

Title: Neighborhood deprivation is associated with NICU mortality for extremely premature infants: A 4-NICU study

Authors: Brynne A. Sullivan, M.D.¹, Ayush Doshi², Pavel Chernyavskiy, Ph.D.³, Ameena Husain, D.O.⁴, Alexandra Binai, B.A.⁴, Rakesh Sahni, M.B.B.S.⁵, Karen D. Fairchild, M.D.⁵, J. Randall Moorman, M.D.⁶, Colm P. Travers, M.D.⁷, Zachary A. Vesoulis, M.D.⁴

Affiliations:

1. University of Virginia School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, Charlottesville, VA
2. University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA
3. University of Virginia School of Medicine, Department of Public Health Sciences, Charlottesville, VA
4. Washington University in St. Louis, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Newborn Medicine, St. Louis, MO
5. Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, New York, NY
6. University of Virginia School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Charlottesville, VA
7. University of Alabama at Birmingham, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, Birmingham, AL

Corresponding Author: Brynne A. Sullivan, MD, MSc
PO Box 800386
Pediatrics
Charlottesville, VA 22908
Email: bsa4m@virginia.edu

Word Count: 2629

Key Points

Question: Is socioeconomic deprivation at the neighborhood level, measured by an Area Deprivation Index (ADI), an independent risk factor for NICU mortality and morbidity among extremely premature infants?

Findings: In a cohort of 2,765 extremely premature infants (gestational age <29 weeks) admitted to four Level IV NICUs in different US regions, national ADI percentile correlated with risk of NICU mortality and morbidities after adjusting for multiple covariates.

Meaning: These findings have implications for public health measures to improve prenatal and NICU care for patients from disadvantaged areas.

Abstract

Importance: Socioeconomic status impacts pregnancy outcomes and child development after NICU discharge for infants born prematurely, but has not been well studied for outcomes during the NICU stay. The Area Deprivation Index (ADI) is a validated measure of neighborhood disadvantage that uses Census data on income, education, employment, and housing quality.

Objective: In NICUs in different US regions, determine if ADI predicts NICU mortality and morbidity in extremely premature infants.

Design: We conducted a retrospective cohort study.

Setting: Four level IV neonatal intensive care units (NICU) in different US geographic regions: Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, Midwest, and South.

Participants: Non-Hispanic White and Black extremely premature infants (gestational age <29 weeks) and admitted to a study NICU from 2012-2020.

Exposures: ADI, race, BW, sex, and outborn status (admitted after transfer from an outside birth hospital).

Main Outcomes and Measures: We converted addresses to census blocks, identified by 12-digit Federal Information Processing Series (FIPS) codes, to link residences to the national ADI percentile of study participants. We analyzed the relationship between ADI and NICU mortality using Bayesian logistic regression adjusted for race, BW, outborn status, and sex. Predictors were considered significant if the 95% Credible Intervals excluded zero. We also analyzed the effect of ADI on NICU morbidities of late-onset sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis, and severe intraventricular hemorrhage.

Results: We studied 2,765 infants. In univariate analysis, infants with higher ADI were more likely to be Black, suffer from short-term morbidities, and die before NICU discharge. ADI did not correlate with BW ($r = -0.05$) or sex. Black infants also had higher mortality and lower BW. In a multivariable model, lower BW, higher ADI, and male sex were statistically significant risk

factors, while Black race and outborn status were not. Using these methods, ADI was also identified as a risk factor for NICU morbidities.

Conclusions and Relevance: Among extremely preterm infants admitted to four NICUs in different US geographic regions, ADI was a risk factor for mortality and morbidity after adjusting for multiple covariates. These findings have implications for public health measures to improve prenatal and NICU care for patients from disadvantaged areas.

