

S1a Table. Individual-level variables selected from the CRFs for analysis, including any groupings, outputs and transformations undertaken.

Variable name	Description
Age*	Continuous, age at enrolment (years)
Male	Binary, {1 = male, 0 = female}
Religion (Christianity)	Binary, {1 = faith reported as Christianity, 0 = other religion practiced}
School (attendance)	Binary, {1 = attend school, 0 = do not attend school}
School (work)	Binary, {1 = work in/at school, 0 = do not work in/at school}
Healthcare (work)	Binary, {1 = work in/at hospital, 0 = do not work in/at hospital}
Hospital admission	Binary, {1 = admitted overnight to hospital in last 6 months, 0 = not admitted over last 6 months}
Hospital guardian	Binary, {1 = been a guardian at hospital in last 6 months, 0 = not been a guardian at hospital in last 6 months}
Employed	Binary, {1 = have regular job at recruitment, 0 = no job at recruitment}
Residency	Binary, {1 = resident for year or more at household, 0 = not resident for year}
Travel (Outside region)	Binary, {1 = travel outside region (any purpose in last 6 months, 0 = no travel outside area in last 6 months)}
HIV status	Binary, {1 = HIV reactive, 0 = HIV non-reactive or unknown}
TB history	Binary, {1 = ever had diagnosis of TB, 0 = never had TB}
Comorbidities	Binary, {1 = 1 or more comorbidities, 0 = no comorbidities}
Medication	Binary, {1 = take any prescribed regular medication, 0 = do not take any regular medication}
Unwell 4 weeks	Binary, {1 = 1 or more unwell episodes in last 4 weeks, 0 = no illness episodes in last 4 weeks}
Unwell 3 months	Binary, {1 = 1 or more unwell episodes in last 3 months, 0 = no illness episodes in last 3 months}
ABU	Binary, {1 = 1 or more antibiotic courses taken in the last 6 months, 0 = no antibiotics taken in last 6 months}

*log-transformed

S1b Table. Household, WASH and sampling variables selected from the CRFs for analysis, including any outputs and transformations undertaken. Variables are grouped into reported, observed and laboratory categories and stratified by factor type.

		Variable	Description
Household Factors	Reported	Number of people living in house*	Continuous, number of people cohabiting at baseline.
		Household income*	Continuous, household income (MK) at baseline.
	Lab	Share household with ESBL colonised humans	Binary, {1 = yes [share with 1 or more ESBL colonised individuals within the same household], 0 = no [Do not share with ESBL colonised household members]}
Sanitation Factors	Observed	Presence of drop hole cover	Binary, {1 = drop hole cover present, 0 = drop hole cover absent}
		Cleansing materials at toilet	Binary, {1 = cleansing material [any type] present, 0 = cleansing material [any type] absent}
		Visible human defecation	Binary, {1 = visible human stool [adult or child], 0 = no visible human stool}
	Reported	Use of pit latrine	Binary, {1 = use pit latrine, 0 = use other toilet type, or do not have toilet}
		Toilet presence (any) at household	Binary, {1 = toilet present, 0 = toilet absent}
		Open human defecation	Binary, {1 = open defecation reported [by 1 or more household members], 0 = no open defecation reported [by all household member]}
		Sharing household toilet with non-household members	Binary, {1 = shared toilet used, 0 = do not share their toilet external to the household}
		Absence of disposal mechanism for animal waste	Binary, {1 = no disposal mechanism for animal faeces, 0 = dispose of animal faeces by either sweeping them away, putting into a refuse pit or re-using as manure}
	Lab	Household environmental ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point in the household during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}
	Hygiene factors	Reported	Facilities for hand washing (all areas) at household
Observed		Presence of soap at (any) HWF	Binary, {1 = yes [present at one or more HWFs within the household], 0 = no [present at no HWFs within the household]}
Lab		Household rinse water ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point in the household during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}
Food Factors	Reported	Eat street food	Binary, {1 = yes [supplement diet with street food at some points], 0 = no [never buy street food]}
		Eat from shared plates	Binary, {1 = yes [use shared plates], 0 = no [do not use shared plates]}
		Buy vegetables or fruit from the market	Binary, {1 = yes [use vegetables or fruit from the market on any occasion], 0 = no [do not use market food or fruit]}

