

1 **Title page**

2 Leptospirosis in Pregnancy: Prevalence, Risk Factors, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes
3 in a North Indian Population

4 **Authors**

5 Michal Shrestha^{1¶}, Saswati S Choudhury^{2&}, Carolin Solomi V^{3&}, Anjali Rani^{4&}, Indrani
6 Roy^{5&}, Farzana Zahir^{6&}, Swapna D Kakoty^{7&}, Robin Medhi^{7&}, Pranabika Mahanta^{8&},
7 Rupanjali Deka^{9&}, Manisha Nair^{1*}

8 **Affiliations**

9 ¹ National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University
10 of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

11 ² Gauhati Medical College and Hospital (GMCH), Bhangagarh, Guwahati, Assam, India

12 ³ Makunda Christian Leprosy and General Hospital, Bazaricherra, Karimganj, Assam, India

13 ⁴ Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Banaras Hindu University,
14 Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

15 ⁵ Nazareth Hospital, Nongkynrih, Laitumkhrah, Shillong, Meghalaya, India

16 ⁶ Assam Medical College (AMC), Barbari, Dibrugarh, Assam, India

17 ⁷ Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College and Hospital (FAAMCH), Joti Gaon, Assam, India

18 ⁸ Jorhat Medical College and Hospital, Kushal Konwar Path, Barbheta, Jorhat, Assam, India

19 ⁹ Srimanta Sankaradeva University of Health Sciences, Gauhati Medical College Hill-Top
20 Road, Narkashur Hilltop, Bhangagarh, Guwahati, Assam, India

21 * Corresponding author

22 E-mail: manisha.nair@npeu.ox.ac.uk (MN)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

23 **Abstract**

24 **Introduction:** Leptospirosis, a neglected zoonotic disease bears a significant global burden
25 and is endemic in India. Leptospirosis in pregnancy can lead to severe adverse outcomes but is
26 often misdiagnosed and underreported as clinical presentation is masked by the symptoms of
27 common obstetric complications and other endemic infectious diseases. Our aim was to
28 generate epidemiological information on the prevalence and distribution, risk factors, and
29 outcomes (both maternal and fetal) of the disease among a population of pregnant and post-
30 partum women in northern India.

31 **Methods:** We conducted a cross-sectional study on a random sample of 170 pregnant and post-
32 partum women in 10 hospitals across three northern states of India in whom ELISA IgG and
33 IgM antibody tests were done. Women were categorised as seropositive if they had high levels
34 of either IgG or IgM. We identified study variables based on an available systematic review of
35 leptospirosis in pregnancy. Fisher's Exact and Wilcoxon rank-sum non-parametric tests were
36 used to analyse the association between leptospirosis in pregnancy and a range of socio-
37 demographic and clinical factors. An analysis of the geographical distribution of the
38 seropositive cases was undertaken using Geographical Information System mapping.

39 **Results:** The prevalence of leptospirosis in pregnancy was 5.9% (95% CI: 2.85 – 10.55%) with
40 80% of the seropositive cases living near water sources and lowlands. Proportions of cardiac
41 problems, raised liver enzymes, anaemia and adverse fetal outcomes were higher among
42 women who were seropositive compared with women who were seronegative. Adverse
43 maternal and fetal outcomes were more common among seropositive pregnant and post-partum
44 women compared with seronegative women.

45 **Conclusions:** This is the first descriptive epidemiological study of leptospirosis in pregnancy
46 in India that generates important hypotheses emphasizing the need for further research.

47 Pregnant women in endemic regions should be screened for leptospirosis for early diagnosis
48 and treatment to avoid adverse outcomes.

49 **Author Summary**

50 Leptospirosis bears a significant global burden and is endemic in India. In pregnancy, it can
51 lead to serious complications, but leptospirosis in pregnancy is often misdiagnosed and
52 underreported as clinical presentation of the disease is masked by the symptoms of common
53 obstetric complications and other endemic infectious diseases. There are no detailed studies
54 about leptospirosis in pregnancy in India with almost all published studies being case reports.
55 In recognition of this limitation, this cross-sectional study was undertaken to understand the
56 rates, risk factors and outcomes of leptospirosis in pregnancy in India. ELISA IgG and IgM
57 antibody tests were conducted to determine the seroprevalence of leptospirosis in the
58 population. The rate of leptospirosis in pregnancy in our study population was found to be
59 5.9%. A majority of the seropositive cases lived near water sources and lowlands. The adverse
60 clinical presentation of cardiac problems, raised liver enzymes, anaemia and adverse fetal
61 outcomes were observed to be more common among seropositive pregnant and post-partum
62 women compared with seronegative women. The study highlights the need for more research
63 and for screening of leptospirosis in pregnant women living in endemic regions for early
64 diagnosis and treatment to avoid adverse outcomes.

66 **Introduction**

67 Leptospirosis is a neglected, zoonotic, and waterborne disease, which infects more than a
68 million people, and almost 60,000 deaths occur annually with 2.9 million Disability Adjusted
69 Life Years (DALYs). It is endemic in tropical and subtropical regions of the world and is
70 associated with poor income, low education, poor housing, absence of hygiene and sanitation
71 [1–5]. South Asia’s tropical climate with high humidity provides an ideal environment for the
72 survival of *Leptospira* pathogens making leptospirosis endemic in India [6]. Rodents and wide
73 range of domestic and wild animals host and carry the pathogens which get transmitted to
74 humans when they come in direct or indirect contact with urine from infected animals [7–9].
75 Leptospirosis is acquired through occupational exposure and are common among people living
76 in rodent-infested, flood-prone or overcrowded urban areas.