Introduction

Socioeconomic disadvantage impacts maternal health and access to care, affecting the preterm delivery rate¹⁻³ and infant mortality⁴. Once premature infants leave the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU), socioeconomic deprivation in the home environment adversely impacts neurodevelopmental outcomes^{5,6}. Evidence suggests that socioeconomic disparity increases adverse outcomes of extremely preterm infants during the NICU course⁷, but the interaction between deprivation, race, and other risk factors is not well established. Racial disparities confound the effects of socioeconomic status, with non-white families bearing a higher burden of adverse health outcomes and lower quality of health care⁸⁻¹¹. In addition, due in part to a history of housing discrimination and structural racism in the US, racial minorities more often live in socio-economically disadvantaged communities¹².

The Area Deprivation Index (ADI) is a metric that measures area disadvantage, determined by 17 Census variables, including measures of poverty, education, housing, and employment status. Singh et al.¹³ first described this metric in relation to disparities in life expectancy that correlated with area deprivation. Kind and colleagues adapted, updated, and validated the ADI at the neighborhood level¹⁴ using Block Groups, the smallest geographical unit used by the US Census Bureau. In the most recent iteration, ADI has been normalized at the national level to generate percentiles that can be easily compared, with higher values indicating greater disadvantage. National ADI percentiles and state ADI deciles using 2018 Census data are published online in an interactive map at <https://www.neighborhoodatlas.medicine.wisc.edu/>¹⁵.

Associations between ADI and health outcomes have been investigated in many populations, including adult patients with Alzheimer's disease,¹⁶ cardiovascular disease,¹⁷ and in-hospital COVID-19 mortality¹⁸. ADI has also been used to investigate disparity in neonatal health outcomes, such as the rates of exclusive breastfeeding¹⁹, neurobehavioral differences

and brain structure in term infants^{20,21}, respiratory morbidity after NICU discharge in infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia²², and length of stay in infants with neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome²³.

In this project, we aimed to investigate the association of ADI, a granular, comprehensive, geospatially-linked measure of deprivation, and demographics, with in-hospital mortality of extremely premature infants and three key morbidities (late-onset sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and severe intraventricular hemorrhage). We hypothesized that maternal residence in areas with greater deprivation is associated with increased NICU mortality and morbidity risk. Our study includes eight years of data from four regional referral NICUs located in four US regions: Midwest, Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, and South.

Methods

Study design and patient population

We conducted a multicenter, retrospective cohort study of non-Hispanic Black and White premature infants born at <29 weeks' gestation and admitted to one of four academic Level IV NICUs – University of Virginia in Charlottesville, VA, University of Alabama in Birmingham, AL, Washington University in St. Louis, MO, and Columbia University in New York City, NY – between 2012 and 2020. The maternal self-reported race was used as the infants' race. Hispanic infants were excluded because their race was not specified in the earlier years of the study, and they accounted for relatively few patients (5-10%) at all but one NICU, Columbia University. We excluded infants with missing outcomes data from the analysis. We also excluded Asian infants and other race categories with very few patients. Each institution's IRB reviewed and approved the study under a waiver of informed consent.

Address geocoding and ADI data process

All geographic locations in the United States are defined using a Federal Information Processing System (FIPS) code. The degree of FIPS code granularity is determined by the number of

digits, with a maximum of 15 digits to define an individual census block. Neighborhood Atlas ADI data were calculated at the US Census Block Group (BG) level, a statistical division of geographic areas containing between 600 and 3000 people. A BG is a 12-digit number: 2 digits represent the state, three digits represent the county, six digits represent the census tract, and one digit represents the block group ²⁴.

ADI lookup for the patient address listed in the birth encounter was performed in a semi-automated fashion using a four-step processing pipeline written in Python (See [Appendix](#)). Once converted, centers discarded address data, and only ADI values were shared for analysis. This way, PHI remained local and protected, and shared data were de-identified.

Clinical and outcome data

Clinical data were extracted from unit databases or electronic health records, including demographics, perinatal variables, and outcomes. The primary outcome was mortality before NICU discharge, and secondary outcomes included late-onset sepsis or necrotizing enterocolitis and severe intraventricular hemorrhage. These secondary outcomes were defined as follows:

- 1) Late-onset sepsis (LOS): a positive blood culture after three days of age that was treated with antibiotics for at least five days (or less if the infant died during treatment).
- 2) Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC): radiographic evidence of pneumatosis, pneumoperitoneum, or portal venous gas plus clinical signs and symptoms of sepsis according to the modified Bell's staging criteria for stage two or higher ²⁵.
- 3) Severe intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH): grade III-IV intraventricular hemorrhage identified on cranial ultrasound according to the Papile classification system ²⁶.

