

- 1 Manuscript Title**
- 2** US Public Opinion as to Whether “The Pandemic is Over,” September to October 2022
- 3 Authors**
- 4** Mark É Czeisler, PhD¹; Matthew D Weaver, PhD^{2,3,4}; Rashon I Lane, PhD^{2,3,5}; Shantha
- 5** MW Rajaratnam, PhD^{2,3,4,6}; Mark E Howard, MBBS, PhD^{4,6,7}; Charles A Czeisler, PhD,
- 6** MD^{2,3,4}
- 7 Affiliations**
- 8** ¹Francis Weld Peabody Society, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts,
- 9** United States
- 10** ²Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology,
- 11** Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts
- 12** ³Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts
- 13** ⁴Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health and School of Psychological Sciences,
- 14** Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 15** ⁵Sutter Health, Sacramento, California
- 16** ⁶Institute for Breathing and Sleep, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia
- 17** ⁷University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 18 Corresponding Author:** Mark É. Czeisler, PhD, Francis Weld Peabody Society,
- 19** Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (mark.czeisler@fulbrightmail.org).
- 20 Manuscript type:** Original Investigation
- 21 Main Text word count:** 2986 of 3000-word maximum
- 22 Submission Date:** 21 December 2022

23 Key Points

24 **Question:** As of September to October 2022, what was US public opinion as to whether
25 COVID-19 remained a pandemic?

26 **Findings:** In this demographically representative survey study of 4985 US adults, only 1
27 in 3 respondents agreed with the statement “the pandemic is over;” 43% of adults
28 disagreed. Agreement that the pandemic was over was associated with less
29 engagement in COVID-19 preventive behaviors and more political conservatism.

30 **Meaning:** As of September to October 2022, US public opinion was divided regarding
31 the status of COVID-19 as a pandemic and is associated with COVID-19-related
32 behaviors, underscoring important public health and policy implications of this
33 designation.

34

35 Abstract

36 **Importance:** US public health guidance has increasingly shifted responsibility for
37 actions to minimize ongoing impacts of COVID-19 onto individuals. During September
38 to October 2022, the World Health Organization continued to characterize COVID-19 as
39 a pandemic. Yet, public perceptions of the pandemic status of COVID-19 and its
40 associations with COVID-19-related behaviors were unknown.

41 **Objective:** To assess US public opinion on the characterization of COVID-19 as a
42 pandemic.

43 Design, Setting, and Participants: The COVID-19 Outbreak Public Evaluation (COPE)

44 Initiative internet-based surveys, administered to 4985 US adults during September to

45 October 2022. Demographic quota sampling and survey weighting were employed to

46 improve sample representativeness of the US population by age, sex, and combined

47 race and ethnicity.

48 Exposures: The COVID-19 pandemic.

49 Main Outcomes and Measures: Response to the statement, “The pandemic is over.”

50 Response options included Strongly agree, Somewhat agree, Neutral, Somewhat

51 disagree, and Strongly disagree.

52 Results: Overall, 5015 US adults completed The COPE Initiative surveys (response

53 rate, 56.2%), and 4985 (99.4%) provided complete information for all analyzed variables

54 and were included in this analysis. Only 1657 (33.2%) respondents agreed with the

55 statement “the pandemic is over,” while 2141 (43.0%) disagreed and the remaining

56 1187 (23.8%) were neutral about the statement. Agreement that the pandemic was over

57 was most strongly associated with having received fewer COVID-19 vaccines, lesser

58 concern about SARS-CoV-2 variant viruses, and less frequent engagement in COVID-

59 19 preventive behaviors, such as mask usage in public spaces, as well as increasingly

60 conservative political ideology, roles as unpaid caregivers of both children and adults,

61 younger age, male sex, and significant disabilities.

62 Conclusions and Relevance: As of September to October 2022, US public opinion

63 was mixed on the characterization of COVID-19 as a pandemic. Belief the pandemic

64 was over was associated with less frequent engagement in COVID-19 preventive

65 behaviors, highlighting the important role of public health communication. Demographic
66 groups to prioritize tailored public health messaging about the pandemic status were
67 identified. Continued assessment of public perceptions about the state of the pandemic
68 is warranted entering Year 4 of the COVID-19 pandemic.

69

70 Main Text

71 Introduction

72 On March 11, 2020, the Director-General of the World Health Organization (WHO)
73 officially characterized the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak as a global
74 pandemic.¹ Two days later, the President of the United States proclaimed that COVID-
75 19 constituted a national emergency.² In the initial pandemic months, absent vaccines
76 to prevent SARS-CoV-2 transmission or COVID-19 medical treatments, community
77 COVID-19 prevention measures were implemented. Stay-at-home orders and
78 nonessential business closures were executed worldwide for the first time in a century.
79 Early support for and adherence with these nonpharmaceutical interventions³⁻⁵ waned
80 with pressures to reopen economies and resume in-person activities.⁶⁻⁸

81 Despite a better understanding of SARS-CoV-2 transmission dynamics, the rapid
82 development of COVID-19 vaccines, and considerable advances in COVID-19 medical
83 treatments, COVID-19 has remained a major cause of global morbidity and mortality in
84 many areas worldwide, with the US among the most adversely impacted nations.
85 Indeed, COVID-19-involved mortality and excess all-cause mortality were high in the US
86 relative to comparator countries during the initial pandemic wave through September

87 2020,⁹ and throughout the Delta and winter Omicron waves during June 2021 through
88 March 2022.¹⁰ The prolonged severity of the pandemic is due in part to the emergence
89 of immune-evasive coronavirus variant viruses and incomplete vaccine uptake, which
90 have thwarted achievement of sufficient population-level immunity to reduce sustained
91 transmission. The prolonged waves of coronavirus infections and associated public
92 health containment measures have induced pandemic fatigue and pandemic-related
93 political polarization, lowering adherence with nonpharmaceutical interventions such as
94 masking. Throughout the pandemic, longstanding and worsening social inequities have
95 predisposed historically marginalized and oppressed groups to both COVID-19-involved
96 and non-COVID-19-involved adverse health outcomes^{11,12} partly due to a higher
97 prevalence of baseline chronic medical conditions,¹³ more frequent exposure to
98 infections, and less access to healthcare prevention and treatment services.¹⁴