	Lab	Household food ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point in the household during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}
Water Factors	Observed	Is water stored in the house covered?	Binary, {1 = yes [water stored at the house covered], 0 = no [water not stored at the house covered]}
	Reported	Is water stored in the house?	Binary, {1 = yes [water stored at the house], 0 = no [water not stored at the house]}
		Drinking water source piped into household	Binary, {1 = yes [water source from outside the household], 0 = no [water source from pipe inside or directly outside the household]}
		Drinking water source kiosk	Binary, {1 = yes [water source from outside the household], 0 = no [water source from pipe inside or directly outside the household]}
		Drinking water source tubewell	Binary, {1 = yes [water source from outside the household], 0 = no [water source from pipe inside or directly outside the household]}
		Alternative water used for cleaning utensils	Binary, {1 = yes [different water used for cleaning utensils than for drinking], 0 = no [same water used for cleaning utensils as drinking]}
	Lab	Household source water ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point in the household during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}
Lab	Household stored water ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point in the household during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}	
Animal Factors	Observed	Animal faeces seen around the area	Binary, {1 = yes [any animal faeces seen around the household at any point], 0 = no [no animal faeces ever seen around the household]}
		Evidence of animal contact with food	Binary, {1 = yes [animal seen in contact with food], 0 = no [no animal seen in contact with food]}
	Reported	Does the household own any animals?	Binary, {1 = yes [household owns 1 or more animals], 0 = no [no animals owned by household]}
		Own cattle or ruminant	Binary, {1 = yes [household owns 1 or more animals], 0 = no [no animals owned by household]}
		Own poultry	Binary, {1 = yes [household owns 1 or more animals], 0 = no [no animals owned by household]}
		Own pet / companion animal	Binary, {1 = yes [household owns 1 or more animals], 0 = no [no animals owned by household]}
		Own pigs	Binary, {1 = yes [household owns 1 or more animals], 0 = no [no animals owned by household]}
Animals (any species) kept inside the house?	Binary, {1 = yes [if animals owned - they kept inside the house], 0 = no [if animals owned - they are not kept inside the house]}		
Lab	Household animal ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point in the household animals during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}	
Broader Environment	Observed	Accumulation of water / wastewater (household environment)	Binary, {1 = yes [water seen external to the household], 0 = no [water not seen external to the household]}

	Reported	Household member interaction with river water	Binary, {1 = yes [any adult or child at the household reportedly interact with river water], 0 = no [no adult or child at the household even interact with river water]}
		Household member interaction with drains	Binary, {1 = yes [any adult or child at the household reportedly interact with drains], 0 = no [no adult or child at the household even interact with drains]}
	Lab	Drain ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}
		River ESBL contamination	Binary, {1 = yes [at any point during study], 0 = no [at all points during study]}

**log-transformed*

S1c Table. Outcome variables and covariates.

Dependant variable	Description
ESBL (positive)	Binary, {1 = ESBL positive at single episode [with either KPN or EC], 0 = ESBL negative at single episode [with either KPN or EC]}
ESBL-E (positive)	Binary, {1 = ESBL <i>E. coli</i> positive at single episode, 0 = ESBL <i>E. coli</i> negative at single episode}
ESBL-K (positive)	Binary, {1 = ESBL <i>K. pneumoniae</i> positive at single episode, 0 = ESBL <i>K. pneumoniae</i> negative at single episode}
Covariates	
Region	Categorical, {Urban / Peri-urban / rural}
Season	Binary, {1 = wet (October-April), 0 = dry (May-September)}

S2 Table: STROBE Statement

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1 (title)
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-6
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6-7, including reference to online protocol
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6, setting and location described in protocol paper which is referenced in the text. All other aspects completed
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	6
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	NA
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7-9 and S1a-c Tables.
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	S1a-c Tables. Statistical methods explained in pages 7-8. Data included in S3a-e
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6, 8-9 and 22.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6, and detailed in protocol paper referenced in the manuscript.

Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	S1a-c Tables. Statistical methods explained in pages 7-8.
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	7-8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	7-8 and S1a-b figs
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	8
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA

Continued on next page

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	11
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	11
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	11
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11-12
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	9
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	17-20
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	NA
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	NA
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	17-20
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	10-11, 14-15,
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Supplementary data
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	20
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	22
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	22-23
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	22-23
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Listed during submission

S4a Table. Household (baseline) ABU from urban, peri-urban and rural sites.