Statistical analysis

We performed univariate comparisons between ADI, demographics, and primary and secondary outcomes using Wilcoxon rank-sum or Chi-squared tests. We analyzed the multivariable

relationship between ADI and NICU outcomes using Bayesian logistic regression adjusting for race, BW, outborn status, and sex. For computational efficiency, quantitative variables were centered and scaled before analysis.

In contrast with frequentist models, Bayesian models provide more information about parameters through posterior distributions²⁷, more correctly quantify the uncertainty in model parameters²⁸, and are often able to estimate models that may otherwise fail²⁹. We used weakly informative priors for all parameters. We considered a predictor significant if the posterior 95% Credible Intervals (CrI) did not include zero and took the posterior median as the summary of the posterior in all regression analyses. We compared models with interaction terms to the baseline model and considered an interaction significant if the information criterion value was lower than that of the baseline model.

Results

Patient characteristics

We analyzed ADI and clinical data from 2,765 extremely premature infants admitted during the study period. Of these, 13% were excluded due to missing data for multivariable modeling. Missingness occurred evenly across the four NICUs, and only 1% of infants were excluded due to missing address or ADI data. The mean gestational age was 25.6 ± 1.73 weeks, the mean BW was 805 ± 241 g, 50% were male, 48% reported Black maternal race, and 18% died before NICU discharge. Table 1 summarizes cohort characteristics by center.

Univariate analysis

In pooled data across the four centers, the distribution of ADI was skewed, with a greater proportion of infants born to families residing in areas of higher deprivation. Mortality was similarly skewed, with more deaths in the infants with higher ADI (Figure 1) and higher ADI among non-survivors (non-survivors median [IQR] ADI 75 [45-89], survivors 64 [36-84]). Among the 1,777 (64%) infants with ADI above the national median, mortality was 20% vs. 14% in

those with ADI at or below the national median ($p < 0.001$). Higher ADI was also associated with self-reported Black maternal race, inborn status, diagnosis with sepsis or NEC, and severe IVH (Table 2). Families of Black infants lived in block groups with higher ADI than White infants (Median [IQR] ADI: Black 77 [45-93], White 57 [32, 77], Figure 3). Black infants had higher mortality (20% vs. 16%) and lower BW ($785 \pm 239\text{g}$ vs. $824 \pm 241\text{g}$) than White infants. We found no correlation between BW and ADI ($r = -0.05$). Significant inter-center variation in ADI existed, as shown in Supplemental Figure 1.

Comparing male and female infants, we found no difference in ADI, the proportion of outborn infants, or mortality. As expected, the average BW was slightly higher for males than females ($836 \pm 243\text{g}$ vs. $774 \pm 235\text{g}$). While mortality was not significantly higher for males (18.6%) than females (17.4%) overall, White males had higher mortality than White females (17.3% vs. 15.0%), while Black infant mortality did not differ by sex (20.2% vs. 19.9%).

While most patients (72%) were admitted following delivery at the same hospital, outborn infants had lower ADI (table 2), lower mortality (15% vs. 19%), and a higher proportion of White race (64% vs. 51%). Infants transferred to the study NICU after delivery at an outside hospital also had a higher rate of severe IVH (outborn 22%, inborn 11%).

Infants with sepsis or NEC, severe IVH, or both had higher ADI than those without (Table 2, Figure 2). These morbidities were associated with higher mortality and lower BW but not with sex or race.

Results of multivariable analyses

We examined the association between ADI and NICU mortality while adjusting for the covariates analyzed in univariate analyses: BW, sex, race, and outborn status. Based on posterior median estimates, BW was the strongest predictor of mortality, but national ADI percentile and male sex were also statistically significant (Table 3). Neither race nor the

interaction between race and ADI was significant, and the interaction term did not improve the Watanabe-Akaike Information Criteria (WAIC)³⁰ of the model.

