

Table S1. Quality scores for papers included in the review

| Article Name and Author: Study 1 | The surgical debrief: Just another checklist or an instrument to drive cultural change? | Leonard et al. (2021) |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes | |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No | |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No | |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes | |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes | |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data | No | |

security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**

Yes

Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Yes

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Yes

Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts

Yes

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**

No

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**

No

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Yes

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

Yes

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Yes

| | | |
|---|-----|-------|
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | No | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | Yes | 15/21 |

Article Name and Author: Study 2 **Structured feedback enhances compliance with operating room debriefs** **But et al. (2020)**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; | Yes |

criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - | No |
| Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other Yes | |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - | Yes |
| Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - | Yes |

Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Yes

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Yes

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Yes 16/21

Article Name and Author: Study 3 **Debriefing: the forgotten phase of the surgical safety checklist** **Bartz-Kurycki et al. (2017)**

Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Yes

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Yes

Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Yes

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Yes

Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** No

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, No

| | |
|--|-----|
| approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | No |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes |

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | No | |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | No | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | No | 13/21 |
| Article Name and Author: Study 4 | Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box? | Dharampal et al. (2016) |
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes | |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | Yes | |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - | No | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| <p>Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability</p> <p>Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**</p> | Yes |
| <p>Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**</p> | Yes |
| <p>Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues</p> | Yes |
| <p>Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**</p> | Yes |
| <p>Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study</p> | Yes |
| <p>Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)</p> | Yes |
| <p>Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts</p> | Yes |
| <p>Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**</p> | Yes |
| <p>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and</p> | No |

credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Yes

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Yes

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Yes

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Yes

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Yes

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting Yes 19/21

Article Name and Author: Study 5 **Operating room efficiency improvement after implementation of a postoperative team assessment** **Porta et al. (2013)**

Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Yes

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Yes

Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Yes

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Yes

Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded No

theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | No |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | Yes |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, | No |

etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**

| | | |
|---|-----|-------|
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No | |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes | |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes | |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | No | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | No | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | No | 13/21 |

| | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Article Name and Author: Study 6 | Briefing and debriefing in the cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety | Papaspyros et al. (2009) |
|---|--|---------------------------------|

| | |
|---|-----|
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance | Yes |

| | |
|--|-----|
| of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | No |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | No |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in | Yes |

results)

| | | |
|---|-----|-------|
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | No | |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | Yes | |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No | |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes | |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes | |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | No | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | No | 13/21 |

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Article Name and Author: Study 7 | Briefing-debriefing: using a reflexive organizational learning model from the military to enhance the performance of surgical teams | Vashdi et al. (2007) |
|---|--|-----------------------------|

| | |
|---|-----|
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, | Yes |
|---|-----|

| | |
|--|-----|
| grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | Yes |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | No |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - | Yes |

| | | |
|---|-----|-------|
| Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | | |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | No | |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes | |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | Yes | |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No | |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes | |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes | |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | No | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | No | 15/21 |

| Article Name and Author: Study 8 | Surfacing safety hazards using standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center | Bandari et al. (2012) |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes | |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No | |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No | |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes | |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes | |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | No | |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of | Yes |

findings

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed No

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting No 14/21

Article Name and Author: Study 9 **Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center** Berenholtz et al. (2009)

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Yes

Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Yes

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Yes

Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** Yes

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability No

Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** No

Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** Yes

Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data Yes

security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**

Yes

Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Yes

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Yes

Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts

Yes

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**

No

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**

No

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Yes

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

No

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Yes

| | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | No | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | No | 14/21 |
| Article Name and Author: Study 10 | Use of briefings and debriefings as a tool in improving teamwork, efficiency, and communication in the operating theatre | Bethune et al. (2011) |
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | No | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes | |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | Yes | |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No | |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes | |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research | No | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | No |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | No |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes |
| Integration with prior work, implications, | Yes |

transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -

Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings No

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Yes

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting No 13/21

Article Name and Author: Study 11 **Implementation of surgical debriefing programs in large health systems: an exploratory qualitative analysis** **Brindle et al. (2018)**

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Yes

Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Yes

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Yes

Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** Yes

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability Yes

Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** No

| | |
|--|-----|
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | Yes |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | No |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | Yes |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | Yes |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | No |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes |

| | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | No | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | Yes | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | Yes | 17/21 |
| Article Name and Author: Study 12 | Debriefing in the OR: A quality improvement project | Finch et al. (2019) |
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes | |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No | |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with | No | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | Yes |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | No |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., | Yes |

interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings No

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Yes

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings No

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Yes

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting No

13/21

Article Name and Author: Study 13

Coaching to improve the quality of communication during briefings and debriefings

Kleiner et al. (2014)

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Yes

Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Yes

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions Yes

Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** Yes

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, No

| | |
|--|-----|
| qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | Yes |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | Yes |

| | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes | |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | No | |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | Yes | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | Yes | 18/21 |
| Article Name and Author: Study 14 | Effects of perioperative briefing and debriefing on patient safety: a prospective intervention study | Leong et al. (2017) |
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes | |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; | No | |

identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | Yes |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually | No |

references a specific paradigm or approach;
rationale**

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - No

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Yes

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings No

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Yes

Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Yes

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Yes

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting No

15/21

Article Name and Author: Study 15 **Changing operating room culture: implementation of a postoperative debrief and improved safety culture** **Magill et al. (2017)**

Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Yes

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions Yes

Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Yes

| | |
|--|-----|
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | No |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data | Yes |

entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** No

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** No

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Yes

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Yes

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Yes

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Yes

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Yes

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting No 15/21

Article Name and Author: Study 16 Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room Mundt et al. (2020)

Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended Yes

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended Yes

| | |
|--|-----|
| publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | Yes |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | Yes |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |

| | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes | |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes | |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | Yes | |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No | |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes | |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | Yes | |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | Yes | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | Yes | 19/21 |
| Article Name and Author: Study 17 | Predictors of successful implementation of preoperative briefings and postoperative debriefings after medical team | Paull et al. (2009) |

| | training |
|--|----------|
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale** | Yes |
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | No |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection | Yes |

| | |
|---|-----|
| and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | No |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | Yes |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | No |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and | No |

conclusions; how these were managed

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting

No

12/21

Article Name and Author: Study 18

Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care

Rose et al. (2018)

Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended

Yes

Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions

Yes

Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement

Yes

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions

Yes

Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**

No

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability

No

Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**

Yes

Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**

Yes

Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics

No

| | |
|--|-----|
| review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | No |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings | No |
| Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of | Yes |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|
| application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field | | |
| Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings | Yes | |
| Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed | Yes | |
| Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting | Yes | 15/21 |
| Article Name and Author: Study 19 | Long-term effects of perioperative briefing and debriefing on team climate: A mixed-method evaluation study | Schaap et al. (2020) |
| Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended | Yes | |
| Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions | Yes | |
| Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement | Yes | |
| Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions | Yes | |
| Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale** | No | |
| Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability | No | |
| Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; | Yes | |

rationale**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale** | Yes |
| Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues | Yes |
| Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale** | Yes |
| Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study | Yes |
| Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) | Yes |
| Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts | Yes |
| Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** | Yes |
| Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** | No |
| Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory | Yes |
| Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate | Yes |

analytic findings

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Yes

Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Yes

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed Yes

Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting No

17/21