Research article

1

² The impact of surgical mask-wearing, contact tracing program, and

vaccination on COVID-19 transmission in Taiwan from January 2020

4 to March 2022: a modelling study

5 Tatiana Filonets^{1,2}, Maxim Solovchuk^{1,2,*} and Wayne Gao³

- ⁶ ¹ Department of Engineering Science and Ocean Engineering, National Taiwan University, No. 1,
- ⁷ Sec. 4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan; tfilonets@gmail.com (T.F.);
- 8 solovchuk@gmail.com (M.S.)
- ² Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Nanomedicine, National Health Research Institutes, No.
 35, Keyan Road, Zhunan 35053, Taiwan
- ¹¹ ³ College of Public Health, Taipei Medical University, No. 250, Wuxing Street, Xinyi District,
- 12 Taipei 11031, Taiwan; waynegao@tmu.edu.tw

¹³ * **Correspondence:** solovchuk@gmail.com

Abstract: The effectiveness of interventions such as public mask-wearing, contact tracing, and 14 vaccination presents an important lesson for control of the further COVID-19 outbreaks without of 15 whole country lockdowns and the restriction of individual movement. We simulated different 16 scenarios of COVID-19 waves in Taiwan from 2020 to the beginning of March 2022 and considered 17 the following interventions: travel restrictions, quarantine of infected individuals, contact tracing, 18 mask-wearing, vaccination, and mass gathering restrictions. We propose an epidemiological 19 compartmental model modified from the susceptible-exposed-infectious-removed (SEIR) model and 20 21 derive a formula for the basic reproduction number (R_0) describing its dependence on all investigated parameters. The simulation results are fitted with the official Taiwanese COVID-19 data. Thus, the 22 results demonstrate that the fast introduction of the interventions and maintaining them at a high 23 level are able the outbreak control without strict lockdowns. By estimation of the R_0 , it was shown 24 that it is necessary to maintain on high implementation level of both non- and pharmaceutical 25 intervention types to control the COVID-19 transmission. Our results can be useful as advice or 26 recommendation for public health policies, and our model can be applied for other epidemiological 27 simulation studies. 28

Keywords: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2 variants; transmission dynamics; non-pharmaceutical
 interventions; vaccination; epidemiological modelling; compartmental model

31

32 **1. Introduction**

From the beginning of 2020 when the worldwide COVID-19 outbreak has begun, Taiwan has one of the most successful of COVID-19 controlling story. The Taiwanese government was prepared

2

for the situation due to the emergency response network for novel infectious disease outbreaks 35 established after the SARS outbreak in 2003 [1]. In 2021, Taiwan had a daily maximum of 534 36 domestic cases reported on May 26, 2021. At the end of March 2022, Taiwan started to change the 37 COVID-19 strategy from zero cases policy to living with the virus. Some restrictions started to relax 38 from March 2022 since a large proportion of the population is vaccinated and the Omicron variant 39 has less severe symptoms. In the current study, we investigated the transmission of different 40COVID-19 variants in Taiwan: January-March 2020 (original), May-July 2021 (Alpha), 41 July–December 2021 (Delta), and January–March 2022 (Omicron). 42

While Taiwan is relatively close to mainland China, the country has an excellent record of 43 eradicating local COVID-19 transmission in the face of continued imported cases since January, 44 2020. In 2019, when the world was unaware that a pandemic would soon strike, Taiwan actively 45 inquired to the World Health Organization (WHO) about seven atypical pneumonia cases isolated in 46 hospitals in Wuhan via the National Focal Point reporting system mandated by the International 47 Health Regulation (IHR) [2]. At the same time, the country implemented onboard screening of 48 passengers on all flights from Wuhan and was among the first countries to ban travelers from the 49 province [3]. During the wave of COVID-19 in January–March 2020, Taiwan quickly reacted to the 50 situation and began controlling the spread of the virus. Globally, Taiwan was an early implementer of 51 non-pharmaceutical interventions, including entry restrictions, screening (health checks) for travelers, 52 quarantining for travelers and infected individuals, and contact tracing based on collected data [3–5]. 53 On February 6, 2020, Taiwan launched the Name-Based Mask Distribution System for the citizens to 54 purchase a specific number of masks [5]. Taiwan government has facilitated mask-wearing by 55 banning the export of masks and taking measures to prevent price gouging. There was no local 56 transmission in the country for over 250 days from April to December 2020 [6]. 57

In 2020, Taiwan could contain the local spread of the virus despite the imported cases waves [2,7]. Taiwan's ability to flatten and eventually eliminate local COVID-19 transmission in the face of imported cases demonstrates the effectiveness of its robust outbreak containment measures (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Timeline of officially confirmed COVID-19 cases in 2020 in Taiwan with control measures and their implementation dates.

The government took rapid action to provide a steady supply of face masks to residents. The 65 CECC set the price of masks, used government funds and military personnel to increase mask 66 production [3]. At the end of April 2020, mask production in the country increased from 1.88 million 67 to 19 million units per day [8]. In addition, contact tracing and guarantine requirements have played 68 critical roles in controlling the spread of COVID-19. Taiwan has the national contact tracing platform 69 TRACE developed by CDC in 2018 [9,10]. In addition, Taiwan CECC also set up a 70 smartphone-based real-time locating system to track contacts' phone signals and alert local 71 authorities if anyone left their designated location or switched off their phone [9]. All individuals 72 determined to have been in contact with an infected person must quarantine at home for 14 days. 73 This data has also assisted officials in ensuring that individuals remain quarantined for the required 74 period. Taiwan's experience with past epidemics (i.e., SARS in 2003 and H1N1 in 2009) has 75 influenced Taiwan CDC to continuously improve and adjust strategies for pandemic response and 76 control before vaccination program [11]. 77

The next wave during May–July 2021 was the major outbreak that took place in Taiwan after 78 more than a year of COVID-19 (450-530 domestic cases reported at the end of May). Taiwanese 79 production of medical masks, a high percentage of mask-wearing, alcohol sanitizer, other medical 80 supplies, and establishment of a QR-code registration system at stores and other establishments for 81 contact tracing played the most important role to eliminate the outbreak during a few months. In 82 addition, another important non-pharmaceutical intervention was fast and strict restrictions on mass 83 gathering: five people indoors and ten people outdoors [12]. It should be noted that the strict 84 gathering restriction was in action from May 19 to July 27. After July 27, the allowed number of 85

4

gathering people has been increased to 50 indoors, 100 outdoors [13]. By July 2021, daily numbers 86 of domestic cases returned to the single digits for the first time. August 25 saw the first day with zero 87 cases since the start of the outbreak. From August 24, limit on the number of people in gatherings 88 raised to 80 people indoors and 300 people outdoors [14], and only after October 5, some 89 entertainment venues had been opened [15]. Pharmaceutical intervention – vaccination – has been 90 only begun in 2021. On March 22, 2021, the first vaccination program using AstraZeneca vaccine 91 was started in Taiwan [16]. On July 27, 2021, people with two doses were 1.2% and people with one 92 dose were 28% of the whole population of Taiwan [17]. 93

During the wave in January-March 2022, only non-pharmaceutical interventions were not 94 enough to contain the outbreak. New variants Delta and Omicron have much higher infection rates 95 than previous variants. For outbreak control, vaccination is also necessary together with other 96 non-pharmaceutical interventions. However, vaccination has smaller protection against Omicron than 97 Delta [18]. In Taiwan, on January 13, 2022, already 71.55% was vaccinated with the second dose and 98 only 3.46% with booster, whereas on March 7, 2022, 77.22% was with the second dose and 44.84% 99 with booster [17,19]. Recent studies investigated that vaccination after the second dose loses its 100 efficiency after 20 weeks but a booster dose can again increase the efficiency by more than 60% 101 [18,20–23]. This is why Taiwan started to gradually relax various gathering restrictions from March 102 2022, since pharmaceutical interventions (vaccination) were implemented at high levels [24]. 103 However, Taiwan's success so far is no guarantee that it can totally control COVID-19, and the 104 government continues to urge people to remain vigilant, continue wearing masks, and do vaccination 105 as the pandemic continues. 106

107 Considering the above-mentioned interventions as well as the flow of travelers between Wuhan 108 and Taiwan from December 2019 to January 2020, we simulated different scenarios of COVID-19 109 waves in Taiwan from January 2020 to the beginning of March 2022. The model results demonstrate 110 the effectiveness of the rapid implementation of various control measures for reducing local 111 transmission during a pandemic before a universal pharmaceutical intervention (from 2020 to March 112 21, 2021) and together with pharmaceutical intervention (from March 22, 2021 to the beginning of 113 March, 2022).

