

1 **Adverse birth outcomes and associated factors among**
2 **newborns delivered in a western African country: a**
3 **case–control study**

4
5 Alexandra Vasconcelos (A Vasconcelos)^{1*}, Swasilanne Sousa (S Sousa)², Nelson Bandeira (N
6 Bandeira)², Marta Alves (M Alves)³, Ana Luísa Papoila (AL Papoila)³, Filomena Pereira (F Pereira)¹,
7 Maria Céu Machado (MC Machado)⁴

8
9 * Correspondence: alexandravasc@gmail.com

10 [ORCID: 0000-0001-8042-0846](#)

11
12 **Author affiliations**

13
14 1. Unidade de Clínica Tropical - Global Health and Tropical Medicine (GHTM), Instituto de Higiene e
15 Medicina Tropical (IHMT), Universidade NOVA de Lisboa. Lisboa - Portugal

16 2. Hospital Dr. Ayres de Menezes, São Tomé, República Democrática de São Tomé e Príncipe

17 3. CEAUL, NOVA Medical School/Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Lisboa
18 - Portugal

19 4. Faculdade de Medicina de Lisboa, Universidade de Lisboa. Lisboa - Portugal

20
21 NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

22 Abstract

23 Background

24 Newborns with one or more adverse birth outcomes (ABOs) are at greater risk of mortality or long-
25 term morbidity with health impacts into adulthood. Hence, identifying ABO-associated factors is
26 crucial for devising comprehensive and relevant interventions. The aim of this study was to identify
27 factors that are associated with the occurrence of ABO – prematurity (PTB), low birth weight (LBW),
28 macrosomia, congenital anomalies, asphyxia, and sepsis - among babies delivered at the only hospital
29 of Sao Tome & Principe (STP), a resource-constrained sub-Saharan Western African country.

30

31 Methods

32 Hospital-based unmatched case–control study conducted in STP among newborns from randomly
33 selected mothers from July 2016 to November 2018. Newborns with one or more ABO (gestational
34 age <37 weeks, LBW < 2.5 kg, BW >4 kg, 5-minute Apgar score <7, major congenital anomalies, and
35 probable sepsis based on clinical criteria) were the cases (ABO group), while healthy newborns without
36 ABO were the controls (no-ABO group). Data were collected by a face-to-face interview and abstracted
37 from antenatal pregnancy cards and medical records. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was
38 performed to identify ABO risk factors considering a level of significance $\alpha=0.05$.

39

40 Results

41 A total of 519 newborns (176 with ABO and 343 with no-ABO) were enrolled. The mean gestational
42 age and birth weight of cases and controls were 36 (SD=3.7) weeks with 2659 (SD=881.44) g and 39.6
43 (SD=1.0) weeks with 3256 (SD=345.83) g, respectively. In a multivariable analysis, twin pregnancy [aOR
44 4.92, 95% CI 2.25–10.74], prolonged rupture of membranes [aOR 3.43, 95% CI 1.69–6.95], meconium-

45 stained amniotic fluid [aOR 1.59, 95% CI 0.97-2.62], and fewer than eight antenatal care (ANC) visits
46 [aOR 0.33, 95% CI 0.18–0.60] were significantly associated with adverse birth outcomes.

47

48 Conclusion

49 Modifiable factors were associated with ABOs in this study and should be considered in cost-
50 effectiveness interventions. The provision of high-quality ANC with eight or more visits should be a
51 priority at ANC service delivery in STP. Twin pregnancies as well as intrapartum factors such as
52 prolonged rupture of membranes and meconium-stained amniotic fluid are red flags for adverse birth
53 outcomes that should receive prompt intervention and follow-up.

54

55 Keywords: adverse birth outcome, low birth weight, preterm birth, birth asphyxia, neonatal sepsis

56 Introduction

57 Adverse birth outcomes (ABOs) are major global public health problems linked to child mortality and
58 morbidity since they can impact children's short- and long-term well-being due to neurological and
59 health problems throughout their life course [1,2]. Inevitably, a newborn with an ABO is at a higher
60 risk for mortality than newborns without an ABO [3]. Additionally, ABO may disrupt the family
61 condition, leading to high individual and social costs [4].

62 The magnitude of ABOs worldwide has dramatically decreased in recent decades, although a large gap
63 still exists between high-income countries and low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), making
64 birth outcomes important measures of health at birth in LMICs [4]. The specific burden of each ABO
65 can vary according to country specificities, although preterm birth is the most well-accepted
66 benchmark for morbidity attributable to early gestation [5]. In recent years, ABOs in LMICs have
67 received attention with a wide range of ABOs being reported across different studies [6-11]. While
68 some studies include indicators for early gestation, such as preterm birth (PTB), fetal growth
69 restriction, low birth weight (LBW) as well as perinatal mortality and fetal loss/miscarriage [4,5], others
70 exclusively analyze the ABO for live newborns at birth [12]. On the other hand, ABO can coexist and
71 share the same underlying risk factors. Etiologies of ABO are complex, multifactorial, physiologically
72 diverse, and not entirely well understood, despite decades of research [5].

73 Different studies have revealed that diverse risk factors are associated with ABOs [8]. Studies in LMICs
74 have reported numerous sociodemographic factors, maternal factors, previous pregnancy outcomes,
75 neonatal factors, and socioeconomic and health system-related factors [12, 13]. For instance, mothers
76 with previous pregnancy outcomes of PTB or LBW are more likely to have recurrence of these ABO
77 than those without previous PTB or LBW [6,9]. A study on maternal health during pregnancy found
78 that women who had at least one health problem during their pregnancy had a twofold- higher risk of
79 delivering LBW newborns in comparison to women without any health problems (aOR 2.6, CI: 1.4–4.8)
80 [14]. Antepartum problems such as malaria and other infections, anemia, hypertension,

81 hyperglycemia, and obstetric complications are all linked to ABO [9-12]. Lack of adequate ANC,
82 household air pollution from unclean cooking fuels, open defecation, no access to improved water,
83 violence, and other socioeconomic disparities are also considered important risk factors for ABO in
84 sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries [15-17].

85 Most risk factors contributing to ABO are amenable to modification, although they are not the same
86 across different cultures and socioeconomic statuses within a society [4,18]. Thus, knowing each
87 context-specific reality enables targeting and implementing the most proper evidence-based
88 interventions [3,4].

89 Sao Tome & Principe (STP) is an LMIC and an SSA country, with limited data on the overall adverse
90 birth outcome at the country level, and in the current era of Sustainable Development Goals (SDG),
91 neonatal mortality remains high, demanding urgent intervention in ABO reduction [19-21]. For this
92 study, ABOs were defined as PTB, LBW, macrosomia, congenital anomaly, birth asphyxia and neonatal
93 sepsis suspicion. This present study is included in a broader project on neonatal health and adverse
94 outcomes [22-24], and the authors studied the determinants for perinatal and neonatal mortality
95 (until the 28th day of life) in STP in another study. This current study aimed to identify the risk factors
96 for adverse birth outcomes among newborns delivered at the only hospital maternity unit in STP.

97 Knowledge of the burden of adverse birth outcomes and key risk factors will provide policy makers
98 and healthcare practitioners in Sao Tome & Principe with evidence that can be used to inform
99 strategies to achieve reductions in ABO and improve overall perinatal health. Additionally, the findings
100 of this study will help to design targeted interventions and better allocate resources.

101

102

103

104 Materials and methods

105

106 Study design

107 A facility-based unmatched case–control study was conducted in STP among 519 newborns (176 cases
108 and 343 controls) whose mothers gave birth at Hospital Dr. Ayres de Menezes (HAM) maternity ward.

109

110 Setting

111 The archipelago of Sao Tome & Principe is a 219 161 inhabitant country, one of the smallest Western
112 SSA countries, with a young population and an annual birth cohort of approximately 6.521 [19]. The
113 rate of deliveries in health units is approximately 98%, with 82.4% occurring at the HAM maternity
114 ward, the only hospital in the country [20,21]. The HAM is a tertiary healthcare facility and receives
115 complicated cases referred from facilities with lower levels of care, as it is the only facility with
116 Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric and Neonatal Care (EmONC) capable of providing blood
117 transfusions and performing cesarean sections.

