### Data-driven assessment of adolescents' mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic

Yonatan Bilu PhD<sup>1</sup>, Natalie Flaks-Manov PhD<sup>1</sup>, Maytal Bivas-Benita PhD<sup>1</sup>, Pinchas Akiva PhD<sup>1</sup>, Nir Kalkstein B.Sc.<sup>1</sup>, Yoav Yehezkelli MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Miri Mizrahi-Reuveni MD<sup>2</sup>, Anat Ekka-Zohar PhD<sup>2</sup>, Shirley Shapiro Ben David MD<sup>2</sup>, Uri Lerner PhD<sup>2</sup>, Gilad Bodenheimer MD<sup>2</sup> and Shira Greenfeld MD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> KI Research Institute, Kfar-Malal, Israel

<sup>2</sup> Maccabi Healthcare Services, Tel-Aviv, Israel

Corresponding author:

Natalie Flaks-Manov, KI Research Institute, 11 Hazayit st, Kfar Malal, Israel.

Email: Natalie@kinstitute.org.il

eTable 1 - Study population characteristics, incidence rates of mental health diagnoses and medications by year (3 years)

a. Diagnoses

Characteristics		Depression			Anxiety			Eating disorder			Stress			ADHD		
		Rate			Rate			Rate			Rate			Rate		
		per	RR year/year-2		per	RR year/year-2		per	RR year/year-2		per	RR year/year-2		per	RR year/year-2	
	Year	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	1,000	(95%CI)	P value
Total																
	2017	4.4			7.3			2.5			10.8			24.8		
	2019	4.4	1.00 (0.91 - 1.09)	0.945	7.6	1.04 (0.97 - 1.12)	0.241	2.6	1.05 (0.93 - 1.18)	0.448	10.4	0.96 (0.91 - 1.02)	0.220	22.5	0.91 (0.87 - 0.95)	< 0.001
	2021	5.9	1.36 (1.25 - 1.47)	<0.001	10.0	1.31 (1.23 - 1.39)	<0.001	3.9	1.50 (1.35 - 1.67)	<0.001	12.4	1.20 (1.13 - 1.27)	<0.001	19.0	0.84 (0.80 - 0.88)	<0.001
Age groups																
12-13 years old	2017	2.9			6.2			2.6			12.0			28.7		
	2019	2.8	0.96 (0.80 - 1.16)	0.700	6.8	1.10 (0.97 - 1.25)	0.152	2.5	0.95 (0.77 - 1.16)	0.603	11.2	0.93 (0.84 - 1.03)	0.160	24.3	0.85 (0.79 - 0.91)	< 0.001
	2021	4.2	1.48 (1.24 - 1.75)	<0.001	8.5	1.25 (1.11 - 1.40)	<0.001	3.5	1.42 (1.18 - 1.71)	<0.001	13.0	1.16 (1.05 - 1.27)	0.003	21.7	0.89 (0.83 - 0.96)	0.003
14-15 years old	2017	4.2			7.0			2.9			10.3			27.8		
	2019	4.2	1.00 (0.85 - 1.17)	1.000	7.3	1.04 (0.92 - 1.17)	0.572	2.9	1.00 (0.82 - 1.21)	1.000	10.0	0.97 (0.87 - 1.08)	0.589	26.3	0.95 (0.88 - 1.02)	0.141
	2021	6.2	1.49 (1.30 - 1.72)	<0.001	10.0	1.38 (1.23 - 1.54)	<0.001	4.7	1.60 (1.35 - 1.90)	<0.001	12.9	1.29 (1.17 - 1.42)	<0.001	21.6	0.82 (0.76 - 0.89)	<0.001
16-17 years old	2017	6.1			8.8			1.9			9.9			17.4		
	2019	6.1	1.01 (0.88 - 1.15)	0.919	8.9	1.00 (0.90 - 1.12)	0.977	2.4	1.27 (1.01 - 1.60)	0.043	9.9	1.00 (0.90 - 1.11)	1.000	16.4	0.95 (0.86 - 1.04)	0.252
	2021	7.4	1.21 (1.07 - 1.37)	0.002	11.6	1.30 (1.18 - 1.44)	<0.001	3.5	1.48 (1.22 - 1.79)	<0.001	11.3	1.15 (1.03 - 1.27)	0.009	13.1	0.80 (0.72 - 0.88)	<0.001
Gender														20.0		
Male	2017	3.8	0.04/0.00 4.00	0.000	7.0			1.2	0.05/0.74 4.00	0 705	9.6	0.00/0.00 0.00	0.005	26.9		0.000
	2019	3.6	0.94 (0.82 - 1.08)	0.389	6.5	0.93 (0.84 - 1.03)	0.191	1.1	0.95 (0.74 - 1.22)	0.705	8.5	0.88 (0.80 - 0.96)	0.005	24.4	0.91 (0.85 - 0.96)	0.002
Fomala	2021	3.7	1.02 (0.89 - 1.17)	0.785	8.1	1.24 (1.13 - 1.37)	<0.001	1.3	1.18 (0.93 - 1.49)	0.186	9.2	1.09 (1.00 - 1.19)	0.064	18.7	0.77 (0.72 - 0.82)	<0.001
remale	2017	4.9	1.04/0.02 1.17)	0 5 2 2	1.1	1 15 (1 04 1 26)	0.005	3.9	1 09 (0 04 1 22)	0 200	11.9	1.02 (0.05 1.12)	0 402	25.0	0.01 (0.95 0.07)	0.002
	2019	82	1.04 (0.92 - 1.17)	<0.001	12.0	1.15 (1.04 - 1.20)	<0.003	4.2	1.08 (0.94 - 1.23)	<0.001	12.5	1.03 (0.95 - 1.12)	<0.001	10.0	0.91 (0.85 - 0.97)	0.003
Sector	2021	0.2	1.01 (1.45 - 1.78)	<b>NO.001</b>	12.0	1.30 (1.25 - 1.46)	<0.001	0.0	1.55 (1.41 - 1.75)	<0.001	15.0	1.27 (1.16 - 1.37)	<0.001	19.2	0.92 (0.80 - 0.98)	0.012
General Jewish	2017	10			80			28			11.9			27.7		
General Sewish	2019	4.9	0.99 (0.91 - 1.09)	0 923	87	1.09(1.01 - 1.17)	0 024	2.0	1 06 (0 94 - 1 20)	0 371	11.0	0.97(0.91 - 1.03)	0 309	25.0	0 90 (0 86 - 0 95)	<0.001
	2021	6.9	1.41 (1.30 - 1.54)	<0.001	11.2	1.30 (1.21 - 1.39)	<0.001	4.5	1.54 (1.38 - 1.72)	<0.001	13.7	1.21 (1.13 - 1.28)	<0.001	20.7	0.82 (0.78 - 0.87)	<0.001
Ultra orthodox lewish	2017	2.6	1.11(1.50 1.54)	-0.001	5.8	1.55 (1.21 1.55)	-0.001	1.4	1.54 (1.56 1.72)	-0.001	6.9	1.22 (1.15 1.20)	-0.001	18.5	0.02 (0.70 0.07)	-0.001
	2019	2.7	1.02 (0.73 - 1.41)	0.933	4.2	0.74 (0.58 - 0.94)	0.013	1.6	1.16 (0.74 - 1.80)	0.574	7.0	1.02 (0.83 - 1.25)	0.916	18.2	0.99 (0.86 - 1.14)	0.885
	2021	2.3	0.86 (0.62 - 1.20)	0.400	5.6	1.31 (1.03 - 1.67)	0.025	1.4	0.89 (0.58 - 1.36)	0.662	8.1	1.15 (0.94 - 1.40)	0.164	16.9	0.92 (0.80 - 1.06)	0.275
Arab	2017	1.6	,		3.2	, ,		1.1	,		6.4			8.3	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	2019	1.7	1.08 (0.63 - 1.84)	0.892	2.6	0.81 (0.54 - 1.22)	0.347	0.6	0.55 (0.25 - 1.19)	0.128	5.4	0.84 (0.63 - 1.13)	0.268	6.9	0.83 (0.64 - 1.09)	0.195
	2021	1.5	0.86 (0.50 - 1.46)	0.589	4.2	1.64 (1.12 - 2.40)	0.011	1.2	2.09 (0.98 - 4.44)	0.071	6.0	1.10 (0.83 - 1.47)	0.508	6.9	1.00 (0.76 - 1.31)	1.000
Socioeconomic status																
Low	2017	2.7			4.7			1.8			6.9			13.2		
	2019	2.3	0.85 (0.61 - 1.20)	0.387	3.6	0.78 (0.60 - 1.01)	0.068	1.0	0.59 (0.37 - 0.94)	0.028	6.8	0.98 (0.80 - 1.21)	0.873	12.2	0.93 (0.78 - 1.09)	0.372
	2021	2.6	1.14 (0.82 - 1.60)	0.447	5.4	1.49 (1.16 - 1.92)	0.002	1.3	1.23 (0.76 - 2.00)	0.460	8.4	1.25 (1.03 - 1.52)	0.026	11.2	0.92 (0.77 - 1.08)	0.320
Medium	2017	4.7			7.9			2.5			11.8			25.3		
	2019	4.5	0.95 (0.86 - 1.06)	0.404	8.1	1.04 (0.95 - 1.13)	0.424	2.7	1.08 (0.94 - 1.25)	0.296	11.5	0.97 (0.91 - 1.05)	0.497	23.3	0.92 (0.87 - 0.97)	0.003
	2021	6.6	1.45 (1.31 - 1.60)	<0.001	10.7	1.32 (1.22 - 1.43)	< 0.001	4.1	1.49 (1.30 - 1.69)	<0.001	13.7	1.18 (1.11 - 1.27)	<0.001	18.5	0.80 (0.75 - 0.84)	<0.001
High	2017	4.3			7.3			2.6			9.9			30.3		
	2019	5.0	1.18 (0.98 - 1.41)	0.077	8.5	1.16 (1.01 - 1.33)	0.040	3.0	1.15 (0.91 - 1.44)	0.265	9.3	0.93 (0.82 - 1.06)	0.294	26.6	0.88 (0.81 - 0.95)	0.002
	2021	6.0	1.19 (1.02 - 1.40)	0.033	10.4	1.23 (1.08 - 1.39)	0.001	4.7	1.56 (1.28 - 1.90)	< 0.001	11.2	1.21 (1.07 - 1.37)	0.002	24.4	0.92 (0.84 - 1.00)	0.055