114 **2. Materials and methods**

115 2.1. Model

The susceptible-exposed-infectious-removed (SEIR) model is frequently used for modeling 116 disease dynamics [25–27]. With the standard SEIR model, individuals are placed in one of four 117 stages: susceptible (S), exposed (E), infected (I), and removed (or recovered) (R). However, it is now 118 well-reported that pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic cases are crucial in the spread of COVID-19, 119 and several modified versions of the SEIR model have been proposed [28–31]. Modifications include 120 the addition of asymptomatic cases [28,31], a special category of individuals who are quarantined or 121 hospitalized [30,31], and the consideration of contact tracing and mask-wearing [29–31]. In the 122 current study, we propose an epidemiological compartmental model that accounts for all of the 123 above-mentioned modifications. We hypothesize that this modified model can more precisely 124

5

(5)

describe the dynamic transmission of COVID-19 compared to a simple SEIR model. We used the 125 modified model to describe the dynamics of the spread of COVID-19 in Taiwan during the 126 2020-2022 years and demonstrate the impact of various interventions especially such as 127 mask-wearing and contact tracing. In addition, we developed a formula for the basic reproduction 128 number (R_0) for our model, which describes the dependence of R_0 on all model parameters. To 129 define R_0 , we used the next-generation matrix (NGM) approach proposed by Diekmann et al. 130 [32,33]. It should be mentioned that in the current study we use fixed averaged values for the 131 parameters and don't use any distributions for the model parameters. 132

133 2.1.1. Model including asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic cases

To ensure our model accurately reflects the real-world scenario, we added asymptomatic cases and pre-symptomatic cases to the modified SEIR model, based on previous models [28,31]. This initial model includes six stages: susceptible (S), asymptomatic (A), exposed or pre-symptomatic (E), infected or symptomatic (I), symptomatic under quarantine or hospitalized (Q), and recovered (R). The following system of equations describes the model:

$$\dot{S} = -\frac{\beta}{N}S(\mu A + \varepsilon E + I) \tag{1}$$

139

141

$$\dot{A} = \frac{\alpha\beta}{N}S(\mu A + \varepsilon E + I) - \gamma_1 A \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{E} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{N}S(\mu A + \varepsilon E + I) - E/T_{inc}$$
(3)

$$\dot{I} = E/T_{inc} - I/T_{iso}$$
(4)

$$\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = I/T_{iso} - \gamma_2 Q$$

144

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = (\gamma_1 A + \gamma_2 Q)(1 - \delta) \tag{6}$$

Eq (1) describes the dynamics of reducing the number of uninfected (susceptible) people *S*. The transmission rate of the COVID-19 from infected cases denoted β , is one of the most important parameters. Asymptomatic (*A*) and pre-symptomatic (*E*) cases have smaller transmission abilities than infected persons with symptoms (*I*). Therefore, we have the relative infectivity of asymptomatic individuals denoted μ , and the ratio of pre-symptomatic transmission (the probability of transmission before symptoms appear) denoted ε .

Eq (2) describes the dynamics of asymptomatic cases *A*. Each person in the *S* state can be converted to either the *A* state with probability $\alpha\beta$ (where β is the transmission rate and α is the probability of being asymptomatic after infection). An asymptomatic individual infects others with probability $\alpha\beta$ and recovers with probability $\gamma_1 = 1/T_{a,inf}$, where $T_{a,inf}$ is the duration of infection for asymptomatic individuals.

Eq (3) describes the dynamics of exposed individuals *E* who are infected but have not yet developed symptoms and, thus, are pre-symptomatic. The probability that an individual is susceptible and will later become pre-symptomatic is $(1 - \alpha)\beta$. A person in the *E* state will move to the *I* state with probability $1/T_{inc}$, where T_{inc} is the incubation period.

Eq (4) describes the dynamics of symptomatic infected people I who are not yet hospitalized

or quarantined and can infect healthy people *S*. People in the *I* state can be isolated with a delay of T_{iso} between the onset of symptoms and isolation. T_{iso} is called isolation time. Therefore, an individual from the *I* state will move to the *Q* state with probability $1/T_{iso}$.

Eq (5) describes the dynamics of symptomatic infected people under home quarantine or hospitalization (*Q*). People in the *Q* state will recover (move to the *R* state) or die with probability $\gamma_2 = 1/(T_r - T_{iso})$, where T_r represents the interval between the onset of symptoms and recovery or death. The difference between T_r and T_{iso} is the interval between hospitalization and recovery or death.

Eq (6) describes the dynamics of people who have recovered and are no longer contagious (R).

Since this stage represents only recovered people, all people in the *R* state will be alive with probability $(1 - \delta)$, where δ is the death rate.

172 2.1.2. Model including contact tracing

On January 15, 2020, the Taiwan Centers for Disease Control (Taiwan CDC) made COVID-19 a notifiable disease [34]. Therefore, we can assume that contact tracing began in Taiwan on this date. Based on work by Nuzzo et al. [30], to account for this intervention in the model, we introduce the parameter tr which represents the proportion of traced contacts. A new state T accounts for people who were in contact with an infected person and isolated as a result of contact tracing (therefore, can no longer infect others). Considering, there are asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic cases within the C state, we divide it into two parts:

• C_s comprises pre-symptomatic people from the *E* state who are self-isolating due to contact tracing;

• C_a comprises asymptomatic people from the *A* state who are self-isolating due to contact tracing.

Both pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic cases may be under self-isolation if they were in 184 contact with a symptomatic infected person. In other words, if "parents" of new cases in the E or A 185 states (from whom they were infected) are symptomatic then contact tracing can be done for these 186 new cases. For pre-symptomatic individuals in the E state, contact tracing works but with some 187 delay. We suppose that after the onset of symptoms in one person, their traced contacts will be under 188 self-quarantine with a delay T_{iso} . We assume this delay because of the time required to receive 189 COVID-19 test results. Therefore, a person in the E state can move to the C_s state with probability 190 $tr \cdot pr_s^s/T_{iso}$, where pr_s^s is the probability that the "parent" of the new case is symptomatic. A 191 person in the A state can move to the C_a state with probability $tr \cdot pr_a^s/T_{iso}$, where pr_a^s is the 192 ratio of new asymptomatic cases produced from symptomatic. 193

We suppose that after self-isolation, asymptomatic infected people will not be infected again, so individuals in the C_a state move to the R state after a home quarantine period T_q with probability $\gamma_3 = 1/T_q$. A person from the C_s state can move to the Q state after the development of symptoms (since they were traced in the pre-symptomatic period). The time delay T_{iso} must also be considered. Therefore, the probability that a person will move from the T_s state to the Q state is $\gamma_4 = 1/(T_{inc} - T_{iso})$. When contact tracing measures are included, our model takes the following form:

200
$$\dot{S} = -\frac{\beta}{N}S(\mu A + \varepsilon E + I)(1 - tr)$$
(7)

7

201
$$\dot{A} = \frac{\alpha\beta}{N}S(\mu A + \varepsilon E + I)(1 - tr \cdot pr_a^S) - \gamma_1 A - \frac{tr \cdot pr_a}{T_{iso}}A$$
(8)

$$\dot{\mathbf{E}} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{N}S(\mu A + \varepsilon E + I)(1 - tr \cdot pr_s^s) - E/T_{inc} - \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^s}{T_{iso}}E$$
(9)

203
$$\dot{I} = E/T_{inc} - I/T_{iso}$$
 (10)

204
$$\dot{C}_a = \frac{tr \cdot pr_a^s}{T_{iso}} A - \gamma_3 T_a \tag{11}$$

205
$$\dot{C}_s = \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^s}{T_{iso}} E - \gamma_4 T_s$$
(12)

$$\dot{Q} = I/T_{iso} + \gamma_4 T_s - \gamma_2 Q \tag{13}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = (\gamma_1 A + \gamma_2 Q + \gamma_3 T_a)(1 - \delta) \tag{14}$$

208 2.1.3. Model including mask-wearing

Mask-wearing is one of the main control measures to combat the spread of COVID-19 209 transmission [35–37]. To account for mask-wearing in our model, we suppose that masks are worn 210 by a certain percentage p of the total population N and are not worn by a 1-p percentage of the 211 total population N. We also suppose that a mask can reduce both the spread of germs and droplets 212 from an infected person and protect a healthy person from these germs with different effectiveness 213 [38,39]. Mask efficiency *e* depends on its type (medical or homemade), the number of layers, and 214 the material [40–44]. For example, the effectiveness of homemade masks is estimated to range from 215 2% to 38% whereas the efficiency of surgical masks can vary from 40% to 90% [34,43,45,46]. In our 216 217 model, r = 1 - e is used to describe the reduction in the probability of contagion from one person wearing a mask in an S-A, S-E, or S-I contact [29]. 218

Based on the previous studies [29,31], the subscript *n* denotes the people who don't wear a mask, and the subscript *m* denotes the people who wear a mask. Therefore, the number of equations in the system is doubled. Denoted by $X = \mu A_n + r\mu A_m + \varepsilon E_n + r\varepsilon E_m + I_n + rI_m$, the model that includes mask-wearing has the following form:

$$\dot{S}_n = -\frac{\beta}{N} S_n X(1 - tr) \tag{15}$$

224

202

$$\dot{S}_m = -\frac{\beta r}{N} S_m X(1 - tr) \tag{16}$$

225
$$\dot{A}_n = \frac{\alpha\beta}{N} S_n X (1 - tr \cdot pr_a^S) - \gamma_1 A_n - \frac{tr \cdot pr_a^S}{T_{iso}} A_n$$
(17)

