

1

2

3

4

5 **Sixteen years of innovation in youth mental healthcare in Australia: Outcomes**
6 **for young people attending headspace centre services**

7

8

9 Debra Rickwood^{1,2*}, Juliet McEachran², Anna Saw², Nic Telford², Jason Trethowan²,
10 Patrick McGorry³

11

12 ¹ Faculty of Health, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory,
13 Australia

14 ² headspace National Youth Mental Health Foundation, Melbourne, Victoria,
15 Australia

16 ³ Orygen Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne,
17 Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

18

19 * Corresponding author

20 E-mail: debra.rickwood@canberra.edu.au

21

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Australia's headspace initiative is world-leading in nation-wide youth mental
26 healthcare reform for young people aged 12 to 25 years, now with 16 years of
27 implementation. This paper examines changes in the key outcomes of psychological
28 distress, psychosocial functioning, and quality of life for young people accessing
29 headspace centres across Australia for mental health problems. The design was a
30 single-arm, observational study using routinely collected data from headspace clients
31 commencing an episode of care between 1 April 2019 and 30 March 2020, and at 90-
32 day follow-up. All 108 of the fully established headspace centres across Australia
33 were included, with 58,233 young people aged 12-25 years first accessing headspace
34 centres for mental health problems during the data collection period. Main outcome
35 measures were self-reported psychological distress and quality of life, and clinician-
36 reported social and occupational functioning. Most headspace mental health clients
37 presented with depression and anxiety issues (75.21%). There were 35.27% with a
38 diagnosis: 21.74% diagnosed with anxiety, 18.51% with depression, and 8.60% were
39 sub-syndromal. Cognitive behavioural therapy was the most common treatment.
40 There were significant improvements in all outcome scores over time ($P < 0.001$).
41 From presentation to last service rating, over one-third of young people had
42 significant improvements in psychological distress and a similar proportion in
43 psychosocial functioning; just under half improved in self-reported quality of life.
44 Significant improvement on any of the three outcomes was shown for 70.96% of
45 headspace mental health clients. After 16 years of headspace implementation, positive
46 outcomes are being achieved, particularly when multi-dimensional outcomes are
47 considered. A suite of outcomes that capture meaningful change for young people's
48 quality of life, distress and functioning, is critical for early intervention, primary care
49 settings with diverse client presentations, like the headspace youth mental healthcare
50 initiative.
51

52 **Introduction**

53 The mental health of young people in their teenage and early adult years is a
54 major concern world-wide, due to the high and increasing prevalence of mental health
55 problems at this time of life [1]. Concern has escalated in the context of the
56 disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on this demographic group through major
57 disruptions to their studies, work opportunities, and social relationships [2]. An
58 international movement for youth mental health services, tailored specifically to the
59 needs of the 12-25-year age range, emerged around 20 years ago and has expanded
60 rapidly [3]. Australia was one of the first countries to invest in national reform by

61 creating a new health service platform for young people through headspace: the
62 National Youth Mental Health Foundation [4]. headspace now comprises the largest
63 national network of enhanced primary care, youth mental health services, world-wide,
64 with over 135 headspace centres across Australia [5]. Similar service approaches in
65 youth mental healthcare are being implemented in many other countries, including
66 Ireland, Canada, Denmark, Israel, the United Kingdom, and parts of the United States
67 [6].

68 headspace commenced in 2006, funded by the Australian Government, with
69 the first 10 centres established in 2007. Centres have continued to be implemented
70 over the past 16 years, and there are currently 149 centres open across Australia
71 (headspace communication 6 April 2022). This upscaling has been in response to
72 strong support from communities and vocal advocacy to have centres established in
73 local areas, as well as bi-partisan support from the Australian Government. The
74 headspace centre network has been further augmented by the introduction of online
75 services in 2011 (ehespace), early psychosis services in some locations from 2014,
76 online work and study support since 2016 and, more recently, centre service
77 innovations such as satellites and remote outreach. All of these different ways to
78 expand capacity in youth mental healthcare have been necessary to reach and address
79 the varied needs of young people in diverse communities across the wide expanse of
80 Australia [7].

81 The foundation of the headspace initiative is the headspace centre network.
82 headspace centres have been co-designed with young people to break down the
83 barriers that young people typically experience to accessing in-person mental
84 healthcare, including lack of mental health literacy and uncertainty regarding need,
85 stigma, fears about confidentiality, cost, and poor experiences of care [4, 8]. Centres

86 provide easy-access, youth-friendly, integrated primary care services, with four core
87 streams of service delivery to holistically address the main health and wellbeing needs
88 for young people aged 12-25 years—mental health, physical and sexual health,
89 alcohol and other drugs, and work and study issues. The centre model was designed
90 primarily for young people with mild to moderate common mental health problems,
91 and to encourage them to seek help early in the development of problems.
92 Notwithstanding, centres have a ‘no wrong door’ approach so that young people are
93 supported to access support as early and easily as possible whatever their mental
94 health status. This recognises that young people at all stages of illness experience
95 significant access barriers to mental healthcare. Importantly, young people can self-
96 refer or be referred by other services or by family and friends.