118 The maternity unit has a facility-based clinical care unit for ill newborn babies - Newborn Care Unit
119 (NCU) - with six baby cots, which usually receives babies with low Apgar score, prematurity, birth
120 weight <1800 or birth weight >4000 g, congenital malformation, suspected infection, or risk of
121 infection, as well as for observation in case of poor maternal outcome.

122 It is a resource-constrained NCU, like most units in LMICs, lacking a mechanical ventilator or any
123 continuous positive airway pressure machine, surfactant therapy and enteric feeding for assisting sick
124 babies [25]. The babies receive oxygen through nasal prongs or face masks. In the country, there are
125 only two pediatricians and no neonatologists.

126

127

128

129

130 Participants

131 The recruitment of newborns' mothers occurred from July 2016 to November 2018.

132 The eligibility criteria for participants were as follows: 1) all neonates delivered alive at HAM and 2)
133 newborns who were born outside the hospital but were later admitted at HAM on the day of birth. A
134 total of 535 newborns were initially enrolled.

135 The exclusion criteria included the following: 1) all neonates delivered at HAM born without any signs
136 of life (stillbirths), 2) newborns whose mothers had cognitive impairment, and 3) adolescent or
137 illiterate mothers who had not obtained permission from their parents or legal guardians to
138 participate in the study. Sixteen met the exclusion criteria (stillbirths), with a total of 519 participants
139 enrolled.

140

141 Selection of cases and controls

142 Cases (ABO group) were newborns with at least one adverse birth outcome: 1) preterm as defined as
143 a birth that occurred before 37 completed weeks (less than 259 days) of gestation [26]; 2) low birth
144 weight (LBW) as a weight of < 2.5 kg at birth [27,28]; 3) macrosomia as a birth-weight over 4000 g
145 irrespective of gestational age [29]; 4) birth asphyxia as an APGAR score at 5- minutes inferior to seven
146 [30,31].; 5) major congenital anomaly as structural changes in one or more parts of the infant's body
147 that are present at birth; and/or 6) probable sepsis defined as having an intrapartum infectious risk
148 with neonatal clinical suspicion of early onset sepsis plus admission to NCU for antibiotic treatment.

149 Controls (no-ABO group) were healthy newborns without adverse birth outcomes (\geq 37 gestational
150 weeks at birth, weight \geq 2.5 kg at birth and not greater than 4 kg, 5-min APGAR score \geq 7, no congenital
151 anomaly, and no probable sepsis).

152

153 Sampling method

154 Sample size followed the WHO-steps approach [32] applying a web-based sample size calculator,
155 Raosoft (<http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html>) which suggested a minimum sample size of

156 S = 355, which placed the right dimension between 355 (95%) and 579 (99%) confidence. A total of
157 535 participants were enrolled based on the following assumptions: two-sided 95% confidence level,
158 power of 80% to detect an odds ratio of at least 2 for adverse birth outcomes. This sample size was
159 also supported by PASS software (<https://www.ncss.com/software/pass/>).

160 Eligible cases were selected through random sampling applied to recruit the newborn's mother. Each
161 morning, from the pile of mothers' medical folders, every second interval folder was selected and then
162 carried on asking for consent for enrollment. The study was performed in different months (two weeks
163 every two other months) to avoid seasonal interference (rain season and malaria period), avoiding
164 effects by means of confounding variables by guaranteeing a sample with few biases. Women were
165 invited to participate in the study after admission but were interviewed only after delivery. Invitations
166 were made by the main investigator and occurred during daytime hours, from Monday to Friday.
167 Consenting participants in the sample were followed-up (mother and newborn) throughout their stays
168 until hospital discharge.

169

170 Operational definition

171 "Adverse birth outcome" in this study implies the presence of at least one or more of the neonatal
172 conditions previously specified in the topic "Selection of cases and controls". Thus, if the mothers
173 admitted to the labor ward gave birth to a baby with one or more ABOs, then these were considered
174 newborns with an adverse birth outcome (ABO group). Those who gave normal live birth, without the
175 abovementioned adverse birth outcome were labeled "newborns with no-ABO" (no-ABO group) [4].
176 If newborns first admitted as "newborns with no-ABO" were posteriorly admitted at the Neonatal Unit
177 Care, they were included in the ABO group if one of the abovementioned ABOs were identified.

178 Gestational age was estimated from the date of onset of the last normal menstrual period or through
179 ultrasound dating of pregnancy.

180 The definition of birth asphyxia was only determined using the components of the APGAR score table
181 [30,31] since techniques such as umbilical arterial blood gas samples from a clamped section of the
182 umbilical cord are not available in STP. This was similarly applied to both term and preterm infants
183 [23].

184 Infection as early-onset neonatal sepsis diagnosed in STP is only possible in a suspicion-based
185 algorithm since there are no microbiologic techniques such as, blood culture, available. Therefore, for
186 this study, “probable sepsis” was defined as newborns admitted to NCU due to early suspicious signs
187 and symptoms (hypothermia or fever, lethargy, poor perfusion, prolonged capillary refill time,
188 hypotonia, bulging fontanel, respiratory distress, apnea, and gasping respiration), with a maternal
189 infectious risk and requiring antibiotic intravenous treatment [33,34].

190 The maternal infectious risk was operationally defined as the sum of all the following risk factors: 1)
191 maternal fever (axillary temperature >37.9 C) at the time of delivery, 2) prolonged rupture of the
192 membrane (≥18 hours), and/or 3) foul-smelling amniotic fluid [35].

193

194 Study variables

195 The dependent variable was the overall adverse birth outcomes. The independent variables tested in
196 this study included factors grouped into five categories. The first category included the newborns’
197 maternal sociodemographic factors, such as age, educational status, occupation, marital status,
198 education of the baby’s father, residence, and type of access to water and sanitation. The next
199 category was the preconception main factors, such as women’s pregnancy intention, contraceptive
200 utilization, and current obstetric condition (gravidity, parity, previous abortion, stillbirth, previous
201 cesarean section and preceding birth interval). The third category included ANC service, such as
202 number of visits, gestational age at first ANC visit, obstetric ultrasound, and number of fetuses in the
203 ultrasound (twin pregnancies). This third category also included antepartum factors, such as the
204 presence of medical conditions during the current pregnancy, including maternal anemia (hemoglobin

205 concentration <11 g/dl), bacteriuria, hyperglycemia, malaria, HIV, syphilis, and hepatitis B virus. The
206 fourth category included health facility-related factors (being transferred from another unit and who
207 assisted the delivery) as well as intrapartum factors, such as mode of delivery and complications (fetal
208 malpresentation [36], umbilical cord complication [37], prolonged rupture of membranes [33,38],
209 meconium-stained amniotic fluid [39], postpartum hemorrhage (>500 mL bleeding), preeclampsia
210 (hypertension $\geq 140/90$ mmHg and proteinuria in women who were normotensive at ANC), and
211 obstructed labor [40]). The last or fifth category was the newborn characteristics (gestational age, sex,
212 birth weight) and complications (intrauterine growth restriction, infectious risk, neonatal
213 resuscitation, fetal distress at birth, admission to the NCU and intravenous antibiotic treatment).

214 Since most pregnant women performed tetanus toxoid vaccination, iron supplementation and blood
215 pressure measurements, these factors were not considered. All mothers stated no consanguinity with
216 the baby's father and did not have smoking habits; therefore, these risk factors were also not included.

217

218 Data collection

219 Data on the characteristics of participants were gathered and collected from antenatal pregnancy
220 cards, obstetric maternal and newborn records. For antepartum data, relevant details of the perinatal
221 history and antenatal period were collected systematically from the antenatal pregnancy cards.
222 Intrapartum data were collected from labor follow-up sheets, delivery summaries and maternal
223 medical records. Postpartum data were abstract for newborns from birth charts and/or newborn
224 medical records if admitted to the NCU.

225 Sociodemographic characteristics were supplemented with a structured administered questionnaire
226 through a face-to-face interview of the mothers 12-24 hours after delivery. This questionnaire was
227 adapted from the STP Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) and other similar studies [18].