		Antibiotic choice [^]											
Variable	Site	Total antibiotics	Amoxicillin	Benzylopenicillin	Cefuroxime	Cefixime	Ciprofloxacin	Co-trimoxazole	Doxycycline	Erythromycin	Gentamicin	Metronidazole	Other or Unknown
Antibiotic usage in household participants	Urban	n=63	n=24 (38.1%)	n=2 (3.2%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=2 (3.2%)	n=20 (31.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=2 (3.2%)	n=3 (4.8%)	n=7 (11.1%)	n=3 (4.8%)
	Peri-urban	n=40	n=9 (22.5%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=3 (7.5%)	n=17 (42.5%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (2.5%)	n=1 (2.5%)	n=8 (20.0%)	n=1 (2.5%)
	Rural	n=78	n=31 (39.7%)	n=1 (1.3%)	n=1 (1.3%)	n=1 (1.3%)	n=2 (2.6%)	n=28 (35.9%)	n=2 (2.6%)	n=1 (1.3%)	n=2 (2.6%)	n=8 (10.3%)	n=1 (1.3%)
Antibiotic used in last 4 weeks	Urban	n=21	n=5 (23.8%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (4.8%)	n=10 (47.6%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (4.8%)	n=2 (9.5%)	n=2 (9.5%)
	Peri-urban	n=24	n=4 (16.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (4.2%)	n=12 (50.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (4.2%)	n=5 (20.8%)	n=1 (4.2%)
	Rural	n=29	n=12 (41.4%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (3.4%)	n=12 (41.4%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=3 (10.3%)	n=1 (3.4%)
Antibiotic used in last 4 weeks to 3 months	Urban	n=24	n=8 (33.3%)	n=1 (4.2%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (4.2%)	n=6 (25.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=2 (8.3%)	n=1 (4.2%)	n=4 (16.7%)	n=1 (4.2%)
	Peri-urban	n=8	n=3 (32.5%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (12.5%)	n=2 (25.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (12.5%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (12.5%)	n=0 (0.0%)
	Rural	n=33	n=12 (36.4%)	n=1 (3.0%)	n=1 (3.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (3.0%)	n=11 (33.3%)	n=2 (6.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=2 (6.0%)	n=3 (9.1%)	n=0 (0.0%)
Antibiotic used in last 6 months* (healthcare)	Urban	n=15	n=9 (60.0%)	n=1 (6.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=3 (20.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (6.7%)	n=1 (6.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)
	Peri-urban	n=3	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (33.3%)	n=1 (33.3%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (33.3%)	n=0 (0.0%)
	Rural	n=15	n=7 (46.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (6.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=4 (26.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (6.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=2 (13.3%)	n=0 (0.0%)
Antibiotic used at baseline	Urban	n=3	n=2 (66.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (33.3%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)
	Peri-urban	n=5	n=2 (40.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=2 (40.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (20.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)
	Rural	n=1	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=1 (100%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)	n=0 (0.0%)

[^]Grey = Total usage, where antibiotics were selected by ≥1 households in region. Blue = cumulative total of antibiotics used. Yellow = antibiotic selected by ≥1 households in region. White = antibiotic not selected. *Provided to participant at healthcare services during an acute presentation.

S4b Table. AMU in different age groups

Reported ABU		n (%)			<i>p</i>
		Child	Adolescent	Adult	
Antibiotic use by age group[§]					
Antibiotic usage (total all regions)	NA	49 (32.5%)	39 (11.9%)	59 (12.2%)	>.001

[§]Total Adult (>17) = 485, Adolescents (5-17) = 329, and Children <5 = 151

S5 Table. Domestic animal and livestock ownership and husbandry

Household ownership	n			
	Total	Urban	Peri-urban	Rural
Total number of animals owned	2169	213	704	1252
Species of animals owned[^]				
Number of chickens	919	152	315	452
Number of doves	442	10	250	182
Number of ducks	67	14	8	45
Number of guinea fowl	34	0	0	34
Number of turkeys	8	0	8	0
Number of dogs	100	27	44	29
Number of cats	31	10	11	10
Number of cattle	23	0	0	74
Number of pigs	17	0	20	55
Number of goats	419	0	48	371
Husbandry characteristics				
Where are animals kept?				
Chickens				
In the house	70 (60.3%)	15 (83.3%)	25 (64.1%)	30 (50.8%)
Shelter /Boma within household compound	33 (28.4%)	2 (11.1%)	12 (30.8%)	19 (32.2%)
Shelter /Boma outside the household compound	9 (7.8%)	1 (5.6%)	1 (2.6%)	7 (11.9%)
Other	4 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.6%)	3 (5.1%)
Dogs				
Free roaming	34 (79.1%)	9 (64.3%)	15 (78.9%)	10 (100.0%)
Shelter /Boma outside the household compound	1 (2.3%)	1 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Shelter /Boma within household compound	8 (18.6%)	4 (28.6%)	4 (21.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Cattle				
Shelter /Boma within household compound	11 (47.8%)	NA	NA	11 (47.8%)
Shelter /Boma outside the household compound	11 (47.8%)	NA	NA	11 (47.8%)
Other	1 (4.4%)	NA	NA	1 (4.2%)
Goats				
In the house	8 (16.3%)	NA	5 (41.7%)	3 (8.1%)
Shelter /Boma within household compound	28 (57.1%)	NA	6 (50.0%)	22 (59.5%)
Shelter /Boma outside the household compound	12 (24.5%)	NA	1 (8.3%)	11 (29.7%)
Free roaming	1 (2.0%)	NA	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.7%)
Pigs				
Shelter /Boma within household compound	10 (58.8%)	NA	5 (100.0%)	5 (41.7%)
Shelter /Boma outside the household compound	6 (35.3%)	NA	0 (0.0%)	6 (50.0%)
Free roaming	1 (5.9%)	NA	0 (0.0%)	1 (8.3%)

Livestock production system

Beef cattle

Zero Grazing	2 (%)	NA	NA	2 (10.0%)
Communal Grazing	15 (%)	NA	NA	15 (75.0%)
Pastoral	3 (%)	NA	NA	3 (15.0%)