226
$$\dot{A}_m = \frac{\alpha\beta r}{N} S_m X (1 - tr \cdot pr_a^S) - \gamma_1 A_m - \frac{tr \cdot pr_a^S}{T_{iso}} A_m$$
(18)

$$\dot{E}_n = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{N} S_n X (1 - tr \cdot pr_s^S) - \frac{E_n}{T_{inc}} - \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^S}{T_{iso}} E_n$$
(19)

8

228
$$\dot{E}_m = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta r}{N} S_m X (1 - tr \cdot pr_s^S) - \frac{E_m}{T_{inc}} - \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^S}{T_{iso}} E_m$$
(20)

229
$$\dot{I}_n = E_n / T_{inc} - I_n / T_{iso}$$
 (21)

230
$$\dot{I}_m = E_m / T_{inc} - I_m / T_{iso}$$
 (22)

231
$$\dot{C}_a = \frac{tr \cdot pr_a^s}{T_{iso}} (A_n + A_m) - \gamma_3 T_a$$
(23)

232
$$\dot{C}_s = \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^s}{T_{iso}} (E_n + E_m) - \gamma_4 T_s$$
(24)

233
$$\dot{Q} = (I_n + I_m)/T_{iso} + \gamma_4 T_s - \gamma_2 Q$$
 (25)

$$\dot{R} = (\gamma_1 A_n + \gamma_1 A_m + \gamma_2 Q + \gamma_3 T_a)(1 - \delta)$$
(26)

The obtained system of equations (15)–(26) is used to simulate the COVID-19 wave in Taiwan during 2021–2022 years. To simulate the beginning of the COVID-19 in Taiwan in January–March of 2020 year, it is necessary to include in the model travelers from Wuhan.

238 2.1.4. Model including travelers

234

252

Since the majority of cases in Taiwan in January 2020 were imported from Wuhan, we model the number of infected people in Wuhan using the SEIR model, taking into account foreign and local (within China) travelers [25]. We use this separate model for travelers to make our final model more realistic.

We denote the estimated number of non-infected and infected passengers from Wuhan to Taiwan as P_{WT} and P_{WT}^{inf} , respectively (for a detailed explanation of the Wuhan model and the derivation of formulas see Supplementary Materials).

Because there is no data about how many travelers were asymptomatic or latent, we added P_{WT}^{inf} to the *A*, *E*, and *I* states. We also split non-infected travelers P_{WT} into two groups: those who wear masks (with probability *p*) and those who don't wear masks (with probability 1 - p). Adding P_{WT} and P_{WT}^{inf} to the Eqs (15)–(22), we obtain the model describing the dynamics of COVID-19 propagation in Taiwan during January–March of 2020 year:

251
$$\dot{S}_n = -\frac{\beta}{N} S_n X (1 - tr) + (1 - p) P_{WT}$$
(27)

 $\dot{S}_m = -\frac{\beta r}{N} S_m X(1 - tr) + p P_{WT}$ ⁽²⁸⁾

$$\dot{A}_n = \frac{\alpha\beta}{N} S_n X(1 - tr \cdot pr_a^s) - \gamma_1 A_n - \frac{tr \cdot pr_a^s}{T_{iso}} A_n + P_{WT}^{inf}$$
(29)

$$\dot{A}_m = \frac{\alpha\beta r}{N} S_m X (1 - tr \cdot pr_a^s) - \gamma_1 A_m - \frac{tr \cdot pr_a^s}{T_{iso}} A_m + P_{WT}^{inf}$$
(30)

$$\dot{E}_n = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{N} S_n X (1 - tr \cdot pr_s^S) - \frac{E_n}{T_{inc}} - \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^S}{T_{iso}} E_n + P_{WT}^{inf}$$
(31)

9

$$\dot{E}_m = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta r}{N} S_m X (1 - tr \cdot pr_s^S) - \frac{E_m}{T_{inc}} - \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^S}{T_{iso}} E_m + P_{WT}^{inf}$$
(32)

257
$$\dot{I}_n = E_n / T_{inc} - I_n / T_{iso} + P_{WT}^{inf}$$
 (33)

258
$$\dot{I}_m = E_m / T_{inc} - I_m / T_{iso} + P_{WT}^{inf}$$
 (34)

259
$$\dot{C}_a = \frac{tr \cdot pr_a^s}{T_{iso}} (A_n + A_m) - \gamma_3 T_a$$
(35)

260
$$\dot{C}_s = \frac{tr \cdot pr_s^s}{T_{iso}} (E_n + E_m) - \gamma_4 T_s$$
(36)

261
$$\dot{Q} = (I_n + I_m)/T_{iso} + \gamma_4 T_s - \gamma_2 Q$$
 (37)

262
$$\dot{R} = (\gamma_1 A_n + \gamma_1 A_m + \gamma_2 Q + \gamma_3 T_a)(1 - \delta)$$
 (38)

263 2.2. Simulation parameters

In the current section, the values of all model parameters are described for the different COVID-19 waves in Taiwan. Table 1 displays the default values of all parameters used in the model, and Table 2 displays the all non-pharmaceutical interventions.

Table 1. The parameters used in the models.

Parameter	Value	References
	0.75 (original)	[54,55]
ρ (transmission rate)	1.2 (Alpha)	[57,58]
ρ (transmission rate)	1.8 (Delta)	[62]
	3.7 (Omicron)	[63]
	0.008 (original)	[64]
S (death rate)	0.014 (Alpha)	[65,66]
o (death rate)	0.027 (Delta, Omicron)	[66,67]
	0.019 (Omicron)	[67]
μ (asymptomatic transmission)	0.75	[47]
ε (pre-symptomatic transmission)	0.5	[48]
α (probability of being asymptomatic)	0.45	[49]
$T_{a,inf}$ (duration time of infection for the asymptomatic cases)	19 (days)	[50]
. .	5.75 (days) (original)	[68]
T (in substian parisd)	5 (days) (Alpha)	[69]
T_{inc} (incubation period)	4 (days) (Delta)	[69]
	3 (days) (Omicron)	[69]
T_{iso} (delay between symptoms onset and isolation)	2; 3 (days)	[70,71]
r_r (interval between onset of symptoms and recovery or death)	18 (days)	[51]
<i>tr</i> (percentage of traced contacts)	[0; 1]	[9]

²⁶⁷

pr_a^s (ratio of new asymptomatic cases produced from symptomatic cases)	0.15	[52]
<i>prs</i> ^s (ratio of new (pre-)symptomatic cases produced from symptomatic cases)	0.85	[52]
T_q (quarantine or self-isolation period)	14 (days)	[46]
p (proportion of people wearing a mask)	[0; 1]	[3,5,77]
e (mask efficiency)	0.3; 0.5	[40-44]
Mean vaccine effectiveness against the Delta variant (2 doses)	74.58 %	[18]
Mean vaccine effectiveness against the Omicron variant (2 doses)	29.39 %	[18]
Mean vaccine effectiveness against the Omicron variant (booster dose)	60.57 %	[18]

Interventions	Start dates	References	
January–March 2020			
30% of people wear masks	December 25, 2019	[3,5,77]	
Contact tracing program	January 15, 2020	[34]	
50% of people wear masks	January 22, 2020	[3,5,77]	
Start of Wuhan lockdown	January 23, 2020	[82]	
70% of people wear masks	January 31, 2020	[3,5,77]	
90% of people wear masks	February 6, 2020	[3,5,77]	
May-J	uly 2021		
Strong gathering restrictions in Taipei City and New Taipei City	May 15, 2021	[72]	
Reducing of isolation time to 2 days	May 15, 2021	[83]	
90% of people wear masks	May 15, 2021	[72]	
70% of contacts are traced	May 15, 2021	[72,83]	
Nationwide strong gathering restrictions	May 19, 2021	[12]	
99% of people wear masks	May 19, 2021	[12]	
90% of contacts are traced	May 19, 2021	[12]	
Nationwide moderate gathering restrictions	July 27, 2021	[13]	

Table 2. Starting dates of interventions and stages.

269 2.2.1. Fixed parameter values for all waves

The relative infectiousness of asymptomatic individuals μ is difficult to investigate although 270 the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated that $\mu = 0.75$ [47]. Based on work 271 by He et al. [48], the ratio of pre-symptomatic transmission $\varepsilon = 0.45$. Estimation of the proportion 272 of asymptomatic cases is difficult, however, based on the results of a recent study [49], the 273 probability of being asymptomatic after infection is assumed $\alpha = 0.45$. The duration of infection for 274 asymptomatic individuals $T_{a,inf}$ is around 19 days [50]. According to the clinical characteristics of 275 COVID-19 [51], $T_r = 18$ days. He et al. estimated the ratio of new asymptomatic cases produced 276 from symptomatic $pr_a^s = 0.15$ [52]. Thus, we set $pr_s^s = 0.85$. The home quarantine period 277 $T_q = 14$ days. 278

In this paper, we simply assume the main mask efficiency e = 0.5 since, in Taiwan, the

268

10

11

majority of people wear medical masks since their access is guaranteed and facilitated by the government [2,53]. Further, medical masks were available at a fixed price from the government beginning in early March 2020 in Taiwan. To investigate how mask effectiveness can affect the results, we also consider lower mask efficiency e = 0.3 (but only during 2020 year, since during 2020, the national mask production was being adjusted to provide all residents with medical masks [3,8], and people could wear non-medical masks).