99 As of mid-September 2022, 18 months after the declaration of the pandemic by the
100 WHO, there had been approximately 6.5 million COVID-19-involved deaths and 600
101 million SARS-CoV-2 infections documented globally, with over 1 million deaths and
102 nearing 100 million infections occurring in the United States.¹⁵ The approximately
103 400,000-500,000 weekly confirmed cases documented in mid-September 2022 were
104 higher than the 200,000-250,000 weekly confirmed cases documented in mid-
105 September 2020 and lower than the 1 million weekly confirmed cases documented in
106 mid-September 2021, during the wave of the highly transmissible Delta (B.1.617.2)
107 variant.¹⁵ While comparisons are limited by the variable number and types of tests
108 performed at different stages of the pandemic (eg, approximate weekly COVID-19
109 Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests reported to the CDC in mid-September 2020 [6.5 to 7

110 million]; 2021 [11.5-12 million]; and 2022 [3.3-3.5 million]),¹⁶ the persistence of hundreds
111 of thousands of detected infections despite reduced reported testing serves as evidence
112 of sustained SARS-CoV-2 transmission in the US.

113 Additionally, though prevalence estimates vary widely due to differing definitions of
114 post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection—also known as Long COVID and Post-
115 COVID Conditions—abundant emerging evidence points to long-term sequelae for a
116 substantial percentage of people following the acute phase of infection, including
117 pulmonary, cardiovascular, renal, hepatic, neurologic, and mental health sequelae, as
118 well as functional mobility impairments and general and constitutional symptoms.^{17–19}

119 Without an official announcement from the World Health Organization or US Centers
120 for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), on September 18, 2022, the President of the
121 United States twice declared “the pandemic is over” on an American television news
122 magazine broadcast. On September 20, 2022, the President addressed the
123 controversial comments at the United Nations General Assembly, clarifying he had
124 intended to convey that the US was in a different place due to vaccines and post-
125 infectious immunity. At that same Assembly, the WHO Director-General stated that the
126 pandemic was not over, and on September 22, 2022, the CDC Director neither directly
127 agreed nor disagreed with the president's assertion.

128 Public perceptions about the pandemic are consequential. A recent study found that
129 only 15% of surveyed adults living in areas with high COVID-19 transmission during
130 May through July 2022 realized that COVID-19 transmission in their local area was high
131 at that time.²⁰ Lower perceived local community transmission levels were associated
132 with having received fewer COVID-19 vaccines, lower concern about SARS-CoV-2

133 variant viruses, and less frequent engagement in COVID-19 preventive behaviors.

134 Moreover, respondents indicated that they would change their behaviors in response to
135 levels of local COVID-19 transmission, highlighting that keeping the public fully informed
136 about the state of the pandemic, which is an essential tenant of public health,²¹ could
137 influence engagement in behaviors to reduce transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

138 We therefore sought to estimate the prevalence of US adults who agreed with, felt
139 neutral about, and disagreed with the statement, “the pandemic is over,” and to
140 characterize the association between agreement with the pandemic-over statement and
141 engagement in behaviors to reduce SARS-CoV-2 infections and prevent severe COVID-
142 19 illness. Additionally, we endeavored to characterize demographic associations with
143 agreement with the pandemic-over statement to identify groups to prioritize tailored
144 public health communication strategies.

145 **Methods**

146 Setting and Participants

147 During September 26 to October 15, 2022 (ie, approximately 1 to 3 weeks after the
148 President’s “the pandemic is over” statement), US adults aged ≥ 18 years completed
149 internet-based surveys through Qualtrics for The COVID-19 Outbreak Public Evaluation
150 (COPE) Initiative (www.thecopeinitiative.org). Demographic quota sampling and survey
151 weighting were employed to improve sample representativeness of the US population
152 by age, sex, and combined race and ethnicity. Respondents were not informed of
153 survey topics prior to commencement.

154 Key Definitions

155 *Main Outcome*

156 Respondents were asked, ‘Select your response to the statement, “The pandemic is
157 over.’” Response options included Strongly agree, Somewhat agree, Neutral,
158 Somewhat disagree, and Strongly disagree.

159 *Demographic Characteristics*

160 Demographic variables included in this analysis were sex at birth, age group in
161 years, race, ethnicity, highest education attainment, experience with disability, self-rated
162 health, roles as unpaid caregivers, state of residence (categorized as 4 US Census
163 Bureau-designated regions), and political ideology. Response options and analytic
164 categorizations are detailed in the Supplement.

165 *Pandemic-related Characteristics*

166 Pandemic-related variables included in this analysis were frequency of mask usage
167 in public and avoidance of large gatherings, COVID-19 vaccine doses received, and
168 concern about the health effects of coronavirus variants circulating at the time
169 respondents were surveyed. Response options and analytic categorizations are detailed
170 in the Supplement.

171 Statistical Analyses

172 Rounded, weighted counts and percentages were used to describe the sample
173 demographics and outcomes. Multivariable Poisson regressions with robust standard
174 errors were used to estimate adjusted prevalence ratios (aPRs) and 95% CIs for
175 agreement (somewhat or strongly) that the pandemic was over when surveyed,

176 adjusted for the following: sex, age, combined race and ethnicity, education attainment,
177 and unpaid caregiver roles. Separate models were run for collinear variables: disability
178 and self-rated health, Census region and political ideology. Calculations were performed
179 in Python (V3.7.8) and R (V4.2.0). Statistical significance was defined as 95% CIs
180 excluding the null value.

181 Study Approval and Consent

182 The Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee approved the study
183 protocol; participants provided informed consent electronically. Detailed Methods are in
184 the Supplement.

185 Results

186 Overall, 5015 of 8930 invited eligible participants (56.2%) completed The COPE
187 Initiative surveys; 4985 of these respondents (99.4%) met secondary screening criteria
188 and were analyzed (Figure 1). Overall, 1657 (33.2%) respondents indicated that they
189 agreed with the statement “The pandemic is over” (661 [13.3%] strongly agreed; 996
190 [20.0%] somewhat agreed); 2141 (43.0%) indicated that they disagreed (954 [19.1%]
191 strongly disagreed; 1188 [23.8%] somewhat disagreed); and 1187 (23.8%) provided a
192 neutral response (Table 1).