228

229 Data quality control

230 The questionnaires were administered in Portuguese, the national language. The questionnaire was
231 pretested at HAM one month before data collection in 23 mothers, and modifications were made
232 based on the pretest results, mainly adjusting terminology for more culturally-friendly terms. Consent
233 to participate in the study was obtained at the time of admission at HAM, but the interview was held
234 until after the woman was stabilized and ready to be discharged. Continuous follow-up and
235 supervision of data collection were made by the supervisors. The collected data were checked daily
236 for completeness. The principal investigator (a pediatrician) executed and was responsible for the
237 main field activities as follows: 1) obtaining consent and enrollment of the mothers, 2) data collection
238 from ANC cards plus maternal clinical and newborn records, 3) newborns' clinical exams (for diagnosis
239 confirmation), 4) face-to-face interviews, and 5) to perform all data collection entry into the app
240 survey tool.

241

242 Data management

243 Data were secured in a confidential and private location, and participants were referred to by
244 identification numbers. The informed consent forms were kept separate from the questionnaires.
245 Both could only be linked by a coding sheet available only to the principal investigator.

246

247 Data analysis

248 Data were entered into the QuickTapSurvey app (2010-2021 Formstack), an offline survey app tool,
249 and further analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows, version 25.0
250 (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).
251 The captured data were then checked for completeness and accuracy by a qualified biostatistician.
252 Categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages or means (standard deviations) and
253 ranges (min-max), as appropriate. In this study, cases were coded as 1, and controls were coded as 0

254 for analysis. The proportion of missing data ranged from 0.8 to 10% across variables. Missing values
255 higher than 10% were described in the analysis.

256 The chi-square test was used to compare the proportion of cases and controls between selected
257 categorical variables. To recognize the determinants of ABO, univariable and multivariable logistic
258 regression analyses were performed. In the univariable analysis, explanatory variables with a p value
259 <0.25 were candidates for a multivariable logistic regression model to monitor the influence of
260 confounding variables. With their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), crude (cOR) and adjusted (aOR)
261 odds ratios were determined to assess the strength and existence of an association. The level of
262 significance $\alpha=0.05$ was considered.

263

264 Ethics approval and consent to participate

265 The Ministry of Health of Sao Tome & Principe and the main board of the Hospital Dr. Ayres de
266 Menezes are dedicated ethics oversight bodies, and both approved this study. All methods were
267 performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations in practice. Written informed
268 consent was obtained from all participants (or their parent or legal guardian in the case of adolescent
269 under 16 or for illiterate pregnant women) after the purpose of the research was explained orally by
270 the principal investigator. Participants' or their legal representatives' also consented to have the
271 results of this research work published. Participation in the survey was voluntary, as participants could
272 decline to participate at any time during the study.

273 Results

274

275 A total of 519 newborns (176 cases and 343 controls) were enrolled. The newborn's mean gestational
276 age (GA) was 38.73 weeks with a standard deviation (SD) of 2.62 (minimum 25 - maximum 43 weeks).
277 The mean birth weight was 3053.79 \pm (SD=649) g (minimum 900 g – maximum 4650 g). Cases had a
278 mean GA and birth weight of 36.02 \pm (SD=3.7) and 2659.66 \pm (SD=881.44) g, respectively, while their
279 counterparts had 39.61 \pm (SD=1.03) weeks and 3256.02 \pm (SD=345.83) g, respectively. Mean maternal
280 age was 26.5 years with a standard deviation of 7.03 (minimum 14 - maximum 43 years old). The mean
281 maternal age for cases and controls was 26.99 (SD= \pm 7.15) and 26.24 (SD= \pm 6.96), respectively.

282

283 Prevalence of adverse birth outcomes

284 The current study revealed that 343 (66%) births were healthy live births, while the remaining 176
285 (34%) were births with child-related adverse birth outcomes. The current study identified 6 types of
286 abnormal birth outcomes (Table 1). Of these, 92 (17.7%) were born preterm, 83 (16%) had low birth
287 weight, and 42 (8.1%) had birth asphyxia. The magnitude of the congenital anomalies was 8 (1.5%).
288 The study also identified a 4% rate of macrosomia and 4% of probable neonatal sepsis.

289

290

291

292

293

294 **Table 1** Frequency and types of adverse birth outcomes related to the newborns among deliveries
295 attended in HAM, Sao Tome & Principe

296

Adverse birth outcomes		Frequency	Percent
Preterm baby or birth	No	427	82.3
	Yes	92	17.7
Low Birth Weight	No	436	84
	Yes	83	16
Macrosomia	No	498	96.0
	Yes	21	4.0
Congenital anomaly	No	511	98.5
	Yes	8	1.5
Birth asphyxia	No	477	91.9
	Yes	42	8.1
Sepsis suspicion	No	498	95.9
	Yes	21	4.0
ABOs observed in a new birth	no ABO	343	66
	at least 1 ABO	176	34
	Total	519	100.0

297 Abbreviations: ABOs - adverse birth outcomes.

298

299 The maternal characteristics as well as antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum factors for the total
300 of the participants and for cases versus controls are described in Table 2.

301

302

303

304

305 **Table 2** Maternal characteristics as well as antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum factors for all
306 participants and for cases (newborns with ABO) versus controls (newborns with no-ABO)

Variables	TOTAL n= 519	ABO n= 176 n (%)	no-ABO n= 343 n (%)	p-value
Sociodemographic factors				
Age				0.277
14-16	29 (5.6)	11 (6.3)	18 (5.2)	
17-19	74 (14.3)	21 (11.9)	53 (15.5)	
20-34	330 (63.6)	108 (61.4)	222 (64.7)	
≥35	86 (16.6)	36 (20.5)	50 (14.6)	
Maternal education				0.004
none	19 (3.7)	7 (4)	12 (3.5)	
primary	298 (57.4)	111 (63.1)	187 (54.5)	
secondary	166 (32)	40 (22.7)	126 (36.7)	
higher	36 (6.9)	18 (10.2)	18 (5.2)	
Maternal occupation				0.921
housewife	326 (62.8)	111 (63.1)	215 (62.7)	
student	36 (6.9)	11 (6.3)	25 (7.3)	
employed	157 (30.3)	54 (30.7)	103 (30)	
Marital status				0.650
union/married	410 (79)	137 (77.8)	273 (79.6)	
single	109 (21)	39 (22.2)	70 (20.4)	
Baby's father education				0.163
none	11 (2.1)	3 (1.7)	8 (2.3)	
primary	178 (34.3)	65 (36.9)	113 (32.9)	
secondary	126 (24.3)	39 (22.2)	87 (25.4)	
higher	38 (7.3)	19 (10.8)	19 (5.5)	
unknown	166 (32)	50 (28.4)	116 (33.8)	
Residence				1.000
urban	231 (45.3)	77 (45.3)	154 (45.3)	
rural	279 (54.7)	93 (54.7)	186 (54.7)	
Improved water				0.917
yes	377 (72.6)	127 (72.7)	250 (72.9)	
no	142 (27.4)	49 (27.8)	93 (27.1)	
Sanitation				0.516
yes	272 (52.4)	96 (54.5)	176 (51.3)	
open defecation	247 (47.6)	80 (45.5)	167 (48.7)	

307

Preconception factors				
Pregnancy planned				0.631
yes	126 (24.3)	41 (23.3)	85 (24.8)	
no	293 (56.5)	97 (55.1)	196 (57.1)	
missing	100 (19.3)	38 (21.6)	62 (18.1)	
Ever use of family planning methods				0.721
yes	106 (24.6)	33 (23.2)	73 (25.3)	
no	325 (75.4)	109 (76.8)	216 (74.7)	
Gravidity				0.389