BW and ADI were also significant predictors in multivariable models of NICU morbidities (Table 3). Because we scaled and centered continuous variables, we were able to compare the size of the estimates among variables included in each model. By treating ADI and BW values as Z scores, we report the effect of changing either variable by one standard deviation (SD), rather than by one percentile (ADI) or one gram (BW). Therefore, in the model for mortality, we interpreted the effect of decreasing BW by one SD to be nine times that of increasing ADI by one SD. In contrast, in the model for severe IVH, the effects of BW and ADI were similar and still less than the effect of outborn status. When modeling sepsis and NEC, the effect of decreasing BW was about three times that of increasing ADI, and male sex and outborn status had intermediate effects. Race did not significantly affect any of the three outcomes modeled.

Discussion

Socioeconomic factors play an important role in maternal health, access to prenatal care, rates of preterm birth, and infant morbidity and mortality outside of the NICU^{4,5,31}. While in the NICU, preterm infants have standardized care guidelines that might be expected to limit the impact of socioeconomic deprivation on adverse outcomes. Few studies have evaluated the effect of area deprivation on in-hospital outcomes³². In this study, we found that residence in a disadvantaged neighborhood- as measured by the ADI - increases the risk of an extremely preterm infant's dying or developing morbidity, even after accounting for risk factors. This indicates a pervasive and detrimental effect of area deprivation that may not be entirely eliminated by provision of standardized, high-quality NICU care.

The mechanism by which area deprivation affects neonatal outcomes may include the effect of maternal adversity on the developing fetus^{33,34}. Maternal stress and poor access to prenatal care have been linked with adverse birth outcomes³⁵⁻³⁷. Lower maternal

socioeconomic status increases the risk of preterm birth^{11,38,39} and among those born prematurely, it increases the risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes^{40,41}. In contrast with other studies, we did not find an association between ADI and BW, likely due to the fact that we limited the analysis to extremely premature infants with a narrow range of BW.

We found that the association between higher deprivation and mortality remained significant in multivariable analysis while the association between Black race and mortality did not. These highly collinear variables make it difficult to estimate the effect of race or deprivation alone. Studies indicate that despite advances over time, racial disparities persist in neonatal outcomes and care practices,^{10,42,43} but these analyses did not account for socioeconomic factors. As non-White race groups endure more socioeconomic deprivation, it becomes essential to consider social disparities as a significant mediator of racial disparities. Public health efforts have been made to narrow disparities in antenatal steroid usage and cesarean deliveries, but gaps in these practices persist⁴³. Disparities in breastmilk utilization by race persist¹⁰ despite overall improvements in breastfeeding initiation over time, with the lowest rate of breastmilk feeding at NICU discharge among infants born to non-Hispanic Black mothers⁴⁴. In our cohort, infants born to Black mothers were exposed to higher levels of deprivation in-utero and had lower BW. Still, they did not have higher associated morbidity or mortality when accounting for these and other confounding variables. Our results suggest that the higher mortality observed among Black preterm infants compared with White may be partly confounded by factors captured in the ADI, including exposure to adverse social and economic conditions.

A strength of this study was the inclusion of four centers in geographically distinct areas (Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, Midwest, South) with different distributions of ADI, patient racial compositions, and population densities. Although all centers are level IV referral NICUs, there were differences in the percentage of inborn infants, ranging from 64 to 79%. Interestingly, the distribution of ADI was skewed in opposite directions for infants admitted to the Midwestern and

Southern centers compared with the Northeastern center, while the Mid-Atlantic center had a nearly symmetric ADI distribution (Supplemental Figure 1). The distributions within each center cohort appear to be representative of the regional distributions displayed on the Neighborhood Atlas ADI map. We did not adjust for center in multivariable modeling as national percentile ADI data has already been normalized across all geographic regions. Additionally, we did not use state ADI deciles in our analysis since these values do not translate across state lines and would not be appropriate for a pooled sample including data from multiple states.

