	: —	0.763	[0.576, 1.010]	1.9%
Random effects model		5405		27161	: •	0.756 [[0.632; 0.904]	11.7%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 83\%$, $t^2 = 0.040$	1, p < 0.0)1						
					; [
Second booster VF for preven	tina Om	icron in	fection		:			
Growal at al (2022, Canada)	007	1004	6604	7000		0.204	0 335. 0 434	2 00/
Grewarer al (2022, Canada)	007	1331	0001	1933	M_ 1	0.301	[ບ.ວວວ, ບ.434]	∠.0%
Grewal et al(2022,Canada)	5651	6456	99145	106150		0.496	[0.459; 0.536]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022,USA)	164	1354	740	4567	: <u></u>	0.713	[0.595; 0.854]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022 USA)	176	974	834	3675	÷ 🜉	0 751	[0 627· 0 900]	2.0%
Toong of al (2022,000,1)	20	105	102	604		0.622	[0.021, 0.000]	1 00/
	50	195	192	094		0.055	[0.420, 0.950]	1.0 /0
I seng et al (2022,USA)	148	581	602	1960	: 🞫	0.771	[0.625; 0.951]	1.9%
Random effects model		10891		124979	(►)	0.599 [[0.473; 0.759]	11.6%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 92\%$, $t^2 = 0.076$	1. p < 0.0)1			:			
J ,,					; [
	41mm		/ID 40 -	lianana				
Second booster vE for preven	ung sev	ere CO	VID-19 (iisease	<u> </u>			
Link–Gelles et al (2022,USA)	316	1338	2170	5736		0.508	[U.443; 0.583]	2.0%
Tseng et al (2022,USA)	6	43	73	129	<u> </u>	0.124	[0.049; 0.315]	1.4%
Tseng et al (2022 USA)	5	20	34	61		0.265	[0.085: 0.820]	1.2%
Surio at al (2022 USA)		704	44	470		0.274	[0 224: 0 624]	1 70/
	25	134	41	4/6		0.3/4	10.224, 0.024	1.1%
Surie et al (2022,USA)	63	277	102	257		0.447	[0.307; 0.652]	1.8%
Random effects model		2412		6659	◆	0.370	[0.252; 0.545]	8.1%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 63\%$, $t^2 = 0.114$	0, p = 0.0	3			:			
	.,	-			:			
Pandom offacts madel		746504		4507500	.	0 450 1	0 270. 0 5571	100 00/
Random effects model		10584		152/592	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v.459 [[0.378; 0.557]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 99\%$, $t^2 = 0.490$	2, <i>p</i> = 0							
Test for subgroup differences: $c_r^2 = c_r^2$	101.86, di	= 5 (p <	0.01)		0.1 0.5 1 2 10			
	0 1							

Figure S1. Forest plot for the pooled odds ratio for Omicron variant.

1.3 Model calibration

We refined the model inputs of transmission coefficient and vaccination rates by age groups automatically with TreeAge Pro's calibration tool to adjust inputs until the model results match observed COVID-19 related mortality and vaccination data in the US. The model calibration results are shown as follow.



Figure S2. Model calibration for COVID-19 related deaths by age groups in the US.



Figure S3. Model calibration for vaccination status of uninfected individuals by age groups in the US.