1	126 (24.3)	37 (21)	89 (25.9)	
2-5	273 (52.6)	94 (53.4)	179 (52.2)	
≥5	120 (23.1)	45 (25.6)	75 (21.9)	
Parity				
0	153 (29.5)	50 (28.4)	103 (30)	0.622
1-4	316 (60.9)	106 (60.2)	210 (61.2)	
≥5	50 (9.6)	20 (11.4)	30 (8.7)	
Previous abortion				0.158
yes	156 (30.1)	60 (34.1)	96 (28.0)	
no	363 (69.9)	116 (65.9)	247 (72.0)	
Previous stillbirth				0.068
yes	53 (10.2)	24 (13.6)	29 (8.5)	
no	466 (89.8)	152 (86.4)	314 (91.5)	
Poor birth spacing				0.815
yes	100 (19.3)	35 (19.9)	65 (19.0)	
no	419 (80.7)	141 (80.1)	278 (81)	
Previous caesarean section				0.592
yes	15 (2.9)	6 (3.4)	9 (2.6)	
no	504 (97.1)	170 (96.6)	334 (97.4)	
ANC				
GA at first ANC visit				0.835
≤12	272 (62.4)	91 (61.5)	181 (62.8)	
>12	164 (37.6)	57 (38.5)	107 (37.2)	
Number of ANC visits				<0.001
1-4	75 (14.6)	31 (18.1)	44 (12.9)	
5-7	237 (46.2)	101 (59.1)	136 (39.8)	
≥ 8	201 (39.2)	39 (22.8)	162 (47.4)	
Obstetric ultrasound				0.777
yes	212 (40.8)	106 (60.2)	201 (58.6)	
no	208 (40.4)	70 (39.8)	142 (41.4)	
Twin pregnancy				<0.001
yes	34 (6.6)	24 (13.6)	10 (2.9)	
no	485 (93.4)	152 (86.4)	333 (97.1)	

308

Maternal anaemia				0.978
yes	161 (31)	54 (30.7)	107 (31.2)	
no	240 (46.2)	81 (46)	159 (46.4)	
not done	118 (22.7)	41 (23.3)	77 (22.4)	
Bacteriuria				0.212
yes	155 (29.9)	44 (25.0)	111 (32.4)	
no	207 (39.9)	74 (42.0)	133 (38.8)	
not done	157 (30.3)	58 (33.0)	99 (28.9)	
Hyperglycaemia				0.538
yes	16 (3.1)	7 (4.0)	9 (2.6)	
no	346 (66.7)	113 (64.2)	233 (67.9)	
not done	157 (30.3)	56 (31.8)	101 (29.4)	
Malaria				1.000
yes	3 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	
no	385 (74.2)	131 (74.4)	254 (74.1)	
not done	131 (25.2)	44 (25)	87 (25.4)	

HIV				1.000
yes	3 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	
no	486 (99.4)	164 (99.4)	322 (99.4)	
Syphilis				0.340
yes	5 (1.1)	3 (1.9)	2 (0.6)	
no	463 (98.9)	154 (98.1)	309 (99.4)	
HsbAg				0.810
yes	16 (3.1)	4 (2.3)	12 (3.5)	
no	300 (57.8)	103 (58.5)	197 (57.4)	
not done	203 (39.1)	69 (39.2)	134 (39.1)	

309

Health facility-related factors				
Baby delivered at HAM				0.592
yes	504 (97.1)	170 (96.6)	334 (97.4)	
no	15 (2.9)	6 (3.4)	9 (2.6)	
Transferred from another unit*				0.009
yes	21 (4.0)	13 (7.4)	8 (2.3)	
no	498 (96)	163 (92.6)	335 (97.7)	
Delivery assisted by				0.011
obstetrician	84 (16.2)	39 (22.8)	45 (13.5)	
midwife	421 (83.4)	132 (77.2)	289 (86.5)	
Intrapartum complications and mode of delivery				
Foetal malpresentation				0.607
yes	4 (0.8)	2 (1.1)	2 (0.6)	
no	515 (99.2)	174 (98.9)	341 (99.4)	
PROM				
yes	38 (7.3)	22 (12.5)	16 (4.7)	0.002
no	481 (92.7)	154 (87.5)	327 (95.3)	
Pre/Eclampsia				0.029
yes	37 (7.1)	19 (10.8)	18 (5.2)	
no	482 (92.9)	157 (89.2)	325 (94.8)	
Meconium-stained amniotic fluid				0.027
yes	90 (17.3)	40 (22.7)	50 (14.6)	
no	429 (82.7)	136 (77.3)	293 (85.4)	
Umbilical cord complication				0.355
yes	34 (6.6)	14 (8.0)	20 (5.8)	
no	485 (93.4)	162 (92)	323 (94.2)	
Obstructed labour				0.122
yes	52 (10)	23 (13.1)	29 (8.5)	
no	467 (90)	153 (86.9)	314 (91.5)	
Postpartum haemorrhage				0.339
yes	1 (0.2)	1 (0.6)	0	
no	518 (99.8)	175 (99.4)	343 (100)	
Normal Vaginal delivery				0.034
yes	433 (83.4)	138 (78.4)	295 (86.0)	
no	86 (16.6)	38 (21.6)	48 (14.0)	
Caesarean section				0.039
yes	79 (15.2)	35 (19.9)	44 (12.8)	
no	440 (84.8)	141 (80.1)	299 (87.2)	

Instrumental vaginal delivery				0.694
yes	7 (1.3)	3 (1.7)	4 (1.2)	
no	512 (98.7)	173 (98.3)	339 (98.8)	

310

Newborns characteristics and complications				
Gestational Age				
<32	16 (3.1)	16 (9.1)	0	<0.001
32 a 37	76 (14.6)	76 (43.2)	0	
38-41	350 (67.4)	65 (36.9)	285 (83.1)	
≥41	77 (14.8)	19 (10.8)	58 (16.9)	
Sex				0.308
feminine	253 (48.7)	80 (45.5)	173 (50.4)	
masculine	266 (51.3)	96 (54.5)	170 (49.6)	
Birth weight				<0.001
<1500 g	17 (3.3)	17 (9.7)	0	
1500-2499 g	66 (12.7)	66 (37.5)	0	
2500 -3999 g	415 (80)	72 (40.9)	343 (100)	
≥ 4000g	21 (4.0)	21 (11.9)	0	
IUGR				<0.001
yes	21 (4.0)	18 (10.2)	3 (0.9)	
no	498 (96)	158 (89.8)	340 (99.1)	
Infectious risk				<0.001
yes	117 (22.5)	62 (35.2)	55 (16)	
no	402 (77.5)	114 (64.8)	288 (84)	

311

Neonatal resuscitation performed				<0.001
yes	28 (5.4)	26 (14.8)	2 (0.6)	
no	491 (94.6)	150 (85.2)	341 (99.4)	
Foetal distress at birth				<0.001
yes	103 (19.8)	72 (40.9)	31 (9.0)	
no	416 (80.2)	104 (59.1)	312 (91.0)	
Admission at NCU				<0.001
yes	70 (13.5)	64 (36.4)	6 (1.7)	
no	449 (86.5)	112 (63.6)	337 (98.3)	
Received antibiotic				<0.001
yes	112 (21.6)	72 (40.9)	40 (11.7)	
no	407 (78.4)	104 (59.1)	303 (88.3)	

312 Bold text for p-value ≤0.05

313 Abbreviations: GA – gestational age; ANC – antenatal care; PROM – prolonged rupture of membranes; IUGR – intrauterine

314 growth restriction; NCU – neonatal care unit; HAM – Hospital Dr. Ayres de Menezes

315

316

317

318

319 **Factors associated with adverse birth outcomes**

320 Univariable binary logistic regression was performed to assess the association of ABOs with different
321 characteristics (Table 3). Crude analysis showed that eight or more ANC visits (cOR 0.34, 95% CI 0.19-
322 0.61) and delivery assisted by a midwife (cOR 0.53, 95% CI 0.33-0.85) were ABO protective factors.
323 Among the intrapartum factors, prolonged rupture of membranes (cOR 2.92, 95% CI 1.49-5.72),
324 preeclampsia (cOR 2.19, 95% CI 1.12-4.28) and meconium-stained amniotic fluid (cOR 1.72, 95% CI
325 1.09-2.74) were significantly associated with adverse birth outcomes. Regarding the mode of delivery,
326 not having a normal vaginal delivery (cOR 1.69, 95% CI 1.06-2.71) and cesarean section (cOR 1.69, 95%
327 CI 1.04-2.75) were associated with a higher ABO risk. The odds of having ABO were five times higher
328 among twin pregnancies (cOR 5.26, 95% CI 2.45-11.27).

329 Newborns with intrauterine growth restriction had thirteen-fold higher odds of ABO (cOR 12.91, 95%
330 CI 3.75-44.47), and newborns with an infectious risk had three-fold higher odds of ABO (cOR 2.85, 95%
331 CI 1.87-4.35).