193 Responses to the pandemic-over statement also varied by demographic
194 characteristics (Table 1). Groups in which more than 40% of respondents agreed the
195 pandemic was over included respondents who were unpaid caregivers of both children
196 and adults (376 of 609 respondents [53.6%]); those who reported their self-rated health
197 as excellent (445 of 846 respondents [52.6%]); those who identified their political

198 ideology as very conservative (425 of 824 respondents [51.6%]) or slightly conservative
199 (349 of 848 respondents [41.2%]); those who identified as having disabilities such that
200 they could not do some tasks at all (187 of 379 respondents [49.3%]); and those who
201 had education attainment of more than a bachelor's degree (399 of 879 respondents
202 [45.3%]). Conversely, groups in which less than 25% of respondents agreed the
203 pandemic was over included respondents who identified their political ideology as
204 neither liberal nor conservative (327 of 1404 respondents [23.3%]); those who identified
205 their combined race and ethnicity of Black, non-Hispanic (147 of 612 respondents
206 [24.0%]) and other race or multiple races, non-Hispanic (31 of 129 respondents
207 [24.4%]); those who were of age 65 years and over (260 of 1078 respondents [24.1%]);
208 and those who self-rated their health as poor (39 of 154 respondents [25.0%]).

209 Responses to the pandemic-over statement also varied by COVID-19-related
210 attitudes and behaviors (Table 2). Groups in which more than 40% of respondents
211 agreed the pandemic was over included respondents who in the 2 weeks preceding the
212 survey never avoided large gatherings (686 of 1707 respondents [40.2%]) or never
213 wore a mask in public spaces (702 of 1537 respondents [45.6%]); those who had
214 received only one COVID-19 vaccine dose (163 of 385 respondents [42.5%]); and those
215 who were not at all concerned (487 of 934 respondents [52.2%]) or somewhat
216 unconcerned (218 of 495 respondents [44.0%]) about current SARS-CoV-2 variant
217 viruses. Conversely, groups in which less than 25% of respondents agreed the
218 pandemic was over included respondents who had received four or more COVID-19
219 vaccine doses (155 of 852 respondents [18.2%]); those who in the 2 weeks preceding
220 the survey had sometimes worn a face mask in public (208 of 859 respondents [24.2%])

221 or not gone to public places (33 of 150 respondents [21.8%]); and those who were
222 somewhat concerned (364 of 1484 respondents [24.5%]) or neutral (293 of 1209
223 respondents [24.2%]) about current SARS-CoV-2 variant viruses.

224 The multivariable model adjusting for demographic variables only revealed higher
225 odds of agreement with the pandemic-over statement among respondents with
226 conservative vs liberal political ideology (eg, very conservative vs very liberal, aOR, 2.6
227 [95% CI, 2.1 to 3.3]); those with roles as unpaid caregivers of both children and adults
228 vs those without caregiver roles (aOR, 2.1 [95% CI, 1.7 to 2.5]); those of male vs female
229 sex (aOR, 1.6 [95% CI, 1.4 to 1.9]); those of younger vs older age (eg, 18 to 24 vs 65 or
230 more years, aOR, 1.8 [95% CI, 1.3 to 2.5]); and those with disabilities such that they
231 could not do some tasks at all vs without disabilities (aOR, 1.5 [95% CI, 1.1 to 1.9])
232 (Figure 2). Lower odds of agreement were found for respondents with worse self-rated
233 health (eg, poor vs excellent, aOR, 0.4 [95% CI, 0.3 to 0.7]); those with lower education
234 attainment (eg, high school degree or less vs more than a bachelor's degree, aOR, 0.5
235 [95% CI, 0.4 to 0.7]); and those who identified their combined race and ethnicity as
236 something other than non-Hispanic White (eg, non-Hispanic Black, aOR, 0.6 [0.5 to
237 0.7]).

238 Multivariable models adjusting for demographic variables plus individual COVID-19-
239 related variables revealed higher odds of agreement with the pandemic-over statement
240 among respondents who in the 2 weeks preceding the survey never vs always wore
241 masks in public spaces (aOR, 3.0 [95% CI, 2.4 to 3.7]); those who had rarely or never
242 vs always avoided large gatherings (eg, never, aOR, 2.2 [95% CI, 1.7 to 2.7]) or had
243 received fewer vs more COVID-19 vaccine doses (e.g., zero vs four or more doses,

244 aOR, 3.4 [95% CI, 2.5 to 4.6]); and who were not at all concerned or somewhat
245 unconcerned vs very concerned about SARS-CoV-2 variant viruses (eg, not at all
246 concerned, aOR, 3.2 [95% CI, 2.5 to 4.0]) (Figure 3).

247 Discussion

248 Only 1 in 3 US adults in a large scale, demographically representative survey sample
249 agreed “the [COVID-19] pandemic is over” in September to October 2022. In contrast,
250 43% of adults disagreed that the pandemic was over, while the remaining 24% were
251 neutral about the statement. Agreement with the pandemic-over statement was most
252 strongly associated with having received fewer COVID-19 vaccines, lesser concern
253 about SARS-CoV-2 variant viruses, and less frequent engagement in COVID-19
254 preventive behaviors such as mask usage in public spaces, as well as increasingly
255 conservative political ideology, roles as unpaid caregivers of both children and adults,
256 younger age, male sex, and significant disabilities. These findings demonstrate how
257 divided public sentiments are about the state of the COVID-19 pandemic, and reveal
258 associated COVID-19-related attitudes and behaviors that could compromise mitigation
259 efforts, as well as associated demographic characteristics that could inform tailored
260 messaging strategies.

261 Inconsistent messaging about the COVID-19 outbreak has been a persistent
262 challenge over the course of the pandemic. For example, through February and March
263 2020, many US public health leaders and the WHO advised the public against use of
264 face masks to reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmission, even stating that they could increase
265 risk of infection, and reported that asymptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 was
266 rare.^{22–24} In April 2020, the CDC recommended public face mask use for the first time, a

267 stance strengthened by convincing evidence of the effectiveness of masks in reducing
268 SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 hospitalizations and deaths.²⁵⁻²⁹ However,
269 revision of the guidance on masking plagued widespread adherence with this
270 affordable, noninvasive, effective behavior to reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmission due in
271 part to the complete reversal of prior guidance and continued belief that masks were
272 ineffective by a portion of the public.^{30,31} Similarly, in May 2021 the CDC lifted mask and
273 social distancing recommendations for fully vaccinated individuals, citing extremely low
274 risks of infection.³² The guidance suggested low breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infections
275 among vaccinated persons, even indicating that fully vaccinated persons need not test
276 following exposures, though breakthrough infections associated with severe COVID-19
277 illness have occurred at non-negligible rates.³³ Mixed messages have continued to
278 present a challenge for public health leaders for guidance regarding the return to in-
279 person school and work, aerosolized transmission of coronaviruses, and SARS-CoV-2
280 variant viruses.³⁴