286 2.2.2. Different parameter values for different waves

Value of the transmission rate β depends on the COVID-19 variant. For the original 287 COVID-19, β estimation varies from 0.5 to 1 [54,55], so we took 0.75. The Alpha variant 288 (B.1.1.7) of the COVID-19 (that was during spring 2021 outbreak in Taiwan [56]) is estimated to be 289 40–80% more transmissible than the original COVID-19 version [57–61]. We assumed that the 290 transmission rate for the Alpha variant is 60% higher than original COVID-19 and $\beta = 1.2$ [57,58]. 291 The Delta variant (B.1.617.2) estimation demonstrated that it can be 50% more transmissible than 292 the Alpha variant and $\beta = 1.8$ [62]. For the Omicron variant (B.1.1.529), the transmission rate 293 estimated to be 105% higher than the Delta variant and $\beta = 3.7$ [63]. 294

²⁹⁵ The death rate δ also depends on the COVID-19 variant. For the original COVID-19, δ is ²⁹⁶ estimated between 0.002 and 0.015 [64] and we assumed $\delta = 0.008$. For Alpha variant, we

297 consider $\delta = 0.014$ [65,66]. For Delta variant, we take $\delta = 0.027$ [66,67], and for Omicron

298 variant, $\delta = 0.019$ [67].

The incubation period T_{inc} also depends on the COVID-19 variant. T_{inc} decreases for each new COVID-19 variant. According to recent studies, for the original COVID-19 variant $T_{inc} = 5.75$ days [68]; for the Alpha variant $T_{inc} = 5$, for the Delta variant $T_{inc} = 4$, for the Omicron variant $T_{inc} = 3$ [69].

From the previous studies, the mean value of the isolation time T_{iso} varies between three and four days [70,71]. During the spring outbreak in 2021, Taiwan started to set up outdoors rapid testing posts [72] which is able to reduce the isolation time. We use $T_{iso} = 3$ days for the wave in January–March 2020 and in the beginning of the spring wave. $T_{iso} = 2$ is used during the 2021–2022 years.

Probability of mask-wearing p and contact tracing tr is varied from 0% to almost 100% for different time periods. A study by Jian et al. [9] found that with tracing apps and automatic text messages, as in Taiwan, the ratio of detected cases via contact tracing was 61.5% (during 2020 year). Another study shows [73], during 2020 year around 81% of Taiwanese citizens wore masks outdoors and around 99% of people wore masks indoors or while taken mass transportation.

313 2.2.3. Mass gathering restrictions efficiency

Mass gathering restriction is one of the most powerful non-pharmaceutical interventions that may relatively fast decrease the disease transmission. As WHO says in its guidance about planning of mass gatherings [74], the high density and mobility of attendees associated with mass gatherings can entail a higher risk of transmission of COVID-19 and a potential destruction of the health system's

12

response capacities if large numbers of people are infected.

Mass gathering restrictions can give different strength: light restrictions, for example, 319 gatherings of over 500 people [24,75], moderate restrictions as 50 people indoors and 100 people 320 outdoors [13], or very strict restrictions like five people indoors and ten people outdoors [12,72]. 321 Since mass gathering restrictions can reduce the COVID-19 transmission, therefore, the efficiency of 322 gathering restrictions decreases the value of the transmission rate β . In the current paper, we 323 considered that the strict mass gathering restrictions have 46% efficiency which was estimated based 324 on the authors previous work [76]. Other types of the gathering restrictions were assumed with the 325 following efficiencies: moderate strength gathering restrictions have 20% efficiency, light strength 326 gathering restrictions have 15% efficiency. 327

328 2.2.4. Vaccination efficiency

Recent studies demonstrated that two doses are more effective than one dose but vaccine 329 efficiency is reduced during time [18,20–22]. Moreover, vaccines have much smaller resistance to 330 the Omicron variant than to Alpha or Delta variants. However, the booster dose is capable to increase 331 the vaccine effectiveness which can strongly decrease after 15–20 weeks interval after the second 332 dose vaccination [18]. Efficiency of vaccine also depends on vaccine type [18,20–22]. Based on the 333 detailed investigation of different vaccines effectiveness against the Delta and Omicron variants [18], 334 the average value of vaccine efficiency was calculated. The vaccination efficiency by two doses has 335 74.58% against the Delta. Against the Omicron, two doses vaccination has 29.39% efficiency 336 whereas booster dose has 60.57%. 337

Vaccination for the spring outbreak in 2021 year (Alpha variant) was not taken into account since fewer than 1% of Taiwan's residents had been vaccinated [17,19]. The low vaccination rate was caused by supply issues with purchased overseas vaccines and the calming of the Taiwanese population due to low case numbers during the 2020 year.

342 **3. Results**

343 3.1. January–March 2020 (original COVID-19 variant)

We modeled the wave of COVID-19 transmission in Taiwan during January-March 2020. We 344 supposed that the percentage of the mask-wearing population in Taiwan increased as the government 345 facilitated universal access to masks. While it is difficult to estimate the percentage of the population 346 who wear masks, our assumptions were based on measures taken by the Taiwanese government and 347 Taiwan CDC and the habitual mask-wearing that is part of the culture in some Asian countries, 348 including Taiwan [3]. Taiwanese people are accustomed to wearing masks when they are ill [53], and 349 there is recent evidence of widespread adherence to mask-wearing. According to a survey among 350 fifth- to twelfth-grade students in Taiwan on the impact of COVID-19 [77], around 63% wear a mask 351 352 all the time and 25.5% wear a mask in public places, which means around 90% of adolescents in Taiwan wear a mask in public places. 353

In our model, we included and excluded various interventions (listed in Table 2 along with implementation dates). Figures 2–3 show the daily numbers of infected symptomatic individuals and asymptomatic individuals. Running the model starts from December 25, 2019, with the following

13

357 initial values:



Figure 2. Results of 30% mask efficiency and different percentages of traced contacts: (a) 40%; (b)
 60%; (c) 80%.





358

Figure 3. Comparison of the number of officially reported cases with the simulated results. In the
 model, 50% mask efficiency and different percentages of traced contacts are considered: (a) 40%; (b)
 60%; (c) 80%. The main interventions (dates are listed in Table 2): (1) start of contact tracing; (2) 50%
 of people wearing masks and start of Wuhan lockdown; (3) 70% of people wearing masks; (4) 90%
 of people wearing masks.

We varied the percentage of traced contacts and the effectiveness of masks to obtain the 367 simulation curve of symptomatic cases that is close to official COVID-19 data for the 368 January–March period and to show the influence of these interventions. Figures 2a and 3a show the 369 results when 40% of contacts are traced. Using to represent low effectiveness of masks, the 370 number of cases increases until the beginning of April, with the number of symptomatic cases 371 reaching around 4,000 (Figure 2a). Using to represent the effectiveness of medical masks, 372

14

the curve peaks around the beginning of February (Figure 3a), after which there is a slow decrease. 373 The behavior of curves with efficiency and other values for contact tracing, 374 or (Figure 2b-c) is approximately the same as in Figure 2a, but the maximum number of 375 cases is smaller by tens (Figure 2b) and hundreds of times (Figure 2c). For and 376 (Figure 3b) or (Figure 3c), the maximum number of cases is around ten and five, 377 respectively. In addition, after peaking, the curves in Figure 3b-c move toward zero faster than the 378 curve in Figure 3a. 379 In Figure 3b-c, the results of our model are very close to the official data before the wave of 380

imported cases from Europe and the United States at the end of March 2020. Moreover, our model can estimate the approximate number of asymptomatic cases during January–March 2020 wave in Taiwan. Using data from Figure 3a, the maximum number of asymptomatic cases was around 60 at the beginning of February 2020.

In addition, we estimated by using the NGM method (see Supplementary Materials). Figure on contact tracing and mask-wearing probability.



387

Figure 4. Estimation of R_0 , dependent on mask-wearing probability and contact tracing (using the next-generation matrix approach) with consideration of asymptomatic cases (**a**) and with only consideration of symptomatic cases (**b**).

In the model described in Eqs (27)–(38), many parameters can affect . However, in this paper, 391 we focused on the percentage of traced contacts and the percentage of people wearing masks. Since 392 our model include asymptomatic cases, was estimated with and without asymptomatic cases (see 393 Supplementary Materials). with consideration both asymptomatic and symptomatic cases 394 (Figure 4a) can be much higher than with only consideration of symptomatic cases (Figure 4b). 395 Figure 4a represents that to reach below one, the percentage of both traced contacts and people 396 wearing masks must be relatively high, for example, for and 397 Figure 4b shows that can be less than one, and, therefore, the outbreak can be controlled when 398 both contact tracing and mask-wearing probability are greater or equal to 30% (for 399 and . However, such a big difference between results with and without 400 asymptomatic cases demonstrates how relatively easy the outbreak would be controlled without 401asymptomatic cases. In the following sections, the full NGM approach with asymptomatic cases 402

15

403 consideration will be used for estimations of

404 3.2. May–July 2021 (Alpha variant)

To model the spring 2021 outbreak of COVID-19 in Taiwan during May–July 2021, we supposed that during April and the first half of May, the proportion of the mask-wearing population was sufficiently high and equal to 80% [72], whereas contact tracing was assumed to be equal to 50%, since small number of local cases during whole 2020 year had some relaxation effect.