332 Performance of neonatal resuscitation (cOR 29.55, 95% CI 6.93-126.11), fetal distress at birth (APGAR
333 score at first- minute inferior to seven) (cOR 6.97, 95% CI 4.33-11.22), admission to the neonatal care
334 unit (cOR 32.10, 95% CI 13.53-76.13) and receiving intravenous antibiotic treatment (cOR 5.24, 95%
335 CI 3.36-8.19) were all related to increased odds of adverse birth outcomes.

336 Other factors related to the newborn outcomes, such as experiencing fetal distress at birth, needing
337 resuscitation maneuvers, intrauterine growth restriction, being admitted to the neonatal unit care,
338 and receiving antibiotic treatment, were all identified as high-risk for an adverse birth outcome in the
339 univariable analysis. Taking into consideration the definition used to select the cases in this study -
340 PTB, LBW, macrosomia, congenital anomalies, sepsis, and asphyxia – all of the above neonatal factors
341 were related as a consequence of the case definition and therefore were not included in the
342 multivariable model.

343 **Multivariable model**

344 The variables that were candidates for the multivariable model were number of ANC visits, twin
345 pregnancy, delivery assisted by midwives or obstetricians, preeclampsia, PROM, obstructed labor,
346 cesarean section, meconium-stained amniotic fluid, and infectious risk.

347

348 Twin pregnancy (aOR 4.92, 95% CI 2.25-10.74; p<0.001), PROM (aOR 3.43, 95% CI 1.69-6.95, p=0.001),
349 meconium-stained amniotic fluid (aOR 1.59, 95% 0.97-2.62, p=0.068) and fewer than eight ANC visits
350 (aOR 0.33, 95% CI 0.18-0.60, p<0.001) were independently associated with adverse birth outcomes
351 (Table 3).

352

353 **Table 3** Factors associated with adverse birth outcomes among newborns delivered at HAM in Sao
354 Tome & Principe

Variables	ABO n= 176 n (%)	no-ABO n= 343 n (%)	cOR (95% CI)	p-value	aOR (95% CI) p-value
Sociodemographic characteristics					
Maternal education					
none	7 (4)	12 (3.5)	1		
primary	111 (63.1)	187 (54.5)	1.018 (0.389-2.661)	0.972	
secondary	40 (22.7)	126 (36.7)	0.544 (0.201-1.476)	0.232	
higher	18 (10.2)	18 (5.2)	1.71 (0.549-5.351)	0.353	
ANC					
Number of ANC visits					
1-4	31 (18.1)	44 (12.9)	1		
5-7	101 (59.1)	136 (39.8)	1.054 (0.622-1.785)	0.845	1.028 (0.594-1.778), p=0.922
≥ 8	39 (22.8)	162 (47.4)	0.342 (0.192-0.609)	<0.001	0.331 (0.182-0.603), p <0.001
Twin pregnancy					
yes	24 (13.6)	10 (2.9)	5.258 (2.453-11.268)	<0.001	4.916 (2.251-10.737) p <0.001
no	152 (86.4)	333 (97.1)	1		
Intrapartum complications					
PROM					
yes	22 (12.5)	16 (4.7)	2.92 (1.491-5.716)	0.002	3.429 (1.693-6.946), p=0.001
no	154 (87.5)	327 (95.3)	1		
Pre/Eclampsia					
yes	19 (10.8)	18 (5.2)	2.185 (1.116-4.280)	0.023	
no	157 (89.2)	325 (94.8)	1		
Meconium-stained amniotic fluid					
yes	40 (22.7)	50 (14.6)	1.724 (1.085-2.738)	0.021	1.590 (0.966-2.618), p=0.068
no	136 (77.3)	293 (85.4)	1		

Health facility-related factors					
Transferred from another unit*					
yes	13 (7.4)	8 (2.3)	3.340 (1.357-8.218)	0.009	
no	163 (92.6)	335 (97.7)	1		
Delivery assisted by					
obstetrician	39 (22.8)	45 (13.5)	1		
midwife	132 (77.2)	289 (86.5)	0.527 (0.328-0.848)	0.008	
Normal Vaginal delivery					
yes	138 (78.4)	295 (86)	1		
no	38 (21.6)	48 (14.0)	1.692 (1.056-2.711)	0.029	
Cesarean section					
yes	35 (19.9)	44 (12.8)	1.687 (1.037-2.745)	0.035	
no	141 (80.1)	299 (87.2)	1		
Newborns complications*					
IUGR					
yes	18 (10.2)	3 (0.9)	12.911 (3.749-44.471)	<0.001	
no	158 (89.8)	340 (99.1)	1		
Infectious risk					
yes	62 (35.2)	55 (16.0)	2.848 (1.866-4.347)	<0.001	
no	114 (64.8)	288 (84.0)	1		
Neonatal resuscitation					
yes	26 (14.8)	2 (0.6)	29.553 (6.926-126.113)	<0.001	
no	150 (85.2)	341 (99.4)	1		
Fetal distress at birth*					
yes	72 (40.9)	31 (9.0)	6.968 (4.329-11.215)	<0.001	
no	104 (59.1)	312 (91.0)	1		
Admission at NCU					
yes	64 (36.4)	6 (1.7)	32.095 (13.531-76.127)	<0.001	
no	112 (63.6)	337 (98.3)	1		
Received antibiotic					
yes	72 (40.9)	40 (11.7)	5.244 (3.357-8.193)	<0.001	
no	104 (59.1)	303 (88.3)	1		

355 Abbreviations: ANC – antenatal care; PROM – prolonged rupture of membranes; IUGR – intrauterine growth restriction; NCU

356 – neonatal care unit; cOR: crude odds ratio; aOR – adjusted odds ratio; CI – confidence interval

357 * neonatal complications were related as a consequence of the case definition and therefore were not included in the

358 multivariable model.

359 Discussion

360

361 Assessing neonatal adverse birth outcomes and identifying contributing factors can help avoid
362 neonatal mortality and morbidity thoroughly and thoughtfully. As a result, the goal of this study was
363 to identify the factors related to ABO in neonates admitted at birth to HAM, in the capital city of Sao
364 Tome & Principe. The lack of complete ANC follow-up, multiple births (twins), meconium-stained
365 amniotic fluid and PROM were all identified as significant associated factors for adverse birth
366 outcomes in the current study.

367 This study showed a rate of 17.7% prematurity and 16% low birth weight, which are higher than the
368 published estimates for Sao Tome & Principe of 12% PTB and 6.6% LBW [2,41,42]. This finding can be
369 related to the fact that gestational age in the country, similar to other studies conducted in LMICs, is
370 mainly estimated based on the last menstrual period [12,42]. Thus, errors in precise date reporting
371 would lead to misclassification of outcomes related to prematurity. Regarding LBW rates in the
372 country, the source used is the UNICEF Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) [20,21]. MICS data
373 rely upon the information provided by the mother about last birth in the previous two years and are
374 associated with a higher risk of recall bias; therefore, these rate discrepancies between this study and
375 official STP data can be linked to the above reasons. The lack of difference between the rate of PTB
376 and LBW in this study is in line with the studies done in Nepal [43], Ethiopia [44] and Kenya [14] as
377 biologically, a preterm baby has a higher risk of having a low birth weight as they are less likely to get
378 sufficient time for maturity, growth, and nutrient intake. Another important consideration is that most
379 PTBs in this study were “late preterm” (76/92), often associated with a normal postnatal clinical course
380 with no relevant complications, when compared to the very preterm babies (16/92) [45].

381

382 The 1.5% congenital malformation, 4% macrosomia and 4% neonatal probable sepsis rates found in
383 this study are similar to the rates described by other LMICs [45].

384

385 In developing countries, the incidence of neonatal septicemia ranges from 1.6% to 3.8% of all live
386 births, considering that the neonatal sepsis definition varies, and most countries are not able to have
387 a microbiological confirmation, such as STP [46,47]. Moreover, the rates of neonatal sepsis in STP are
388 probably much higher since we only included babies diagnosed while admitted to the maternity unit.
389 Most babies were discharged between the 24th and 36th hours of life; thus, all those with late-onset
390 sepsis were missed from this study.