281 Most recently, there has been controversy regarding revised CDC guidelines for
282 minimizing the impact of COVID-19, published in August 2022.³⁵ The guidelines, which
283 do not mention the word pandemic, have been interpreted as transferring responsibility
284 to individuals to monitor the pandemic and take actions to protect themselves from
285 severe COVID-19 outcomes³⁶ at a time when COVID-19 media coverage has
286 declined.³⁷ Moreover, the guidelines mention but do not discuss Long COVID, which
287 can affect people across the spectrum of acute COVID-19 illness, including initially
288 asymptomatic infections,³⁸ leading to considerable healthcare costs.^{39,40} Despite
289 evidence that risk of Long COVID increases with reinfections regardless of vaccination

290 status,⁴¹ pandemic-related public health messaging has not yet focused on minimizing
291 risk of Long COVID, instead focusing on strategies to mitigate severe outcomes in the
292 acute stage of illness.

293 The findings of the current study are also consistent with those of a study about
294 perceived local COVID-19 transmission levels,²⁰ as both studies found that individual
295 behaviors aligned with peoples' perceptions of important pandemic-related information.
296 People who agreed the pandemic was over and those who did not accurately perceive
297 local COVID-19 transmission levels as high had received fewer COVID-19 vaccines,
298 conveyed less concern about SARS-CoV-2 variant viruses, and reported less frequent
299 engagement in COVID-19 preventive behaviors compared with other adults. The extent
300 to which individuals are no longer seeking COVID-19-related information or the
301 information is not readily available is unclear. Given the association between these
302 beliefs and non-engagement in COVID-19-related prevention behaviors, public health
303 communication efforts to fully inform these groups are needed.

304 The findings of demographic characteristics associated with agreement with the
305 pandemic-over statement have important implications for tailored public health
306 messaging about the pandemic. Some associations with agreement, such as more
307 conservative political ideology, were anticipated given political polarization during the
308 pandemic, as more conservative ideology has consistently been associated with lower
309 levels of concern about and attention to the pandemic and less frequent engagement in
310 COVID-19 prevention efforts.⁴²

311 However, the findings that agreement with the pandemic-over statement was
312 associated with significant disabilities and roles as unpaid caregivers of both children

313 and adults were surprising. People with disabilities are at increased risk of severe
314 COVID-19 outcomes, as highlighted in the CDC's guidance published in August 2022.³⁵
315 Indeed, COVID-19-associated hospitalization rates among disability-eligible Medicare
316 beneficiaries are approximately 50% higher than rates among age-eligible
317 beneficiaries.⁴³ For other major risk factors, such as age and health status, responses to
318 the pandemic-over statement reflected risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes, as older
319 adults and people with fair or poor vs excellent health more commonly disagreed that
320 the pandemic was over. Perhaps not surprisingly, caregivers of children and adults,
321 who's caregiving often puts them at higher risk of SARS-CoV-2 exposures, more
322 commonly agreed that the pandemic was over. For caregivers of children specifically,
323 perceptions could also be influenced by seasonal outbreaks of influenza and respiratory
324 syncytial virus detracting attention away from COVID-19. Further investigation into the
325 factors underlying perceptions about the pandemic within these groups is warranted.

326 Strengths of this analysis include use of a large, demographically representative
327 sample of US adults and comprehensive characterization of respondents. There are
328 limitations, including English-language-only, internet-based surveys that were
329 administered using non-probabilistic sampling methods, limiting the generalizability of
330 the findings; however, demographic quota sampling and survey weighting were applied
331 to improve demographic representativeness. Additionally, associative findings from
332 multivariable models do not reflect directionality or causality.

333 In conclusion, based on a large scale, demographically representative sample, we
334 found that as of late September to mid-October 2022, only 1 in 3 US adults agreed with
335 the statement "the pandemic is over" and that 43% of adults disagreed with that

336 statement. Adults who agreed with the pandemic-over statement had higher odds of
337 being unvaccinated or under-vaccinated against COVID-19, were less concerned about
338 SARS-CoV-2 variant viruses and were less engaged in behaviors to reduce COVID-19
339 transmission. We also identified demographic groups to prioritize for tailored public
340 health messaging about the pandemic status, including people with more conservative
341 political ideology, with significant disabilities, in roles as unpaid caregivers of both
342 children and adults, and of younger age or male sex. Continued assessment of public
343 perceptions about the state of the pandemic is warranted as we enter Year 4 of the
344 COVID-19 pandemic.⁴⁴

345 **Additional Information**

346 Author Contributions: M. É. Czeisler had full access to all of the data in the study and
347 takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

348 *Concept and design:* M. É. Czeisler, Weaver, C. A. Czeisler.

349 *Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data:* M. É. Czeisler, Weaver.

350 *Drafting of the manuscript:* M. É. Czeisler.

351 *Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* All authors.

352 *Statistical analysis:* M. É. Czeisler.

353 *Obtained funding:* M. É. Czeisler, Weaver, Lane, Howard, Rajaratnam, C. A. Czeisler.

354 *Administrative, technical, or material support:* Weaver, Lane, C. A. Czeisler.

355 **Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** All authors report institutional grants from CDC
356 Foundation and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Mark É Czeisler
357 reports institutional gifts or grants from WHOOP, Inc., HopeLab Foundation, consulting
358 fees from Vanda Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Nychthemeron, LLC, and equity interest in
359 With Deep, Inc. Matthew D Weaver reports institutional grants from Brigham and
360 Women's Hospital Physician's Organization, Brigham Research Institute, and the
361 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; and consulting fees from the Fred Hutchinson
362 Cancer Center, the National Sleep Foundation, and the University of Pittsburgh.