Parameters	Description	Values
$eta_{1,0}$	The basic transmission coefficient of those aged 0-4yrs	0.005376
$eta_{2,0}$	The basic transmission coefficient of those aged 5-11yrs	0.003900
$eta_{3,0}$	The basic transmission coefficient of those aged 12-17yrs	0.002440
$\beta_{4,0}$	The basic transmission coefficient of those aged 18-49yrs	0.003420
$eta_{5,0}$	The basic transmission coefficient of those aged 50+yrs	0.003287
а	The amplitude of the function $\beta_{i,t} = \beta_{i,0} * a * (2 + sin (ct + b))$	2.866473
b	The phase shift of the function $\beta_{i,t} = \beta_{i,0} * a * (2 + sin (ct + b))$	2.422840
С	The period of function $\beta_{i,t} = \beta_{i,0} * a * (2 + sin (ct + b))$	0.010000
V _{lose}	The transition probability of protection loses	0.000333
Vac ₁₁	The vaccination rate of first dose of those aged 0-4yrs	0.000350
Vac ₁₂	The vaccination rate of second dose of those aged 0-4yrs	0.004990
Vac ₂₁	The vaccination rate of first dose of those aged 5-11yrs	0.000036
Vac ₂₂	The vaccination rate of second dose of those aged 5-11yrs	0.001359
Vac ₂₃	The vaccination rate of first booster dose of those aged 5-11yrs	0.002138
Vac ₃₁	The vaccination rate of first dose of those aged 12-17yrs	1.09E-08
Vac ₃₂	The vaccination rate of second dose of those aged 12-17yrs	0.000319
Vac ₃₃	The vaccination rate of first booster dose of those aged 12-17yrs	0.000623
Vac ₃₄	The transition probability of short-term booster to long-term of those aged 12-17yrs	0.014935
Vac ₄₁	The vaccination rate of first dose of those aged 18-49yrs	8.38E-15
Vac_{42}	The vaccination rate of second dose of those aged 18-49yrs	0.000081
Vac ₄₃	The vaccination rate of first booster dose of those aged 18-49yrs	0.000364
Vac ₄₄	The transition probability of short-term booster to long-term of those aged 18-49yrs	0.021638
Vac ₅₁	The vaccination rate of first dose of those aged 50+yrs	0.000114
<i>Vac</i> ₅₂	The vaccination rate of second dose of those aged 50+yrs	0.000456
Vac ₅₃	The vaccination rate of first booster dose of those aged 50+yrs	0.000647
Vac ₅₄	The transition probability of short-term booster to long-term of those aged 50+yrs	0.004403
Vac ₅₅	The vaccination rate of second booster dose of those aged 50+yrs	0.021681

The calibrated parameters are shown as follows:

1.4 Distribution of clinical disease stages of various vaccination status

We collected the distribution of clinical disease stages of unvaccinated individuals (Group A) infected by Omicron variant by age groups from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the US and published literature.

Ma	ar 29, 2022 Sep 24, 2022	Cumulative	Cumulative	Fatality (Die%	ICU% in	Hos% in	ICU% in	Die% in Hos
10	Sep 24, 2022				milections	milections	1105	
1	All Ages	15289158	60670	0.397%				
2	0-4yrs	663426	85	0.013%	0.281%	1.55% ¹⁰	$18.11\%^{10,11}$	0.83%
3	5-11yrs	966299	44	0.005%	0.085%	0.77% ¹⁰	$11.08\%^{12}$	0.59%
4	12-17yrs	908620	78	0.009%	0.147%	0.77% ¹⁰	19.05% ¹³	1.12%
5	18-49yrs	7304308	2375	0.033%	0.127%	0.64%	19.93% ¹⁰	5.10% ¹⁴
6	50yrsmore	5446505	58113	1.067%	1.415%	8.18%	17.31% ¹⁰	13.05%14

Based on the short-term booster VE (Group B), long-term booster VE (Group C) and 2nd booster VE (Group D), we developed a mathematical model to estimate the similar distributions in varied vaccination group (Figure S4). In the model, we calculated the numerator and denominator of the proportion of severe infections in vaccinated patients (P_{vs}) by three factors, which are the proportion of severe infections in unvaccinated infections (P_s), the VE for preventing Omicron infection (V_i) and severe progression (V_s). Then, we could calculate the respective proportion of clinical outcomes by vaccination status.



Non-sever

V_i:Vaccine efficacy for preventing a SARS-CoV-2 infection

V_s:Vaccine efficacy for preventing a severe COVID-19 case

P_s:Proportion of severe cases in all unvaccinated COVID-19 infections

P_{vs}:Proportion of severe cases in all vaccinated COVID-19 infections

$$\longrightarrow P_{vs} = \frac{n \times P_s \times (1 - V_s)}{n \times (1 - V_i)}$$

Figure S3. The model flowchart to estimate the proportion of severe cases in the COVID-19 infections.