### b. Medications

Characteristics		Antidepressant				Anxiolytic			Antipsychotic		ADHD Agents			
		per	RR year/year-2		per	RR year/year-2		per	RR year/year-2		per	RR year/year-2		
	Year	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	1,000	(95%CI)	P value	
Total														
	2017	8.4			2.5			4.0			28.8			
	2019	9.3	1.11 (1.04 - 1.18)	0.002	2.3	0.91 (0.80 - 1.02)	0.115	4.5	1.12 (1.02 - 1.22)	0.019	26.8	0.93 (0.89 - 0.97)	<0.001	
	2021	11.7	1.25 (1.18 - 1.33)	< 0.001	2.4	1.06 (0.94 - 1.20)	0.315	5.7	1.28 (1.18 - 1.40)	< 0.001	24.1	0.90 (0.86 - 0.94)	<0.001	
Age groups														
12-13 years old	2017	5.9			1.0			3.7			29.6			
	2019	7.2	1.21 (1.07 - 1.37)	0.003	0.9	0.97 (0.69 - 1.34)	0.866	4.0	1.08 (0.92 - 1.27)	0.375	26.8	0.90 (0.85 - 0.97)	0.003	
	2021	8.4	1.18 (1.05 - 1.32)	0.005	1.0	1.11 (0.80 - 1.52)	0.571	4.4	1.12 (0.96 - 1.31)	0.156	22.6	0.84 (0.79 - 0.90)	<0.001	
14-15 years old	2017	8.2			2.2			4.1			30.7			
	2019	9.2	1.12 (1.01 - 1.25)	0.042	1.7	0.76 (0.60 - 0.96)	0.024	4.4	1.07 (0.91 - 1.25)	0.419	29.3	0.96 (0.89 - 1.02)	0.171	
	2021	12.2	1.32 (1.20 - 1.46)	<0.001	1.8	1.07 (0.84 - 1.36)	0.583	6.0	1.38 (1.19 - 1.59)	<0.001	26.5	0.90 (0.84 - 0.97)	0.003	
16-17 years old	2017	11.4			4.4			4.2			26.0			
	2019	11.8	1.03 (0.94 - 1.14)	0.514	4.3	0.96 (0.82 - 1.12)	0.629	5.1	1.19 (1.02 - 1.39)	0.026	24.1	0.93 (0.86 - 1.00)	0.051	
	2021	14.7	1.25 (1.14 - 1.37)	< 0.001	4.5	1.05 (0.90 - 1.22)	0.532	6.8	1.34 (1.17 - 1.53)	< 0.001	23.5	0.97 (0.90 - 1.05)	0.456	
Gender														
Male	2017	7.7			2.2			4.6			30.5			
	2019	8.5	1.11 (1.01 - 1.22)	0.031	1.8	0.82 (0.69 - 0.99)	0.042	5.2	1.12 (0.99 - 1.26)	0.062	27.6	0.90 (0.85 - 0.95)	<0.001	
	2021	9.2	1.08 (0.99 - 1.18)	0.075	1.9	1.06 (0.88 - 1.27)	0.598	5.3	1.02 (0.91 - 1.14)	0.728	21.4	0.78 (0.73 - 0.82)	<0.001	
Female	2017	9.2			2.8			3.4			27.3			
	2019	10.2	1.11 (1.01 - 1.21)	0.022	2.8	0.97 (0.83 - 1.14)	0.744	3.7	1.11 (0.96 - 1.28)	0.166	26.1	0.96 (0.91 - 1.01)	0.128	
	2021	14.3	1.40 (1.30 - 1.51)	<0.001	2.9	1.07 (0.92 - 1.25)	0.407	6.2	1.66 (1.47 - 1.87)	< 0.001	26.6	1.02 (0.96 - 1.08)	0.480	
Sector														
General Jewish	2017	9.3			2.7			3.8			32.3			
	2019	10.2	1.10 (1.03 - 1.17)	0.007	2.4	0.90 (0.79 - 1.03)	0.123	4.2	1.10 (0.99 - 1.22)	0.078	29.7	0.92 (0.88 - 0.96)	<0.001	
	2021	13.1	1.29 (1.21 - 1.37)	<0.001	2.6	1.10 (0.96 - 1.25)	0.168	5.7	1.34 (1.22 - 1.48)	<0.001	26.7	0.90 (0.86 - 0.94)	<0.001	
Ultra orthodox Jewish	2017	6.6			2.1			6.6			21.2			
	2019	8.2	1.24 (1.02 - 1.52)	0.033	1.8	0.86 (0.58 - 1.25)	0.438	8.0	1.21 (0.99 - 1.48)	0.065	22.4	1.06 (0.93 - 1.20)	0.378	
	2021	7.8	0.95 (0.79 - 1.15)	0.602	1.8	0.96 (0.66 - 1.42)	0.921	8.3	1.03 (0.86 - 1.24)	0.741	19.5	0.87 (0.77 - 0.99)	0.031	
Arab	2017	2.2			1.4			1.3			8.5	/		
	2019	2.3	1.06 (0.67 - 1.68)	0.816	1.7	1.18 (0.67 - 2.06)	0.575	1.2	0.93 (0.51 - 1.70)	0.878	7.4	0.87 (0.68 - 1.13)	0.298	
	2021	2.8	1.22 (0.80 - 1.87)	0.391	1.2	0.74 (0.42 - 1.31)	0.32	1.9	1.56 (0.91 - 2.70)	0.133	7.6	1.03 (0.79 - 1.32)	0.896	
Socioeconomic status														
Low	2017	4.8	4 47 (0 00 4 40)	0.400	1.9	0.05/0.54 4.40		4.0		0.505	14.5	4 00 (0 00 4 05)		
	2019	5.6	1.17 (0.93 - 1.49)	0.186	1.8	0.95 (0.64 - 1.40)	0.842	4.4	1.10 (0.84 - 1.43)	0.505	15.6	1.08 (0.93 - 1.25)	0.323	
	2021	5.5	0.99 (0.79 - 1.23)	0.955	1.9	1.06 (0.72 - 1.54)	0.847	4.9	1.11 (0.87 - 1.41)	0.421	12.6	0.80 (0.69 - 0.93)	0.004	
Medium	2017	8.8	4 00 (4 00 4 47)	0.040	2.6	0.00/0.75 4.03	0.00	4.0		0.010	29.0	0.00 (0.00 0.00)	0.000	
	2019	9.6	1.08 (1.00 - 1.17)	0.048	2.3	0.88 (0.75 - 1.02)	0.08	4./	1.16 (1.03 - 1.30)	0.012	26.9	0.93 (0.88 - 0.98)	0.003	
	2021	12.3	1.28 (1.20 - 1.38)	<0.001	2.5	1.07 (0.93 - 1.25)	0.363	6.0	1.29 (1.17 - 1.43)	<0.001	24.4	0.91 (0.86 - 0.96)	<0.001	
High	2017	9.2			2.4	0.00/0.75		3.9	1 01 10 00 1 100	0.000	37.0	0.00/0.01	0.007	
	2019	10.7	1.16 (1.03 - 1.32)	0.014	2.4	0.98 (0.76 - 1.25)	0.899	3.9	1.01 (0.83 - 1.23)	0.960	33.5	0.90 (0.84 - 0.97)	0.007	
	2021	13.3	1.25 (1.12 - 1.39)	< 0.001	2.5	1.07 (0.84 - 1.35)	0.629	5.4	1.38 (1.16 - 1.64)	< 0.001	30.0	0.90 (0.83 - 0.97)	0.004	