When the number of cases started to increase very fast, the government introduced very quickly strict interventions such as mandatory mask wearing at all times when outside, shutting cinemas and entertainment spots, and gathering restrictions to five indoors and ten outdoors [12,75]. All interventions with dates and their impact on the model parameters are described in Table 2. Simulation of the outbreak in 2021 year starts from April 1, 2021 with the following initial

414 conditions:

415

423

Figure 5a demonstrates comparison of official data with simulation results. The obtaining results 416 proves that the fast response of the government with strict interventions is capable to relatively 417 quickly controlling the outbreak. At the peak of the outbreak, the mask-wearing probability was 99% 418 and contact tracing was 90%. Figure 5c shows that estimated is very fast dropped below one that 419 allows to reduce number of new cases also relatively fast. Even after some relaxation on gathering 420 is still less than one restrictions at the end of July (50 people indoors and 100 outdoors) [13], 421 that can guarantee outbreak control. 422





16

only contact tracing and mask wearing are used (b) and (d). Interventions and their dates are listed in
 Table 2.

Figures 5b and 5d represent the scenario when only probability of mask wearing and contact tracing is increased without mass gathering restrictions. We can see that number of cases is greater almost twice in comparison with official data, and the decrease of number of cases happens much slower than official numbers (Figure 5b). In this scenario, it is still possible to reach outbreak control, however, not so fast and with a much higher number of cases. In Figure 5d, it can be seen that rapid increase of contact tracing (tr = 0.9) and mask-wearing (p = 0.99) is able to reduce the R_0 value below one.

434 3.3. July–December 2021 (Delta variant)

During the second half of 2021, the domestic number of cases was close or equal to zero, despite the fact that the Delta variant had been spread globally in this period. Taiwan continued to adhere to pandemic prevention guidance in order to save the outbreak control. Since Taiwan has only started the vaccination program on March 2021, strong gathering restrictions were almost until the end of 2021 [14,15], mask-wearing and contact tracing also performed in a high level.

We estimate the value of the basic reproduction number R_0 by using the NGM method to demonstrate how Taiwan was able to keep the local number of cases at zero level.

Figure 6 represents the dependence of the R_0 on the mask-wearing and contact tracing 442 probabilities but without consideration of any mass gathering restrictions. In Figure 6, there are the 443 different proportions of vaccinated people by two doses, since from July to November 2021, the 444 proportion of the fully vaccinated population had increased from 1.2% to 50% [17,19]. From Figure 445 6a-b, it can be seen that the outbreak control ($R_0 < 1$) is impossible with 0–25% of vaccinated 446 people, even with perfect quality of mask-wearing and contact tracing. With a higher proportion of 447 vaccinated people, 50–75% (Figure 6c–d), the outbreak can be controlled, however, only with the 448 extremely high-level implementation of mask-wearing and contact tracing. 449



Figure 6. The basic reproduction number (R_0) estimation for Delta variant without mass gathering restriction and with different proportion of vaccinated people by two doses: (**a**) 0%; (**b**) 25%; (**c**) 50%; (**d**) 70%.

450

Figure 7 demonstrates the 454 estimation also for different proportions of vaccinated population but with consideration of mass gathering restrictions along with mask-wearing and contact tracing. In 455 Figure 7, it can be seen that can be less than one (the outbreak is under control) for all different 456 considered percentages of vaccinated people (from 0% to 70%). For 0–25% of vaccinated people 457 (Figure 7a–b), the control of outbreak is possible only when mask-wearing and contact tracing are 458 realized more than 80%. Figure 7c shows that already with 50% of the vaccinated population, an 459 execution of mask-wearing and contact tracing on the medium level is enough to reach smaller 460 than one. In Figure 7d, the outbreak is controlled even without mask-wearing and contact tracing. 461 Note, Figures 6–7 present the results for the Delta variant. As it will be shown further, for the 462 Omicron variant outbreak control, higher proportions of the vaccinated population together with the 463 booster vaccine program are needed. 464

17



Figure 7. The basic reproduction number (R_0) estimation for Delta variant with strong mass gathering restriction and with different proportion of vaccinated people by two doses: (**a**) 0%; (**b**) 25%; (**c**) 50%; (**d**) 70%.

469 3.4. January–March 2022 (Omicron variant)

465

For January–March 2022, we estimated value with consideration of vaccination and some restrictions on mass gathering (light and strict). The determination of light and strong restrictions can be found in Section 2.2.3.

At the beginning of the 2022 year, the Omicron variant has already spread around the globe and caused new COVID-19 outbreaks. However, during January–March 2022 period, Taiwan demonstrated the capability to control Omicron's outbreak. The maximum number of local cases amounted to 82 that happened at the end of January 2022, and the average value of new local cases was around 20 cases per day (Figure 8).

19



478

Figure 8. Official number of local and imported cases during January–March 2022 with proportion
 of vaccinated people at certain dates.

Estimation of was made for the beginning of January 2022 and for the beginning of March 2022, to show how a high level of vaccination together with other non-pharmaceutical interventions can control the outbreak (Figure 9). For the beginning of January 2022, vaccinated people by two doses were taken as 70% and by booster dose were taken as 0%. Since January 13, 71.55% is vaccinated with second dose and 3.46% booster [19].



486

Figure 9. The basic reproduction number (R₀) estimation for January–March 2022 period (with
 consideration of asymptomatic cases): (a–b) January–March 2022 with small gathering restrictions;
 (c–d) January–March 2022 with strong gathering restrictions.

Figures 9a and 9c represent the R_0 estimation at the beginning of January for the different gathering restrictions efficiency: light restrictions with 15% efficiency (Figure 9a) and strong restrictions with 46% efficiency (Figure 9c). It can be seen that even with high proportion of mask-wearing (90%) and contact tracing (80–90%), R_0 is equal to or even higher than 1. In Figure 9a, for p = 0.9 and tr = 0.9, $R_0 = 2.039$. In Figure 9c, for p = 0.9 and tr = 0.9, $R_0 = 1.058$. The obtained estimated values of R_0 can explain the growth in number of new local cases at the end of January (Figure 8).

Figures 9b and 9d shows estimation of R_0 values at the moment of March 7, 2022. Since the percentage of vaccinated people had been increased, especially vaccinated by booster dose (77.22% by two doses and 44.84% by booster) [17,19], this impacted the reduction of the R_0 values. In Figure 9b, for p = 0.9 and tr = 0.9, $R_0 = 1.529$. In Figure 9d, for p = 0.9 and tr = 0.9, $R_0 = 0.548$. The obtained results of R_0 during January–March 2022 can help to understand, how Taiwan was able to control the outbreak of the Omicron variant during this time. In addition, Figure 9 demonstrates that any small relaxations can lead to an increase in cases number.

504 **4. Discussion and Conclusions**

⁵⁰⁵ The results of our simulation of the spread of COVID-19 in Taiwan during the 2020–2022 years

21

demonstrate that the improvement of a contact tracing program, the rapid implementation of 506 measures to isolate infected individuals and restrict mass gathering, the wearing of surgical masks by 507 90% of the population, and fast increasing of vaccinated people can help to eliminate the local spread 508 of the virus. However, it should be noted that only with the stable and careful implementation of all 509 these interventions the estimated R_0 can drop below one, which indicates the prevention of the 510 spread of the virus. For example, even in areas with few confirmed cases, people should continue 511 to wear masks not only where it is mandatory but in any public place. The results also indicate that 512 for the outbreak control over new appearing COVID-19 variant, the interventions that were not 513 considered during the previous COVID-19 variant, need to be involved. Moreover, to control 514 outbreaks of the Delta or Omicron variants without very strict mass gathering restrictions, it is 515 necessary to consistently increase the proportion of the vaccinated population. The vaccination 516 program should also be improved with time, for example, by including booster dose or revaccination. 517 In this work, we examined the handling of COVID-19 in Taiwan during 2020–2021 years to 518 determine the best practices to help controlling of the virus spread before pharmaceutical 519 interventions (vaccines) are available. Contact tracing, the wearing of masks, fast time of isolation, 520 and mass gathering restrictions are effective tools but the majority of a population must be on board. 521 This includes residents, who must observe measures and consistently wear a mask, as well as the 522 government, which should introduce and facilitate transmission control measures and develop further

strategies to address the pandemic. 524

523

In addition, in the current study, the estimation of the R_0 was made for Taiwan during the 525 January–March of the 2022 year. For this period, the vaccination has been already started to be one 526 of the most important interventions such as mask-wearing and contact tracing. Only for three months 527 (from January to March), the number of people vaccinated by a booster dose has been increased by 528 more than 40% [17,19]. 529