391

392 The 8.1% prevalence of birth asphyxia found in this study is lower when compared to other LMICs: a
393 study in Ethiopia reports a pooled prevalence of 22.52%, 18% in other East African countries and 9.1%
394 in some Central African countries [48]. The lower burden of asphyxia in STP may be due to differences
395 in case definition, as in this study, birth asphyxia was only based on a fifth minute APGAR score less
396 than 7, whereas other studies also use other criteria, such as umbilical cord pH < 7- or 20-min Apgar
397 score less than 7 or multiorgan failure in the first 72 h or convulsion in the first 24 h of life [48]. In turn,
398 APGAR scoring is vulnerable to midwife evaluation and therefore susceptible to higher scoring for
399 better health-related outcomes of the delivery she assisted.

400

401 The fivefold higher risk of multiple pregnancies having ABO, can be associated with the fact that
402 monochorionic pregnancies have a vascular anastomosis within the placenta, affecting the perfusion
403 of each twin and promoting adverse outcomes such as preterm labor, premature rupture of
404 membranes, antepartum hemorrhage and fetal death. Adverse outcomes in twin pregnancies were
405 also reported in other studies [49,50]. Some studies describe that twin pregnancies have a thirteen-
406 fold increase in rates of stillbirth in monochorionic pregnancies and a five-fold increase in dichorionic
407 twins compared with singleton pregnancies [51]. Hence, the association between multiple
408 pregnancies and ABO in this study reveals the importance of screening for multiple pregnancies as
409 one key component of ANC to reduce the risk of ABO [49]. Other interventions to reduce ABO related
410 to twin pregnancies that can be recommended in STP are delivery at 37 weeks gestation in

411 uncomplicated dichorionic twin pregnancies and delivery at 36 weeks in monochorionic pregnancies,
412 as proposed by some authors [51].

413

414 In this study, complete ANC with eight or more visits was a protective factor, which should be
415 expected, since antenatal care is the most important practice for mothers to obtain more information
416 about nutrition and health, to perform screenings and to learn about danger signs regarding
417 pregnancy and childbirth. If a mother lacks ANC, minor obstetric conditions are not detected and
418 managed early; therefore, serious complications and ABO will likely develop [52]. Our finding is similar
419 to the results from Ethiopia and other LMICs, in which adverse birth outcomes, especially PTB and
420 LBW, were higher among mothers with limited ANC visits [8,18,53]. The recommendation of eight or
421 more ANC contacts was only introduced in 2016 by the World Health Organization (WHO) since studies
422 at that time concluded that eight or more ANC contacts could reduce perinatal deaths by up to 8 per
423 1000 births when compared to only 4 visits, as previously recommended by the WHO for LMICs [54].

424

425 To highlight that this study, in matter of ANC screenings completion, revealed that from all the
426 mothers, a total of 22.7%, 30% and 30% had no screening for anemia, bacteriuria or hyperglycemia
427 done throughout the pregnancy. Therefore, the Ministry of Health of STP should give more attention
428 to expanding antenatal care and create awareness about the importance of a complete ANC follow-
429 up, not only in terms of number of visits but also in terms of completion of all the evidence-based
430 recommended screenings.

431

432 The rate of pregnancies with meconium-stained amniotic fluid in this study (17.3%) is similar to the
433 international standard and from other studies in LMICs [10]. The occurrence of meconium-stained
434 amniotic fluid during labor has long been considered a predictor of adverse birth outcomes and an
435 important sign of fetal distress being associated with the rates of neonatal resuscitation, respiratory
436 distress, lower Apgar score, birth asphyxia, neonatal care unit admissions and meconium aspiration

437 syndrome [10,55]. Additionally, approximately 5–10% of neonates with meconium will experience
438 meconium aspiration syndrome, which accounts for approximately 12% of neonatal mortality (as
439 much as a 40% case fatality rate for the neonate and around 2% of perinatal mortality) as well as for
440 neonatal sepsis and pulmonary disease, representing an important risk factor not only for ABO but
441 also for a death outcome [10,55]. Odds of experiencing an ABO, in this study, were identified as being
442 approximately twofold higher among a meconium-stained fluid compared with a clear amniotic fluid
443 at birth. Hence, early detection by using a latent follow-up chart and partograph and timely
444 intervention is recommended to reduce this significant risk factor [10]. Some authors even propose a
445 cesarean section when there is a thick meconium-stained amniotic fluid to ensure a better outcome
446 for the neonate even in the presence of normal fetal heart rate tracings on cardiotocography [55].

447 PROM was defined as rupture of the membrane lasting more than 18 hours before labor. The overall
448 rate in this study was 7.3%, which is in line with the estimated 5%-10% of all pregnancies in LMICs
449 [56,57]. It was also identified as a significant risk factor for ABO, with a threefold higher risk compared
450 to newborns without PROM. This is a well-known risk factor associated with early onset neonatal
451 sepsis and increased risk for perinatal mortality. Thus, preventive measures should focus on the
452 recognition of these high-risk newborns with early treatment with empirical antibiotics. Such
453 approaches would be a safe and cost-effective strategy, especially in STP where there are no
454 laboratory culture techniques available [56,58].

455 Studies differ in their observations on risk, and contrary to expectations, no association was found
456 with the sociodemographic maternal characteristics, and there was no relationship between ABO and
457 the maternal sanitation behavior and type of access to water, as reported in other LMICs studies
458 [15,59].

459

460

461 **Strengths and limitations**

462 In this study, the researcher retrieved all clinical pregnancy-related information, namely, ANC
463 screening results, frequency of ANC visits, labor mode of delivery and complications, as well as
464 postpartum care from ANC cards and maternity registers to limit recall bias. The selection of cases and
465 controls was performed by an experienced pediatrician; therefore, it is less likely that this study has
466 misclassification biases both in the exposure and case–control categories.

467 Regarding the limitations, maternal factors such as gestational weight gain, BMI, and height were not
468 included in this study, as these measurements are not included in the ANC follow-up in STP, missing
469 key mediators for birth outcomes, as well as strong risk factors for other pregnancy complications
470 [12]. Intrapartum factors, such as antenatal steroids and maternal prophylactic antibiotics, were also
471 not assessed in this study.

472 The pathogenesis of each of the adverse birth outcomes is multifactorial and we have not identified
473 the specific exposure pathways, such as the incidence of vertical transmission through the birth canal
474 of *group B Streptococcus* or *Escherichia coli*. Additionally, neonatal sepsis diagnosis was not made by
475 positive culture techniques due to country-resource constraints, which may play a role in our observed
476 outcomes. Previous pregnancy outcomes of PTB or LBW were also not assessed in this study, missing
477 the opportunity to evaluate the recurrence of these ABO compared to those without previous
478 histories of PTB or LBW [6,9].

479 Notwithstanding these limitations, the study is a first step in the description of adverse birth outcomes
480 among newborns in this resource-constrained setting.

481 Conclusions

482

483 The high prevalence of adverse birth outcomes in the HAM maternity unit at Sao Tome & Principe
484 could be reduced through the provision of a high-quality ANC and intrapartum care throughout the
485 continuum of care, as fewer than eight ANC visits, twin pregnancy, meconium-stained amniotic fluid
486 and PROM were all identified as significant risk factors for ABOs in this study.

487 The modifiable risk factors documented in this analysis should be considered in cost-effectiveness
488 interventions that would improve birth outcomes in Sao Tome & Principe. Reducing the ABO burden
489 will not only impact neonatal mortality rates but will also promote child well-being, growth, and
490 favorable health outcomes across their life course and provide substantial population-level human
491 capital returns in Sao Tome & Principe.

492

493

494

495 Acknowledgments

496 A special remark for the late Professor João Luís Baptista PhD MD - AV research cosupervisor - a
497 great man who was a thinker and a fighter for Africa's improvement of public health. We are
498 indebted to all the women who participated in the study. The authors would like to thank Elizabeth
499 Carvalho and the 1) medical team and nurses of Hospital Dr. Ayres de Menezes Maternity for their
500 support, especially to the chief-nurse Paulina Oliveira, and 2) Ana Sequeira, Rita Coelho, Ana
501 Margalha, Ana Castro, Alexandra Coelho, and Inês Gomes for field support. We would like to
502 acknowledge Instituto Camões, I.P. for the logistic support in Sao Tome & Principe.