77

78 Leptospirosis in pregnancy is underreported and is also often misdiagnosed as clinical
79 presentation mimics symptoms of common obstetric complications such as Pre-Eclampsia,
80 HELLP Syndrome (Haemolysis, Elevated Liver enzymes and Low Platelets) and Acute Fatty
81 Liver of Pregnancy (AFLP) [10]. Presentation of leptospirosis is double masked in pregnancy,
82 firstly due to the overlap in how the disease presents itself in the general population and in
83 pregnant women and secondly because of the overlap between the signs, symptoms and
84 physiological interferences associated with the disease and pregnancy complications
85 mentioned above [5]. The prevalence of leptospirosis in pregnancy and its presentations and
86 outcomes have not been extensively studied and there is paucity of epidemiological data.
87 Systematic review conducted by Selvarajah et al. 2021 [5] to investigate the rates, risk factors
88 and outcomes of leptospirosis in pregnancy estimated the incidence to be 1.3 per 10,000 women
89 but also pointed out that this number could be higher in endemic areas. Leptospirosis in
90 pregnancy, as in the general population, can present with fever, headache, muscle ache and

91 jaundice which could escalate to adverse maternal and fetal outcomes of congenital infection,
92 spontaneous miscarriage, abortion, maternal death, preterm birth, low birth weight in term
93 birth, stillbirth, intrauterine fetal death (IUFD), neonatal death, preeclampsia, deranged liver
94 functions, and respiratory problems [5]. The similarities of its signs and symptoms with
95 pregnancy complications often leads to under- or mis-diagnosis of leptospirosis as it is not
96 included in the immediate shortlist of differential diagnoses by health professionals. Further,
97 the review suggested that adverse fetal outcomes were higher in pregnant women who were
98 infected in early trimesters of pregnancy (first or second trimester) compared with women who
99 were infected in their third trimester [5].

100

101 Even with subtle presentations, leptospirosis in pregnancy could be life-threatening and has
102 adverse effect on both the mother and the fetus [10]. These adverse effect of leptospirosis to
103 maternal and neonatal health is a serious concern. However, only 13% of published studies in
104 leptospirosis from the WHO region of south-east Asia is on pregnancy according to Selvarajah
105 et al [5]. There have not been any detailed epidemiological studies for leptospirosis in
106 pregnancy in India with almost all published studies being case reports [5]. In recognition of
107 this limitation, our aim was to conduct a cross-sectional study to understand the
108 epidemiological context of leptospirosis in pregnancy in India with the following specific
109 objectives:

- 110 1. To determine the seroprevalence of *Leptospira* antibody in pregnant women in the
111 northern endemic region of India.
- 112 2. To geographically map the distribution of seropositive cases across the study region.
- 113 3. To compare the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of seropositive and
114 seronegative pregnant/postpartum women.
- 115 4. To examine the association between maternal outcomes and *Leptospira* seropositivity.

116 5. To examine the association between fetal/infant outcomes and maternal *Leptospira*
117 seropositivity.

118 **Methods**

119 **Study-design and study settings**

120 This was a cross-sectional study nested within an ongoing case-control study of Acute Heart
121 Failure syndrome in pregnancy undertaken through the Maternal and perinatal Health Research
122 collaboration, India (MaatHRI) [11]. The nested study was a random sample. It included the
123 first 170 participants recruited consecutively in the main study in whom blood samples were
124 tested for *Leptospira* antibody levels using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) IgG
125 (Immunoglobulin G) and IgM (Immunoglobulin M) antibody tests. Pregnant and postpartum
126 (within 6 months of childbirth) women presenting with heart failure and control participants
127 (women with no heart failure and giving birth immediately after the case) were recruited from
128 ten hospitals across three northern States (Assam, Meghalaya, and Uttar Pradesh).

129 **Study variables**

130 The selection of the study variables was informed by the systematic review of leptospirosis in
131 pregnancy [5], and described in Table-1. Primary outcome was *Leptospira* seropositivity.
132 Women having *Leptospira* IgM ≥ 1.1 Index and IgG ≥ 15 U/mL, measured using ELISA, were
133 considered to have high seroprevalence of *Leptospira* antibodies, thus considered seropositive,
134 based on the Indian population level cut-offs from the national reference laboratory. In the
135 absence of standardised measures, the WHO recommends that population level values
136 validated by local reference laboratories should be used to determine high seroprevalence [20].
137 Serum samples were analysed using ELISA to estimate levels of IgM (Kit – PANBIO) and IgG

138 (Kit – DRG International, Inc). Serological diagnosis is considered to be the best method to
139 detect *Leptospira* infections in humans. Specific antibodies appear in the blood around the fifth
140 day after the onset of symptoms and detectable titres remain up to 10 days. Antibodies IgM
141 usually appear early during the infection and before the IgG antibodies, therefore detection of
142 IgM antibodies during the serological diagnosis is indicative of recent or beginning phase of
143 the infection, whereas high titres of IgG antibodies indicate the recovery or convalescent phase
144 of leptospirosis. IgG antibodies remain in the blood for years specifying history of past
145 exposure to the disease. MAT (microscopic agglutination test) is considered as the gold
146 standard diagnostic method for leptospirosis by the WHO (World Health Organization) but
147 ELISA is commonly used as the test is more readily available in low resource settings, is user-
148 friendly and has advantage of sensitivity and specificity over MAT [1,6,9,12,13].

149
150 Determinants of leptospirosis in pregnancy [5], including demographic, economic and
151 behavioural characteristics of pregnant women were considered as predictors or exposure
152 variables for high seropositivity. Socio-demographic characteristics included age of the
153 women, type of residence, religion, marital status. Socio-economic factors included poverty,
154 education level of the women and their husbands, employment status of women and their
155 husbands; and behavioural factors included smoking, consumption of tobacco and alcohol,
156 chewing of betel nut and consuming fermented fish. These characteristics were compared
157 between the seropositive and seronegative groups of pregnant women.

158 Clinical characteristics that have been identified as being associated with leptospirosis in
159 pregnancy [5] such as cardio-vascular problems (cardiac complications and hypertensive
160 disorders), deranged liver function, respiratory problems and low haemoglobin were compared
161 between the two groups. Mortality and maternal complications such as postpartum
162 haemorrhage and sepsis were also compared.

163

164 Adverse fetal and infant outcomes were analysed to compare their prevalence in the
165 seropositive and seronegative groups of women. Information on pregnancy loss, stillbirth, low
166 birth weight, premature birth, infant mortality and admission to NICU (Neonatal Intensive Care
167 Unit) were used to generate a dummy variable for adverse fetal/infant outcomes. The analysis
168 of fetal/infant outcomes was restricted to singleton pregnancies as the number of fetuses are
169 likely to influence the selected adverse outcomes irrespective of leptospirosis infection.

