Appendix 2

Quality checklist

Braun and Clarke's 15-point checklist of criteria for good thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2022) and our quality self-assessment.

Criteria	Our assessment
The data have been transcribed to an appropriate level of detail, and Transcripts were transcribed in full except for	
the transcripts have been checked against the tapes for 'accuracy'.	one interview in which recording failed.
	Participants were given the opportunity to
	review and correct transcripts.
Each data item has been given equal attention in the coding process. Yes.	
Themes have not been generated from a few vivid examples (an	Themes were developed from examples across
anecdotal approach), but instead the coding process has been	the dataset after thorough review and re-review
thorough, inclusive and comprehensive.	of data.
All relevant extracts for all each theme have been collated.	Collated in Taguette®.
Themes have been checked against each other and back to the	Yes.
original data set.	
Themes are internally coherent, consistent, and distinctive.	We believe so.
Data have been analysed - interpreted, made sense of - rather than	We have taken a critical approach in analysis and
just paraphrased or described.	interpretation.
Analysis and data match each other - the extracts illustrate the	Yes.
analytic claims.	
Analysis tells a convincing and well-organized story about the data	We believe so.
and topic.	
A good balance between analytic narrative and illustrative extracts is We believe the balance is about right.	
provided.	
Enough time has been allocated to complete all phases of the	We have reflected and analysed data over a
analysis adequately, without rushing a phase or giving it a once-	period of about nine months.
over-lightly.	
The assumptions about, and specific approach to, thematic analysis	Yes (see the methodology section).
are clearly explicated.	
There is a good fit between what you claim you do, and what you	We believe so.
show you have done - i.e., described method and reported analysis	
are consistent.	
The language and concepts used in the report are consistent with	We believe so.
the epistemological position of the analysis.	
The researcher is positioned as active in the research process;	Researcher reflexivity is stated in the
themes do not just 'emerge'.	methodology section, and the researchers have
-	• •

actively developed the themes.