Dairy cattle

Pastoral	4 (%)	NA	NA	4 (100.0%)
----------	-------	----	----	------------

Small ruminants

Zero Grazing	8 (%)	NA	6 (50.0%)	2 (5.4%)
Communal Grazing	26 (%)	NA	6 (50.0%)	20 (54.1%)
Pastoral	15 (%)	NA	0 (0.0%)	15 (40.5%)

S6 Table. Healthcare choices for household animals

		Response to sickness in household animals							
Animal	Site	Consult a governmental veterinarian	Consult a private veterinarian	Use medication from a veterinarian drug store	Use left-over or vet applied drugs	Get medications from friends or family	Use traditional medication	Kill animal (+/- eaten)	Nothing
Cattle	Urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Peri-urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Rural	n=6	n=1	n=1	n=1	n=1	n=0	n=0	n=9
Goats	Urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Peri-urban	n=2	n=1	n=0	n=1	n=0	n=4	n=0	n=3
	Rural	n=9	n=2	n=4	n=2	n=0	n=1	n=0	n=9
Pigs	Urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Peri-urban	n=1	n=1	n=1	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=1
	Rural	n=2	n=0	n=1	n=0	n=0	n=1	n=0	n=6
Poultry	Urban	n=0	n=1	n=3	n=0	n=0	n=3	n=1	n=6
	Peri-urban	n=7	n=1	n=4	n=2	n=0	n=8	n=1	n=6
	Rural	n=7	n=2	n=7	n=2	n=0	n=5	n=5	n=17
All animals	All regions	n=34 (21.4%)	n=9 (5.6%)	n=21 (13.2%)	n=8 (5.0%)	n=1 (0.6%)	n=22 (13.8%)	n=7 (4.4%)	n=57 (35.8%)

^ Yellow = selected by ≥ 1 households in region. White = not selected. Grey = NA.

S7 Table. Numbers of samples screened for ESBL *E. coli* and ESBL *K. pneumoniae*, stratified by sample type and region.

Broad sample type	Sample number n (%)			
	Total	Urban	Peri-urban	Rural
Human stool	2845 (23.8%)	821 (22.3%)	982 (24.4%)	1042 (24.3%)
Animal stool	973 (8.1%)	118 (3.2%)	229 (5.7%)	626 (14.6%)
Environment	8157 (68.1%)	2736 (74.5%)	2807 (69.9%)	2614 (60.1%)
Food	1168 (9.8%)	333 (9.1%)	440 (11.0%)	395 (9.2%)
Drinking water	1254 (10.5%)	532 (14.5%)	449 (11.2%)	273 (6.4%)
Source water	527 (4.4%)	79 (2.1%)	216 (5.4%)	232 (5.4%)
Household surfaces	2458 (20.5%)	766 (20.8%)	744 (18.5%)	948 (22.1%)
Household floor	745 (6.2%)	247 (6.7%)	244 (6.1%)	254 (5.9%)
Clothing	742 (6.2%)	245 (6.7%)	242 (6.0%)	255 (5.9%)
Hand-contact samples	451 (3.8%)	129 (3.5%)	69 (1.7%)	253 (5.9%)
Household drains	300 (2.5%)	151 (4.1%)	149 (3.7%)	n=0 (0.0%)
River water	512 (4.3%)	254 (6.9%)	254 (6.3%)	4 (0.1%)
TOTAL	11975	3675	4018	4282

S8a Table. Regional univariate analysis of WASH and individual variables against human ESBL *E. coli* colonisation