97 The headspace centre model comprises 16 core components, including 10
98 service components (e.g., youth participation, family and friends participation,
99 community awareness, early intervention, supported transitions) and six enabling
100 components (e.g., blended funding, monitoring and evaluation, national network),
101 which are more fully described elsewhere see [9]. Briefly, the key innovations of the
102 model, embraced by the youth mental healthcare movement internationally, include
103 the focus on the 12-25-year age range, rather than the traditional child and adolescent
104 (under 18 years) versus adult (18-65 years) demarcation for mental health services.
105 Youth participation and engagement are prioritised at all levels, including young
106 people driving decisions about their own care; youth input to service design, delivery
107 and evaluation; and the embedded involvement of young people in governance
108 processes. The participation of family and friends is also prioritised and actively
109 supported. Centres must bring together access to the four core streams of service
110 delivery in one location, and within a primary care focus, although strong integration

111 with secondary and tertiary services is also required. Youth-friendliness/focus is
112 recognised as critical. This is achieved through young people’s input during
113 establishment and maintenance of the service; by ensuring that the look and feel of the
114 centre is welcoming, positive and engaging for young people; that young people feel
115 accepted and respected for who they are; and that the service is designed around the
116 needs of young people in the local community. Two additional priorities are
117 particularly unusual for mental healthcare. These are a requirement for community
118 awareness activities, which are specifically funded to improve mental health literacy
119 and pathways to care for young people in the local community; and brand adherence,
120 which is strongly promoted and carefully maintained to ensure fidelity and credibility.

121 The effectiveness of headspace and the impact of the Australian Government’s
122 investment into the initiative are of considerable interest in Australia and world-wide.
123 headspace centres have undergone three external evaluations funded by government.
124 A preliminary external evaluation in 2009 of the first 30 centres showed that young
125 people found the approach to be acceptable [10]. A 2015 evaluation reported that
126 centres: were highly accessible and utilised by a diverse range of young people with
127 high psychological distress; facilitated access for young people living outside major
128 cities; demonstrated a statistically significant small program effect; and that young
129 people whose mental health improved also had positive economic and social
130 outcomes and reduced suicidal ideation [11]. A third government-commissioned
131 external evaluation will be completed in 2022, and preliminary drafts show continued
132 strong youth and community support.

133 Importantly, none of these well-resourced external evaluations have been able
134 to identify an appropriate control or comparison group. Given that headspace is a
135 government-funded, real-world, service system innovation, which is delivering

136 currently available evidence-based mental healthcare, and being rapidly scaled up to
137 meet the high level of need and demand across Australia, randomised trials are neither
138 feasible nor appropriate. Comparative data from young people accessing no care or
139 other types of care are very difficult to attain or are not appropriate comparators. The
140 closest comparator in Australia has been the Access to Allied Psychological Services
141 (ATAPS) initiative, also government funded. A comparative study, using routinely
142 collected data from 2009-2012, concluded that ATAPS and headspace both delivered
143 free or low-cost psychological services to 12-25 year-olds with different
144 characteristics, and that both had promising effects on mental health, filling a service
145 gap for young people in a complementary way [12]. Into the future, however, the
146 best comparisons will be with findings from other similar services being implemented
147 internationally.

148 Notably, headspace has prioritised internal evaluation from the outset,
149 introducing an innovative routine data collection system in 2013 to gather information
150 from all young people accessing centres and their service providers at each occasion
151 of service, and attempting to follow-up young people 90 days after service exit. These
152 data have been used to describe the characteristics and presenting issues of young
153 people accessing centres[13], the services provided to them [14], as well as an early
154 report of key outcomes [15].

155 Determining appropriate outcomes, which can be collected routinely for a
156 large cohort of young people with very diverse demographic characteristics, clinical
157 presentations, and service needs, is a challenging task. A systematic review of
158 literature published up until mid-2014 revealed no mental health outcome measures
159 designed specifically for the 12-25-year age range [16]. The review also highlighted
160 the many different facets of mental health that could be the focus of outcome

161 measurement, including measures of disorder-specific symptoms, global cognition
162 and emotion measures, functioning, quality of life, recovery, as well as
163 multidimensional constructs.

164 headspace initially implemented, as key outcome measures, a self-reported
165 measure of psychological distress, the Kessler 10 Psychological Distress Scale (K10
166 [17]), and a clinician-reported measure of social and occupational functioning, the
167 Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS [18]). These were
168 included based on the requirements for government funding, and widespread
169 consultation with the headspace network to determine brief, global assessments of
170 mental health status relevant to young people.

171 An initial outcomes analysis was published in 2015 [15] based on these two
172 measures. In that study, we analysed the K10 and SOFAS scores for 24,034 young
173 people commencing an episode of care between 1 April 2013 and 31 March 2014 for
174 mental health problems at one of the 55 then-established centres [15]. Results showed
175 that over one-third of young people had significant improvements in psychological
176 distress (K10) and a similar proportion improved in psychosocial functioning
177 (SOFAS); 60% showed significant improvement on either measure.

178 Subsequently, to fill the gap of no young-person centric, global, mental health
179 outcome measure, headspace co-developed with headspace young people and service
180 providers, a measure called MyLifeTracker (MLT) [19]. This is a brief self-report
181 measure of quality of life in five domains of importance for young people, which can
182 be used for routine outcome monitoring and measurement informed treatment, as well
183 as for tracking outcomes at the service level [20]. Although still not sufficiently
184 comprehensive to capture the diverse nature of early intervention outcomes for youth
185 mental healthcare, the three measures of self-reported quality of life (MLT), self-

186 reported psychological distress (K10), and clinician-reported social and occupational
187 functioning (SOFAS), altogether, comprise a suite of outcome measures appropriate
188 for routine collection within headspace centre services that provide information about
189 change in the relevant, multiple dimensions of distress, quality of life, and
190 functioning.

191 The headspace centre network is now 16 years old, with over 700,000 young
192 Australians having received services since its inception [5]. The current study aims to
193 describe multi-dimensional outcomes for young people accessing mental healthcare at
194 headspace centres in terms of changes in their psychological distress, quality of life,
195 and social and occupational functioning. We examine significant change, reliable
196 change, and clinically significant change scores, as increasingly conditional indicators
197 of change, for the three outcomes. Describing the nature of the outcomes being
198 achieved by headspace centre services in Australia contributes to the growing
199 international literature in youth mental healthcare models and provides comparative
200 data for similar services internationally.