	Group	Group	Group	Group	Group	Group	Group	Group
Clinical outcomes	A_50+y rs	B_50+y rs	C_50+y rs	D_50+ yrs	A_18- 49yrs	B_18- 49yrs	C_18- 49yrs	D_18- 49yrs
Asymptomatic infection	31.00%	32.85%	31.67%	32.05%	31.00%	33.50%	33.41%	33.44%
Mild/Moderate illness	60.82%	64.46%	62.15%	62.89%	68.36%	66.29%	66.11%	66.17%
Severe illness	6.760%	2.224%	5.110%	4.177%	0.511%	0.168%	0.386%	0.316%
Critical (recover) illness	0.348%	0.115%	0.263%	0.215%	0.095%	0.031%	0.072%	0.058%
Critical (die) illness	1.067%	0.351%	0.807%	0.659%	0.033%	0.011%	0.025%	0.020%
Clinical outcomes	Group A_12- 17yrs	Group B_12- 17yrs	Group C_12- 17yrs	Group D_12- 17yrs	Group A_5- 11yrs	Group B_5- 11yrs	Group C_5- 11yrs	Group D_5- 11yrs
Asymptomatic infection	33.00%	33.17%	33.06%	33.10%	33.00%	33.17%	33.06%	33.10%
Mild/Moderate illness	66.23%	66.58%	66.36%	66.43%	66.23%	66.58%	66.36%	66.43%
Severe illness	0.623%	0.205%	0.471%	0.385%	0.684%	0.225%	0.517%	0.423%
Critical (recover) illness	0.138%	0.045%	0.104%	0.085%	0.081%	0.027%	0.061%	0.050%
Critical (die) illness	0.009%	0.003%	0.006%	0.005%	0.005%	0.002%	0.003%	0.003%

The details of the distribution are list as follow.

Vaccinated

1.5 Direct medical cost

We collected the direct medical costs of COVID-19 disease in the US from published literature and Medicare Administrative Contractor report¹⁵⁻¹⁷. The cost of PCR tests and rapid antigen self-test for COVID-19 infection was estimated to be \$51 and \$11 per person, respectively. In addition, we collected cost per outpatient visit, general hospitalisation and ICU admission and the duration of each disease stage¹⁸. The cost of medical services varied across clinical disease stages, and we calculated the total direct medical cost of COVID-19 cases with varied severity by multiplying the unit cost of the medical services by the duration of each disease stage.

	Self-tests	PCR test	Outpatient	Hospitalization cost (per person, \$)			ICU cost (per person, \$)			Total direct
Clinical outcomes	cost (per person, \$)	cost (per person, \$)	cost (per visit, \$)	length of stay	per day cost	total cost	length of stay	per day cost	total cost	medical cost (per person, \$)
Asymptomatic infection	11	0	0	0	2364	0	0	3111	0	11
Mild/Moderate illness	11	51	164	0	2364	0	0	3111	0	226
Severe illness	11	51	164	9.2	2364	21752	0	3111	0	21978
Critical (die) illness	11	51	164	4.2	2364	9930	7.1	3111	22085	32241
Critical (recover) illness	11	51	164	10 (4.2+5.8)	2364	23643	7.1	3111	22085	45954

1.6 Health state utilities

We collected utility scores for COVID-19 patients from the disutility weights of severe lower respiratory tract infection^{19,20} and the estimates of pricing models for COVID-19 treatments published by the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review²¹. We calculated the average utility from two sources and adopted their lowest and highest bounds²².

Health states	Disability weight 1	Disability weight 2	Average utility
In asymptomatic state	—	—	1
In mild/moderate state	_	_	1
In severe state	0.13 (0.09, 0.19)	0.30	0.785 (0.700, 0.910)
In critical state	0.41 (0.27, 0.56)	0.50-0.60	0.520 (0.400, 0.730)
In recuperable state	—	0.19	0.905 (0.810, 1.000)
In dead state	0	0	0

1.7 Sensitivity analyses

We extensively explored the impact of model parameters on the baseline results with univariate and probabilistic sensitivity analyses (PSA). Univariate sensitivity analysis is for each of 17 parameters and varying one parameter at one time in their range. PSA allows variation of all 17 parameters together at one time in their distribution with random sampling.