Characteristic	Region	n	OR	95% CI	p value	Model inclusion
Season (wet)	Urban	813	1.11	0.84,1.47	0.5	Yes
	Peri	971	1.92	1.47,2.53	<0.001	
	Rural	938	1.29	0.98,1.69	0.067	
Male sex	Urban	813	0.82	0.61,1.09	0.2	No
	Peri	971	0.93	0.71,1.22	0.6	
	Rural	938	0.97	0.66,1.14	0.3	
Age (log)	Urban	813	1.14	1.01,1.29	0.030	Yes
	Peri	971	1.07	0.94,1.21	0.3	
	Rural	938	1.07	0.95,1.21	0.3	
ABU (Last 6 months)	Urban	813	1.01	0.71,1.45	>0.9	No
	Peri	971	0.89	0.57,1.37	0.6	
	Rural	938	1.15	0.83,1.57	0.4	
HIV reactive	Urban	813	0.85	0.48,1.49	0.6	No
	Peri	971	0.86	0.49,1.47	0.6	
	Rural	938	1.23	0.77,1.94	0.4	
Household density (log)	Urban	813	1.17	0.82,1.67	0.4	Yes
	Peri	971	0.99	0.71,1.39	>0.9	
	Rural	938	0.66	0.45,0.97	0.034	
Income (>40,000MK/month)	Urban	813	0.91	0.69,1.21	0.5	No
	Peri	971	1.04	0.8,1.37	0.8	
	Rural	938	0.84	0.64,1.11	0.2	
Shared Toilet	Urban	813	1.02	0.77,1.35	0.9	Yes
	Peri	971	1.37	1.04, 1.81	0.026	
	Rural	938	0.86	0.63,1.18	0.4	
Drophole Present	Urban	813	0.80	0.55, 1.15	0.2	Yes
	Peri	971	0.59	0.44,0.79	<0.001	
	Rural	938	1.08	0.81,1.44	0.6	
Cleaning Materials available	Urban	813	1.17	0.84,1.62	0.3	Yes
	Peri	971	0.65	0.49,0.84	0.001	
	Rural	938	0.80	0.51,1.23	0.3	
Human Feaces visible	Urban	813	0.95	0.72,1.25	0.7	Yes
	Peri	971	1.47	1.08,2.01	0.015	
	Rural	938	0.82	0.63,1.08	0.2	
Human defecation practiced	Urban	813	1.47	0.71,3.04	0.3	Yes
	Peri	971	1.02	0.68,1.52	>0.9	
	Rural	938	0.59	0.37,0.91	0.021	
HWF present	Urban	813	1.15	0.87,1.52	0.3	No
	Peri	971	0.74	0.53,1.06	0.1	
	Rural	938	1.07	0.82,1.40	0.6	
Soap present	Urban	813	1.89	1.17,3.08	0.010	Yes
	Peri	971	0.74	0.56,0.98	0.034	
	Rural	938	0.47	0.21,0.94	0.044	
Stored water covered	Urban	813	2.16	1.38,3.47	<0.001	Yes

	Peri	971	1.30	0.97,1.75	0.082	
	Rural	938	0.62	0.46,0.81	<0.001	
Stored water covered and tap	Urban	813	0.83	0.62,1.10	0.2	No
	Peri	971	0.76	0.46,1.21	0.3	
Utensil water	Rural	938	0.76	0.57,1.01	0.056	No
	Urban	813	0.95	0.71,1.27	0.7	
Piped water (i.e. kiosk)	Peri	971	1.43	0.93,2.18	0.10	No
	Rural	938	1.22	0.84,1.74	0.3	
Tap water (i.e. household tap)	Urban	813	0.97	0.73,1.29	0.9	Yes
	Peri	971	0.64	0.47,0.88	0.006	
Tube well water	Rural	938	0.68	0.47,0.97	0.036	Yes
	Urban	813	0.87	0.66,1.15	0.3	
Animal owned by household	Peri	971	0.98	0.64,1.50	>0.9	Yes
	Rural	938	2.38	1.19,4.86	0.015	
Cattle or ruminant owned	Urban	813	2.53	1.45,4.54	0.001	Yes
	Peri	971	1.37	1.04,1.82	0.027	
Poultry owned	Rural	938	1.31	0.93,1.86	0.12	Yes
	Urban	813	1.55	1.17,2.06	0.002	
Animal kept inside house	Peri	971	0.99	0.75,1.30	>0.9	Yes
	Rural	938	0.54	0.37,0.78	0.001	
Pet owned	Urban	813	NA	NA	NA	No
	Peri	971	2.33	1.64,1.11	0.2	
Pig owned	Rural	938	0.89	0.68,1.17	0.4	Yes
	Urban	813	1.61	1.15,2.26	0.005	
Animal interacting with food	Peri	971	0.85	0.64,1.11	0.2	Yes
	Rural	938	0.98	0.72,1.32	0.9	
Animal faeces seen	Urban	813	1.24	0.90,1.69	0.2	Yes
	Peri	971	0.85	0.62,1.17	0.3	
River water exposure	Rural	938	0.66	0.48,0.91	0.012	Yes
	Urban	813	NA	NA	NA	
Drain water exposure	Peri	971	0.30	0.09,0.78	0.026	Yes
	Rural	938	0.93	0.66,1.31	0.7	
Street food use	Urban	813	1.50	1.05,2.15	0.024	Yes
	Peri	971	1.26	0.94,1.67	0.12	
Street food use	Rural	938	1.16	1.18,2.19	0.003	Yes
	Urban	813	1.69	1.21,2.36	0.002	
Street food use	Peri	971	1.38	1.05,1.81	0.020	Yes
	Rural	938	1.41	1.07,1.85	0.014	
Street food use	Urban	813	1.02	0.77,1.34	>0.9	No
	Peri	971	1.08	0.77,1.52	0.7	
Street food use	Rural	938	NA	NA	NA	No
	Urban	813	0.89	0.65,1.21	0.5	
Street food use	Peri	971	1.33	1.00,1.78	0.054	Yes
	Rural	938	1.61	1.18,2.19	0.003	
Street food use	Urban	813	0.76	0.44,1.29	0.3	Yes
	Peri	971	1.30	0.86,1.94	0.2	
Street food use	Rural	938	0.49	0.27,0.84	0.013	Yes
	Urban	813	0.51	0.33,1.09	0.2	