Limitations of the study include the lack of granularity in the ADI and race data. Although block groups are the most geospatially compact census unit and the ADI can provide a localized overview of the degree of socioeconomic deprivation, its value is opaque. The relative contributions of each ADI component are not available for the most current values, making it infeasible to evaluate driving factors of the association between ADI and NICU morbidity and mortality. In addition, the exposures captured in the ADI may have differential impacts across the heterogeneous locations that we analyzed. For example, in the US 2020 Census data for just the four cities in which the study NICUs were located, the land area ranged between 10.24 and 302.64 mi²; population density ranged between 1,365 and 29,302 per mi²; median household incomes ranged between \$38,832 and \$67,046, and the percentage of adults without health insurance ranged between 7.9 and 14.7%. Each of these differences likely plays a significant role in the factors which underlie the ADI calculation.

We classified race into broad groups of Black or White based on the self-reported maternal race and excluded Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian and Alaska Native patients, which may have left important interactions or effects undiscovered. Analyzing more refined racial and ethnic subgroups, including mixed race when the father's race differs from the mother's race, may have found differential associations between ADI and outcomes among more finely delineated racial and ethnic groups. For example, the "Hispanic Paradox" refers to

the paradoxical finding that Hispanic infant mortality rates lie at or below the rate of Non-Hispanic White or Caucasian infant mortality despite higher socioeconomic deprivation^{45,46}.

Our results generate questions for future studies to evaluate how interventions to reduce area deprivation or improve equity might reduce disparities in NICU outcomes for premature infants. The study's findings also leave us wanting to know more about the mechanism of the association between ADI and NICU outcomes, but we could not answer such questions with the data available. Future analyses should investigate the ADI and its individual components as mediators or moderators of adverse outcomes in premature infants.

Conclusions:

Among preterm infants <29 weeks' gestation admitted to four NICUs, ADI was a risk factor of NICU mortality and morbidity after adjusting for multiple covariates. These findings highlight the need for further research on the components of the ADI that drive this association. Specific risk factors may be targets of public health measures to improve prenatal and NICU care for patients from disadvantaged areas.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. National Area Deprivation Index (ADI) and NICU mortality. The bar graph shows the number of extremely premature infants in each ADI percentile who died before NICU discharge (red) or survived (blue) on the y-axis and the ADI on the x-axis. Higher ADI indicates greater disadvantage.

Figure 2 A & B. National Area Deprivation Index (ADI) percentiles and NICU morbidity. The bar graph shows the number of infants in each ADI percentile, grouped by whether or not infants had the following morbidities: Panel A) one or more episodes of confirmed sepsis or NEC; Panel B) no or mild IVH (grade 1-2) versus severe IVH (grade 3-4).

Figure 3. National ranked Area Deprivation Index (ADI) percentiles grouped by race. The bar graph shows the number of infants in each ADI percentile with Non-Hispanic Black or White maternal race.