363 Shantha MW Rajaratnam reports institutional grants from Cooperative Research Centre
364 for Alertness, Safety and Productivity, National Health and Medical Research Council,
365 CSIRO, the Australian Research Council, Australasian Sleep Association, Wellcome
366 Trust, Collingwood Football Club, Vanda Pharmaceuticals, Department of Defense,
367 WHOOP, Inc. HopeLab Foundation; institutional consultancy fees from Teva Pharma
368 Australia, Circadian Therapeutics, BHP, Roche, Avecho, Vanda Pharmaceuticals;
369 institutional and personal consulting fees from Cooperative Research Centre for
370 Alertness, Safety and Productivity; payment for expert testimony from Herbert Smith
371 Freehills and Maurice Blackburn; Patent for Systems and Methods for Monitoring and
372 Control of Sleep Patterns; and service as chair for the Sleep Health Foundation Board
373 of Directors. Mark E Howard reports participation on the ResApp Health Advisory
374 Board, and honorary board membership for the Institute for Breathing and Sleep.
375 Charles A Czeisler serves as the incumbent of an endowed professorship provided to
376 Harvard Medical School by Cephalon, Inc. and as chair of the Sleep Timing and
377 Variability Consensus Panel, National Sleep Foundation; and reports institutional
378 support for the Quality Improvement Initiative from Delta Airlines and Puget Sound
379 Pilots; education support to Harvard Medical School Division of Sleep Medicine; support
380 to Brigham and Women's Hospital from Jazz Pharmaceuticals PLC, Inc. and Philips
381 Respironics, Inc; support to Brigham and Women's Hospital from Axome Therapeutics,
382 Inc., Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, and Sanofi SA; educational funding to the Sleep and
383 Health Education of the Harvard Medical School Division of Sleep Medicine from
384 ResMed, Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries, Ltd., and Vanda Pharmaceuticals; royalty
385 payments on sales of the Actiwatch-2 and Actiwatch-Spectrum devices from Philips
386 Respironics, Inc.; personal consulting fees from With Deep, Inc. and Vanda
387 Pharmaceuticals; honoraria for Thomas Roth Lecture of Excellence at SLEEP 2022
388 annual meeting and from the Massachusetts Medical Society for writing a Perspective
389 article in the New England Journal of Medicine; payment for expert testimony from
390 Puget Sound Pilots, Amtrak, Enterprise Rent-A-Car, Dallas Police Association, FedEx,
391 PAR Electrical Contractors, Inc., Schlumberger Technology Corp., Union Pacific
392 Railroad, United Parcel Service, Vanda Pharmaceuticals, and the San Francisco
393 Sheriff's Department; travel support from the Stanley Ho Medical Development
394 Foundation for travel to Macao and Hong Kong; advisory board membership for the
395 Institute of Digital Media and Child Development, Klarman Family Foundation, and the
396 UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council; equity interest in Vanda
397 Pharmaceuticals, With Deep, Inc., and Signos, Inc.; and institutional receipt of
398 educational gifts to Brigham and Women's Hospital from Johnson & Johnson, Mary Ann
399 and Stanley Snider via Combined Jewish Philanthropies, Alexandra Drane, DR Capital,
400 Harmony Biosciences, LLC, and to Harvard University from ResMed, Inc. No other
401 potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

402 **Funding/Support:** Funding for survey data collection was supported in part by a
403 research contract from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and
404 NTouch-BCT Strategies, LLC, with subcontracts to Brigham and Women's Hospital,
405 Austin Health, and Monash University.

406 **Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the
407 study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation,
408 review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for
409 publication.

410 **Disclaimer:** The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do
411 not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and
412 Prevention.

413 References

414 1. World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media
415 briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. Published 2020. Accessed June 20, 2021.
416 [https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-](https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)
417 [remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020](https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)

418 2. The White House. Proclamation on Declaring a National Emergency Concerning the
419 Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Outbreak – The White House. Published
420 2020. Accessed June 20, 2021. [https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/presidential-](https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/)
421 [actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-](https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/)
422 [disease-covid-19-outbreak/](https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/)

423 3. Czeisler MÉ, Howard ME, Robbins R, et al. Early public adherence with and support
424 for stay-at-home COVID-19 mitigation strategies despite adverse life impact: a
425 transnational cross-sectional survey study in the United States and Australia. *BMC*
426 *Public Health*. 2021;21(1):503. doi:10.1186/s12889-021-10410-x

427 4. Czeisler MÉ, Tynan MA, Howard ME, et al. Public Attitudes, Behaviors, and Beliefs
428 Related to COVID-19, Stay-at-Home Orders, Nonessential Business Closures, and
429 Public Health Guidance - United States, New York City, and Los Angeles, May 5-12,
430 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(24):751-758.
431 doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6924e1

432 5. Nelson LM, Simard JF, Oluyomi A, et al. US Public Concerns About the COVID-19
433 Pandemic From Results of a Survey Given via Social Media. *JAMA Intern Med*.
434 2020;180(7):1020-1022. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.1369

435 6. Moreland A, Herlihy C, Tynan MA, et al. Timing of State and Territorial COVID-19
436 Stay-at-Home Orders and Changes in Population Movement - United States, March
437 1-May 31, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(35):1198-1203.
438 doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6935a2

439 7. Adjodah D, Dinakar K, Chinazzi M, et al. Association between COVID-19 outcomes
440 and mask mandates, adherence, and attitudes. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(6):e0252315.
441 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0252315

- 442** 8. MacIntyre CR, Nguyen PY, Chughtai AA, et al. Mask use, risk-mitigation behaviours
443 and pandemic fatigue during the COVID-19 pandemic in five cities in Australia, the
444 UK and USA: A cross-sectional survey. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2021;106:199-207.
445 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.03.056
- 446** 9. Bilinski A, Emanuel EJ. COVID-19 and Excess All-Cause Mortality in the US and 18
447 Comparison Countries. *JAMA.* 2020;324(20):2100-2102.
448 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.20717
- 449** 10. Bilinski A, Thompson K, Emanuel E. COVID-19 and Excess All-Cause Mortality
450 in the US and 20 Comparison Countries, June 2021-March 2022. *JAMA.* Published
451 online November 18, 2022. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.21795
- 452** 11. Shiels MS, Haque AT, Haozous EA, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Excess
453 Deaths During the COVID-19 Pandemic, March to December 2020. *Ann Intern Med.*
454 2021;174(12):1693-1699. doi:10.7326/M21-2134
- 455** 12. Magesh S, John D, Li WT, et al. Disparities in COVID-19 Outcomes by Race,
456 Ethnicity, and Socioeconomic Status: A Systematic-Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA*
457 *Netw Open.* 2021;4(11):e2134147. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34147
- 458** 13. Price JH, Khubchandani J, McKinney M, Braun R. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in
459 Chronic Diseases of Youths and Access to Health Care in the United States. *Biomed*
460 *Res Int.* 2013;2013:787616. doi:10.1155/2013/787616
- 461** 14. Boehmer TK, Koumans EH, Skillen EL, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in
462 Outpatient Treatment of COVID-19 - United States, January-July 2022. *MMWR Morb*
463 *Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2022;71(43):1359-1365. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7143a2
- 464** 15. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-
465 19 in real time. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020;20(5):533-534. doi:10.1016/S1473-
466 3099(20)30120-1
- 467** 16. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Trends in Number of COVID-19
468 Cases and Deaths in the US Reported to CDC, by State/Territory. COVID Data
469 Tracker. Published March 28, 2020. Accessed December 2, 2022.
470 <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker>
- 471** 17. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Rodríguez-Jiménez J, Cancela-Cilleruelo I, et al.
472 Post-COVID-19 Symptoms 2 Years After SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Hospitalized
473 vs Nonhospitalized Patients. *JAMA Network Open.* 2022;5(11):e2242106.
474 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.42106
- 475** 18. Groff D, Sun A, Ssentongo AE, et al. Short-term and Long-term Rates of
476 Postacute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Network*
477 *Open.* 2021;4(10):e2128568. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.28568