#### Supplementary Methods 1 – Interrupted Times Series Analysis

The main analysis of this study compared incidence in 2021 to that in 2019, to obtain the risk ratio between a pre- COVID-19 year to one where the pandemic was ongoing. To understand to what extent the increase in risk ratio should be attributed to the pandemic, and to what extent it is part of an ongoing trend, the risk ratio between 2019 and 2017 was computed as reference.

The year 2020 was skipped, since it overlaps both the pre-pandemic period and the pandemic one. The first case of COVID-19 in Israel occurred 4 months into this period (recall that we start each year in Nov. 1<sup>st</sup> of the previous year). Moreover, initial lockdowns after the pandemic hit made health services less available. Hence, a reduction in diagnoses and prescriptions during the initial pandemic period may reflect a reduction access to health services rather than in mental distress.

The downside of this approach is that it is based on only three (albeit aggregated) values – incidence rates in 2017, 2019 and 2021. To analyze the data more fully we employ herein Interrupted Times Series (ITS) Analysis. Data points correspond to the beginning of each week, from Nov. 1<sup>st</sup> 2016 to Oct. 3<sup>rd</sup> 2021, and denote the incidence rate per 1,000 adolescents during the four weeks that follow. Two Fourier terms were included in the analysis to model seasonality and ordinary least-squares was used to fit the model to the data.