Bibliography

1. Mehra R, Shebl FM, Cunningham SD, et al. Area-level deprivation and preterm birth: results from a national, commercially-insured population. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):236. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-6533-7
2. Ma X, Fleischer NL, Liu J, Hardin JW, Zhao G, Liese AD. Neighborhood deprivation and preterm birth: an application of propensity score matching. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2015;25(2):120-125. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2014.11.021
3. Burgos Ochoa L, Bertens LC, Garcia-Gomez P, Van Ourti T, Steegers EA, Been JV. Association of neighbourhood socioeconomic trajectories with preterm birth and small-for-gestational-age in the Netherlands: a nationwide population-based study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur*. 2021;10:100205. doi:10.1016/j.lanep.2021.100205
4. Best KE, Seaton SE, Draper ES, et al. Assessing the deprivation gap in stillbirths and neonatal deaths by cause of death: a national population-based study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2019;104(6):F624-F630. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2018-316124
5. Bangma JT, Hartwell H, Santos HP, O'Shea TM, Fry RC. Placental programming, perinatal inflammation, and neurodevelopment impairment among those born extremely preterm. *Pediatr Res*. 2021;89(2):326-335. doi:10.1038/s41390-020-01236-1
6. Wong HS, Edwards P. Nature or nurture: a systematic review of the effect of socio-economic status on the developmental and cognitive outcomes of children born preterm. *Matern Child Health J*. 2013;17(9):1689-1700. doi:10.1007/s10995-012-1183-8
7. Hannan KE, Bourque SL, Palmer C, Tong S, Hwang SS. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Medical Complexity and In-Hospital Death Among US-Born VLBW Infants. *Hosp Pediatr*. 2022;12(5):463-474. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2021-006263
8. Matthews TJ, MacDorman MF, Thoma ME. Infant mortality statistics from the 2013 period linked birth/infant death data set. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2015;64(9):1-30.
9. Horbar JD, Edwards EM, Greenberg LT, et al. Racial Segregation and Inequality in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit for Very Low-Birth-Weight and Very Preterm Infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2019;173(5):455-461. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.0241
10. Profit J, Gould JB, Bennett M, et al. Racial/ethnic disparity in NICU quality of care delivery. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(3). doi:10.1542/peds.2017-0918
11. Pearl M, Ahern J, Hubbard A, et al. Life-course neighbourhood opportunity and racial-ethnic disparities in risk of preterm birth. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2018;32(5):412-419. doi:10.1111/ppe.12482
12. Riley AR. Neighborhood Disadvantage, Residential Segregation, and Beyond-Lessons for Studying Structural Racism and Health. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2018;5(2):357-365. doi:10.1007/s40615-017-0378-5
13. Singh GK, Siahpush M. Widening socioeconomic inequalities in US life expectancy, 1980-2000. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2006;35(4):969-979. doi:10.1093/ije/dyl083
14. Kind AJH, Jencks S, Brock J, et al. Neighborhood socioeconomic disadvantage and 30-day rehospitalization: A retrospective cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014;161(11):765-774. doi:10.7326/M13-2946

15. Kind AJH, Buckingham WR. Making neighborhood-disadvantage metrics accessible - the Neighborhood Atlas. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(26):2456-2458. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1802313
16. Hunt JFV, Buckingham W, Kim AJ, et al. Association of Neighborhood-Level Disadvantage With Cerebral and Hippocampal Volume. *JAMA Neurol*. 2020;77(4):451-460. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.4501
17. Berman AN, Biery DW, Ginder C, et al. Association of Socioeconomic Disadvantage With Long-term Mortality After Myocardial Infarction: The Mass General Brigham YOUNG-MI Registry. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2021;6(8):880-888. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2021.0487
18. Hu J, Bartels CM, Rovin RA, Lamb LE, Kind AJH, Nerenz DR. Race, Ethnicity, Neighborhood Characteristics, and In-Hospital Coronavirus Disease-2019 Mortality. *Med Care*. 2021;59(10):888-892. doi:10.1097/MLR.0000000000001624
19. Patterson JA, Keuler NS, Buckingham WR. Differences in Exclusive Breastfeeding Rates in US Hospitals According to Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative Designation and Area Deprivation Index Category. *Breastfeed Med*. 2021;16(10):799-806. doi:10.1089/bfm.2021.0050
20. Parikh AN, Triplett RL, Wu TJ, et al. Nicu network neurobehavioral scale profiles in term infants: associations with maternal adversity, medical risk, and neonatal outcomes. *J Pediatr*. April 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2022.04.016
21. Triplett RL, Lean RE, Parikh A, et al. Association of Prenatal Exposure to Early-Life Adversity With Neonatal Brain Volumes at Birth. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(4):e227045. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.7045
22. Banwell E, Collaco JM, Oates GR, et al. Area deprivation and respiratory morbidities in children with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. May 2022. doi:10.1002/ppul.25969
23. Vesoulis ZA, Lust CE, Cohan BA, Liao SM, Mathur AM. Poverty and excess length of hospital stay in neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome. *J Addict Med*. 2020;14(2):113-118. doi:10.1097/ADM.0000000000000540
24. Understanding Geographic Identifiers (GEOIDs). <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography/guidance/geo-identifiers.html>. Published October 8, 2021. Accessed August 2, 2022.
25. Bell MJ, Ternberg JL, Feigin RD, et al. Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. Therapeutic decisions based upon clinical staging. *Ann Surg*. 1978;187(1):1-7. doi:10.1097/0000658-197801000-00001
26. Papile LA, Burstein J, Burstein R, Koffler H. Incidence and evolution of subependymal and intraventricular hemorrhage: a study of infants with birth weights less than 1,500 gm. *J Pediatr*. 1978;92(4):529-534. doi:10.1016/s0022-3476(78)80282-0
27. McElreath R. *Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course with Examples in R and Stan*. Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2020. doi:10.1201/9780429029608
28. Kruschke JK. *Doing Bayesian data analysis: A tutorial introduction with R*. 2nd ed. Burlington, MA: Academic Press; 2014.
29. Eager C, Roy J. Mixed Effects Models are Sometimes Terrible. *arXiv*. 2017. doi:10.48550/arxiv.1701.04858