201 **Method**

202 **Participants**

203 Participants were all young people who commenced and completed an episode
204 of care at a headspace centre for mental health reasons between 1 April 2019 and 30
205 March 2020. We selected this time period to avoid the impact of COVID-19 on both
206 clients, themselves, and the routine program data collection. Like many services,
207 headspace was severely impacted by COVID-19 restrictions, including having to
208 deliver services exclusively online and via phone in many areas for considerable

209 periods of time. This caused difficulties collecting data from young people in the
210 changed service delivery circumstances.

211 Only young people who attended mental health services were included. Young
212 people can also attend headspace for situational, physical or sexual health, alcohol or
213 other drug, and vocational reasons without needing to access mental health care, so
214 young people with these reasons for presentation were excluded (n=18631). Figure 1
215 shows the sample numbers at each point of the data selection process.

216

217 **Fig 1. Number of clients by each data selection process.**

218

219 This yielded an overall sample of 58233 clients across the 108 headspace
220 centres that were fully operational during the data collection period. The majority of
221 clients were female (61.34%), 36.96% were male, and 1.70% were intersex or
222 transgender. Average age was 17.43 years (SD=3.48), with 24.27% aged 12-14,
223 30.07% aged 15-17, 23.32% aged 18-20, and 22.33% aged 21-25 years.

224 **Procedure**

225 The design was a single-arm, observational study using routinely collected
226 service data. headspace collects a minimum data set (MDS) from young people and
227 their service providers at every occasion of service [21]. Young people are asked to
228 complete a series of questions while waiting for their service visit; this is usually done
229 on a tablet device or at a stand-alone computer located in part of the reception area
230 that gives privacy for data entry. Young people are directed to the data collection
231 process by reception staff, unless they require support to enter their information, in
232 which case they are assisted by a service provider if they agree to this. Service

233 providers enter data about each occasion of service into the MDS system after each
234 service.

235 Follow-up data on psychological distress are collected after a 90-day break in
236 service provision from young people who volunteer when they first attend headspace
237 to participate in a follow-up questionnaire. Those who agree are emailed or texted a
238 follow-up questionnaire to self-complete. There were 4.08% (n=1574) of eligible
239 young people who responded to the follow-up survey.

240 All the routinely collected MDS data from every headspace centre are stored
241 in secure data warehouse, and anonymous data for each client at each occasion of
242 service are available to headspace National research and evaluation staff for analysis.

243 Ethical approval was obtained from Melbourne Health Quality Assurance
244 Review and the headspace Data Governance Reference Group.

245 **Measures**

246 Demographic characteristics of gender and age were self-reported or
247 completed by clinicians at first visit. Gender options included: male, female, and
248 gender diverse (comprising 8 categories). Age was determined by date of birth and
249 categorised into early adolescence (12-14 years), mid-adolescence (15-17 years), late
250 adolescence (18-20 years), and early adulthood (21-25 years).

251 Clinical characteristics of the clients were determined by clinicians at each
252 visit, and included: primary presenting issue, indicated on a list of 13 mental health
253 and behaviour concerns (including an open-ended 'other' category); primary and
254 other diagnosis based on DSM-V categories; mental health risk status, indicating
255 whether the young person had 'No risk factors or symptoms of mental health
256 problems', 'Risk factors present', 'Sub-threshold symptoms', 'Threshold diagnosis -

257 first episode’, or ‘Threshold diagnosis - ongoing mental disorder’; and type of
258 treatment, determined via a list of 50 treatment types.

259 Client outcomes were: psychological distress, self-reported through the 10-
260 item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10)[17]; overall psychosocial
261 functioning, assessed by service providers using the single-item Social and
262 Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS)[18]; and a self-reported quality
263 of life measure developed specifically for youth mental health services, the 5-item
264 MyLifeTracker (MLT)[19]. Psychological distress is self-reported immediately before
265 young people’s first to fourth, seventh, eleventh, and fifteenth visits, and at 90-day
266 follow-up; quality of life is self-reported at every visit; and psychosocial functioning
267 is recorded by service providers at every visit.

268 **Statistical Analyses**

269 Significance was set at $P < 0.001$ to exclude trivial effects being significant due
270 to high statistical power.

271 Changes in outcomes were assessed in two ways [22]. First, mixed-design
272 analysis of variance (ANOVA) determined change over time in K10, SOFAS and
273 MLT scores by time (first/last assessment), number of sessions, age-group
274 (early/mid/late adolescence and early adulthood) and gender (male/female; the gender
275 diverse group was not included in analyses due to small sample sizes in the groups).
276 Associations between outcome scores were determined with Pearson’s correlation
277 coefficient. Logistic regression examined differences between those who did and did
278 not achieve significant improvement on K10, MLT or SOFAS and those who did or
279 did not provide follow-up data.

280 Second, significant change, reliable change, and clinically significant change
281 scores were calculated for each of the three outcomes, as increasingly conditional

282 indicators of change. Significant change was determined by a change with at least a
283 moderate effect size (0.5). The Jacobson and Truax method [23] was used to
284 determine reliable change (RCI) (indicating reliable improvement or decline) and
285 clinically significant change (CSI) (cut-off point at which the young person is more
286 likely to belong to a non-clinical than clinical population). The RCI and CSI for the
287 K10 and SOFAS were calculated as for the 2015 analysis: RCI=7 and 10, CSI=23 and
288 69, respectively [15]. For MLT, these were RCI=18.27, CSI=63.86 [19, 20].