	Peri	971	1.56	1.01,2.49	0.053	
	Rural	938	1.65	1.19,2.29	0.003	
Shared plates	Urban	813	0.79	0.58,1.09	0.2	Yes
	Peri	971	1.12	0.85,1.47	0.4	
	Rural	938	0.71	0.54,0.94	0.016	
Market produce used	Urban	813	0.65	0.40,1.05	0.08	No
	Peri	971	0.69	0.45,1.05	0.078	
	Rural	938	0.95	0.72,1.26	0.7	

S8b Table. Regional univariate analysis of WASH and individual variables against human ESBL *K. pneumoniae* colonisation

Characteristic	Region	n	OR	95% CI	p value	Model inclusion
Season (wet)	Urban	813	1.30	0.85,2.00	0.2	Yes
	Peri	971	1.94	1.27,3.02	0.003	
	Rural	938	2.19	1.47,3.31	<0.001	
Male sex	Urban	813	0.69	0.43,1.09	0.12	No
	Peri	971	1.12	0.74,1.68	0.6	
	Rural	938	0.84	0.56,1.23	0.4	
Age (log)	Urban	813	0.97	0.82,1.17	0.8	No
	Peri	971	0.98	0.82,2.60	0.2	
	Rural	938	1.02	0.86,1.21	0.8	
ABU (Last 6 months)	Urban	813	1.28	0.74,2.11	0.4	Yes
	Peri	971	0.75	0.34,1.46	0.4	
	Rural	938	1.54	0.99,2.35	0.048	
HIV reactive	Urban	813	1.12	0.45,2.41	0.8	Yes
	Peri	971	2.29	1.15,4.24	0.012	
	Rural	938	0.52	0.20,1.13	0.14	
Household density (log)	Urban	813	2.29	1.32,3.99	0.003	Yes
	Peri	971	0.95	0.57,1.60	0.8	
	Rural	938	2.12	1.24,3.60	0.006	
Income (>40,000MK/month)	Urban	813	1.16	0.76,1.78	0.5	No
	Peri	971	1.10	0.73,1.67	0.7	
	Rural	938	1.04	0.71,1.54	0.8	
Shared Toilet	Urban	813	1.39	0.91,2.16	0.13	No
	Peri	971	0.87	0.55, 1.34	0.5	
	Rural	938	1.32	0.85,2.00	0.2	
Drophole Present	Urban	813	1.07	0.60,1.81	0.8	No
	Peri	971	0.96	0.61, 1.47	0.9	
	Rural	938	1.33	0.89, 1.98	0.2	
Cleaning Materials available	Urban	813	1.07	0.64,1.73	0.8	No
	Peri	971	1.06	0.70,1.59	0.8	
	Rural	938	0.78	0.39,1.45	0.5	
Human Feaces visible	Urban	813	1.58	1.03,2.44	0.039	Yes
	Peri	971	1.44	0.90,2.25	0.12	
	Rural	938	1.01	0.68,1.49	>0.9	

Human defecation practiced	Urban	813	0.79	0.19,2.30	0.7	No
	Peri	971	0.75	0.36,1.41	0.4	
	Rural	938	0.64	0.31,1.21	0.2	
HWF present	Urban	813	1.55	1.00,2.42	0.053	No
	Peri	971	1.02	0.60,1.86	>0.9	
	Rural	938	1.29	0.88,1.93	0.2	
Soap present	Urban	813	1.19	0.56,2.30	0.6	No
	Peri	971	0.72	0.46,1.10	0.13	
	Rural	938	0.31	0.05,1.04	0.11	
Stored water covered	Urban	813	0.83	0.46,1.58	0.5	No
	Peri	971	0.73	0.48,1.12	0.14	
	Rural	938	1.08	0.73,1.60	0.7	
Stored water covered and tap	Urban	813	0.84	0.54,1.31	0.5	No
	Peri	971	0.68	0.28,1.42	0.3	
	Rural	938	1.06	0.71,1.58	0.8	
Utensil water	Urban	813	0.80	0.50,1.26	0.3	No
	Peri	971	0.62	0.25,1.28	0.2	
	Rural	938	0.88	0.49,1.48	0.6	
Piped water (i.e. kiosk)	Urban	813	1.53	1.00,2.34	0.052	No
	Peri	971	0.85	0.52,1.36	0.5	
	Rural	938	1.36	0.84,2.15	0.2	
Tap water (i.e. household tap)	Urban	813	0.66	0.43,1.02	0.061	No
	Peri	971	1.31	0.69,2.33	0.4	
	Rural	938	0.21	0.01, 1.00	0.13	
Tube well water	Urban	813	0.91	0.34,2.03	0.8	No
	Peri	971	1.08	0.71,1.67	0.7	
	Rural	938	0.80	0.51, 1.28	0.3	
Animal owned by household	Urban	813	1.39	0.91,2.13	0.13	No
	Peri	971	0.81	0.53,1.22	0.3	
	Rural	938	0.70	0.43, 1.20	0.2	
Cattle or ruminant owned	Urban	813	NA	NA	NA	No
	Peri	971	1.06	0.59,1.80	0.8	
	Rural	938	1.22	0.83,1.80	0.3	
Poultry owned	Urban	813	1.46	1.12,2.87	0.013	Yes
	Peri	971	1.12	0.74,1.69	0.6	
	Rural	938	1.37	0.88,2.21	0.2	
Pet owned	Urban	813	1.33	0.55,1.47	0.7	Yes
	Peri	971	1.01	0.61,1.62	>0.9	
	Rural	938	1.59	1.05,2.39	0.027	
Pig owned	Urban	813	NA	NA	NA	No
	Peri	971	NA	NA	NA	
	Rural	938	1.56	0.98,2.42	0.055	
Animal kept inside house	Urban	813	1.46	0.87,2.40	0.14	Yes
	Peri	971	0.52	0.30,0.86	0.014	
	Rural	938	1.48	1.00,2.19	0.048	
Animal interacting with food	Urban	813	1.33	0.80,2.15	0.3	No
	Peri	971	0.92	0.60,1.40	0.7	
	Rural	938	0.69	0.46,1.01	0.058	