30. Watanabe S. A widely applicable Bayesian information criterion. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 2013.
31. Beck AF, Edwards EM, Horbar JD, Howell EA, McCormick MC, Pursley DM. The color of health: how racism, segregation, and inequality affect the health and well-being of preterm infants and their families. *Pediatr Res*. 2020;87(2):227-234. doi:10.1038/s41390-019-0513-6
32. Benavente-Fernández I, Siddiqi A, Miller SP. Socioeconomic status and brain injury in children born preterm: modifying neurodevelopmental outcome. *Pediatr Res*. 2020;87(2):391-398. doi:10.1038/s41390-019-0646-7
33. Su Q, Zhang H, Zhang Y, et al. Maternal Stress in Gestation: Birth Outcomes and Stress-Related Hormone Response of the Neonates. *Pediatr Neonatol*. 2015;56(6):376-381. doi:10.1016/j.pedneo.2015.02.002
34. Krans EE, Davis MM. Preventing Low Birthweight: 25 years, prenatal risk, and the failure to reinvent prenatal care. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;206(5):398-403. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2011.06.082
35. Holcomb DS, Pengetnze Y, Steele A, Karam A, Spong C, Nelson DB. Geographic barriers to prenatal care access and their consequences. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM*. 2021;3(5):100442. doi:10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100442
36. Heaman MI, Newburn-Cook CV, Green CG, Elliott LJ, Helewa ME. Inadequate prenatal care and its association with adverse pregnancy outcomes: a comparison of indices. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2008;8:15. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-8-15
37. Kornfield SL, Riis VM, McCarthy C, Elovitz MA, Burris HH. Maternal perceived stress and the increased risk of preterm birth in a majority non-Hispanic Black pregnancy cohort. *J Perinatol*. 2022;42(6):708-713. doi:10.1038/s41372-021-01186-4
38. Braveman PA, Heck K, Egerter S, et al. The role of socioeconomic factors in Black-White disparities in preterm birth. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(4):694-702. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2014.302008
39. Gadson A, Akpovi E, Mehta PK. Exploring the social determinants of racial/ethnic disparities in prenatal care utilization and maternal outcome. *Semin Perinatol*. 2017;41(5):308-317. doi:10.1053/j.semperi.2017.04.008
40. McGowan EC, Hofheimer JA, O'Shea TM, et al. Analysis of neonatal neurobehavior and developmental outcomes among preterm infants. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(7):e2222249. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.22249
41. McGowan EC, Hofheimer JA, O'Shea TM, et al. Sociodemographic and medical influences on neurobehavioral patterns in preterm infants: A multi-center study. *Early Hum Dev*. 2020;142:104954. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.104954
42. Sigurdson K, Mitchell B, Liu J, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in neonatal intensive care: A systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(2). doi:10.1542/peds.2018-3114
43. Travers CP, Carlo WA, McDonald SA, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities among extremely preterm infants in the united states from 2002 to 2016. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(6):e206757. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.6757
44. Parker MG, Greenberg LT, Edwards EM, Ehret D, Belfort MB, Horbar JD. National Trends in the Provision of Human Milk at Hospital Discharge Among Very Low-Birth-Weight Infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2019;173(10):961-968. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.2645