170

171 **Table 1. Description of the study variables**

Variables of Interest		Definition
Independent Variables	Dependent Variables	
Seropositive cases for leptospirosis		First the pregnant/postpartum women with antibodies IgM \geq 1.1 index and/ or IgG \geq 15 U/ml were identified. Separate variables for positive IgM and positive IgG were generated and then combined to generate 'seropositive cases', coded 1 for 'Yes' and 0 for 'No'.
Age		All women in the sample population were 18 years and above and up to 45 years of age. Age was used as a continuous variable.
Residence		Categorised as rural '1', urban '2' and suburb '3'. No women included in the study population lived in the suburbs.
Religion		Religion was categorised as '1' Hindu, '2' Muslim, '3' Christian, '4' Sikh and '5' Others. Due to small number of observations in each category, these were combined to generate a binary variable '1' Hindu and '2' Muslim, Christian and other minority religious communities.
Poverty		Below poverty line (BPL) was used to define poverty. The Planning Commission of India defines 'BPL' households as households (average 5 family members) with per capita consumption expenditure of INR 672.8 or less on a monthly basis in rural areas and INR 859.6 or less in urban areas at prices prevailing in 2009-10 (Planning Commission; Government of India, 2012). Categorized as '1' BPL certificate/ self-certified, '2' Not BPL and '3' Not known.
Employment		Husbands who provided details of their occupation were categorized as '1' 'Employed' and without occupation as 0 'Unemployed'. Women in paid employment 1 'Yes' and 2 'No'.
Education		Women's and husband's level of education was originally categorized into '1' Illiterate, '2' Literate upto 5th class, '3' 6th to 12th class, '4' Beyond 12th class, '99' Not known. This was combined to generate a binary variable categorised as '1' Illiterate and primary and '2' Secondary and higher.
Smoking		Originally '1' Never, '2' gave up prior to pregnancy, '3' current and '4' gave up during pregnancy. Combined to generate a binary variable '0' Never, '1' Current or in the past.
Tobacco consumption		Originally '1' Never, '2' gave up prior to pregnancy, '3' current and '4' gave up during pregnancy.

		Combined to generate a binary variable '0' Never, '1' Current or in the past.
Alcohol consumption		Originally '1' Never, '2' gave up prior to pregnancy, '3' current and '4' gave up during pregnancy. Combined to generate a binary variable '0' Never, '1' Current or in the past.
Chewing betel nut		Originally '1' Never, '2' gave up prior to pregnancy, '3' current and '4' gave up during pregnancy. Combined to generate a binary variable '0' Never, '1' Current or in the past.
Consumes fermented fish		1' Yes and 2 'No'
	Cardio-vascular problems	Cardio-vascular problems is a composite variable comprising of women with cardiac problems and hypertensive disorders. '0' no cardio-vascular problem and '1' cardio-vascular problem/s present. Cardiac problems included rheumatic heart disease, pulmonary oedema, dilated cardiomyopathy, myocarditis in shock, and peripartum cardiomyopathy. Hypertensive disorders included eclampsia, pre-eclampsia, and pregnancy induced hypertension.
	Deranged LFTs	Deranged LFTs (Liver Function Tests) was a composite variable for any deranged liver function parameters i.e. deranged AST/SGOT (Aspartate aminotransferase/ Serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase), deranged ALT/ SGPT (Alanine transaminase/ Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase), deranged GGTP (Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase), deranged bilirubin, deranged ALP (Alkaline phosphatase) and deranged albumin. If the level of a parameter was higher than the recommended cut-off levels in pregnancy [14] it was considered as deranged coded as '1' and normal coded as '0': <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AST/SGOT >30 U/L was considered elevated and abnormal coded as '1' and <=30 coded '0', • ALT/SGPT > 32 U/L was considered elevated and abnormal coded as '1' and <=32 coded '0', • Bilirubin total > 14 mg/dL was considered elevated and abnormal coded as '1' and <=14 coded '0', • GGTP > 41U/L was considered elevated and abnormal coded as '1' and <=41 coded '0', • ALP > 418 U/L was considered elevated and abnormal coded as '1' and <=418 coded '0'. • Albumin > 37 g/dL was considered elevated and abnormal coded as '1' and <=37 coded '0'. Each parameter was also used as a continuous variable.
	Respiratory problems	The variable 'respiratory problems' was coded '1' Yes and '0' No. Respiratory distress, tachypnea, shortness of breath, bronchial asthma, breathing difficulty were included.
	Low antenatal haemoglobin / anaemia	Pregnant/ postpartum women who had haemoglobin level < 10g/dL during their first antenatal check-up were considered to have low haemoglobin and anaemic whereas those with >= 10g/dL were considered to have normal level of haemoglobin and non-anaemic [15].
	Maternal complications	Mortality, postpartum haemorrhage and sepsis were considered as maternal complications.

		<p>Mortality '1' woman died, '2' woman alive at six weeks postpartum follow-up, '99' not known</p> <p>Postpartum haemorrhage – reported by the clinician</p> <p>Sepsis – reported by the clinician</p>
	Adverse infant or fetal outcomes	<p>The composite variable adverse infant or fetal outcomes was constructed combining individual variables: stillbirth, admission to NICU, low birth weight (<2500gm) and premature gestational age at delivery.</p> <p>Stillbirth was categorized as '0' Born alive and '1' Still born'.</p> <p>Admission to NICU '1' for admitted to neonatal unit and '2' for not admitted.</p> <p>Birth weight of babies born at term was considered low '1' if <2500 gm and '0' normal weight if >= 2500gm.</p> <p>Babies born at < 37 weeks' gestation were coded as '1' preterm birth and >= 37 weeks' gestation as '0' term birth.</p> <p>Infant mortality coded '1' for died and '2' for alive at 6 weeks postpartum follow-up.</p>

172 **Methodology for Geographical Information System (GIS)**

173 **mapping of the seropositive women**

174 The geographical coordinates of residences of seropositive pregnant women were imported
 175 into the QGIS 3.16 software and mapped to analyse the environmental exposure of the
 176 seropositive pregnant/ postpartum women [16]. The geographical coordinates were accessed
 177 with the help of Google maps using the participants' residence addresses. The base layer and
 178 administrative boundary maps of India were obtained from <https://www.diva-gis.org/gdata>
 179 [17] and the CRS (coordinate reference system) used for mapping was EPSG:4326 WGS84
 180 (World Geodetic System 1984). The digital elevation map (DEM) was a raster layer while
 181 other base layers were vector, and the data was imported to QGIS in a version of delimited text
 182 layer.