289 **Results**

290 **Clinical characteristics and treatment services**

291 The most common primary presenting issues were anxiety symptoms
292 (41.85%) followed by depression symptoms (33.36%), together accounting for three-
293 quarters of primary presenting concerns (see Table 1). This was evident for all age
294 and gender groups, except 12-14 year-old boys, whose second most common
295 presenting issue was anger issues (23.36%).

296 **Table 1. Percentage of young people with each primary presenting issue by**
 297 **gender and age-group.**

Age	n	Anxiety symptoms	Depression symptoms	Anger Issues	Stress related	Suicidal thoughts/behaviour	disruptive behavioural issues	Difficulties with personal relationships	Conflict in home environment	Borderline personality traits	Eating disorder
Female											
12-14	7062	46.12	26.90	8.61	5.44	3.40	2.11	2.25	3.41	0.34	1.36
15-17	9321	44.50	34.32	3.75	6.00	2.84	0.75	1.87	2.42	1.52	2.02
18-20	7167	45.22	34.70	2.05	6.60	2.51	n/a	1.88	1.16	3.38	2.13
21-25	6307	44.47	34.75	2.24	7.15	1.81	n/a	2.51	0.73	3.95	2.01
Male											
12-14	4221	34.66	21.37	23.36	5.33	2.11	8.22	1.99	3.10	0.07	n/a
15-17	5089	34.60	35.53	12.7	6.92	3.30	2.97	1.69	1.89	n/a	n/a
18-20	4067	39.32	39.56	6.96	5.88	3.49	1.08	1.89	0.64	0.79	n/a
21-25	4213	37.43	41.11	6.74	6.34	2.71	0.88	2.60	0.71	1.09	n/a
Total											
12-25	47447	41.85	33.36	7.26	6.22	2.77	1.79	2.01	1.85	1.59	1.31

Notes: Total sample size differs from total possible sample size of 58223 due to missing data on gender and age, and the exclusion of 'gender diverse' due to small cell sizes. n/a cell size<30.

298 Mental health risk status (Table 2), which shows the highest level of risk
 299 recorded across the episode of care, revealed that almost a quarter (23.57%) had no
 300 indicated risk factors or symptoms of mental health problems; most of these young
 301 people attended only an initial consultation and did not progress to receiving
 302 treatment services. Another quarter (25.64%) had identified risk factors; one fifth
 303 (21.01%) had sub-threshold symptoms; 11.77% were first episode; and 18.01% had
 304 full-threshold diagnosis with ongoing mental disorder.

305 **Table 2. Mental health risk status.**

Mental health risk status	Young people presenting with mental health and behavioural issues	
	n	%
No risk factors or symptoms or mental health problems	13724	23.57
Risk factors present	14928	25.64
Sub-threshold symptoms	12230	21.01
Threshold diagnosis – first episode	6856	11.77
Threshold diagnosis – ongoing mental disorder	10458	18.01
Total	58223	100.0

306 Consistent with risk status, Table 3 shows that no diagnosis was made for two-
307 thirds of clients (64.71%), including 14.31% with diagnosis not yet assessed and
308 8.60% who were sub-syndromal. For those with a diagnosis, the most common was
309 anxiety disorder (21.74%) followed by depressive disorder (18.51%), then trauma
310 (5.64%), personality disorder (2.34%) and disruptive behaviour (2.03%). All other
311 diagnoses were proportionally very rare.

312 **Table 3. Any diagnosis during the episode of care.**

Diagnosis	Young people presenting with mental health and behavioural issues		Young people with at least 2 outcome measures for K10/MLT or SOFAS	
	n	%	n	%
Missing	6	0.01	2	0.0
Not applicable (diagnosis not relevant or service provider not qualified to give diagnosis)	23433	40.24	9615	37.0
Diagnosis not yet assessed	8332	14.31	4218	16.2
No diagnosis and no sub-syndromal symptoms	897	1.54	427	1.6
No diagnosis but sub-syndromal mental health problems	5008	8.60	2170	8.3
Total no diagnosis	37421	64.71	16432	63.2
Anxiety	12663	21.74	3993	15.4
Depression	10777	18.51	3398	13.1
Trauma	3284	5.64	918	3.5
Personality disorder	1365	2.34	337	1.3
Disruptive behaviour	1180	2.03	330	1.3
Feeding disorder	788	1.35	159	0.6
Substance use disorder	705	1.21	129	0.5
Obsessive compulsive	579	0.99	148	0.6
Bipolar disorder	389	0.67	114	0.4
Schizophrenia	317	0.54	62	0.2
Somatic disorder	113	0.19	15	0.1
Other	3373	5.79	1412	5.4
Total any diagnosis	20547*	35.29	9578*	36.8
Total Young People	58233	100	26010	100

Notes: *Young people can have more than one primary diagnosis over their episode of care, so diagnoses do not sum to the total.

313 The most common treatment was cognitive behaviour therapy, comprising
 314 31.23% of treatments. General or supportive counselling was next (12.53%), and then
 315 acceptance and commitment therapy (5.47%).

316 **Table 4. Most common mental health treatment types.**

Treatment type	% of treatments	N of treatments
Cognitive behavioural therapy	31.23	70690
General or supportive counselling	12.53	28363
Acceptance and commitment therapy	5.47	12384
Psychoeducation	4.79	10842
Skills training (social and communication skills anger management)	3.32	7507
Interpersonal therapy	3.26	7385
Motivational Interviewing/Enhancement	2.24	5072
Behavioural interventions (including activity scheduling exposure techniques)	2.20	4980
Cognitive interventions (eg Cognitive analytic therapy)	1.65	3732
Problem solving therapy	1.34	3022
Total treatments² delivered	NA ¹	226307
Median number of treatments recorded per young person		2.00

Notes: ¹% do not sum to 100 because young people can receive more than one service type and only the 10 most common treatment types are listed. ²Service providers can record up to three treatment types per visit.

