

Supplementary Data

Proteomics Landscape of Diverse Serological Patterns in COVID-19

Xiao Liang^{1,2}, Rui Sun², Jing Wang³, Kai Zhou³, Jun Li³, Shiyong Chen³, Mengge Lyu², Sainan Li², Zhangzhi Xue², Yingqiu Shi², Yuting Xie², Qiushi Zhang⁴, Xiao Yi⁴, Juan Pan³, Donglian Wang³, Jiaqin Xu³, Hongguo Zhu³, Guangjun Zhu³, Jiansheng Zhu³, Yi Zhu², Yufen Zheng^{3*}, Bo Shen^{3*}, Tiannan Guo^{1,2*}

¹ Fudan University, Shanghai, China;

² Center for Infectious Disease Research, Zhejiang Provincial Laboratory of Life Sciences and Biomedicine, Key Laboratory of Structural Biology of Zhejiang Province, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China;

³ Taizhou Hospital of Zhejiang Province affiliated to Wenzhou Medical University, Linhai, Zhejiang, China;

⁴ Westlake Omics (Hangzhou) Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China.

* Corresponding authors

Correspondence: Y.Z (zhengyf@enzemed.com), B.S (shenb@enzemed.com), and T.G (guotiannan@westlake.edu.cn)

Table of contents

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1

Figure S2

Supplementary materials provided as separate files

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1 Serology characteristics in COVID-19 patients. A) Plateau expression of IgM and IgG labeled with different groups. B) Summary of patient and serology test number from different groups. C-D) Scatterplots of NAbs expression titers and their correlations with IgG and IgM expression titers, respectively.

Figure S2 Proteomic experiment for the serum samples from the characteristic patients. A) Temporal IgM and IgG expression of the 16 characteristic patients. B) Proteomic experimental workflow for serum sample preparation. C) Coefficient of variation for the pooled samples in eight batches. D) Summary of DEPs count from weeks 1-10.

Figure S1







Figure S2



Supplementary materials provided as separate files

Table S1. Basic information of the patient cohort.

Table S2 The comparison of clinical information of the patients with four categories of serology. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentages). The comparison of categorical variables between the four groups was performed using chi-square. The comparison of continuous variables between the four groups was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis statistics.

Table S3. Proteomic results of the patient cohort.