Universal access to masks and contact tracing to control transmission from symptomatic and 530 asymptomatic individuals may reduce the number of COVID-19 infections. This is important since 531 drastic interventions such as the lockdown of cities or countries or social distancing, while proven 532 533 relatively effective to control the spread of COVID-19, are unsustainable in the long-term due to high social and economic costs. One recent study gives strong support for maintaining mask-wearing 534 until and after achieving vaccination since using face masks can be cost-effective and cost-saving 535 [78]. The Omicron variant emergence and the possible new variants in the future that can be more 536 transmissible and reduce vaccine efficiency only increases the value and efficiency of mask-wearing. 537

When countries gradually return to normal life from lockdown, it is essential to understand the 538 effectiveness of less intrusive, more sustainable interventions, such as wearing a mask, contact 539 tracing program, and some mass gathering restrictions as measures of source control even in places 540 where COVID-19 vaccines are being involved [79]. 541

Several early COVID-19 modeling studies examined the effect of lockdowns and physical 542 distancing measures implemented in Wuhan, China. However, in 2020, several countries, including 543 Taiwan, South Korea, Singapore, and Japan, have contained local transmission without drastic 544 545 measures such as lockdowns and shelter-in-place orders, suggesting that if conventional transmission control measures are thoroughly implemented, an outbreak can be contained. At the beginning of 546 2022, Taiwan did not introduce strong mass gathering restrictions (as it was during May-July of 547 2021) but mask-wearing, contact tracing together with vaccination programs try to be kept at a high 548 implementation level. 549

22

At the end of March 2022, Taiwan started to change its "zero COVID-19" policy to the new strategy. Some restrictions started to relax from March 2022. The new COVID-19 policy is no longer focused on the total termination of the outbreak but it is still oriented to encourage people for taking booster dose, to save the public's ability to remain watchful, and take necessary measures to protect their health [80]. The new Taiwanese model is also oriented to reduce the burden on the medical system and maintain a normal life [80,81]. The priorities shift was caused by the fact that most of the cases (99.6%) in the 2022 year have mild or no symptoms [81].

Despite this new COVID-19 policy, Taiwan still continues to maintain mandatory mask-wearing,
 and, as of 11 April 2022, around 80% of the population had two vaccine doses while more than 50%
 had the booster dose [17,19].

Our findings are relevant for health authorities because they provide quantitative estimates of the effectiveness of both strict and light interventions. Continuation of the mandatory mask-wearing in Taiwan is consistent with our obtained results. Despite the vaccination, non-pharmaceutical interventions such as mask-wearing and contact tracing can play an important role. However, the effect of universal access to face masks as well as of contact tracing programs varies by country because of the highly individual nature of population behavior and recent country experience of struggle with some viruses.

567 5. Limitations

This study has some limitations. We used some assumptions for different parameter values since few parameters are very difficult to estimate. While there has been no forced lockdown, mandated social distancing, or obligatory cancellation of events in Taiwan, people have taken many voluntary protective measures due to fear of infection. It is uncertain whether, when life returns to normal and people become less cautious and fearful, mask-wearing will remain effective.

573 Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan, grant number BN-109-PP-08, and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, grant number MOST 106 2115 M 400 001. The funder has no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

578 **Conflict of interest**

579 The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

580 **References**

 World Health Organization, Summary of probable SARS cases with onset of illness from 1
 November 2002 to 31 July 2003. Based on data as of the 31 December 2003. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/summary-of-probable-sars-cases-with-onset-of-illness from-1-november-2002-to-31-july-2003 (accessed on 22 January 2021).

0	2
4	3

585	2.	W. Gao, M. Sanna, G. Huang, M. Hefler, MK. Tsai, CP. Wen, Examining Population Health
586		During the COVID-19 Pandemic: All-Cause, Pneumonia and Influenza, and Road Traffic Deaths
587		in Taiwan, Ann. Intern. Med., 174 (2021), 880-882. https://doi.org/10.7326%2FM20-7788
588	3.	C.J. Wang, C.Y. Ng, R.H. Brook, Response to COVID-19 in Taiwan: Big Data Analytics, New
589		Technology, and Proactive Testing, JAMA, 323 (2020), 1341–1342.
590		https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3151
591	4.	Ministry of Health and Welfare (Taiwan), Smart community transmission prevention. Available
592		from: https://covid19.mohw.gov.tw/en/cp-4775-53739-206.html (accessed on 22 January 2021).
593	5.	Ministry of Health and Welfare (Taiwan), The Taiwan Model for Combating COVID-19.
594		Available from: https://www.mohw.gov.tw/cp-5055-58017-2.html (accessed on 27 July 2021).
595	6.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, CECC confirms 1 more indigenous COVID-19 case; a
596		contact of Case #765 confirmed to have COVID-19 and locations visited by Case #765 disclosed.
597		Available from:
598		$\underline{https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/k72hT71KxrunjqeDZEnDKA?typeid=158} (accessed) \\ (a$
599		on 27 July 2021).
600	7.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, Cumulative total of 393 COVID-19 cases confirmed in
601		Taiwan; 114 patients released from isolation. Available from:
602		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/vtkM0PwbB3VbaGiqq9gWPw?typeid=158
603		(accessed on 27 July 2021).
604	8.	Ministry of Health and Welfare (Taiwan), Provide a steady supply of disease prevention supplies
605		to reassure society and the people. Available from:
606		https://covid19.mohw.gov.tw/en/cp-4785-53788-206.html (accessed on 15 July 2022).
607	9.	SW. Jian, HY. Cheng, XT. Huang, DP. Liu, Contact tracing with digital assistance in
608		Taiwan's COVID-19 outbreak response, Int. J. Infect. Dis., 101 (2020), 348-352.
609	10.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, A decision support system for information integration of
610		zoonotic diseases. Available from:
611		$\underline{https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Professional/ProgramResultInfo/ppxd4Xu5zcYwcLHniXKk6w?prog}$
612		ramResultId=nisMX3TKtOF927Af3KHkLg (accessed on 15 July 2022).
613	11.	MY. Yen, A.WH. Chiu, J. Schwartz, CC. King, Y.E. Lin, SC. Chang, et al. From SARS in
614		2003 to H1N1 in 2009: lessons learned from Taiwan in preparation for the next pandemic, J. Hosp.
615		Infect., 87 (2014), 185–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2014.05.005
616	12.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, CECC raises epidemic warning to Level 3 nationwide from
617		May 19 to May 28; strengthened measures and restrictions introduced across Taiwan to reduce
618		community transmission. Available from:
619		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/VN_6yeoBTKhRKoSy2d0hJQ?typeid=158
620		(accessed on 10 March 2022).
621	13.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, CECC to lower epidemic alert level to Level 2 from July
622		27 to August 9; CECC urges public to continue following epidemic prevention and control
623		measures to protect health of people in Taiwan. Available from:
624		$\underline{https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/C-9A7siqyLWsca5Qb6npkA?typeid=158} (accessed)$
625		on 10 February 2022).
626	14.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, Nationwide epidemic alert to remain at Level 2 from
627		August 24 to September 6; CECC urges public to continue following epidemic prevention and
628		control measures to protect health of community. Available from:

		https://www.ada.cov.tw/Ep/Catacom/ListContent/tov1ishKIW9DCChumpIwEchaid C. Badu
629		nttps://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Category/ListContent/tov1janKUV8RG5bvmzLwFg?uaid=C-BcduA
630	1.5	EwDoDKg8wkY3/Ig (accessed on 10 March 2022).
631	15.	<i>Taiwan Centers for Disease Control</i> , Nationwide epidemic alert to remain at Level 2 from
632		October 5 to October 18; CECC adjusts related measures and urges public to continue following
633		epidemic prevention and control measures. Available from:
634		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Category/ListContent/tov1jahKUv8RGSbvmzLwFg?uaid=RaJ8pvE
635		<u>5WzR1IT2GRNpVhQ</u> (accessed on 10 March 2022).
636	16.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, Taiwan to start COVID-19 vaccination with AstraZeneca
637		vaccine on March 22. Available from:
638		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/D8qwURN9F8430bMmOxGPJA?typeid=158
639		(accessed on 27 July 2021).
640	17.	Our World in Data, Coronavirus (COVID-19) Vaccinations. Available from:
641		https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations?country=TWN (accessed on 11 April 2022).
642	18.	N. Andrews, J. Stowe, F. Kirsebom, S. Toffa, T. Rickeard, E. Gallagher, et al. Covid-19 Vaccine
643		Effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant, N. Engl. J. Med., 386 (2022), 1532–1546.
644		https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2119451
645	19.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, COVID-19 vaccine statistics. Available from:
646		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/Category/Page/9jFXNbCe-sFK9EImRRi2Og (accessed on 11 April
647		2022).
648	20.	J.L. Bernal, N. Andrews, C. Gower, E. Gallagher, R. Simmons, S. Thelwall, et al. Effectiveness
649		of Covid-19 Vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant, N. Engl. J. Med., 385 (2021),
650		585–594. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2108891
651	21.	P. Tang, M.R. Hasan, H. Chemaitelly, H.M. Yassine, F.M. Benslimane, H.A. Al Khatib, et al.
652		NT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness against the SARS-CoV-2 Delta
653		variant in Qatar, Nat. Med., 27 (2021), 2136–2143. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01583-4
654	22.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, COVID-19 Incidence and Death Rates Among
655		Unvaccinated and Fully Vaccinated Adults with and Without Booster Doses During Periods of
656		Delta and Omicron Variant Emergence — 25 U.S. Jurisdictions, April 4-December 25, 2021.
657		Available from:
658		https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/71/wr/mm7104e2.htm?s_cid=mm7104e2_w (accessed on
659		10 March 2022).
660	23.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Effectiveness of a Third Dose of mRNA Vaccines
661		Against COVID-19-Associated Emergency Department and Urgent Care Encounters and
662		Hospitalizations Among Adults During Periods of Delta and Omicron Variant Predominance —
663		VISION Network, 10 States, August 2021–January 2022. Available from:
664		https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/71/wr/mm7104e3.htm?s_cid=mm7104e3_w (accessed on
665		10 March 2022).
666	24.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, Nationwide epidemic alert to remain at Level 2 from
667		January 25 to February 7; CECC makes adjustments to related measures and urges public to
668		follow epidemic prevention and control measures to safeguard epidemic prevention efforts in
669		community. Available from:
670		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/8lgcRSSt4QMMe0exmwrxBg?typeid=158 (accessed
671		on 10 March 2022).