317 **Mean changes in outcomes over time**

318 The mean outcome scores at each time point (visit number) for young people
 319 who had received that number of sessions are plotted in Figures 2-4. The respective
 320 sample sizes show that the sample size declined dramatically with each time point.

321 For the K10, the strongest effect was the main effect for time, explaining
 322 7.51% of the variance. Other effects were significant but negligible (1.0% variance or
 323 less). When the 90-day follow-up was added, the time effect explained 12.43% of the
 324 variance. Note that while the follow-up sample was generally similar to the whole
 325 sample, they were more likely to be female, OR=2.00 (95% CI=1.73-2.23); and more
 326 likely to be older, OR=1.03 (95% CI=1.01-1.05).

327

328 **Fig 2. Mean psychological distress (K10) scores by time point and number of**
329 **sessions.**

330

331 For SOFAS scores, again the time effect was strongest, although only
332 explained 2.76% of the variance; no other sizeable effects were evident.

333

334 **Fig 3. Mean social and occupational functioning (SOFAS) scores by time point**
335 **and number of sessions.**

336

337 For MLT, the time effect explained 11.73% of the variance, and age-group
338 explained 2.08%.

339

340 **Fig 4. Mean quality of life (MLT) scores by time point and number of sessions.**

341

342 **Significant, reliable, and clinically significant change**

343 The percentage of young people showing significant, reliable, and clinically
344 significant change from first to last rating are presented in Table 5. For psychological
345 distress, just over one-third significantly, a quarter reliably and one-fifth clinically
346 significantly improved, and 5.94% reliably deteriorated on the K10. For quality of
347 life, MLT scores revealed just under half significantly improved and about 30% each
348 showed reliable and clinically significant change; 5.03% had a reliable deterioration.
349 According to clinician ratings, 36.12% significantly, 29.92% reliably and 37.81%
350 clinically significantly improved in psychosocial functioning (SOFAS); 13.84%
351 reliably declined.

352

353 **Table 5. Percentage of young people showing significant, reliable, and clinically**
354 **significant change in outcomes from first to last service rating.**

Outcome	Change method	N	Change Category		
			Improvement %	No change %	Deterioration %
K10	Significant Change	22349	35.50	54.27	10.23
	Reliable Change	22349	24.53	69.53	5.94
	Clinically Significant Change	17236	22.39	77.61	NA ¹
SOFAS	Significant Change	24997	36.12	46.35	17.53
	Reliable Change	24997	29.92	56.24	13.84
	Clinically Significant Change	15501	37.81	62.19	NA ¹
MLT	Significant Change	22203	46.85	41.49	11.65
	Reliable Change	22203	30.05	64.92	5.03
	Clinically Significant Change	17549	29.87	70.13	NA ¹
For any outcome²	Significant Change	21053	70.96	13.18	31.47
	Reliable Change	21053	56.35	29.39	21.49
	Clinically Significant Change	10118	51.72	48.27	NA ¹

Notes: ¹Young people in the non-clinical population at first time (20.88% of 22349 for K10, 37.99% of 24997 for SOFAS, and 20.96% of the 22203 for MLT) are unable to make a clinically significant improvement and are therefore excluded. Young people in the clinical population are not able to deteriorate, but rather remain in the clinical population (so included in 'No change' category).

² For any of the three outcomes, the rows do not sum to 100% because young people can be in more than one category.

355 The K10, SOFAS and MLT measure different aspects of mental health; and
356 psychological distress and quality of life are self-reported by young people, while
357 social and occupational functioning is estimated by the clinician. The K10 and MLT
358 are moderately strongly correlated at both first and last assessment: $r=-0.65$ and 0.64 ,
359 respectively. These measures both correlate weakly with SOFAS scores at first (K10
360 $r=-0.23$; MLT $r=-0.24$) and last (K10 $r=-0.29$; MLT $r=-0.34$) assessment.

361 If all three measures are considered, 70.96% of young people significantly
362 improved, 56.35% reliably improved, and 51.72% clinically significantly improved.
363 There were 31.47% who significantly deteriorated on any outcome measure. A
364 logistic regression, summarised in Table 6, comparing young people who improved
365 with those who did not (significant improvement on at least one measure) showed that
366 improvement was predicted by being male and attending a greater number of sessions
367 (visits). Improvement was also predicted by greater distress (OR, 1.01: 95% CI, 1.01-
368 1.02), lower psychosocial functioning (OR, 0.97: 95% CI, 0.96-0.97), and lower
369 quality of life (OR, 0.99: 95% CI, 0.99-0.99) at baseline.
370

371 **Table 6. Summary of logistic regression model predicting significant**
372 **improvement on any outcome (K10, MLT or SOFAS).**

Factor		OR	CI	P
Gender	Male	1.13	1.05-1.20	0.001
	Female (1)			
K10 baseline		1.01	1.01-1.02	<0.001
MLT baseline		0.99	0.99-0.99	<0.001
SOFAS baseline		0.97	0.96-0.97	<0.001
Number of mental health visits		1.15	1.13-1.16	<0.001
Age	12-14 years	0.97	0.88-1.06	0.46
	15-17 years	0.90	0.82-0.98	0.02
	18-20 years	0.95	0.87-1.05	0.32
	21-25 years (1)			
Primary presenting concern:				
Anxiety		1.05	0.94-1.16	0.39
Depression		1.01	0.91-1.13	0.81
Anger		1.11	0.96-1.30	0.17
Stress		1.04	0.89-1.21	0.66
Suicidal		1.10	0.85-1.41	0.48
Difficulty in personal relationships		1.20	0.97-1.49	0.09
Attention deficit/behavioural		1.12	0.84-1.48	0.45
Conflict		0.98	0.79-1.21	0.83
Borderline personality traits		0.71	0.52-0.99	0.04
Eating disorder		0.71	0.52-0.97	0.03

Notes: (1) Indicates reference group. Overall $X^2 [5] = 1473.23$, Nagelkerke R square=0.098

373 Discussion

374 Our aim was to examine outcomes for headspace centre clients after 16 years
375 of implementation and expansion of the network to 108 services, thereby making the
376 outcomes attained transparent to the Australian community and providing
377 comparative data for similar international initiatives. The results are generally
378 comparable to the client outcomes reported in 2015 [15], although the number of
379 centres has more than doubled since then along with the number of clients receiving
380 services within the 12-month analysis period.