Importantly, this analysis depends on the modeling choices for the interruption and the changes that might follow – several alternatives are examined here:

- 1. The interruption is defined as occurring on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> 2020, the day the first case of COVID-19 was detected in Israel. The model allows for both a level change and a trend change (figure S1). In this modeling, most resultant models fit the data with an initial negative level change, followed by a significant positive trend change. The exception to this is diagnoses of eating disorder, for which, even though there is a decrease in incidence during the lockdown period, the model yields a significant increase in both level and trend.
- 2. The interruption is defined as occurring on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> 2020, but the data between that date and Apr. 30<sup>th</sup> (end of the first lockdown) is not taken to fit the model. This mitigates the reduction in diagnoses and prescriptions that is apparent during the lockdown, and which probably does not reflect a reduction in mental distress (figure

S2). In this modeling there is a negative level change in incidence of antipsychotic and ADHD medication and diagnoses, and a positive level change in the other measures. This change is then followed by an increase in trend, in all measures except eating disorders, where the trend decreases (not statistically significant) after an initial level change of more than 50%.

- 3. The interruption is defined as occurring on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> 2020, and the data between Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> and May 31<sup>st</sup> 2020 is not taken to fit the model. This mitigates the potential effect of a "bounce" occurring in May, once health services became more readily available (figure S3). Resultant models are qualitatively similar to those in (2). The exceptions are the incidence of antipsychotic medication, which displays a positive level change in this case, and the incidence of depression diagnoses, which displays a negative change in trend (both not statistically significant).
- 4. The interruption and gap are defined as in (3). However, observing that for most diagnoses and prescriptions the incidence rate in June 2020 is similar to that in previous years we examine a model which allows only for trend change (figure S4). In this modeling, there is a positive and significant trend change in the incidence of all the examined medication and diagnoses. Note that this model is appropriate when the incidence during June 2020 is similar to what is expected by the trend of previous years. Namely, it is probably inappropriate for modeling the incidence of eating disorders, which increases well above previous years, but might be appropriate for the other measures.
- 5. The interruption and gap are defined as in (3). However, for some diagnoses and medications, such as those for ADHD, the periodicity of prescription and diagnoses incidence is strongly influenced by the school cycle. Namely, incidence is low during the summer break (July-August), and peaks in the winter months. School closures have apparently disrupted this cycle, and, accordingly, we examine a model where there are different coefficients for the Fourier terms, before and after the interruption (figure S5). This modeling suggests that the cycle of the ADHD incidence was indeed disrupted during the COVID-19 era, and, to a lesser extent, also in the prescription of antipsychotic medication.
- The interruption is defined as occurring on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> 2020, and a second interruption on March 7<sup>th</sup> 2021, the data between Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> and May 31<sup>st</sup> 2020 is not taken to fit

the model. As in (5), both level-change and trend-change are included after this interruption (figure S6). Vaccination efforts in Israel started relatively early, during the "third wave" of COVID-19 in December 2020<sup>1</sup>. By March 2021 it seemed that these efforts were very successfully. The third wave was curbed, and restrictions were mostly lifted. We examine a model where we allow a second interruption on March 7<sup>th</sup>, 2021, the day all schools resumed regular classes. We examined this setting without a level-change covariate, as we expected this effect to be gradual. We allow for one set of coefficients for the period before Feb 27<sup>th</sup> 2020 and after March 7<sup>th</sup> 2021, and a second set of coefficients for the intermediate period, May 31<sup>st</sup> 2020 – March 7<sup>th</sup> 2021 (trend-covariate is denoted as "vaccination trend" in eTable 2). In this modeling there is a significant positive level change for the incidence of depression, eating disorders, and ADHD diagnoses and medication. In addition, there is a significant increase in the trend for antidepressants, stress diagnoses, and ADHD diagnoses and medication. Interestingly, after the full reopening of schools on March 7<sup>th</sup> 2021, the trend decreases. Though this decrease is based on a relatively small number of data points, it is statistically significant for anxiety, stress and eating disorder diagnoses as well as antidepressants and antipsychotic medication and gives hope that the sharp increase in mental distress that is reflected in the data may be slowing down now that schools are once again open, and that the availability of vaccines gives us greater confidence in our ability to deal with the pandemic.

7. During May 2021 the Israeli-Palestinian conflict escalated into violent outbreaks throughout the country. The crisis started on the 6<sup>th</sup> of May, and is considered to be over by May 21<sup>st</sup>. Since this crisis may have further exacerbated the mental health situation is Israel, a final analysis in this venue is similar to (6), with the exception that data between May 6<sup>th</sup> and June 21<sup>st</sup> was excluded when fitting the model (allowing for an additional month in which the effect might be apparent). Results are nearly identical to (6) above, suggesting that the crisis did not have a direct effect on the underlying trend.

The parameters for these seven models are listed in the eTable 2.





Legend: Interruption date indicated by a magenta dotted line. P<sub>s</sub> denotes the p-value for the change in trend, P<sub>i</sub> the p-value for change in level. Orange line depicts the fit of the ITS model.

\* This figure is similar to Figure 3 in the main manuscript



Legend: Left magenta line indicates the interruption date, after which data is ignored in modeling until the date corresponding to the right magenta line. Ps denotes the p-value for the change in trend, Pi the p-value for change in level. Orange line depicts the fit of the ITS model.



Legend: Left magenta line indicates the interruption date, after which data is ignored in modeling until the date corresponding to the right magenta line. Ps denotes the p-value for the change in trend, Pi the p-value for change in level. Orange line depicts the fit of the ITS model.