25

	25	
672	25.	J.T. Wu, K. Leung, G.M. Leung, Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and
673		international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study,
674		<i>Lancet</i> 395 (2020), 689–697. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30260-9</u>
675	26.	C. Browne, H. Gulbudak, G. Webb, Modeling Contact Tracing in Outbreaks with Application to
676		Ebola, J. Theor. Biol., 384 (2015), 33–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2015.08.004
677	27.	K.C. Chong, B.C.Y. Zee, Modeling the impact of air, sea, and land travel restrictions
678		supplemented by other interventions on the emergence of a new influenza pandemic virus, BMC
679		Infect. Dis., 12 (2012), 309. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-12-309
680	28.	X. Wang, S. Wang, Y. Lan, X. Tao, J. Xiao, The impact of asymptomatic individuals on the
681		strength of public health interventions to prevent the second outbreak of COVID-19, Nonlinear
682		Dyn., 101 (2020), 2003–2012. https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs11071-020-05736-x
683	29.	J.A.M. Gondim, Preventing epidemics by wearing masks: An application to COVID-19, Chaos
684		Solit. Fractals, 143 (2021), 110599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110599
685	30.	A. Nuzzo, C.O. Tan, R. Raskar, D.C. DeSimone, S. Kapa, R. Gupta, Universal Shelter-in-Place
686		Versus Advanced Automated Contact Tracing and Targeted Isolation: A Case for 21st-Century
687		Technologies for SARS-CoV-2 and Future Pandemics, Mayo Clin. Proc., 95 (2020), 1898–1905.
688		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.06.027
689	31.	S.E. Eikenberry, M. Mancuso, E. Iboi, T. Phan, K. Eikenberry, Y. Kuang, et al. To mask or not to
690		mask: Modeling the potential for face mask use by the general public to curtail the COVID-19
691		pandemic, Infect, Dis, Model., 5 (2020), 293–308, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdm.2020.04.001
692	32.	O. Diekmann, J.A.P. Heesterbeek, J.A.J. Metz. On the definition and computation of the basic
693		reproduction ratio R0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations. J. Math.
694		<i>Biol.</i> 28 (1990), 365–382, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00178324
695	33.	O. Diekmann, J.A.P. Heesterbeek, M.G. Roberts. The construction of next-generation matrices
696	001	for compartmental epidemic models $I = R Soc Interface 7 (2010) 873-885$
697		https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2009.0386
698	34	H-Y Cheng S-W Jian D-P Liu T-C Ng W-T Huang H-H Lin Contact Tracing
699	51.	Assessment of COVID-19 Transmission Dynamics in Taiwan and Risk at Different Exposure
700		Periods Before and After Symptom Onset IAMA Intern Med 180 (2020) 1156–1163
700		https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed 2020.2020
701	35	IT Brooks IC Butler Effectiveness of Mask Wearing to Control Community Spread of
702	55.	SARS-CoV-2 IAMA 325 (2021) 998-999 https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.1505
703	36	L Howard A Huang 7 Li 7 Tufekci V 7dimal H M yan der Westbuizen et al An evidence
704	50.	review of face masks against COVID 10 PNAS 118 (2021) e2014564118
705		https://doi.org/10.1073/ppag.2014564118
706	27	<u>Mups.//doi.org/10.1075/pilds.2014504116</u> V Chang N Ma C Witt S Bann DS Wild M O Andrease at al Ease masks affectively limit
707	57.	the probability of SARS CoV2 transmission Science 372 (2021) 1420 1442
708		the probability of SARS-COV-2 transmission, <i>Science</i> , 512 (2021), 1439–1445.
709	20	<u>Intps://doi.org/10.1120/science.a0g0290</u>
710	50.	P. Anniniud, C.E. Bax, V. Stadilytskyl, A. Bax, Could SARS-CoV-2 be transmitted via speech dronlets? proprint modPrive https://doi.org/10.11010/2E2020.04.02.20051177
711	20	Bruch and Living Weiner Viewelization of air arread when couching Available from
712	39.	<i>baunaus-University, weimar</i> , visualization of air spread when cougning. Available from: https://weith.he/MX81.500X6 (accessed on 22 Lenvery 2021)
713	40	<u>mups://youtu.be/MA8ImivosAs</u> (accessed on 22 January 2021).
714	40.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Use and Care of Masks. Wear a mask with the best
715		iii, protection, and comfort for you. Available from:

2	6
4	U

 ⁷¹⁷ <u>l</u> (accessed on 22 January 2021). ⁷¹⁸ 41. <i>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</i>, Scientific Brief: Community Use of ⁷¹⁹ Control the Spread of SARS-CoV-2. Availa 	of Cloth Masks to able from: <u>(tml?fbclid=IwA</u>
71841. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Scientific Brief: Community Use of719ControltheSpreadofSARS-CoV-2.Availation	of Cloth Masks to able from: ttml?fbclid=IwA
719 Control the Spread of SARS-CoV-2. Availa	able from: tml?fbclid=IwA
	<u>tml?fbclid=IwA</u>
720 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/more/masking-science-sars-cov2.h	
721 R28PppCa6x2uxwO8Z2baHM0KHS4JXx0inzzMQs3zRHV1qql_0a8mxZfpCw	(accessed on 22
722 January 2021).	
42. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Using face masks in the	community: first
724 update. Available	from:
725 https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/covid-19-face-masks-	community-first-
⁷²⁶ update.pdf (accessed on 15 March 2021).	
43. S. Rengasamy, B. Eimer, R.E. Shaffer, Simple respiratory protection—evaluatio	on of the filtration
performance of cloth masks and common fabric materials against 20–1000 r	nm size particles,
729 Ann. Occup. Hyg., 54 (2010), 789–798. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/annhyg/meq044</u>	-
44. A. Davies, KA. Thompson, K. Giri, G. Kafatos, J. Walker, A. Bennett, Testir	ng the efficacy of
homemade masks: would they protect in an influenza pandemic? <i>Disaster Me</i>	ed. Public Health
732 Prep., 7 (2013), 413–418. https://doi.org/10.1017%2Fdmp.2013.43	
45. N.H.L. Leung, D.K.W. Chu, E.Y.C. Shiu, KH. Chan, J.J. McDevitt, B	.J.P. Hau, et al.
Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks, <i>Nat.</i>	Med., 26 (2020),
735 676–680. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0843-2</u>	
46. C.R. MacIntyre, S. Cauchemez, D.E. Dwyer, H. Seale, P. Cheung, G. Browne.	, et al. Face mask
⁷³⁷ use and control of respiratory virus transmission in households, <i>Emerg. Infect</i>	. Dis., 15 (2009),
738 233–241. https://doi.org/10.3201%2Feid1502.081167	· · · · · · · · ·
47. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, COVID-19 pandemic planning sce	enarios. Available
740 from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/planning-scenarios	html#definitions
741 (accessed on July 27 2021).	
742 48. X. He, E.H.Y. Lau, P. Wu, X. Deng, J. Wang, X. Hao, et al. Temporal dynamics	in viral shedding
rand transmissibility of COVID-19, Nat. Med., 26 (20)	20), 672–675.
744 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0869-5	<i>,,</i>
745 49. He, W.; Yi, G.Y.; Zhu, Y. Estimation of the basic reproduction number, average	e incubation time,
asymptomatic infection rate, and case fatality rate for COVID-19: Meta-analys	is and sensitivity
⁷⁴⁷ analysis. J. Med. Virol. 2020, 92, 2543–2550.	
⁷⁴⁸ 50. Y. Bai, L. Yao, T. Wei, F. Tian, DY. Jin, L. Chen, et al. Presumed asyr	nptomatic carrier
ransmission of COVID-19, JAMA, 323 (2020),	1406–1407.
750 https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2565	
⁷⁵¹ 51. WJ. Guan, ZY. Ni, Y. Hu, WH. Liang, CO. Ou, JX. He, et al. Clinical	characteristics of
⁷⁵² coronavirus disease 2019 in China, <i>N. Engl. J. Med.</i> , 382 (2020), 1	708–1720. DOI:
753 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032	
52. D. He, S. Zhao, Q. Lin, Z. Zhuang, P. Cao, M.H. Wang, et al. The relative t	ransmissibility of
asymptomatic COVID-19 infections among close contacts. Int. J. Infect.	Dis., 94 (2020).
756 145–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iiid.2020.04.034	, (),