183 **Statistical Analysis**

184 The *Leptospira* seropositivity rate with 95% CI (confidence interval) in pregnant/ postpartum
 185 women in the study population was calculated as:

186 Prevalence rate of seropositive women = $\frac{\text{Total number of women testing positive for } \textit{Leptospira} \text{ antibodies}}{\text{Total number of women in the study population}} \times 100$

187 Categorical and binary independent variables were expressed as frequency and percentages and
188 their proportions were compared between seropositive and seronegative groups. Fisher's Exact
189 test was used to examine whether the difference in proportions were statistically significant.
190 Continuous independent variables were expressed as median with interquartile ranges and
191 compared between the two groups. Wilcoxon rank-sum non-parametric test for difference in
192 median was used to ascertain statistically significant difference in characteristics between the
193 two groups.

194

195 All statistical tests were considered to be significant at a two-tailed p-value of 10%. The
196 significance level was determined apriori to be 10% considering the small sample size. All
197 analyses were performed using STATA version 17 [18].

198

199 **Patient and public engagement**

200 The MaatHRI collaboration has a community engagement and involvement (CEI) group. The
201 studies undertaken by the collaboration, including this study, receive regularly input and
202 suggestions from the CEI group. The group is also involved in dissemination of the study
203 outcomes.

204


205 **Results**

206 As shown in the flow chart (Fig 1), the random study population of pregnant/ postpartum
207 women for whom *Leptospira* antibody tests was conducted was 170. Prevalence rate was
208 calculated for the entire sample and GIS mapping was done for all seropositive participants.

209 Three participants did not have any clinical data and were therefore excluded from the other
210 analyses. Analysis of fetal/infant complications was restricted to 159 singleton pregnancies (8
211 multiple pregnancies were excluded).

212

213 **Fig 1. Flow chart of the study data**



214


215 Prevalence of leptospirosis and geographical mapping of 216 seropositive cases in the study population in India

217 Among 170 women who underwent the IgM and IgG ELISA tests, 10 were determined as
218 seropositive for *Leptospira* antibody. Thus, the overall calculated prevalence was 5.9%, 95%
219 CI 2.85-10.55%, 4.11% for recent infection (n=7), 2.94% for previous infection (n=5) and two
220 cases had high levels of both IgM and IgG antibodies.

221

222 Figure-2 presents the geographical mapping of the distribution of seropositive cases across
223 northern India. From the plotted coordinates of the residences of seropositive women about
224 80% of the seropositive cases were observed to live near a river or natural water sources,
225 specifically near the river-basins of the Brahmaputra river. The digital elevation map (DEM)
226 in Figure-3 clearly demonstrates the seropositive cases inhabiting the river-basins and the
227 lowlands.


228 **Fig 2. Geographical distribution of seropositive cases for leptospirosis infection**



229

230

231 **Fig 3. Distribution of seropositive cases for leptospirosis on a digital elevation map**



232

233 **Socioeconomic, demographic, and behavioural characteristics**

234 None of the socioeconomic, demographic or behavioural characteristics were found to be
235 statistically significantly different between the seropositive and seronegative groups (Table-2)
236 but there were marked differences in proportion of some factors. Majority of seropositive
237 women i.e., 90% lived in rural areas whereas this was 75.8% in the seronegative group, and
238 80% of the seropositive group followed Hinduism. Median age of women was comparable for
239 both groups. None of the women in the study population were reported to smoke or consume
240 alcohol or use tobacco. The proportion of women who currently chewed or had a history of
241 chewing betel nut was 50% for the seropositive group which was higher than 33.1% in the
242 seronegative group, although not statistically significant.

243 **Table 2. Socioeconomic, demographic and behavioral characteristics of the study**
244 **population**

Total sample of pregnant women (N) = 167				
Socioeconomic, demographic & behavioural characteristics:		Seropositive women	Seronegative women	P-value*
		n = 10	n = 157	
		n (%)		
Residence				0.46
	Rural	9 (90.0 %)	119 (75.8 %)	
	Urban	1 (10.0%)	38 (24.2 %)	
Religion				0.50
	Hindu	8 (80.0%)	102 (65.0%)	
	Muslim, Christian & others	2 (20.0%)	55 (35.0%)	
Poor				0.44
	BPL	4 (40.0%)	90 (57.3%)	
	not BPL	5 (50.0%)	55 (35.0%)	
	not known	1 (10.0%)	12 (7.6)	
Women's education level				0.69
	Illiterate & primary	2 (20.0%)	27 (17.2%)	
	Secondary & higher	8 (80.0%)	130 (82.8%)	
Husband's education level				0.21
	Illiterate & primary	0 (0.0%)	30 (19.1%)	
	Secondary & higher	10 (100.0%)	127 (80.9%)	
Chewing betel nut				0.31
	Current or has history	5 (50.0%)	52 (33.1%)	
	Never	5 (50.0%)	105 (66.9%)	
Consumes fermented fish				0.29
	Yes	5 (50.0%)	109 (69.4%)	
	No	5 (50.0%)	48 (30.6%)	
		Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	P-value**
Age (in years)		25 (5)	24 (6)	0.71

245 * P-value Fishers Exact test for categorical variables

246 ** P-value Rank-sum test for continuous variable

247 P-value < 0.10 (10% significance level)

248 IQR – Interquartile range; BPL – below poverty line

249 **Clinical Characteristics**

250 Clinical characteristics of the seropositive and seronegative women are presented in Table-3.

251 A significantly higher proportion (70%) of the seropositive women were reported to have
252 cardio-vascular problems compared with 32.5% in the seronegative group (p-value of 0.03).

253 On further examining the individual components of the composite variable, a higher proportion
254 of seropositive women had co-existing cardiac problems (60%) compared with 47% in the
255 seronegative group (p-value 0.08). Similarly, proportion of co-existing hypertensive disorders
256 of pregnancy was higher in the seropositive group (40%) compared with the seronegative group
257 (16%) at a p-value of 0.07.