Animal faeces seen	Urban	813	0.63	0.40,0.96	0.036	Yes
	Peri	971	1.42	0.82,2.60	0.2	
	Rural	938	NA	NA	NA	
River water exposure	Urban	813	1.48	0.94,2.30	0.088	No
	Peri	971	1.28	0.82,2.04	0.3	
	Rural	938	0.81	0.49,1.28	>0.9	
Drain water exposure	Urban	813	1.46	0.68,2.85	0.3	Yes
	Peri	971	0.91	0.45,1.68	0.8	
	Rural	938	2.82	1.59,4.83	<0.001	
Street food use	Urban	813	0.52	0.30,0.92	0.036	Yes
	Peri	971	0.86	0.48,1.67	0.6	
	Rural	938	0.90	0.59,1.41	0.6	
Shared plates	Urban	813	0.71	0.42,1.16	0.2	Yes
	Peri	971	0.84	0.54,1.27	0.4	
	Rural	938	0.64	0.43,0.95	0.025	
Market produce used	Urban	813	1.13	0.56,2.63	0.7	No
	Peri	971	0.80	0.44,1.55	0.5	
	Rural	938	1.13	0.75,1.71	0.6	

S9a Table. Table of parameter testing for regional adjustment of variables included in the ESBL *E. coli* mixed effects model

Characteristic	Likelihood ratio test	Adjust for Region*
Season	$\chi^2 (2) = 8.33, p = 0.0155$	Yes
Male	NA	
Age	$\chi^2 (2) = 0.80, p = 0.67$	No
ABU	NA	
HIV reactive	NA	
Household density	$\chi^2 (2) = 4.82, p = 0.090$	No
Income >40,000MK/month	NA	
Shared Toilet	$\chi^2 (2) = 4.93, p = 0.085$	No
Drophole Present	$\chi^2 (2) = 8.51, p = 0.014$	Yes
Cleaning Materials available	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.66, p = 0.022$	Yes
Human Faeces visible	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.88, p = 0.019$	Yes
Human defecation practiced	$\chi^2 (2) = 5.64, p = 0.059$	No
HWF present	NA	
Soap present	$\chi^2 (2) = 14.32, p = <0.001$	Yes
Stored water covered	$\chi^2 (2) = 26.52, p = <0.001$	Yes
Stored water covered and tap	NA	
Utensil water	NA	
Piped water (i.e. kiosk)	$\chi^2 (2) = 4.39, p = 0.111$	No
Tap water (i.e. household tap)	$\chi^2 (2) = 6.92, p = 0.031$	Yes
Tube well water	$\chi^2 (2) = 4.28, p = 0.117$	No

Animal owned by household	$\chi^2 (2) = 19.61, p = <0.001$	Yes
Cattle or ruminant owned	NA	
Poultry owned	$\chi^2 (2) = 8.91, p = 0.011$	Yes
Pet owned	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.63, p = 0.022$	Yes
Pig owned	NA	
Animal kept inside house	$\chi^2 (2) = 1.34, p = 0.510$	No
Animal interacting with food	$\chi^2 (2) = 0.94, p = 0.624$	No
Animal faeces seen	NA	
River water exposure	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.36, p = 0.025$	Yes
Drain water exposure	$\chi^2 (2) = 8.23, p = 0.016$	Yes
Street food use	$\chi^2 (2) = 20.84, p = <0.001$	Yes
Shared plates	$\chi^2 (2) = 5.72, p = 0.057$	No
Market produce used	NA	


*An alpha level 0.05 has been used as a cut off for the decision to adjust for regional effects in the final mixed effect model.