- 478** 19. Perlis RH, Santillana M, Ognyanova K, et al. Prevalence and Correlates of Long
479 COVID Symptoms Among US Adults. *JAMA Network Open*. 2022;5(10):e2238804.
480 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.38804
- 481** 20. Czeisler MÉ, Lane RI, Orellana RC, et al. Perception of Local COVID-19
482 Transmission and Use of Preventive Behaviors Among Adults with Recent SARS-
483 CoV-2 Infection - Illinois and Michigan, June 1-July 31, 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal*
484 *Wkly Rep*. 2022;71(46):1471-1478. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7146a2
- 485** 21. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Public Health System and the
486 10 Essential Public Health Services. Public Health Professionals Gateway. Accessed
487 December 2, 2022. [https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/zz-](https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/zz-sddev/essentialhealthservices.html)
488 [sddev/essentialhealthservices.html](https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/zz-sddev/essentialhealthservices.html)
- 489** 22. Miltimore J. Fauci's Mask Flip-Flop, Explained (by Economics) | Jon Miltimore.
490 Published June 3, 2021. Accessed November 25, 2022. [https://fee.org/articles/fauci-](https://fee.org/articles/fauci-s-mask-flip-flop-explained-by-economics/)
491 [s-mask-flip-flop-explained-by-economics/](https://fee.org/articles/fauci-s-mask-flip-flop-explained-by-economics/)
- 492** 23. WHO's COVID backtrack leaves us wondering who to believe. Healthing.ca.
493 Accessed November 25, 2022. [https://www.healthing.ca/opinion/whos-covid-](https://www.healthing.ca/opinion/whos-covid-backtrack-leaves-us-wondering-who-to-believe)
494 [backtrack-leaves-us-wondering-who-to-believe](https://www.healthing.ca/opinion/whos-covid-backtrack-leaves-us-wondering-who-to-believe)
- 495** 24. Netburn D. A timeline of the CDC's advice on face masks. Los Angeles Times.
496 Published July 27, 2021. Accessed November 25, 2022.
497 [https://www.latimes.com/science/story/2021-07-27/timeline-cdc-mask-guidance-](https://www.latimes.com/science/story/2021-07-27/timeline-cdc-mask-guidance-during-covid-19-pandemic)
498 [during-covid-19-pandemic](https://www.latimes.com/science/story/2021-07-27/timeline-cdc-mask-guidance-during-covid-19-pandemic)
- 499** 25. Brooks JT, Butler JC. Effectiveness of Mask Wearing to Control Community
500 Spread of SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA*. 2021;325(10):998-999. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.1505
- 501** 26. Brooks JT, Butler JC, Redfield RR. Universal Masking to Prevent SARS-CoV-2
502 Transmission-The Time Is Now. *JAMA*. 2020;324(7):635-637.
503 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.13107
- 504** 27. Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, et al. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye
505 protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19:
506 a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10242):1973-1987.
507 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31142-9
- 508** 28. Joo H, Miller GF, Sunshine G, et al. Decline in COVID-19 Hospitalization Growth
509 Rates Associated with Statewide Mask Mandates - 10 States, March-October 2020.
510 *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70(6):212-216. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7006e2
- 511** 29. Lyu W, Wehby GL. Community Use Of Face Masks And COVID-19: Evidence
512 From A Natural Experiment Of State Mandates In The US. *Health Aff (Millwood)*.
513 2020;39(8):1419-1425. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00818