258

259 Although not significantly different at $p < 0.1$, the proportion of women with deranged LFT
260 (Liver Function Test) was higher in the seropositive group (80%) compared with 64% in the
261 seronegative group. The median levels of all individual parameters included in the composite
262 deranged LFT variable were found to be elevated in the seropositive group compared with the
263 seronegative group, but these were not statistically significantly different. The median
264 antenatal Hb (Haemoglobin) level was 9.2 g/dl in seropositive group of pregnant and
265 postpartum women compared with 10.2 g/dl in women who were seronegative ($p = 0.04$), thus
266 a higher proportion of the seropositive women had antenatal anaemia compared with the
267 seronegative group. Respiratory problems were reported in 10% of the seropositive women
268 and 8.3% among seronegative women ($p = 0.59$).

269

270 None of the women in the seropositive group died but 3 maternal deaths were reported in the
271 seronegative group and 1 from seronegative group reported to have sepsis. No woman was
272 reported to have a postpartum haemorrhage.

273 **Table 3. Clinical characteristics of the study population**

Total sample of pregnant women (N) = 167				
Clinical characteristics:		Seropositive women	Seronegative women	P-value*
		n = 10	n = 157	
		n (%)	n (%)	
Cardio-vascular problems ‡				0.03
	Yes	7 (70.0%)	51 (32.5%)	
	No	3 (30.0%)	106 (67.5%)	
Cardiac problems				0.08
	Yes	6 (60.0%)	47 (29.9%)	
	No	4 (40.0%)	110 (70.1%)	
Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy				0.07
	Yes	4 (40.0%)	25 (15.9%)	
	No	6 (60.0%)	132 (84.1%)	
Deranged LFTs ‡				0.5
	Yes	8 (80.0%)	100 (63.7%)	
	No	2 (20.0%)	57 (36.3%)	
Deranged AST				0.2
	Yes	8 (80.0%)	90 (57.3%)	
	No	2 (20.0%)	67 (42.7%)	
Deranged ALT				0.28
	Yes	4 (40.0%)	39 (24.8%)	
	No	6 (60.0%)	118 (75.2%)	
Deranged GGTP				0.32
	Yes	2 (20.0%)	17 (10.8%)	
	No	8 (80.0%)	140 (89.2%)	
Anaemia				0.09
(Hb < 10g / dL)	Yes	7 (70.0%)	58 (37.9%)	
	No	3 (30.0%)	95 (62.1%)	
	missing		4	
Respiratory Problems				0.59
	Yes	1 (10.0%)	13 (8.3%)	
	No	9 (90.0%)	144 (91.7%)	
		Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	P-value**
Liver Function Parameters:				
	ASTSGOT (U/L)	48.5 (26)	37 (44)	0.29
	ALTSGPT (U/L)	21.5 (36)	18 (21)	0.49
	GGTP (U/L)	21 (25)	17 (20)	0.53

	ALP (U/L)	222.5 (112)	209 (121)	0.93
	Bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.195 (0.1)	0.2 (0.11)	0.94
	Albumin (g/dL)	2.68 (0.68)	2.7 (0.82)	0.97
Haemoglobin in g/dl				
	Antenatal Haemoglobin level	9.2 (2)	10.2 (2)	0.04
	missing		4	

274 * P-value Fishers Exact test for categorical variables
 275 ** P-value Rank-sum test for continuous variable
 276 P-value < 0.10 (10% significance level)
 277 ‡ Composite variable
 278 Missing data were not included in the calculation of percentages and median
 279 IQR – interquartile range

280

281 Fetal and infant outcomes

282 The proportion of adverse infant/ fetal outcomes was significantly higher in the seropositive
 283 group compared with the seronegative group at p-value of 0.08 (Table-4). There was one loss
 284 of pregnancy in the seropositive group, the reason for which was not known, and no infant died
 285 among women with singleton pregnancies.

286 **Table 4. Infant and fetal outcomes of the study population restricting to singleton**
 287 **pregnancies**

Total sample of pregnant women (N) = 159				
Infant and fetal outcomes		Seropositive women	Seronegative women	P-value*
		n = 9	n = 150	
		n (%)		
Adverse infant/fetal outcomes ‡				0.08
	Yes	7 (87.5%)	78 (54.2%)	
	No	1 (12.5%)	66 (45.8%)	
	missing	1	6	
Stillbirth				
	Yes	1 (12.5%)	5 (3.5%)	0.28
	No	7 (87.5%)	139 (96.5%)	
	missing	1	6	
Admission to NICU				0.03
	Yes	4 (57.1%)	24 (17.3%)	

	No	3 (42.9%)	115 (82.7%)	
	missing	2	11	
Low birth weight (< 2500 gm)				1.00
	Yes	4 (50.0%)	64 (44.4%)	
	No	4 (50.0%)	80 (55.6%)	
	missing	1	6	
Preterm birth (<37 weeks' gestation)				0.22
	Yes	4 (50.0%)	39 (27.1%)	
	No	4 (50.0%)	105 (72.9%)	
	missing	1	6	
		Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	P-value**
Median birth weight		2455.5 (882.5)	2600 (649)	0.28
	missing	1	6	
Median gestational age at delivery		36.4 (3.4)	39 (3.9)	0.12
	missing	1	6	

288 * P-value Fishers exact for categorical

289 ** P-value Rank-sum for continuous variable

290 P-value < 0.10 (10% significance level)

291 ‡ Composite variable

292 Missing data were not included in the calculation of percentages and median

293

294 A summary of the clinical characteristics, co-morbidities, maternal and fetal outcomes of the
 295 seropositive women are presented in Table-5. IgM was higher in seven women suggesting
 296 acute infection and three women had high IgG and can be considered to be in convalescent
 297 phase. A majority of the women had high AST (8 out of 10) and low haemoglobin (7 of 10).
 298 Comorbidities were reported as cardiac problems in six women and hypertensive disorders in
 299 pregnancy in four. There was one case of stillbirth and one pregnancy loss due to miscarriage.
 300 Caesarean section was performed on three women and only one had a twin pregnancy.
 301 Low birth weight, premature birth and admission of the baby to NICU was common among
 302 women who were categorised as seropositive in the study population. Seven out of ten
 303 seropositive women had at least one adverse fetal/infant outcome.