**eFigure 4** - ITS analysis with an interruption on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020, with gap in fitting the model between Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> and May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2020, model does not include level-change at the interruption point



Legend: Left magenta line indicates the interruption date, after which data is ignored in modeling until the date corresponding to the right magenta line. Ps denotes the p-value for the change in trend, Pi the p-value for change in level. Orange line depicts the fit of the ITS model.

**eFigure 5** - ITS analysis with an interruption on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020, with gap in fitting the model between Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> and May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2020, model includes different seasonal coefficients for the Fourier terms before and after the interruption point



Legend: Left magenta line indicates the interruption date, after which data is ignored in modeling until the date corresponding to the right magenta line. P<sub>s</sub> denotes the p-value for the change in trend, P<sub>i</sub> the p-value for change in level. Orange line depicts the fit of the ITS model.

**eFigure 6** - ITS analysis with an interruption on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 and a second interruption on Mar. 7<sup>th</sup> 2021, with gap between Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> and May 31<sup>st</sup> 2020. Model includes level-change and trend-change at the first interruption point and only trend-change after the second interruption point. Model allows for different coefficients for the Fourier terms.



Legend: Left magenta line indicates the interruption date, after which data is ignored in modeling until the date corresponding to the right magenta line. Green line indicates the second interruption point. P<sub>s</sub> denotes the p-value for the change in trend after the first interruption, P<sub>i</sub> the p-value for change in level. P<sub>s\*</sub> denotes the p-value for the change in trend after the second interruption. Orange line depicts the fit of the ITS model.

**eFigure 7** - ITS analysis with an interruption on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 and a second interruption on Mar. 7<sup>th</sup> 2021, with gap between Feb. 27<sup>th</sup> and May 31<sup>st</sup> 2020, and between May 6<sup>th</sup> 2021 and June 21<sup>st</sup> 2021. Model includes level-change and trend-change at the first interruption point and only trend-change after the second interruption point. Model allows for different coefficients for the Fourier terms.



Legend: Left magenta line indicates the interruption date, after which data is ignored in modeling until the date corresponding to the right magenta line. Green line indicates the second interruption point. Cyan lines indicate the second gap period.  $P_s$  denotes the p-value for the change in trend after the first interruption,  $P_i$  the p-value for change in level.  $P_{s^*}$  denotes the p-value for the change in trend after the second interruption. Orange line depicts the fit of the ITS model.