381 Interestingly, anxiety symptoms were the most common primary presenting
382 issue in 2019-2020 followed by depressive symptoms, whereas the opposite order was
383 evident in 2015. The high prevalence of anger issues for 12-14 year-old boys has
384 remained as their second most common main presenting issue. This shows a need to
385 focus on this particular form of mental distress for the youngest boys accessing
386 headspace. Other headspace research confirms that early adolescent boys appear to be
387 quite a distinct group with unique needs [20].

388 We reveal that only about one-third of headspace centre clients had a
389 diagnosis, but 25.64% had risk factors present and 21.01% had sub-syndromal mental
390 health conditions, reflecting the early intervention focus of headspace centres, which
391 aim to intervene before symptoms have reached diagnostic thresholds. This also likely
392 reflects that headspace service providers are primarily allied health workers, mostly
393 psychologists, many of whom work within a non-diagnostic practice framework.
394 Primary diagnoses were consistent with presenting issues, showing a preponderance
395 of anxiety and depression. Many other diagnoses were evident, however, albeit with
396 much lower frequency, showing the breadth of presentations that headspace centres
397 must be equipped to address.

398 This breadth of presentations, in terms of issues and severity, necessitates
399 multidimensional outcome measures to be used. The inclusion in this study of MLT as
400 a quality of life measure is especially important considering that different domains of
401 young people's lives can be both influenced by and determinants of their mental
402 health status. Our results show that 70.96% of headspace clients improved on at least
403 one of the three outcome measures. The quality of life measure shows the greatest
404 proportion improving (46.85%) supporting the promotion of headspace centres as
405 easy access facilities for any type of problem, and the value of a broad patient-

406 reported outcome measure tapping domains of life that are of concern for young
407 people—specifically, their overall wellbeing, relationships with friends and family,
408 day-to-day functioning in work/study/leisure activities, and general coping with life
409 capacity.

410 By necessity, the current study used a single-arm, observational design;
411 consequently, a limitation is the lack of a control group. An equivalent control group
412 has not been able to be determined by any of the external evaluations. Comparative
413 data, in general, are difficult to find, because headspace clients present for a wide
414 range of reasons, treatments are varied, and centres are uniquely adapted to their
415 varied communities and circumstances. Consequently, few other services are
416 comparable. Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses of psychological
417 treatments for depression in children and adolescents report that 60% of youth
418 receiving therapy do not respond within two months, and that 39% respond in
419 treatment conditions and 24% in control conditions [24]. For adults, almost 60% do
420 not respond to treatment, 41% respond within two months, and 17% respond in
421 control conditions [25]. These findings come from rigorous randomised controlled
422 trials and only consider psychological treatments for depression, so do not provide
423 direct comparators for headspace; but, given that one of the main presenting issues for
424 headspace clients is depression, they do provide a reference point. The reviews also
425 support the contention that the effects of psychotherapies for depression are smaller in
426 children and adolescents than in adults.

427 Recently, information has begun to be reported internationally for other
428 primary care, youth mental health initiatives similar to headspace. For example, the
429 evolution of Jigsaw in Ireland [26] and early learnings from the Foundry in Canada

430 [27] have been described. No outcome data are yet available, although a study
431 protocol for the Canadian Access Open Minds youth services has been published [28].

432 Another limitation is that our results are likely to underestimate treatment
433 effects because the outcome data are collected at the last recorded data point, which
434 for some young people is not at the completion of their treatment. Further, data are
435 collected as the client presents for their treatment session rather than at the end of the
436 session.

437 Our follow-up rate is unsurprisingly disappointing, although the results
438 suggest that young people continue to improve after leaving the service. It is a major
439 challenge to get young people to complete follow-up information after they have left a
440 service, particularly through routine data collection (as opposed to a well-resourced
441 research project). Nevertheless, there was a large number of young people who
442 provided 90-day follow-up data, if only a very small percentage. The follow-up
443 sample is skewed towards those who are female, but does show maintenance of
444 outcomes for reduced psychological distress. Unfortunately, MLT was not available at
445 follow-up for these analyses, but has more recently been included in the follow-up
446 data protocol.

447 The impact of COVID-19 has had many unfortunate impacts on most people,
448 including headspace young people and particularly on data collection. Most
449 headspace centre services had to rapidly change to online delivery after March 2020,
450 and many centres had long periods where no in-person services were possible due to
451 lockdowns. This had a major impact on data collection, which was previously
452 undertaken while the young person was waiting in-person for their occasion of
453 service. Online service delivery meant online data collection, and although centres
454 were able to adapt to incorporate this, it has proved more challenging for data

455 compliance. Hence, the data reported here are for the period immediately prior to the
456 impacts of COVID-19.

457

458 headspace is committed to examining and reporting outcomes for young
459 people accessing its services. We will continue to use our MDS to contribute to the
460 well-acknowledged complex challenge of what works for young people, particularly
461 for the common presentations of anxiety and depression [29], which are the main
462 issues for young people coming to headspace. These latest centre results show a
463 positive impact, particularly when considering multiple outcome measures, which we
464 argue is needed to match the holistic early intervention intent of headspace services.
465 In particular, MLT measures outcomes directly relevant to young people's lives, and
466 it is validating that these items demonstrate the strongest improvement.