503

504

505 References

- 506 1. Adane, et al. Adverse birth outcomes among deliveries in Gonder university hospital, Northwest
507 Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014;14:90. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2393/14/90>
- 508 2. Blakstad MM, Perumal N, Bliznashka L, et al. Large gains in schooling and income are possible from
509 minimizing adverse birth outcomes in 121 low- and middle-income countries: A modelling study. PLOS
510 Glob Public Health. 2022;2(6):e0000218. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0000218>
- 511 3. Lawn JE, Kerber K, Enweronu-Laryea C, Masee Bateman O. Newborn survival in low resource
512 settings—are we delivering?. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology.
513 2009;116:49-59.
- 514 4. Tsegaye, Kassa. Prevalence of adverse birth outcome and associated factors among women who
515 delivered in Hawassa town governmental health institutions, South Ethiopia, in 2017. Reprod Health.
516 2018;15:193 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-018-0631-3>
- 517 5. Kaforau LSK, Tessema GA, Jancey J, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of adverse birth outcomes in
518 the Pacific Island region: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open 2021;11:e042423.
519 <https://doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042423>
- 520 6. Su D, Samson K, Garg A, Hanson C, Berry AL, Lin G, Qu M. Birth history as a predictor of adverse
521 birth outcomes: Evidence from state vital statistics data. Preventive medicine reports. 2018;11:63-8.
- 522 7. Lule S, Elliott A, Smeeth L, Webb E: Is birth weight associated with blood pressure among African
523 children and adolescents? A systematic review. Journal of developmental origins of health and
524 disease 2018:1–11.
- 525 8. Hailemichael HT, Debelew GT, Alema H, et al. Determinants of adverse birth outcome in Tigray
526 region, North Ethiopia: Hospital-based case-control study. BMC Pediatrics. 2020;20, 10.
527 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-019-1835-6>
- 528 9. Bane S, Simard JF, Wall-Wieler E, et al. Subsequent risk of stillbirth, preterm birth, and small for
529 gestational age: A cross-outcome analysis of adverse birth outcomes. Paediatric and Perinatal
530 Epidemiology. 2022.
- 531 10. Addisu D, Asres A, Gedefaw G. et al. Prevalence of meconium stained amniotic fluid and its
532 associated factors among women who gave birth at term in Felege Hiwot comprehensive specialized
533 referral hospital, North West Ethiopia: a facility based cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy
534 Childbirth 18, 429 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-2056-y>
- 535 11. Bright A, Parrott M, Martin S, et al. Streamlining Universal Prenatal Screening for Risk for Adverse
536 Birth Outcomes. Matern Child Health J 26, 1022–1029 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-022-03420-7>
- 537
- 538 12. Yang J, Wang M, Tobias DK, et al. Dietary diversity and diet quality with gestational weight gain
539 and adverse birth outcomes, results from a prospective pregnancy cohort study in urban Tanzania.
540 Maternal & Child Nutrition. 2022;18(2):e13300.
- 541 13. Caniglia EC, Zash R, Swanson SA, et al. Iron, folic acid, and multiple micronutrient
542 supplementation strategies during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes in Botswana. The Lancet
543 Global Health. 2022;10(6):e850-61.

- 544 14. KC A, Basel PL, Singh S. Low birth weight and its associated risk factors: Health facility-based case-
545 control study. PloS one. 2020;15(6):e0234907. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234907>
- 546 15. Padhi BK, Baker KK, Dutta A, et al. Risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes among women practicing
547 poor sanitation in rural India: a population-based prospective cohort study. PLoS Med.
548 2015;12(7):e1001851. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001851>
- 549 16. Kikuchi K, Okawa S, Zamawe COF, et al. (2016) Effectiveness of Continuum of Care—Linking
550 PrePregnancy Care and Pregnancy Care to Improve Neonatal and Perinatal Mortality: A Systematic
551 Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE 11(10): e0164965. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0164965>
- 552 17. Younger A, Alkon A, Harknett K, et al. Adverse birth outcomes associated with household air
553 pollution from unclean cooking fuels in low-and middle-income countries: A systematic review.
554 Environmental research. 2022;204:112274.
- 555 18. Abadiga M, Mosisa G, Tsegaye R, et al. Determinants of adverse birth outcomes among women
556 delivered in public hospitals of Ethiopia, 2020. Archives of Public Health. 2022;80(1):1-7.
557 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-021-00776-0>
- 558 19. Sao Tome and Principe WHO statistical profile. WHO Libr. 2015.
559 <https://www.who.int/gho/countries/stp>
- 560 20. INE e UNICEF. 2020. Inquérito de Indicadores Múltiplos 2019, Relatório final. São Tomé, São
561 Tomé e Príncipe: Instituto Nacional de Estatística e Fundo das Nações Unidas para a Infância.
562 Available at: [https://mics-surveys-](https://mics-surveys-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/MICS6/West%20and%20Central%20Africa/Sao%20Tome%20and%20Principe/2019/Survey%20findings/Sao%20Tome%20e%20Principe%202019%20MICS%20Survey%20Findings%20Report_Portuguese.pdf)
563 [prod.s3.amazonaws.com/MICS6/West%20and%20Central%20Africa/Sao%20Tome%20and%20Principe/2019/Survey%20findings/Sao%20Tome%20e%20Principe%202019%20MICS%20Survey%20Findings%20Report_Portuguese.pdf](https://mics-surveys-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/MICS6/West%20and%20Central%20Africa/Sao%20Tome%20and%20Principe/2019/Survey%20findings/Sao%20Tome%20e%20Principe%202019%20MICS%20Survey%20Findings%20Report_Portuguese.pdf)
564 [gs%20Report_Portuguese.pdf](https://mics-surveys-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/MICS6/West%20and%20Central%20Africa/Sao%20Tome%20and%20Principe/2019/Survey%20findings/Sao%20Tome%20e%20Principe%202019%20MICS%20Survey%20Findings%20Report_Portuguese.pdf)
565
- 566 21. UNICEF IN de E (INE) e. Inquérito de Indicadores Múltiplos, São Tome e Principe, MICS-STP, 2014,
567 Principais resultados. SaoTome, SaoTome e Principe, INE e UNICEF. 2015; Instituto Nacional de
568 Estatística, 2016. Inquérito aos Indicadores Múltiplos 2014 de São Tomé e Príncipe, Relatório Final.
569 São Tomé, São Tomé e Príncipe. [http://msgov.st/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/MICS-Final-Report-](http://msgov.st/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/MICS-Final-Report-STP_Portugu%C3%AAs)
570 [STP_Portugu%C3%AAs](http://msgov.st/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/MICS-Final-Report-STP_Portugu%C3%AAs)
- 571 22. Vasconcelos A, Bandeira N, Sousa S, Pereira F, Machado MD. Adolescent pregnancy in Sao Tome
572 and Principe: a cross-sectional hospital-based study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2022;22(1):1-5.
- 573 23. Vasconcelos A, Bandeira N, Sousa S, Machado MC, Pereira F. Adolescent pregnancy in Sao Tome
574 and Principe: are there different obstetric and perinatal outcomes?. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth.
575 2022;22(1):1-1.
- 576 24. Vasconcelos A, Sousa S, Bandeira N, Baptista JL, Machado MD, Pereira F. PO 8592 Why, when
577 and where do newborns not only get sick but also die in São tomé and Príncipe? A case-control
578 study. https://gh.bmj.com/content/4/suppl_3/a60.1.abstract
- 579 25. Mmbaga BT, Lie RT, Olomi R et al. Cause-specific neonatal mortality in a neonatal care unit in
580 Northern Tanzania: a registry based cohort study. BMC pediatrics. 2012;12(1):1-0.
- 581 26. Mandy GT. Preterm birth: Definitions of prematurity, epidemiology, and risk factors for infant
582 mortality. UpToDate Retrieved February2017 [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/neonatal-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/neonatal-complications-outcome-andmanagement-of-multiple-births.2016)
583 [complications-outcome-andmanagement-of-multiple-births.2016](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/neonatal-complications-outcome-andmanagement-of-multiple-births.2016)