# eTable 2: Parameters for the ITS models with different modeling choices for data gap and interruption points

	Antidepressants med	Anxiolytics med	Antipsychotics med	ADHD agents	Depression diag	Anxiety diag	Stress diag	Eating disorder diag	ADHD diag		
Model 1 - with interrup	tion on Feb. 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, no	gap									
Prepandemic trend	0.0008 (0.0005 - 0.0010)	-0.0002 (-0.00030.0001)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	-0.0020 (-0.00300.0010)	0.0002 (0.0000 - 0.0004)	0.0005 (0.0002 - 0.0007)	-0.0002 (-0.0006 - 0.0002)	0.0001 (-0.0000 - 0.0002)	-0.0021 (-0.00310.0011)		
Pandemic level change	-0.0853 (-0.12930.0412)	-0.0018 (-0.0179 - 0.0143)	-0.0355 (-0.06220.0088)	-0.6330 (-0.80880.4573)	-0.0281 (-0.0641 - 0.0080)	-0.0555 (-0.10360.0074)	-0.0529 (-0.1293 - 0.0236)	0.0509 (0.0267 - 0.0751)	-0.6333 (-0.81160.4551)		
Pandemic trend change	0.0030 (0.0022 - 0.0038)	0.0005 (0.0002 - 0.0008)	0.0014 (0.0010 - 0.0019)	0.0115 (0.0084 - 0.0147)	0.0018 (0.0012 - 0.0024)	0.0029 (0.0021 - 0.0038)	0.0040 (0.0026 - 0.0053)	0.0006 (0.0002 - 0.0010)	0.0111 (0.0079 - 0.0143)		
Model 2 - with interrup	odel 2 - with interruption on Feb. 27th, 2020, with gap between Feb. 27th and Apr. 30th 2020										
Prepandemic trend	0.0008 (0.0005 - 0.0010)	-0.0002 (-0.00030.0001)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	-0.0021 (-0.00310.0012)	0.0002 (0.0000 - 0.0004)	0.0005 (0.0002 - 0.0007)	-0.0002 (-0.0006 - 0.0002)	0.0001 (-0.0000 - 0.0002)	-0.0022 (-0.00320.0013)		
Pandemic level change	0.0171 (-0.0246 - 0.0587)	0.0091 (-0.0076 - 0.0259)	-0.0106 (-0.0383 - 0.0171)	-0.2590 (-0.43290.0851)	0.0720 (0.0401 - 0.1038)	0.0604 (0.0153 - 0.1055)	0.1346 (0.0638 - 0.2053)	0.1161 (0.0959 - 0.1362)	-0.3075 (-0.48700.1280)		
Pandemic trend change	0.0015 (0.0007 - 0.0023)	0.0004 (0.0000 - 0.0007)	0.0012 (0.0006 - 0.0017)	0.0065 (0.0031 - 0.0099)	0.0001 (-0.0005 - 0.0008)	0.0011 (0.0003 - 0.0020)	0.0010 (-0.0004 - 0.0023)	-0.0006 (-0.00100.0002)	0.0069 (0.0034 - 0.0104)		
Model 3 - with interrup	Model 3 - with interruption on Feb. 27th, 2020, with gap between Feb. 27th and May 31st 2020										
Prepandemic trend	0.0008 (0.0006 - 0.0010)	-0.0002 (-0.00030.0001)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	-0.0022 (-0.00310.0012)	0.0002 (0.0000 - 0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0002 - 0.0007)	-0.0002 (-0.0006 - 0.0002)	0.0001 (-0.0000 - 0.0002)	-0.0022 (-0.00320.0013)		
Pandemic level change	0.0371 (-0.0057 - 0.0799)	0.0110 (-0.0064 - 0.0283)	0.0105 (-0.0175 - 0.0385)	-0.2936 (-0.47210.1151)	0.0821 (0.0495 - 0.1148)	0.0548 (0.0083 - 0.1013)	0.1366 (0.0635 - 0.2096)	0.1151 (0.0943 - 0.1359)	-0.3972 (-0.57800.2164)		
Pandemic trend change	0.0012 (0.0003 - 0.0021)	0.0004 (-0.0000 - 0.0007)	0.0008 (0.0002 - 0.0014)	0.0079 (0.0042 - 0.0116)	-0.0001 (-0.0007 - 0.0006)	0.0014 (0.0004 - 0.0023)	0.0010 (-0.0005 - 0.0025)	-0.0006 (-0.00110.0002)	0.0095 (0.0058 - 0.0133)		
Model 4 - with interrup	Model 4 - with interruption on Feb. 27th, 2020, with gap between Feb. 27th and May 31st 2020, no level-change at the										
Prepandemic trend	0.0009 (0.0007 - 0.0011)	-0.0002 (-0.00020.0001)	0.0005 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	-0.0029 (-0.00370.0021)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	0.0006 (0.0004 - 0.0008)	0.0002 (-0.0002 - 0.0005)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0005)	-0.0033 (-0.00410.0025)		
Pandemic trend change	0.0016 (0.0008 - 0.0023)	0.0005 (0.0002 - 0.0008)	0.0009 (0.0004 - 0.0014)	0.0045 (0.0014 - 0.0077)	0.0009 (0.0003 - 0.0015)	0.0020 (0.0012 - 0.0028)	0.0025 (0.0013 - 0.0038)	0.0007 (0.0002 - 0.0011)	0.0050 (0.0017 - 0.0082)		