467 Nevertheless, there remain many young people for whom the services
468 currently provided by headspace are not sufficient, and further research is required to
469 identify how the outcomes for these young people can be improved. In particular,
470 young people who have been referred to as the 'missing middle' [30] present to
471 headspace centres as a result of their easy access, 'no-wrong-door' approach. These
472 young people are likely to be too complex and their issues too severe for the
473 headspace indicated prevention focus; headspace centres were originally intended for
474 mild to moderate presentations of high prevalence conditions. Due to the lack of
475 appropriate services in most communities and the high visibility and youth-
476 friendliness of headspace centres, young people with more challenging presentations
477 than first anticipated are attending. Centres increasingly must hold young people with
478 more acute, complex and enduring mental health problems, despite not being
479 designed nor resourced to do so. Providing services to these young people may

480 attenuate the outcomes achieved. Consequently, identifying and analysing outcomes
481 for more complex headspace clients is a future research need.

482 In conclusion, the current study shows that Australia's headspace initiative,
483 which has been at the forefront of youth mental health service reform and provides an
484 exemplar internationally, is achieving important outcomes for the majority of young
485 people who attend, providing a much-needed reoriented service response to address
486 the high and rising prevalence of mental health problems for young people in their
487 adolescent and early adult years.

488

489 **Acknowledgements**

490 None.

491

492 **References**

- 493 1. Monaco AP. An epigenetic, transgenerational model of increased mental health
494 disorders in children, adolescents and young adults. *European Journal of Human
495 Genetics*. 2021;29(3):387-95. doi: 10.1038/s41431-020-00726-4.
- 496 2. Ford T, John A, Gunnell D. Mental health of children and young people during
497 pandemic. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n614. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n614.
- 498 3. McGorry PD, Goldstone SD, Parker AG, Rickwood DJ, Hickie IB. Cultures for
499 mental health care of young people: an Australian blueprint for reform. *Lancet
500 Psychiatry*. 2014;1(7):559-68. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(14)00082-0.
- 501 4. McGorry PD, Tanti C, Stokes R, Hickie IB, Carnell K, Littlefield LK, et al.
502 headspace: Australia's National Youth Mental Health Foundation - where young

- 503 minds come first. Medical Journal of Australia. 2007;187:S68-S70. doi:
504 10.5694/j.1326-5377.2007.tb01342.x.
- 505 5. headspace National. helping young people get through challenging times:
506 headspace year in review 2020-2021 Melbourne: headspace National Youth
507 Mental Health Foundation; 2021. Available from:
508 [https://headspace.org.au/assets/Reports/headspace-Year-in-Review-2020-](https://headspace.org.au/assets/Reports/headspace-Year-in-Review-2020-2021.pdf)
509 [2021.pdf](https://headspace.org.au/assets/Reports/headspace-Year-in-Review-2020-2021.pdf)
- 510 6. McGorry PD, Mei C, Chanen A, Hodges C, Alvarez-Jimenez M, Killackey E.
511 Designing and scaling up integrated youth mental health care. World Psychiatry.
512 2022;21(1):61-76. doi: 10.1002/wps.20938.
- 513 7. headspace National. headspace Strategic Plan 2021-2024 Melbourne: headspace
514 National; 2021. Available from: [https://headspace.org.au/assets/headspace-](https://headspace.org.au/assets/headspace-Strategy-2021-2024.pdf)
515 [Strategy-2021-2024.pdf](https://headspace.org.au/assets/headspace-Strategy-2021-2024.pdf).
- 516 8. Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Christensen H. Perceived barriers and facilitators to
517 mental health help-seeking in young people: A systematic review. BMC
518 Psychiatry. 2010;10(1):1-9. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-10-113.
- 519 9. Rickwood D, Paraskakis M, Quin D, Hobbs N, Ryall V, Trethowan J, et al.
520 Australia's innovation in youth mental health care – the headspace centre model.
521 Early Intervention in Psychiatry. 2018;13:159-66. doi: 10.1111/eip.12740.
- 522 10. Muir K, Powell A, Patulny R, Flaxman S, McDermott S, Oprea I, et al.
523 Independent evaluation of headspace: the National Youth Mental health
524 Foundation Sydney: Social Policy Research Centre, University of New South
525 Wales; 2009. Available from:
526 [https://headspace.org.au/assets/Uploads/Corporate/Publications-and-](https://headspace.org.au/assets/Uploads/Corporate/Publications-and-research/final-independent-evaluation-of-headspace-report.pdf)
527 [research/final-independent-evaluation-of-headspace-report.pdf](https://headspace.org.au/assets/Uploads/Corporate/Publications-and-research/final-independent-evaluation-of-headspace-report.pdf).