- 584 27. Tchamo ME, Prista A, Leandro CG: Low birth weight, very low birth weight and extremely low
585 birth weight in African children aged between 0 and 5 years old: a systematic review. *Journal of*
586 *Developmental Origins of Health and Disease* 2016.
- 587 28. Chibwesa CJ, Zanolini A, Smid M, Vwalika B, Phiri Kasaro M, Mwanahamuntu M, Stringer JSA,
588 Stringer EM: Predictors and outcomes of low birth weight in Lusaka, Zambia. *International Journal of*
589 *Gynecology & Obstetrics* 2016, 134(3):309–314.
- 590 29. Belay DM, Bayih WA, Alemu AY, Sinshaw AE, Mekonen DK, Ayele AS, Aytnew TM, Aynew YE,
591 Hailemichael W, Getu S, Kiros M. Macrosomia and its predictors in pregnant women with diabetes in
592 Ethiopia. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*. 2021;26(12):1539-52.
- 593 30. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. The Apgar score (Committee Opinion No.
594 644). *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;126(4):52-5.
- 595 31. Wosenu L, Worku AG, Teshome DF, Gelagay AA. Determinants of birth asphyxia among live birth
596 newborns in University of Gondar referral hospital, northwest Ethiopia: A case-control study. *PloS*
597 *one*. 2018;13(9):e0203763.
- 598 32. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. *Sample size determination in health studies: a practical manual*. 1991.
- 599 33. Tewabe T, Mohammed S, Tilahun Y et al. Clinical outcome and risk factors of neonatal sepsis
600 among neonates in Felege Hiwot referral Hospital, Bahir Dar, Amhara Regional State, North West
601 Ethiopia 2016: a retrospective chart review. *BMC Res Notes*. 2017;10, 265.
602 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2573-1>
- 603 34. Popescu CR, Cavanagh MM, Tembo B, et al. Neonatal sepsis in low-income countries:
604 epidemiology, diagnosis and prevention. *Expert review of anti-infective therapy*. 2020 May
605 3;18(5):443-52, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2020.1732818>
- 606 35. Huynh B, Kermorvant-Duchemin E, Herindrainy P et al. Bacterial Infections in Neonates,
607 Madagascar, 2012–2014. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2018; 24(4), 710-717.
608 <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2404.161977>
- 609 36. Mulwooza J, Santos N, Isabirye N et al. Midwife-performed checklist and ultrasound to identify
610 obstetric conditions at labour triage in Uganda: A quasi-experimental study. *Midwifery*.
611 2021;96:102949.
- 612 37. Weissmann-Brenner A, Meyer R, Domniz N, et al. The perils of true knot of the umbilical cord:
613 Antepartum, intrapartum and postpartum complications and clinical implications. *Archives of*
614 *Gynecology and Obstetrics*. 2022;305(3):573-9.
- 615 38. Chiabi A, Takou V, Mah E, Nguetack S, Siyou H, Takou V, et al. Risk factors for neonatal mortality
616 at the Yaounde Gynaeco-Obstetric and Pediatric Hospital. Cameroon. *Iranian Journal of Pediatrics*.
617 2014;24(4):393–400.
- 618 39. Avagliano L, Massa V, Bulfamante G. Meconium-stained amniotic fluid and histologic signs of
619 fetal distress in stillbirths. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*.
620 2021;266:55-62.
- 621 40. Shaikh S, Shaikh AH, Shaikh SA, Isran B. Frequency of obstructed labor in teenage pregnancy.
622 *Nepal Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 2012;7(1):37-40.
- 623

- 624 41. Blencowe H, Krusevec J, de Onis M, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of low
625 birthweight in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet global health*.
626 2019;7(7):e849—e60. pmid:31103470
- 627 42. Chawanpaiboon S, Vogel JP, Moller A-B, Lumbiganon P, Petzold M, Hogan D, et al. Global,
628 regional, and national estimates of levels of preterm birth in 2014: a systematic review and
629 modelling analysis. *The Lancet global health*. 2019;7(1):e37—e46. pmid:30389451
- 630 43. Sharma SR, Giri S, Timalcina U, Bhandari SS, Basyal B, Wagle K, et al. Low birth weight at term
631 and its determinants in a tertiary hospital of Nepal: a case-control study. *PloS one*.
632 2015;10(4):e0123962. pmid:25853813
- 633 44. Gebremedhin M, Ambaw F, Admassu E, Berhane H. Maternal associated factors of low birth
634 weight: a hospital based cross-sectional mixed study in Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *BMC pregnancy*
635 *and childbirth*. 2015;15:222. pmid:26382941
- 636 45. Lehtonen L, Gimeno A, Parra-Llorca A, Vento M. Early neonatal death: A challenge worldwide.
637 *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2017 Jun;22(3):153-160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2017.02.006> Epub
638 2017 Feb 24. PMID: 28238633.
- 639 46. Noah FN, Doya LJ, Jouni O (2022) Perinatal Risk Factors and Early Onset of Neonatal Sepsis. *Int J*
640 *Pediatr Res* 8:088. <https://doi.org/10.23937/2469-5769/1510088>
- 641 47. Nyenga AM, Mukuku O and Wembonyama SO. Neonatal sepsis: A review of the literature.
642 *Theory Clin Pract Pediatr*, 2021, 3(1): 94-101. <https://doi.org/10.25082/TCPP.2021.01.006>
- 643 48. Bayih WA, Birhane BM, Belay DM, et al. The state of birth asphyxia in Ethiopia: An umbrella
644 review of systematic review and meta-analysis reports, 2020. *Heliyon*. 2021;7(10):e08128.
- 645 49. Mulatu T, Debella A, Feto T, Dessie Y. Determinants of stillbirth among women who gave birth at
646 Hiwot Fana Specialized University Hospital, Eastern Ethiopia: A facility-based cross-sectional study.
647 *SAGE Open Medicine*. 2022;10:2.
- 648 50. Cheong-See, F, Schuit, E, Arroyo-Manzano, D, et al. Prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal
649 complications in twin pregnancies: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2016; 354: i4353.
- 650 51. Cheong-See F, Schuit E, Arroyo-Manzano D, Khalil A, Barrett J, Joseph KS, Asztalos E, Hack K, Lewi
651 L, Lim A, Liem S. Prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal complications in twin pregnancies:
652 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj*. 2016;354.
- 653 52. Habte A, Wondimu M. Determinants of maternal near miss among women admitted to
654 maternity wards of tertiary hospitals in Southern Ethiopia, 2020: A hospital-based case-control
655 study. *PloS one*, 2021. 16(5): p. e0251826. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251826> PMID:
656 33999941
- 657 53. Habte A, Lukas K, Melis T, Tamene A, Sahle T, Hailu M, et al. Determinants of neonatal near miss
658 among neonates admitted to public hospitals in Southern Ethiopia, 2021: A case-control study. *PLoS*
659 *ONE*. 2022;17(5): e0268041. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268041>
- 660 54. World Health Organization. WHO Recommendations on Antenatal Care for a Positive Pregnancy
661 Experience
662 [[https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250796/9789241549912eng.pdf;jsessionid=FC8](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250796/9789241549912eng.pdf;jsessionid=FC8B1A05DB47E824E012407A5C1EB4A8?sequence=1)
663 [B1A05DB47E824E012407A5C1EB4A8?sequence=1](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250796/9789241549912eng.pdf;jsessionid=FC8B1A05DB47E824E012407A5C1EB4A8?sequence=1). Accessed 5 August 2021]

664

665 55. Desai D, Maitra N, Patel P. Fetal heart rate patterns in patients with thick meconium staining of
666 amniotic fluid and its association with perinatal outcome. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*.
667 2017;6(3):1030–5

668 56. Alam MM, Saleem AF, Shaikh AS, Munir O, Qadir M. Neonatal sepsis following prolonged rupture
669 of membranes in a tertiary care hospital in Karachi, Pakistan. *The Journal of Infection in Developing*
670 *Countries*. 2014;8(01):067-73.

671 57. Assefa, N., Berhe, H., Girma, F. et al. Risk factors of premature rupture of membranes in public
672 hospitals at Mekele city, Tigray, a case control study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18, 386.
673 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-2016-6>

674 58. Chaibva, et al. Adverse pregnancy outcomes, ‘stillbirths and early neonatal deaths’ in Mutare
675 district, Zimbabwe. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19:86 [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2229-3)
676 [2229-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2229-3)

677 59. Olusanya BO, Ofovwe GE. Predictors of preterm births and low birthweight in an inner-city
678 hospital in sub-Saharan Africa. *Matern Child Health J*. 2010;14:978–986. pmid:19795198