- 514** 30. Taylor S, Asmundson GJG. Negative attitudes about facemasks during the
515 COVID-19 pandemic: The dual importance of perceived ineffectiveness and
516 psychological reactance. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(2):e0246317.
517 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0246317
- 518** 31. Rubin O, Rin Kim S, Faulders K. Mixed messages on masks from leaders during
519 pandemic has caused confusion for many. *ABC News*.
520 [https://abcnews.go.com/US/mixed-messages-masks-critical-tool-slow-pandemic-](https://abcnews.go.com/US/mixed-messages-masks-critical-tool-slow-pandemic-slowed/story?id=70526293)
521 [slowled/story?id=70526293](https://abcnews.go.com/US/mixed-messages-masks-critical-tool-slow-pandemic-slowed/story?id=70526293). Published May 6, 2020. Accessed June 28, 2021.
- 522** 32. Cohen E, Bonifield J. People vaccinated against Covid-19 can go without masks
523 indoors and outdoors, CDC says. *CNBC*. Published May 13, 2021. Accessed
524 December 19, 2022. [https://www.cnn.com/2021/05/13/health/cdc-mask-guidance-](https://www.cnn.com/2021/05/13/health/cdc-mask-guidance-vaccinated/index.html)
525 [vaccinated/index.html](https://www.cnn.com/2021/05/13/health/cdc-mask-guidance-vaccinated/index.html)
- 526** 33. Lipsitch M, Krammer F, Regev-Yochay G, Lustig Y, Balicer RD. SARS-CoV-2
527 breakthrough infections in vaccinated individuals: measurement, causes and impact.
528 *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2022;22(1):57-65. doi:10.1038/s41577-021-00662-4
- 529** 34. Segal E. Mixed Messages From White House And CDC Could Worsen Covid
530 Crisis. *Forbes*. Accessed November 25, 2022.
531 [https://www.forbes.com/sites/edwardsegal/2021/07/30/mixed-messages-about-delta-](https://www.forbes.com/sites/edwardsegal/2021/07/30/mixed-messages-about-delta-variant-could-worsen-covid-crisis/)
532 [variant-could-worsen-covid-crisis/](https://www.forbes.com/sites/edwardsegal/2021/07/30/mixed-messages-about-delta-variant-could-worsen-covid-crisis/)
- 533** 35. Massetti GM, Jackson BR, Brooks JT, et al. Summary of Guidance for Minimizing
534 the Impact of COVID-19 on Individual Persons, Communities, and Health Care
535 Systems - United States, August 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*.
536 2022;71(33):1057-1064. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7133e1
- 537** 36. Sun LH, Achenbach J. CDC loosens coronavirus guidance, signaling strategic
538 shift. *Washington Post*. [https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2022/08/11/cdc-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2022/08/11/cdc-coronavirus-recommendations/)
539 [coronavirus-recommendations/](https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2022/08/11/cdc-coronavirus-recommendations/). Published August 12, 2022. Accessed November 25,
540 2022.
- 541** 37. Pearman O, Boykoff M, Osborne-Gowey J, et al. COVID-19 media coverage
542 decreasing despite deepening crisis. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2021;5(1):e6-e7.
543 doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30303-X
- 544** 38. Crook H, Raza S, Nowell J, Young M, Edison P. Long covid-mechanisms, risk
545 factors, and management. *BMJ*. 2021;374:n1648. doi:10.1136/bmj.n1648
- 546** 39. Cutler DM. The Costs of Long COVID. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2022;3(5):e221809.
547 doi:10.1001/jamahealthforum.2022.1809
- 548** 40. Cutler DM, Summers LH. The COVID-19 Pandemic and the \$16 Trillion Virus.
549 *JAMA*. 2020;324(15):1495-1496. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.19759

- 550**
551
552
41. Bowe B, Xie Y, Al-Aly Z. Acute and postacute sequelae associated with SARS-CoV-2 reinfection. *Nat Med.* 2022;28(11):2398-2405. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02051-3
- 553**
554
555
42. Kerr J, Panagopoulos C, van der Linden S. Political polarization on COVID-19 pandemic response in the United States. *Pers Individ Dif.* 2021;179:110892. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2021.110892
- 556**
557
558
559
43. Yuan Y, Thierry JM, Bull-Otterson L, et al. COVID-19 Cases and Hospitalizations Among Medicare Beneficiaries With and Without Disabilities - United States, January 1, 2020-November 20, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2022;71(24):791-796. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7124a3
- 560**
561
44. Gostin LO. The Great Coronavirus Pandemic of 2020-7 Critical Lessons. *JAMA.* 2020;324(18):1816-1817. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.18347

Table 1. Response to the Statement “The Pandemic is Over” Among US Adults, Overall and by Demographic Characteristics, September to October 2022

	All respondents	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree	P
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	
All respondents	4985 (100)	954 (19.1)	1188 (23.8)	1187 (23.8)	996 (20.0)	661 (13.3)	
Sex							
Female	2539 (50.9)	577 (22.7)	639 (25.2)	639 (25.2)	450 (17.7)	234 (9.2)	<.001
Male	2446 (49.1)	376 (15.4)	549 (22.4)	548 (22.4)	546 (22.3)	427 (17.5)	
Age group, years							
18 to 24	581 (11.6)	85 (14.6)	118 (20.3)	176 (30.2)	132 (22.8)	70 (12.1)	<.001
25 to 44	1716 (34.4)	267 (15.6)	305 (17.8)	464 (27.1)	380 (22.2)	299 (17.4)	
45 to 64	1611 (32.3)	345 (21.4)	401 (24.9)	349 (21.7)	313 (19.4)	203 (12.6)	
65 and over	1078 (21.6)	257 (23.8)	363 (33.7)	198 (18.3)	171 (15.9)	89 (8.3)	
Combined race and ethnicity^a							
Asian, non-Hispanic	303 (6.1)	61 (20.1)	86 (28.2)	69 (22.8)	61 (20.1)	26 (8.7)	<.001
Black, non-Hispanic	612 (12.3)	160 (26.1)	148 (24.2)	158 (25.8)	99 (16.2)	48 (7.8)	
Hispanic or Latino, any race or races	844 (16.9)	170 (20.2)	190 (22.5)	207 (24.5)	161 (19.1)	116 (13.7)	
Other race or races, non-Hispanic	129 (2.6)	26 (19.8)	20 (15.7)	52 (40.0)	15 (12.0)	16 (12.4)	
White, non-Hispanic	3097 (62.1)	537 (17.3)	744 (24.0)	702 (22.6)	659 (21.3)	455 (14.7)	
Highest education attainment							
High school degree or less	1224 (24.5)	272 (22.2)	226 (18.5)	403 (32.9)	206 (16.9)	117 (9.5)	<.001
College or some college	2882 (57.8)	540 (18.7)	772 (26.8)	635 (22.0)	580 (20.1)	356 (12.3)	
More than bachelor's degree	879 (17.6)	142 (16.1)	190 (21.6)	149 (17.0)	210 (23.9)	189 (21.5)	
Experience with disability^b							
No difficulty with tasks	1954 (39.2)	364 (18.6)	471 (24.1)	491 (25.1)	377 (19.3)	252 (12.9)	<.001
Some difficulty with tasks	1678 (33.7)	366 (21.8)	484 (28.8)	338 (20.2)	316 (18.8)	174 (10.4)	
A lot of difficulty with tasks	974 (19.5)	178 (18.3)	190 (19.5)	254 (26.1)	198 (20.3)	154 (15.8)	
Cannot do some tasks at all	379 (7.6)	46 (12.0)	43 (11.3)	104 (27.3)	105 (27.7)	82 (21.6)	
Self-rated health							
Excellent	846 (17.0)	106 (12.6)	110 (13.0)	185 (21.9)	169 (20.0)	276 (32.6)	<.001
Very good	1561 (31.3)	262 (16.8)	360 (23.1)	355 (22.7)	403 (25.8)	181 (11.6)	
Good	1662 (33.3)	353 (21.2)	433 (26.1)	479 (28.8)	272 (16.4)	124 (7.5)	
Fair	761 (15.3)	184 (24.2)	242 (31.8)	143 (18.8)	124 (16.2)	68 (9.0)	
Poor	154 (3.1)	48 (31.3)	43 (27.6)	25 (16.1)	27 (17.7)	11 (7.3)	
Unpaid caregiver roles							
None	3412 (68.4)	686 (20.1)	873 (25.6)	839 (24.6)	628 (18.4)	387 (11.3)	<.001
Unpaid caregiver of adults only	540 (10.8)	140 (25.9)	119 (22.0)	115 (21.3)	102 (18.9)	64 (11.8)	