No	Name	Distribution	Reference
1	Short-term booster VE for preventing non-BA.1 infection	Triangular (0.2611, 0.3545, 0.4362)	Appendix 1.2
2	Short-term booster VE for preventing severe disease	Triangular (0.7276, 0.7876, 0.8343)	Appendix 1.2
3	Long-term booster VE for preventing non-BA.1 infection	Triangular (0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0765)	Appendix 1.2
4	Loge-term booster VE for preventing severe disease	Triangular (0.0960, 0.2441, 0.3679)	Appendix 1.2
5	2 nd booster VE for preventing non-BA.1 infection, compared with no vaccination	Triangular (0.2411, 0.4006, 0.5266)	Appendix 1.2
6	2 nd booster VE for preventing severe disease, compared with no vaccination	Triangular (0.4549, 0.6296, 0.7484)	Appendix 1.2
7	Decrease in direct medical cost (%)	Uniform (-50, 50)	Assumed
8	Increase in vaccination cost (%)	Uniform (-50, 50)	Assumed
9	Utility of recuperable disease stage	Triangular (0.8100, 0.9050, 1.0000)	Appendix 1.6
10	Utility of severe disease stage	Triangular (0.7000, 0.7850, 0.9100)	Appendix 1.6
11	Utility of critical disease stage	Triangular (0.4000, 0.5200, 0.7300)	Appendix 1.6
12	The discount rate	Uniform (0, 0.06)	23
13	Transmission coefficient (beta_0-4yrs)	Triangular (0.0043, 0.0054, 0.0065)	Appendix 1.3 and assumed
14	Transmission coefficient (beta_5-11)	Triangular (0.0031, 0.0039, 0.0047)	Appendix 1.3 and assumed
15	Transmission coefficient (beta_12-17)	Triangular (0.0020, 0.0024, 0.0029)	Appendix 1.3 and assumed
16	Transmission coefficient (beta_18-49)	Triangular (0.0027, 0.0034, 0.0041)	Appendix 1.3 and assumed
17	Transmission coefficient (beta_50+)	Triangular (0.0026, 0.0033, 0.0039)	Appendix 1.3 and assumed

1.8 The impact of contact matrix on the results

To examine the impact of contact matrix on the findings, we assumed all age groups interact only within their peers. We re-calibrate the model to the number of death cases and obtain the following contact matrix.



Figure S4. Model re-calibration for COVID-19 related deaths by age groups in the US.

				Infections		
		0-4	5-11	12-17	18-49	≥50
e	0-4	18.48	0	0	0	0
ptibl	5-11	0	24.69	0	0	0
Susce	12-17	0	0	29.46	0	0
•1	18-49	0	0	0	12.73	0
	≥50	0	0	0	0	10.61

The new contact metric in the US is obtained from the polished literature as follow.

Table S1 Model	input	parameters,	values,	and sources.	
----------------	-------	-------------	---------	--------------	--

Model parameters	Values	Reference
Epidemiological parameters		
Duration of clinical disease stages of COVID-19 (days)		
Incubation	5.2 (4.1, 7.0)	
Asymptomatic infection	6.0 (2.0, 12.0)	
Mild/Moderate illness	10.0	
Severe illness		
In mild/moderate state	6.5	
In severe state	9.2	
Critical (recover) illness		
In mild/moderate state	3.0	
In severe state	4.2	
In critical state	7.1	
In recuperable state	5.8	
Critical (die) illness		
In mild/moderate state	3.0	
In severe state	4.2	
In critical state	7.1	
Costing parameters		Appendix 1.5
Per PCR test for COVID-19 infection, \$	51	
Per rapid antigen self-test for COVID-19 infection, \$	11	
Per general practitioner (GP) consultation, \$	164	
Per day of general hospitalization, \$	2364	
Per day of ICU hospitalization, \$	3111	
Per dose of COVID-19 vaccine booster, \$	17.25	
Per dose of vaccine administration, \$	17.10	
Direct medical cost of varied COVID-19 severity, \$		
Asymptomatic infection	11	
Mild/Moderate illness	226	
Severe illness	21978	
Critical (recover) illness	32241	
Critical (die) illness	45854	
Life quality parameters		
Utility weight of clinical disease progression severity		Appendix 1.6
In asymptomatic state	1	
In mild/moderate state	1	
In severe state	0.785 (0.700, 0.910)	
In critical state	0.520 (0.400, 0.730)	
In recuperable state	0.905 (0.810, 1.000)	
In dead state	0	
Discount rate, per year	3% (0%, 6%)	23

Supplement Reference

1. Prem K, Liu Y, Russell TW, et al. The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Health* 2020; **5**(5): e261-e70.

2. Prem K, Zandvoort KV, Klepac P, et al. Projecting contact matrices in 177 geographical regions: An update and comparison with empirical data for the COVID-19 era. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2021; **17**(7): e1009098.