- 528 11. Hilferty F, Cassells R, Muir K, Duncan A, Christensen D, Mitrou F, et al. Is
529 headspace making a difference to young people's lives? Final Report of the
530 independent evaluation of the headspace program Sydney2015. Available from:
531 <https://headspace.org.au/assets/Uploads/Evaluation-of-headspace-program.pdf>.
- 532 12. Bassilios B, Telford, N., Rickwood, D., Spittal, M.J., & Pirkis, J. Complementary
533 primary mental health programs for young people in Australia: Access to Allied
534 Psychological Services (ATAPS) and headspace. *International Journal of Mental
535 Health Systems*. 2017;11(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s13033-017-0125-7.
- 536 13. Rickwood DJ, Telford NR, Parker AG, Tanti C, McGorry PD.
537 headspace—Australia's innovation in youth mental health: Who's coming and
538 why do they present? *Medical Journal of Australia*. 2014;200 (2):108-11. doi:
539 10.5694/mja13.11235.
- 540 14. Rickwood DJ, Telford NR, Mazzer KR, Parker AG, Tanti C, McGorry PD.
541 Services provided to young people through the headspace centres across
542 Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia*. 2015;202(10):533-6. doi:
543 10.5694/mja14.01695.
- 544 15. Rickwood DJ, Telford NR, Mazzer K, Parker AG, Tanti CP, McGorry PD.
545 Changes in psychological distress and psychosocial functioning for young people
546 accessing headspace centres for mental health problems. *Medical Journal of
547 Australia*. 2015;202(10):573-42. doi: 10.5694/mja14.01696.
- 548 16. Kwan B, Rickwood DJ. A systematic review of mental health outcome measures
549 for young people aged 12 to 25 years. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2015;15(1). doi:
550 10.1186/s12888-015-0664-x.
- 551 17. Kessler R, Andrews G, Colpe L, Hiripi E, Mroczek D, Normand S, et al. Short
552 screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific

- 553 psychological distress. *Psychological Medicine*. 2002;32:959-76. doi:
554 10.1017/s0033291702006074.
- 555 18. Goldman HH, Skodol AE, Lave TR. Revising Axis V for DSM-IV: A review of
556 measures of social functioning. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1992;149:1148–
557 56. doi: 10.1176/ajp.149.9.1148.
- 558 19. Kwan B, Rickwood DJ, Telford NR. Development and validation of
559 MyLifeTracker: A routine outcome measure for youth mental health. *Psychology*
560 *Research and Behavior Management*. 2018;11. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S152342.
- 561 20. Kwan B, Rickwood DJ. A routine outcome measures for youth mental health:
562 Clinically interpreting MyLifeTracker. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*. 2020.
563 doi: 10.1111/eip.13016.
- 564 21. headspace. headspace Primary Program Minimum Data Set - Version 3.3.
565 Melbourne: headspace National Youth Mental Health Foundation, 2020.
- 566 22. Wolpert M, Görzig, A., Deighton, J., Fugard, A.J.B., Newman, R., & Ford, T.
567 Comparison of indices of clinically meaningful change in child and adolescent
568 mental health services: difference scores, reliable change, crossing clinical
569 thresholds and 'added value' - an exploration using parent rated scores on the
570 SDQ. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*. 2014. Epub Early view. doi:
571 10.1111/camh.12080.
- 572 23. Jacobson NS, Truax P. Clinical significance: A statistical approach to defining
573 meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical*
574 *Psychology*. 1991;59(1):12-9. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.59.1.12.
- 575 24. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Ciharova M, Miguel C, Noma H, Stikkelbroek Y, et al.
576 The effects of psychological treatments of depression in children and adolescents
577 on response, reliable change, and deterioration: a systematic review and meta-

- 578 analysis. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s00787-
579 021-01884-6.
- 580 25. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki, E., Ciharova, M., Miguel, C., Noma, H., & Furukawa,
581 T.A. The effects of psychotherapies for depression on response, remission,
582 reliable change, and deterioration: A meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica*
583 *Scandinavica*. 2021. doi: 10.1111/acps.13335.
- 584 26. O'Reilly A, O'Brien G, Moore J, Duffy J, Longmore P, Cullinan S, et al.
585 Evolution of Jigsaw - a National Youth Mental Health Service. *Early*
586 *Intervention in Psychiatry*. 2021. doi: 10.1111/eip.13218.
- 587 27. Mathias S, Tee K, Helfrich W, Gerty K, Chan G, Barbic SP. Foundry: Early
588 learnings from the implementation of an integrated youth service network. *Early*
589 *Intervention in Psychiatry*. 2021. doi: 10.1111/eip.13181.
- 590 28. Iyer SN, Shah J, Boksa P, Lal S, Joobar R, Andersson N, et al. A minimum
591 evaluation protocol and stepped-wedge cluster randomized trial of ACCESS
592 Open Minds, a large Canadian youth mental health services transformation
593 project. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019;19(1):273. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2232-2.
- 594 29. Sebastian CL, Pote I, Wolpert M. Searching for active ingredients to combat
595 youth anxiety and depression. *Nature Human Behaviour*. 2021. doi:
596 10.1038/s41562-021-01195-5.
- 597 30. Orygen. Defining the missing middle Melbourne: Orygen Youth Health; 2021.
598 Available from: [https://www.orygen.org.au/Policy/Policy-Areas/Government-](https://www.orygen.org.au/Policy/Policy-Areas/Government-policy-service-delivery-and-workforce/Service-delivery/Defining-the-missing-middle/orygen-defining-the-missing-middle-pdf.aspx?ext=)
599 [policy-service-delivery-and-workforce/Service-delivery/Defining-the-missing-](https://www.orygen.org.au/Policy/Policy-Areas/Government-policy-service-delivery-and-workforce/Service-delivery/Defining-the-missing-middle/orygen-defining-the-missing-middle-pdf.aspx?ext=)
600 [middle/orygen-defining-the-missing-middle-pdf.aspx?ext=.](https://www.orygen.org.au/Policy/Policy-Areas/Government-policy-service-delivery-and-workforce/Service-delivery/Defining-the-missing-middle/orygen-defining-the-missing-middle-pdf.aspx?ext=)

601

602 **Supporting information**

603 S1_Fig Fig 1. Number of clients by each data selection process.

604 S2_Fig Fig 2. Mean psychological distress (K10) scores by time point and number of
605 sessions.

606 S3_Fig Fig 3. Mean social and occupational functioning (SOFAS) scores by time
607 point and number of sessions.

608 S4_Fig Fig 4. Mean quality of life (MLT) scores by time point and number of
609 sessions.