Unpaid caregiver of children only	424 (8.5)	58 (13.7)	121 (28.6)	95 (22.4)	109 (25.6)	41 (9.7)	
Unpaid caregiver of both age groups	609 (12.2)	70 (11.5)	74 (12.2)	138 (22.6)	157 (25.8)	170 (27.8)	
US Census region^c							
Northeast	935 (18.8)	175 (18.7)	207 (22.1)	237 (25.3)	182 (19.5)	134 (14.3)	>.99
Midwest	980 (19.7)	166 (16.9)	238 (24.3)	221 (22.5)	217 (22.1)	139 (14.2)	
South	1863 (37.4)	365 (19.6)	434 (23.3)	460 (24.7)	356 (19.1)	248 (13.3)	
West	1206 (24.2)	248 (20.6)	307 (25.5)	269 (22.3)	241 (20.0)	140 (11.6)	
Political ideology^d							
Very liberal	797 (16.0)	190 (23.9)	190 (23.8)	144 (18.0)	158 (19.8)	115 (14.4)	<.001
Slightly liberal	869 (17.4)	214 (24.7)	268 (30.8)	150 (17.3)	173 (19.9)	63 (7.3)	
Neither liberal nor conservative	1404 (28.2)	264 (18.8)	361 (25.7)	453 (32.2)	231 (16.4)	96 (6.8)	
Slightly conservative	848 (17.0)	117 (13.8)	210 (24.7)	173 (20.3)	226 (26.6)	123 (14.5)	
Very conservative	824 (16.5)	113 (13.7)	117 (14.2)	169 (20.5)	182 (22.0)	244 (29.6)	

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019

^a Includes “American Indian or Alaskan Native,” “Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander,” and “Other.”

^b Based on the Washington Group - Short Set of Questions on Disability. Includes difficulty seeing, hearing, walking or climbing steps, remembering or concentrating, with self-care, and communicating.

^c A single respondent living in Puerto Rico is not included in the US Census Region rows.


^d Respondents who preferred not to answer with their political ideology (n = 243) are not included in the Political ideology tables.

Table 2. Response to the Statement “The Pandemic is Over” Among US Adults, Overall and by COVID-19-Related Characteristics, September to October 2022

	All respondents	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neutral	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree	<i>P</i>
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	
All respondents	4985 (100)	954 (19.1)	1188 (23.8)	1187 (23.8)	996 (20)	661 (13.3)	
Frequency of mask use in public spaces in the past 2 weeks							
Always	1007 (20.2)	329 (32.7)	219 (21.8)	183 (18.2)	157 (15.6)	118 (11.8)	<.001
Often	689 (13.8)	124 (18.0)	175 (25.4)	153 (22.3)	136 (19.7)	100 (14.6)	
Sometimes	859 (17.2)	157 (18.3)	245 (28.5)	249 (29.0)	150 (17.4)	58 (6.7)	
Rarely	743 (14.9)	103 (13.9)	228 (30.7)	209 (28.1)	158 (21.3)	45 (6.1)	
Never	1537 (30.8)	196 (12.7)	295 (19.2)	345 (22.4)	373 (24.3)	329 (21.4)	
Did not go to a public place	150 (3.0)	45 (30.0)	25 (16.7)	47 (31.5)	22 (14.9)	10 (6.9)	
Frequency of avoidance of large gatherings in the past 2 weeks							
Always	843 (16.9)	277 (32.8)	176 (20.8)	143 (16.9)	102 (12.1)	146 (17.4)	
Often	852 (17.1)	197 (23.1)	216 (25.3)	199 (23.3)	166 (19.5)	75 (8.8)	<.001
Sometimes	947 (19.0)	160 (16.9)	232 (24.5)	290 (30.7)	188 (19.8)	76 (8.1)	
Rarely	635 (12.7)	77 (12.1)	194 (30.6)	147 (23.1)	165 (26.0)	52 (8.2)	
Never	1707 (34.2)	243 (14.3)	370 (21.6)	408 (23.9)	375 (22.0)	311 (18.2)	
COVID-19 vaccine doses received							
Zero	1075 (21.6)	179 (16.6)	160 (14.9)	329 (30.6)	205 (19.1)	201 (18.7)	<.001
One	385 (7.7)	56 (14.5)	63 (16.4)	102 (26.6)	92 (23.8)	72 (18.7)	
Two	1351 (27.1)	202 (14.9)	340 (25.2)	315 (23.3)	311 (23.0)	183 (13.6)	
Three	1323 (26.5)	243 (18.4)	341 (25.8)	300 (22.7)	265 (20.0)	173 (13.1)	
Four or more	852 (17.1)	274 (32.2)	283 (33.2)	140 (16.4)	123 (14.5)	32 (3.7)	
Concern about coronavirus variants							
Not at all concerned	934 (18.7)	114 (12.2)	93 (10.0)	240 (25.7)	186 (19.9)	302 (32.3)	<.001
Somewhat unconcerned	495 (9.9)	60 (12.1)	118 (23.9)	99 (20.0)	168 (33.9)	50 (10.1)	
Neutral	1209 (24.3)	107 (8.9)	267 (22.1)	542 (44.9)	229 (18.9)	64 (5.3)	
Somewhat concerned	1484 (29.8)	343 (23.1)	574 (38.7)	203 (13.7)	299 (20.2)	65 (4.4)	
Very concerned	863 (17.3)	330 (38.3)	136 (15.7)	102 (11.9)	115 (13.3)	180 (20.9)	


Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019

Figure 1. Flow of The COPE Initiative Survey Respondents, September to October 2022.



It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure 2. Adjusted Odds Ratios of Having Agreed that the Pandemic was Over by Demographic Characteristics, September to October 2022.



It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure 3. Adjusted Odds Ratios of Having Agreed that the Pandemic was Over by COVID-19-Related Characteristics, September to October 2022.