45. Brown HL, Chireau MV, Jallah Y, Howard D. The “Hispanic paradox”: an investigation of racial disparity in pregnancy outcomes at a tertiary care medical center. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;197(2):197.e1-7; discussion 197.e7. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2007.04.036
46. Shaw RJ, Pickett KE. The health benefits of Hispanic communities for non-Hispanic mothers and infants: another Hispanic paradox. *Am J Public Health.* 2013;103(6):1052-1057. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2012.300985

Tables

Variable	UVA	WUSTL	CU	UAB
N	508	405	504	1348
ADI national percentile	50 [36, 66]	75 [53, 91]	16 [7, 27]	81 [64, 92]
Gestational Age (weeks)	26 [24, 27]	26 [24, 27]	26 [24, 27]	26 [24, 27]
Birth Weight (grams)	815 [650,991]	840 [650,1040]	760 [624, 965]	740 [587,950]
Male sex	273 (54%)	213 (53%)	253 (50%)	652 (48%)
Race				
White	371 (73%)	230 (57%)	252 (50%)	587 (44%)
Black	137 (27%)	175 (43%)	252 (50%)	761 (57%)
Mortality	68 (13%)	72 (18%)	81 (16%)	277 (21%)
Inborn	361 (71%)	261 (64%)	396 (79%)	963 (71%)
Sepsis or NEC	123 (24%)	90 (22%)	91 (18%)	388 (29%)
Severe IVH	71 (14%)	94 (23%)	26 (5%)	162 (12%)

Table 1. Patient characteristics by NICU. Variables are presented as median [1st quartile, 3rd quartile] or number (%). ADI = Area Deprivation Index, UVA = University of Virginia, WUSTL = Washington University in St. Louis, CU = Columbia University, UAB = University of Alabama, NEC = necrotizing enterocolitis, Bell's stage 2-3, Severe IVH = grade 3-4 intraventricular hemorrhage.

	Median [IQR] National ADI Percentile	
Died vs. Survived*	71 [45, 89]	64 [36, 86]
Black vs. White*	77 [45, 93]	57 [32, 77]
Female vs. Male	68 [38, 87]	64 [37, 86]
Inborn vs. Outborn*	63 [35, 86]	53 [29, 76]
Sepsis or NEC vs. neither*	68 [41, 88]	64 [35, 86]
Severe IVH vs. mild/no IVH*	69 [44, 90]	64 [36, 86]

Table 2. Covariates and ADI distributions. Comparing ADI median [1st quartile, 3rd quartile] among infants grouped by multiple binary variables. *p<0.01 by Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

1. NICU Mortality			
Predictor	Estimate	Lower 95% CrI	Upper 95% CrI
Birth weight	-1.20	-1.35	-1.06
Male sex	0.35	0.13	0.57
ADI percentile	0.14	0.02	0.26
Outborn	-0.27	-0.58	0.05
White race	-0.03	-0.26	0.20
2. Sepsis or Necrotizing enterocolitis			
Predictor	Estimate	Lower 95% CrI	Upper 95% CrI
Birth weight	-0.44	-0.55	-0.33
Male sex	0.22	0.02	0.41
ADI percentile	0.13	0.04	0.23
Outborn	-0.39	-0.68	-0.12
White race	-0.06	-0.25	0.13
3. Severe Intraventricular Hemorrhage			
Predictor	Estimate	Lower 95% CrI	Upper 95% CrI
Birth weight	-0.33	-0.46	-0.19
Male sex	0.12	-0.11	0.36
ADI percentile	0.27	0.14	0.40
Outborn	0.93	0.65	1.21
White race	0.11	-0.14	0.37

Table 3. Multivariable model results. Posterior estimates and 95% Credible Intervals (CrI) of multivariable Bayesian regression models predicting 1) NICU mortality, 2) Diagnosis of sepsis or necrotizing enterocolitis, and 3) severe intraventricular hemorrhage. Birth weight and ADI percentile were centered and scaled. Variables were considered statistically significant if the 95% CrI did not include zero (indicated in bold).