3. IVAC. Results of COVID-19 Vaccine Effectiveness Studies: An Ongoing Systematic Review. 2021. <u>https://view-hub.org/resources</u> (accessed Oct 21 2021).

4. Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bar-On YM, et al. Waning Immunity after the BNT162b2 Vaccine in Israel. *N Engl J Med* 2021.

5. Chemaitelly H, Tang P, Hasan MR, et al. Waning of BNT162b2 Vaccine Protection against SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Qatar. *N Engl J Med* 2021.

6. Thomas SJ, Moreira ED, Jr., Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. *N Engl J Med* 2021.

7. CDC. CDC Recommends Additional Boosters for Certain Individuals. 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2022/s0328-covid-19-boosters.html (accessed August 12, 2022).

8. CDC. COVID-19 Case Surveillance Public Use Data. 2022. <u>https://data.cdc.gov/Case-Surveillance/COVID-19-Case-Surveillance-Public-Use-Data/vbim-akqf</u> (accessed November 15, 2022).

9. CDC. Provisional COVID-19 Deaths by Sex and Age. 2022. <u>https://data.cdc.gov/NCHS/Provisional-COVID-19-Deaths-by-Sex-and-Age/9bhg-hcku</u> (accessed November 15, 2022).

10. Wang L, Berger NA, Kaelber DC, Davis PB, Volkow ND, Xu R. COVID infection rates, clinical outcomes, and racial/ethnic and gender disparities before and after Omicron emerged in the US. *medRxiv* 2022.

11. Marks KJ, Whitaker M, Agathis NT, et al. Hospitalization of Infants and Children Aged 0-4 Years with Laboratory-Confirmed COVID-19 - COVID-NET, 14 States, March 2020-February 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022; **71**(11): 429-36.

12. Shi DS, Whitaker M, Marks KJ, et al. Hospitalizations of Children Aged 5-11 Years with Laboratory-Confirmed COVID-19 - COVID-NET, 14 States, March 2020-February 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022; **71**(16): 574-81.

13. Marks KJ, Whitaker M, Anglin O, et al. Hospitalizations of Children and Adolescents with Laboratory-Confirmed COVID-19 - COVID-NET, 14 States, July 2021-January 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022; **71**(7): 271-8.

14. Adjei S, Hong K, Molinari NM, et al. Mortality Risk Among Patients Hospitalized Primarily for COVID-19 During the Omicron and Delta Variant Pandemic Periods - United States, April 2020-June 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022; **71**(37): 1182-9.

15. Tsai Y, Vogt TM, Zhou F. Patient Characteristics and Costs Associated With COVID-19-Related Medical Care Among Medicare Fee-for-Service Beneficiaries. *Ann Intern Med* 2021; **174**(8): 1101-9.

16. CDC. Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC) COVID-19 Test Pricing. 2021. https://www.cms.gov/files/document/mac-covid-19-test-pricing.pdf (accessed July 30, 2022).

17. NOR. Why rapid COVID tests are in short supply in the U.S. 2021. <u>https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2021/12/10/1062700278/how-the-u-s-got-on-the-slow-track-with-at-home-covid-tests</u> (accessed July 30, 2022).

18. Reddy KP, Shebl FM, Foote JHA, et al. Cost-effectiveness of public health strategies for COVID-19 epidemic control in South Africa: a microsimulation modelling study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; **9**(2): e120-e9.

19. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; **392**(10159): 1789-858.

20. Cleary SM, Wilkinson T, Tamandjou Tchuem CR, Docrat S, Solanki GC. Cost-effectiveness of intensive care for hospitalized COVID-19 patients: experience from South Africa. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2021; **21**(1): 82.

21. Kohli M, Maschio M, Becker D, Weinstein MC. The potential public health and economic value of a hypothetical COVID-19 vaccine in the United States: Use of cost-effectiveness modeling to inform vaccination prioritization. *Vaccine* 2021; **39**(7): 1157-64.

22. Sassi F. Calculating QALYs, comparing QALY and DALY calculations. *Health Policy Plan* 2006; 21(5): 402-8.

23. Sanders GD, Neumann PJ, Basu A, et al. Recommendations for Conduct, Methodological Practices, and Reporting of Cost-effectiveness Analyses: Second Panel on Cost-Effectiveness in Health and Medicine. *Jama* 2016; **316**(10): 1093-103.