Model 5 - with interrup	Addel 5 - with interruption on Feb. 27th, 2020, with gap between Feb. 27th and May 31st 2020, different Fourier coefficients										
Prepandemic trend	0.0008 (0.0005 - 0.0010)	-0.0002 (-0.00030.0001)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	-0.0022 (-0.00300.0013)	0.0002 (0.0000 - 0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0002 - 0.0007)	-0.0003 (-0.0006 - 0.0001)	0.0001 (-0.0000 - 0.0002)	-0.0023 (-0.00310.0015)		
Pandemic level change	-0.0028 (-0.0518 - 0.0461)	0.0055 (-0.0145 - 0.0255)	0.0079 (-0.0251 - 0.0408)	-0.5743 (-0.76150.3872)	0.0698 (0.0320 - 0.1075)	0.0179 (-0.0356 - 0.0714)	0.0331 (-0.0485 - 0.1147)	0.1119 (0.0889 - 0.1349)	-0.7402 (-0.92350.5569)		
Pandemic trend change	0.0010 (0.0002 - 0.0019)	0.0003 (-0.0000 - 0.0007)	0.0008 (0.0002 - 0.0014)	0.0070 (0.0036 - 0.0103)	-0.0001 (-0.0008 - 0.0006)	0.0013 (0.0003 - 0.0022)	0.0007 (-0.0008 - 0.0021)	-0.0006 (-0.00100.0002)	0.0084 (0.0052 - 0.0117)		
Model 6 - with interrup	tion on Feb. 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2020 and	l on Mar. 7 <sup>th</sup> 2021, with ga	p between Feb. 27 <sup>th</sup> and N	/lay 31 <sup>st</sup> 2020, no level-ch	ange at the second interi	uption point, different Fo	urier coefficients				
Prepandemic trend	0.0008 (0.0006 - 0.0010)	-0.0002 (-0.00030.0001)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	-0.0021 (-0.00300.0013)	0.0002 (0.0000 - 0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0002 - 0.0007)	-0.0002 (-0.0006 - 0.0002)	0.0001 (-0.0000 - 0.0002)	-0.0022 (-0.00310.0014)		
Pandemic level change	-0.0064 (-0.0854 - 0.0726)	0.0012 (-0.0321 - 0.0345)	0.0357 (-0.0148 - 0.0862)	-0.7521(-1.06570.4386)	0.1140 (0.0517 - 0.1762)	-0.0009 (-0.0890 - 0.0872)	0.0736 (-0.0669 - 0.2141)	0.1141 (0.0774 - 0.1509)	-0.8740 (-1.18680.5613)		
Pandemic trend change	0.0045 (0.0020 - 0.0070)	0.0008 (-0.0002 - 0.0019)	0.0016 (-0.0000 - 0.0032)	0.0226 (0.0127 - 0.0324)	-0.0004 (-0.0023 - 0.0016)	0.0046 (0.0019 - 0.0074)	0.0044 (-0.0000 - 0.0088)	0.0002 (-0.0010 - 0.0013)	0.0258 (0.0159 - 0.0356)		
Vaccination trend change	-0.0111 (-0.01640.0058)	-0.0016 (-0.0039 - 0.0006)	-0.0048 (-0.00820.0014)	-0.0157 (-0.0368 - 0.0054)	-0.0017 (-0.0059 - 0.0025)	-0.0097 (-0.01560.0037)	-0.0101 (-0.01960.0006)	-0.0044 (-0.00680.0019)	-0.0196 (-0.0407 - 0.0014)		
Model 7 - with interrup	tion on Feb. 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2020 and	l on Mar. 7 <sup>th</sup> 2021, with ga	p between Feb. 27 <sup>th</sup> and N	May 31 <sup>st</sup> 2020, and betwee	en May 6th and June 21s	t 2021. No level-change at	the second interruption p	ooint, different Fourier co	efficients		
Prepandemic trend	0.0008 (0.0006 - 0.0010)	-0.0002 (-0.00030.0001)	0.0004 (0.0003 - 0.0006)	-0.0021 (-0.00300.0013)	0.0002 (0.0000 - 0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0002 - 0.0007)	-0.0002 (-0.0006 - 0.0001)	0.0001 (-0.0000 - 0.0002)	-0.0022 (-0.00310.0014)		
Pandemic level change	0.0091 (-0.0715 - 0.0897)	-0.0010 (-0.0343 - 0.0323)	0.0438 (-0.0081 - 0.0956)	-0.6885 (-1.00850.3686)	0.1165 (0.0527 - 0.1803)	0.0019 (-0.0888 - 0.0926)	0.1166 (-0.0253 - 0.2585)	0.1172 (0.0797 - 0.1548)	-0.7721 (-1.08570.4585)		
Pandemic trend change	0.0035 (0.0008 - 0.0062)	0.0010 (-0.0001 - 0.0021)	0.0010 (-0.0007 - 0.0028)	0.0185 (0.0079 - 0.0290)	-0.0005 (-0.0026 - 0.0016)	0.0045 (0.0015 - 0.0075)	0.0016 (-0.0031 - 0.0063)	-0.0001 (-0.0013 - 0.0012)	0.0191 (0.0088 - 0.0295)		
Vaccination trend change	-0.0091 (-0.01470.0034)	-0.0018 (-0.0042 - 0.0005)	-0.0037 (-0.00740.0001)	-0.0070 (-0.0295 - 0.0155)	-0.0012 (-0.0057 - 0.0033)	-0.0091 (-0.01550.0028)	-0.0045 (-0.0145 - 0.0054)	-0.0041 (-0.00670.0014)	-0.0062 (-0.0282 - 0.0158)		