Table 5. Summary of clinical presentation, comorbidities, maternal and fetal outcomes

Seropositive cases*	Blood Parameters						Co-morbidities		Pregnancy Outcomes								Infant Outcomes		
	IgG value	IgM value	High AST	High ALT	High GGTP	Hb at first antenatal visit (<10g/dl)	Diagnosed hypertensive disorders in pregnancy	Reported cardiac problems	Multiple pregnancy	Caesarean section	Miscarriage	Stillbirth	Mother died	Post-partum haemorrhage	Multi-organ failure	Respiratory problems	Birth weight of infant (<2500g)	Premature birth (<37wks)	Admission to NICU
SP-1	15	0.53						X									X	X	X
SP-2	>100	0.8	X			X	X	X		X						X		X	
SP-3	25	1.1				X	X	X			X								
SP-4	6	1.34	X	X		X		X		X						X			X
SP-5	15	1.2	X			X											X		
SP-6	2.6	1.14	X			X	X		X								X	X	X
SP-7	3	1.12	X				X	X		X							X	X	X
SP-8	2.4	1.23	X	X	X	X		X											
SP-9	4	1.32	X	X		X											X	X	X
SP-10	16	0.33	X	X	X							X							

* If either IgG >= 15 or IgM >=1.1

SP = Women who had seropositive test for leptospirosis

X = Occurrence of event

308 **Discussion**

309 **Summary of the findings**

310 The study estimated a prevalence rate of 5.9% for leptospirosis in pregnancy among a north
311 Indian population. GIS mapping showed that the distribution of majority of seropositive cases
312 were along the major river Brahmaputra and in the river-basin. Socio-economic factors were
313 not found to be significantly associated with seropositivity in the study population, but this
314 could be due to the small sample size. Adverse infant outcomes were common among women
315 who were seropositive. There was one reported miscarriage and one stillbirth in the
316 seropositive group, but this number could be higher in a larger population. Admission of infant
317 to NICU was more frequent for the seropositive mothers compared with the seronegative
318 mothers. LFTs were raised for the seropositive women, and they were also diagnosed with
319 antenatal anaemia and cardiovascular problems.

320

321 **Prevalence of leptospirosis in pregnancy in northern India**

322 Among the 170 randomly selected pregnant women for whom the IgM and IgG ELISA tests
323 for *Leptospira* antibodies was performed, 10 tested positive giving a prevalence rate of 5.9%
324 which is high if we consider the pooled rate of 1.3% from 17 countries reported by Selvarajah
325 et al [5] in a systematic review of leptospirosis in pregnancy. The review however indicated
326 that the number could be higher in endemic regions considering that the incidence rate in a
327 study from Mexico was reported to be 13.6% [5,19].

328

329 **Diagnosis of leptospirosis in pregnancy**

330 India is an endemic area for leptospirosis infection and as per WHO recommendation, the local
331 laboratory cut-offs for titres of antibodies IgM and IgG were used to decide on high

332 seroprevalence [20]. There are several diagnostic methods for testing seroprevalence of
333 antibodies such as MAT (microscopic agglutination test); culture of blood, urine, tissues, and
334 cerebrospinal fluid; polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and ELISA. Leptospirosis is confirmed
335 by an increase in IgM antibody titres in the acute or initial phase of the disease indicating a
336 current or recent infection [1,9,12,21]. However, the IgM titres produced in the first week of
337 infection can remain for months to years raising question on whether its detection confirms
338 acute or recent infection. WHO recommends MAT as a confirmatory test after detection of
339 antibodies for leptospirosis through ELISA, which was not done in our study population.
340 Nevertheless, ELISA is considered the best pragmatic method as it is a rapid test, is easily
341 available, has better sensitivity and specificity and does not require expert knowledge in
342 handling samples and performing tests. In pregnancy, leptospirosis infection could have serious
343 consequences for both the mother and the fetus if not diagnosed early and left untreated [5].
344 Therefore, early detection through ELISA could be an affordable and pragmatic screening test
345 for women suspected to have an infection in the endemic areas. However, the challenge
346 currently seems to be non-inclusion of leptospirosis as a differential diagnosis for women who
347 present with features of AFLP and HELLP even in endemic areas [5].

348

349 **Geographical distribution of the leptospirosis cases in the study**

350 **region**

351 The majority of the women who tested positive were found to live in the river basin of a large
352 river called ‘Brahmaputra’ in the Northeastern part of India where flood hazard is a major
353 problem. This region is also famous for having the heaviest rainfall in the world [22,23]. Rain
354 washes off the topsoil during floods creating neutral and alkaline soil which facilitates the
355 growth and survival of *Leptospire*s in the environment. The *Leptospire*s thrive in fresh water
356 and in moist alkaline soil for weeks to years increasing the rate of transmission among both

357 humans and animals. The groundwater used for drinking and other daily activities in the region
358 also come from the same water table exposing the residents to the bacteria. Leptospirosis is
359 well-known to be a water-borne disease but the bioburden of pathogens in the soil is often
360 underestimated, which transmits to humans via skin [1]. The geospatial distribution in our
361 study population suggests that environmental exposure could be one of the vital risk factors for
362 leptospirosis among the study population.

363

364 **Risk factors for leptospirosis in pregnancy**

365 Several studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) have found
366 socioeconomic and demographic risk factors to be associated with leptospirosis, but in our
367 study, these characteristics were not different between the seropositive and seronegative
368 pregnant and postpartum women. This could be due to small sample size of the study. Pregnant
369 and postpartum women residing in the rural areas and from Hindu religious background
370 appeared to be more prone to the infection, but this could be because a majority of the women
371 in the study area lived in rural regions and followed Hinduism. Smoking, consuming alcohol
372 and tobacco, chewing betel nut were also not found to be higher among seropositive women.
373 There was also no association between hygiene and seropositivity which is in contrast to Cook
374 et al's [21] study that found leptospirosis to be related to personal hygiene factors due to a
375 greater risk of transmission.