S9b Table. Table of parameter testing for regional adjustment of variables included in the ESBL K. *pneumoniae* mixed effects model

Variable	Likelihood ratio test	Adjust for Region*
Season	$\chi^2 (2) = 3.25, p = 0.197$	No
Male	NA	
Age	NA	No
ABU	$\chi^2 (2) = 3.08, p = 0.215$	No
HIV reactive	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.87, p = 0.020$	Yes
Household density	$\chi^2 (2) = 6.53, p = 0.038$	Yes
Income >40,000MK/month	NA	
Shared Toilet	NA	
Drophole Present	NA	
Cleaning Materials available	NA	
Human Faeces visible	$\chi^2 (2) = 2.56, p = 0.278$	No
Human defecation practiced	NA	
HWF present	NA	
Soap present	NA	
Stored water covered	NA	
Stored water covered and tap	NA	
Utensil water	NA	
Piped water (i.e. kiosk)	NA	
Tap water (i.e. household tap)	NA	
Tube well water	NA	
Animal owned by household	NA	
Cattle or ruminant owned	NA	


Poultry owned	$\chi^2 (2) = 2.24, p = 0.327$	No
Pet owned	$\chi^2 (2) = 3.46, p = 0.177$	No
Pig owned	NA	
Animal kept inside house	$\chi^2 (2) = 12.39, p = 0.002$	Yes
Animal interacting with food	NA	
Animal faeces seen	$\chi^2 (2) = 5.56, p = 0.062$	No
River water exposure	NA	
Drain water exposure	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.02, p = 0.030$	Yes
Street food use	$\chi^2 (2) = 2.54, p = 0.281$	No
Shared plates	$\chi^2 (2) = 0.81, p = 0.665$	No
Market produce used	NA	

**An alpha level 0.05 has been used as a cut off for the decision to adjust for regional effects in the final mixed effect model.*



S1a Fig. Parameter estimates for the fixed-effects used in a multivariable model of ESBL *E. coli* colonisation, expressed as odds ratios with 95% CrI. Covariates either included an interaction term by region (and so their effect varies by region - red=peri-urban, green=rural or blue=urban) or had the same effect across region (black). *Covariates that were significantly associated ($p < 0.05$) with colonisation via univariable analysis in any region were evaluated for a different effect across regions by comparing models with and without a covariate*region interaction term using likelihood ratio

testing for both ESBL *E. coli* and ESBL *K. pneumoniae*. An interaction term with region was included for those covariates for which $p < 0.05$ on likelihood ratio testing (S7a Table).




S1b Fig. Parameter estimates for the fixed-effects used in a multivariable model of ESBL *K. pneumoniae* colonisation, expressed as odds ratios with 95% CrI. Covariates either included an interaction term by region (and so their effect varies by region - red=peri-urban, green=rural or

blue=urban) or had the same effect across regions (black). *Covariates that were significantly associated ($p < 0.05$) with colonisation via univariable analysis in any region were evaluated for a different effect across regions by comparing models with and without a covariate*region interaction term using likelihood ratio testing for both ESBL *E. coli* and ESBL *K. pneumoniae*. An interaction term with region was included for those covariates for which $p < 0.05$ on likelihood ratio testing (S7b Table).



S2a Fig. Facet Plot showing flux of human ESBL (*E. coli* or *K. pneumoniae*) colonisation amongst **urban** household members over time, grouped by the 65 households recruited. Each row represents a participant, each column represents a visit, and each small square is a sample coloured by EBSL status


(positive or negative). Where no sample was returned for an individual at a visit the square remains blank.




S2b Fig. Facet Plot showing flux of human ESBL colonisation (*E. coli* or *K. pneumoniae*) amongst **peri-urban** household members over time, grouped by the 65 households recruited. Each row represents a participant, each column represents a visit, and each small square is a sample coloured by EBSL status (positive or negative). Where no sample was returned for an individual at a visit the square remains blank.




S2c Fig. Facet Plot showing flux of human ESBL (*E. coli* or *K. pneumoniae*) colonisation amongst rural household members over time, grouped by the 65 households recruited. Each row represents a participant, each column represents a visit, and each small square is a sample coloured by EBSL status (positive or negative). Where no sample was returned for an individual at a visit the square remains blank.




S3a Fig. PCA analysis of individual variables, including a scree plot of the eigenvalues (top left), weighting of the variables by PCA (bottom left), and factor map (right).



S3b Fig. PCA analysis of household variables, including a scree plot of the eigenvalues (top left), weighting of the variables by PCA (bottom left), and factor map (right).



S3c Fig. PCA analysis of environmental contamination variables, including a scree plot of the eigenvalues (top left), weighting of the variables by PCA (bottom left), and factor map (right).



S4 Fig. Random effects from Bayesian multivariate models of (a) ESBL *E. coli* [ESBL-E], and (b) ESBL *K. pneumoniae* [ESBL-K], inclusive of within household (hid) and within participant (hid:pid) effects.