757	53.	Ministry of Health and Welfare (Taiwan). Good etiquette of citizen. Available from:
758		https://covid19.mohw.gov.tw/en/cp-4867-53763-206.html (accessed on 22 January 2021).
759	54.	R. Li, S. Pei, B. Chen, Y. Song, T. Zhang, W. Yang, et al. Substantial undocumented infection
760		facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2), Science, 368 (2020),
761		489-493. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3221
762	55.	M. Shen, Z. Peng, Y. Xiao, L. Zhang, Modelling the epidemic trend of the 2019 novel
763		coronavirus outbreak in China, Innovation (N Y), 1 (2020), 100048.
764		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2020.100048
765	56.	LT. Liu, JJ. Tsai, K. Chang, CH. Chen, PC. Lin, CY. Tsai, et al. Identification and
766		Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variants in the Largest Taiwan COVID-19 Outbreak in 2021,
767		Front. Med., 9 (2022), 869818. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.869818
768	57.	H. Fort, A very simple model to account for the rapid rise of the alpha variant of SARS-CoV-2 in
769		several countries and the world, Virus Res., 304 (2021), 198531.
770		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2021.198531
771	58.	N.G. Davies, S. Abbott, R.C. Barnard, C.I. Jarvis, A.J. Kucharski, J.D. Munday, et al. Estimated
772		transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England, Science, 372 (2021),
773		eabg3055. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg3055
774	59.	J.W. Tang, P.A. Tambyah, D.S.C. Hui, Emergence of a new SARS-CoV-2 variant in the UK, J.
775		Infect., 82 (2021), e27-e28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.12.024
776	60.	T.Y. Michaelsen, M. Bennedbæk, L.E. Christiansen, M.S.F. Jørgensen, C.H. Møller, E.A.
777		Sørensen, et al. Introduction and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7, Alpha variant, in
778		Denmark, Genome. Med., 14 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-022-01045-7
779	61.	Mark S Graham, Carole H Sudre, Anna May, Michela Antonelli, Benjamin Murray, Thomas
780		Varsavsky, Changes in symptomatology, reinfection, and transmissibility associated with the
781		SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7: an ecological study, The Lancet Public Health, 6 (2021),
782		e335-e345. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00055-4
783	62.	Consensus statement from the Scientific Pandemic Influenza Group on Modelling, Operational
784		sub-group (SPIN-M-O) for the Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE), SPI-M-O:
785		Consensus statement on COVID-19, 3 June 2021. Available from:
786		https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/spi-m-o-consensus-statement-on-covid-19-3-june-
787		<u>2021</u> (accessed on 10 February 2022).
788	63.	M.T. Sofonea, B. Roquebert, V. Foulongne, D. Morquin, L. Verdurme, S. Trombert-Paolantoni, et
789		al. Analyzing and Modeling the Spread of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Lineages BA.1 and BA.2,
790		France, September 2021–February 2022, Emerg. Infect. Dis., 28 (2022), 1355–1365.
791		https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2807.220033
792	64.	J. Brainard, C.M. Grossi Sampedro, A. Sweeting, R. Fordham, Was Alpha deadlier than wild-type
793		COVID? Analysis in rural England, Infection (2022).
794		https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-022-01787-x
795	65.	C.S. Kow, H.A. Merchant, S.S. Hasan, Mortality risk in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 of
796		the lineage B.1.1.7 in the UK, J. Infect., 83 (2021), e14-e15.
797		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2021.05.008
798	66.	L. Lin, Y. Liu, X. Tang, D. He, The disease severity and clinical outcomes of the SARS-CoV-2
799		variants of concern, Front. Public Health, 9 (2021), 775224.
800		https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.775224

801	67.	A. Sigal, R. Milo, W. Jassat, Estimating disease severity of Omicron and Delta SARS-CoV-2
802		infections, Nat. Rev. Immunol., 22 (2022), 267–269. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-022-00720-5
803	68.	J.A. Backer, D. Klinkenberg, J. Wallinga, Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus
804		(2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20-28 January 2020, Euro
805		Surveill., 25 (2020), 2000062. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2020.25.5.2000062
806	69.	L. Jansen, B. Tegomoh, K. Lange, K. Showalter, J. Figliomeni, B. Abdalhamid, et al.
807		Investigation of a SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) Variant Cluster — Nebraska,
808		November–December 2021, MMWR Morb. Mortal Wkly Rep., 70 (2021), 1782–1784.
809		https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm705152e3
810	70.	C.A. Donnelly, A.C. Ghani, G.M. Leung, A.J. Hedley, C. Fraser, S. Riley, et al. Epidemiological
811		determinants of spread of causal agent of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong,
812		Lancet 361 (2003), 1761–1766. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(03)13410-1</u>
813	71.	N.M. Linton, T. Kobayashi, Y. Yang, K. Hayashi, A.R. Akhmetzhanov, SM. Jung, et al.
814		Incubation period and other epidemiological characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infections
815		with right truncation: a statistical analysis of publicly available case data, J. Clin. Med., 9 (2020),
816		538. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020538
817	72.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, CECC raises epidemic alert level for Taipei City and New
818		Taipei City to Level 3 and strengthens national restrictions and measures, effective from May 15
819		to May 28, in response to increasing level of community transmission. Available from:
820		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/R1K7gSjoYa7Wojk54nW7fg?typeid=158 (accessed
821		on 27 July 2021).
822	73.	MY. Yen, YF. Yen, SY. Chen, TI. Lee, KH. Huang, TC. Chan, et al. Learning from the
823		past: Taiwan's responses to COVID-19 versus SARS, Int. J. Infect. Dis., 110 (2021), 469-478.
824		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.06.002
825	74.	World Health Organization, Key planning recommendations for mass gatherings in the context
826		of COVID-19. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/10665-332235 (accessed
827		on 10 February 2022).
828	75.	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, Nationwide epidemic alert to remain at Level 2 from
829		February 8 to 28; CECC urges public to follow epidemic prevention and control measures to
830		safeguard epidemic prevention efforts in community. Available from:
831		https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/PDF-lvQ59qfK-BpniZcfpA?typeid=158 (accessed on
832		10 February 2022).
833	76.	T. Filonets, M. Solovchuk, W. Gao, T.WH. Sheu, Investigation of the Efficiency of Mask
834		Wearing, Contact Tracing, and Case Isolation during the COVID-19 Outbreak, J. Clin. Med., 10
835		(2021), 2761. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10132761</u>
836	77.	Statista, Frequency of wearing face masks when going out during coronavirus COVID-19
837		outbreak among students in Taiwan as of April 2020. Available from:
838		https://www.statista.com/statistics/1130616/taiwan-covid-19-impact-on-wearing-face-masks-am
839		ong-students/ (accessed on 10 February 2022).
840	78.	S.M. Bartsch, K.J. O'Shea, K.L. Chin, U. Strych, M.C. Ferguson, M.E. Bottazzi, et al.
841		Maintaining face mask use before and after achieving different COVID-19 vaccination coverage
842		levels: a modelling study, Lancet Public Health, 7 (2022), e356-e365.
843		https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(22)00040-8

- 844 79. S. Moore, E.M. Hill, M.J. Tildesley, L. Dyson, M.J. Keeling, Vaccination and
 845 non-pharmaceutical interventions for COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study, *Lancet Infect*.
 846 *Dis.*, **21** (2021), 793–802. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00143-2</u>
- 80. Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, From April 1–30, current mask mandate and other 847 epidemic prevention measures to remain in place, and epidemic prevention rules for certain 848 be enhanced. leisure and entertainment venues to Available from: 849 https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/QGqFFcQy-nato7_MrRX39Q?typeid=158_(accessed 850 on 30 March 2022). 851
- 81. Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, Principles for admission and treatment of mild and severe 852 COVID-19 cases adjusted effectively from today. Available from: 853 https://www.cdc.gov.tw/En/Bulletin/Detail/wb2fT-apsntUBRI7Oc1cNA?typeid=158 (accessed 854 on 14 April 2022). 855
- 82. Z. Yuan, Y. Xiao, Z. Dai, J. Huang, Z. Zhang, Y. Chen, Modelling the effects of Wuhan's
 lockdown during COVID-19, China, *Bull. World Health Organ*, **98** (2020), 484–494.
 https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.254045
- 859 83. *Ministry of Health and Welfare (Taiwan)*, Adequate testing capacity and precisely locate 860 potentially infected individuals. Available from: 861 https://acrid10.mshur.cov.tru/or/or/4788_52006_206.html (accessed or 27 July 2021)
- 861 <u>https://covid19.mohw.gov.tw/en/cp-4788-53906-206.html</u> (accessed on 27 July 2021).