376

377 **Clinical characteristics of leptospirosis in the study population of** 378 **pregnant and postpartum women**

379 Frequency of cardiac involvement in leptospirosis is underreported and often leptospirosis is
380 not suspected to be a cause for cardiovascular complications as various other factors could

381 induce this. Studies show mortality resulting from acute renal failure, acute respiratory distress
382 syndrome and pulmonary haemorrhage to be associated with leptospirosis, but heart failure is
383 also observed in severe cases of leptospirosis and there is evidence to support this in post-
384 mortem studies of patients who died due to leptospirosis [24]. A study on leptospirosis outbreak
385 in 2008 in Sri Lanka reported patients with heart failure and myocarditis [25]. In our study,
386 prevalence of cardiovascular problems including cardiac problems and hypertensive disorders
387 in pregnancy were observed to be higher in seropositive women compared with seronegative
388 women. Further, the median level of AST from the liver function tests was higher in the
389 seropositive cases and a majority of the women who had elevated levels of AST also had
390 elevated levels of IgM antibodies. This might be an indicator of an acute infection phase of
391 leptospirosis. Haemoglobin level less than 10g/ dL was observed in 70% of the women who
392 tested positive for leptospirosis which is consistent with the findings from a study by Edmond
393 Puca et al. who found haemolytic anaemia to be associated with leptospirosis infection in
394 humans [26]. Although iron deficiency and haemoglobinopathies were the main cause of
395 anaemia in our study population, we do not know whether any of the participants had
396 haemolytic anaemia. Studies have reported pulmonary haemorrhage and respiratory distress
397 syndrome as an outcome of leptospirosis, but this was not observed in our study population.
398 As reported in the systematic review of leptospirosis in pregnancy [5] and other studies [27,28],
399 a significantly higher proportion of seropositive women in our study population had adverse
400 fetal outcomes compared with the seronegative women. Leptospirosis in pregnancy resulted in
401 lower median birth weight and preterm delivery. NICU admissions of infants was more
402 frequent for seropositive mothers compared with seronegative mothers. There was one reported
403 miscarriage and one stillbirth, but maternal death or complications such as postpartum
404 haemorrhage was not observed to be higher among seropositive women.

405

406 **Strengths and limitations**

407 This is the first study in India describing the epidemiology of leptospirosis among pregnant
408 and postpartum women. Geographical mapping and analyses of the data generates important
409 hypotheses about the distribution of the cases, transmission risk, clinical characteristics, and
410 outcomes of leptospirosis in pregnancy suggesting the urgent need for further research.

411 A major limitation of this study is the small sample size which limited the study power and
412 therefore the ability to undertake further statistical analyses. However, it is important to
413 acknowledge that leptospirosis in pregnancy is not common and a majority of the studies
414 published till date are case reports or case series. Furthermore, although ELISA tests are
415 antibody specific, the risk of contamination or high titres due to other infections cannot be
416 ignored. Infections such as malaria, dengue or other unknown infections could have influenced
417 the ELISA detected antibodies [29], although the chances of this is low as there was no reported
418 infections in the clinical data collected from the participants' hospital records.

419

420 **Conclusions**

421 Leptospirosis has been recognised as a Neglected Zoonotic Disease (NZD) by the WHO as this
422 affects the livelihoods of those infected along with their health, perpetuating poverty [30] .

423 Despite being an NZD and given the complex epidemiological pattern of the disease, there still
424 remains a lack of focus on policies and strategies for prevention and control of leptospirosis
425 and the global burden is high [31]. This hypothesis generating study showed that the prevalence
426 rate of leptospirosis in pregnancy could be higher than that estimated in previous studies and
427 therefore there is a requirement for more research and epidemiological surveillance of
428 leptospirosis in pregnancy and in the general population. ELISA tests for IgM and IgG
429 antibodies could be used to screen pregnant women during their routine antenatal check-ups in
430 endemic regions, particularly those who present with non-differential febrile illness. Pregnant

431 women presenting with high blood pressure are diagnosed as having hypertensive disorders of
432 pregnancy with leptospirosis not being suspected as a differential diagnosis. This study
433 suggests that in endemic areas it is important to investigate leptospirosis infection as a
434 differential diagnosis to initiate appropriate treatment to avoid adverse pregnancy and fetal
435 outcomes. Even though our study did not find socio-economic characteristics to be
436 significantly different between the seropositive and seronegative groups, likely due to a small
437 sample size, clinicians and public health professionals should not ignore the role played by
438 these factors in increasing the risk of leptospirosis. Leptospirosis in pregnancy can be prevented
439 and clinically managed through proper awareness and education of the general population and
440 health professionals. However, a key first step is to include leptospirosis in the list of
441 differential diagnosis of febrile illness and hypertensive disorders during pregnancy.

442

443 **Acknowledgements**

444 We thank the study participants for their willingness to participate in the study. We thank the
445 MaatHRI field team for collecting the data. We also thank Dr Sinaida Cherubin, who provided
446 help to the first author in understanding the data.

447

448 **Reference list**

- 449 1. Karpagam KB, Ganesh B. Leptospirosis: a neglected tropical zoonotic infection of
450 public health importance—an updated review. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*.
451 2020;39: 835–846. doi:10.1007/S10096-019-03797-4/TABLES/1
- 452 2. Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-Silveira MS, et al.
453 Global Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review. *PLoS Negl*
454 *Trop Dis*. 2015;9: e0003898. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0003898

- 455 3. Torgerson PR, Hagan JE, Costa F, Calcagno J, Kane M, Martinez-Silveira MS, et al.
456 Global Burden of Leptospirosis: Estimated in Terms of Disability Adjusted Life Years.
457 PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004122
- 458 4. Abela-Ridder B, Sikkema R, Hartskeerl RA. Estimating the burden of human
459 leptospirosis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2010;36: S5–S7.
460 doi:10.1016/J.IJANTIMICAG.2010.06.012
- 461 5. Selvarajah S, Ran S, Roberts NW, Nair M. Leptospirosis in pregnancy: A systematic
462 review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2021;15: e0009747.
463 doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0009747
- 464 6. Izurieta R, Galwankar S, Clem A. Leptospirosis: The “mysterious” mimic. *J*
465 *Emergencies, Trauma Shock*. 2008;1: 21. doi:10.4103/0974-2700.40573
- 466 7. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Leptospirosis. In: CDC [Internet].
467 2019 [cited 28 Feb 2022]. Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/leptospirosis/index.html>
- 468 8. Day N, DM, FRCP. Leptospirosis: Epidemiology, microbiology, clinical
469 manifestations, and diagnosis - UpToDate. In: UpToDate [Internet]. 2021 [cited 11
470 Aug 2021]. Available: [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/leptospirosis-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/leptospirosis-epidemiology-microbiology-clinical-manifestations-and-diagnosis?search=leptospirosis&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1~79&usage_type=default&display_rank=1)
471 [epidemiology-microbiology-clinical-manifestations-and-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/leptospirosis-epidemiology-microbiology-clinical-manifestations-and-diagnosis?search=leptospirosis&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1~79&usage_type=default&display_rank=1)
472 [diagnosis?search=leptospirosis&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1~79&usage_ty](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/leptospirosis-epidemiology-microbiology-clinical-manifestations-and-diagnosis?search=leptospirosis&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1~79&usage_type=default&display_rank=1)
473 [pe=default&display_rank=1](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/leptospirosis-epidemiology-microbiology-clinical-manifestations-and-diagnosis?search=leptospirosis&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1~79&usage_type=default&display_rank=1)
- 474 9. Becirovic A, Numanovic F, Dzafic F, Piljic D. Analysis of Clinical and Laboratory
475 Characteristics of Patients with Leptospirosis in Five-year Period. *Mater Socio*
476 *Medica*. 2020;32: 15. doi:10.5455/msm.2020.32.15-19
- 477 10. Gainer S, Singla R, Dhaliwal L, Suri V. Leptospirosis as a cause of intrauterine fetal
478 demise: short report of rare presentation. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2009 2816. 2009;281:
479 1061–1063. doi:10.1007/S00404-009-1266-Y

