Domain 1- Confounding:

- Baseline confounding: Can we say they have a similar baseline based on following characteristics:
 - Age,
 - o BMI,
 - Sex,
 - o Menstrual cycle (if female),
 - Fitness status.
- Time varying confounding, based on the following:
 - Fasting duration of > 8 hr.
 - Diet control
 - o Exercise controlled in 24 hr prior to testing

Domain 2- Selection of participants:

- Was selection into study based on participant characteristics after start of intervention.
- Given the nature of included studies intervention's, most studies will be LOW for domain.
- Excluding participants based on serum or miRNA QC are irrelevant in this domain, as per guidance doc- "selection bias occurs when selection of participants is related to both intervention and outcome".

<u>Domain 3- Classification of intervention:</u>

- Study provides clear details of the following exercise intervention parameters:
 - o Type
 - o Dose
 - Frequency
 - Intensity and/ or duration

Domain 4- Deviations from intended interventions:

- Was there sufficient control for implementation of the intended treatment protocol? Consider whether there was sufficient control of the following:
 - Exercise intensity (Rate of Perceived Exertion (RPE), Heart Rate, or was intensity controlled between participants).
 - Exercise duration.
 - Time of day participants
- Co-interventions that apply to pre and post intervention timepoint. Consider the following:

- Fasting duration of > 8 hr or controlled diet night before or morning of intervention
- o Exercise controlled in 24 hr prior to testing.
- Are N values provided to confirm the intervention was successful in all participants?

Domain 5- Missing data:

- Consider the following:
 - O Was there any missing data?
 - O Were N values provided in tables/graphs?
 - Were reasons provided for missing data?
 - O How was missing data dealt with?

<u>Domain 6- Measurement of outcomes:</u>

- Where outcome measures influenced by knowledge of intervention (i.e timepoint?)
- Was the blood sampling timepoint and time of collection similar between all participants?
- Due to the objective nature of assessment of miRNA expression, most studies will score low for this domain.

<u>Domain 7- Selected of reported result:</u>

- Was there selective reporting of results (did they run the stats in multiple way to get the "best" outcome)? Consider the following:
 - Have they stated the same technique in the methods as is reported in the results? (e.g. ddCt).
 - o How were housekeeping genes selected? A priori? Using statistical test (e.g. normfinder)
 - Were corrections made for multiple analysis? (e.g. FDR).