- 480 11. Nair M, Bezbaruah B, Bora AK, Bora K, Chhabra S, Choudhury SS, et al. Maternal
481 and perinatal Health Research Collaboration, India (MaatHRI): methodology for
482 establishing a hospital-based research platform in a low and middle income country
483 setting. *F1000Research*. 2021;9: 683. doi:10.12688/f1000research.24923.3
- 484 12. Brockmann SO, Ulrich L, Piechotowski I, Wagner-Wiening C, Nöckler K, Mayer-
485 Scholl A, et al. Risk factors for human *Leptospira* seropositivity in South Germany.
486 *Springerplus*. 2016;5: 1–8. doi:10.1186/s40064-016-3483-8
- 487 13. Karnik ND, Patankar AS. Leptospirosis in Intensive Care Unit. *Indian J Crit Care*
488 *Med*. 2021;25: S134. doi:10.5005/JP-JOURNALS-10071-23852
- 489 14. Walker I, Chappell LC, Williamson C. Abnormal liver function tests in pregnancy.
490 *BMJ*. 2013;347: f6055. doi:10.1136/BMJ.F6055
- 491 15. Nair M, Chhabra S, Choudhury SS, Deka D, Deka G, Kakoty SD, et al. Relationship
492 between anaemia, coagulation parameters during pregnancy and postpartum
493 haemorrhage at childbirth: a prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11: 50815.
494 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050815
- 495 16. QGIS Development Team. QGIS Geographic Information System: Version 3.16. 2020.
496 Available: <https://www.qgis.org>
- 497 17. DIVA-GIS. Download data by country | DIVA-GIS. 2021 [cited 10 May 2022].
498 Available: <https://www.diva-gis.org/gdata>
- 499 18. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC;
500 2021.
- 501 19. Cárdenas-Marrufo MF, Vado-Solis I, Pérez-Osorio C, Peniche-Lara G, Segura-Correa
502 J. A cross sectional study of leptospirosis and fetal death in Yucatan, Mexico. *Colomb*
503 *Médica C*. 2016;47: 11. Available: [/pmc/articles/PMC4867511/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34867511/)
- 504 20. World Health Organization (WHO). Human Leptospirosis: Guidance for Diagnosis,

- 505 Surveillance and Control. World Heal Organ Int Leptospirosis Soc. Geneva; 2003.
506 Available: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2003/WHO_CDS_CSR_EPH_2002.23.pdf).
- 507 21. Cook EAJ, De Glanville WA, Thomas LF, Kariuki S, Bronsvoot BMDC, Fèvre EM.
508 Risk factors for leptospirosis seropositivity in slaughterhouse workers in western
509 Kenya. *Occup Environ Med*. 2017;74: 357–365. doi:10.1136/oemed-2016-103895
- 510 22. Murata F, Hayashi T, Matsumoto J, Asada H. Rainfall on the Meghalaya plateau in
511 northeastern India-one of the rainiest places in the world. *Nat Hazards*. 2007;42: 391–
512 399. doi:10.1007/S11069-006-9084-Z/FIGURES/7
- 513 23. Saikia L. Flood Hazard of the Brahmaputra River in Assam: Current Mitigation
514 Approaches, Challenges and Sustainable Solution Options. *Riverine Syst*. 2022; 99–
515 111. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-87067-6_6
- 516 24. Jayathilaka PGNS, Mendis ASV, Perera MHMTS, Damsiri HMT, Gunaratne AVC,
517 Agampodi SB. An outbreak of leptospirosis with predominant cardiac involvement: A
518 case series. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019;19: 1–8. doi:10.1186/S12879-019-3905-
519 7/TABLES/3
- 520 25. Navinan MR, Rajapakse S. Cardiac involvement in leptospirosis. *Trans R Soc Trop*
521 *Med Hyg*. 2012;106: 515–520. doi:10.1016/J.TRSTMH.2012.06.007
- 522 26. Puca E, Abazaj E, Piperio P, Harxhi A, Ferizaj R, Como N, et al. A case with high
523 bilirubinemia and hemolytic anemia during leptospirosis and a short review of similar
524 cases. *Casp J Intern Med*. 2020;11: 441–445. doi:10.22088/cjim.11.4.441
- 525 27. Chedraui PA, San Miguel G. A case of leptospirosis and pregnancy. *Arch Gynecol*
526 *Obstet*. 2003;269: 53–54. doi:10.1007/s00404-002-0415-3
- 527 28. Rahimi R, Omar E, Soh T, Nawi S, Noor S. Leptospirosis in pregnancy: A lesson in
528 subtlety. *Malays J Pathol*. 2018;40: 169–73. Available:
529 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30173235/>

- 530 29. Pinto GV, Senthilkumar K, Rai P, Kabekkodu SP, Karunasagar I, Kumar BK. Current
531 methods for the diagnosis of leptospirosis: Issues and challenges. J Microbiol
532 Methods. 2022;195: 106438. doi:10.1016/J.MIMET.2022.106438
- 533 30. World Health Organization (WHO). Report of the Second Meeting of the
534 Leptospirosis Burden Epidemiology Reference Group. 2011 [cited 13 Apr 2022].
535 Available: www.who.int
- 536 31. Prasad CB, Das S, Milton A. Neglected Zoonotic Diseases of India-A Review. J One
537 Heal. 08: 29–38. Available: www.jakraya.com/journal/joh