**Supplementary Methods 2** - Distributions of new diagnoses and prescriptions according to physician's profession

Mental health diagnoses and prescriptions can be given not only by psychiatrists, but also by doctors with other types of specializations, such as general practitioners or pediatricians. eFigure 7 depicts the distribution of new diagnoses and prescriptions according to the physician's profession. This data is not always listed, and cases where it was missing were discarded. It is important to note that some patients may be initially diagnosed in a private practice, and in such cases the entry that we see in the EHR may actually be a reaffirmation of a diagnoses, rather than a new one. **eFigure 8** - Distribution of new diagnoses and prescriptions according to physician's profession, during the periods of November 1, 2016 - October 31, 2017 (a), November 1, 2018 - October 31, 2019 (b), November 1, 2020 – October 31, 2021 (c).



a. Period November 1, 2016 - October 31, 2017

### b. Period November 1, 2018 -October 31, 2019



c. Period November 1, 2020 – October 31, 2021



# eTable3 COVID-19 Israel Policy Restrictions

Interval	Date begins	Date ends	Restrictions
Lockdown 1	March 14, 2020	May 04, 2020	All schools closed, workplaces closed, gatherings
Lockdown 2	September 18, 2020	October 17, 2020	banned, out-of-home distance restrictions,
Lockdown 3	December 27, 2020	February 07, 2021	international travel banned

All schools closed except nurseries. Workplaces closed for all but essential workplaces<sup>2</sup>

## Reference

1. Rosen B, Waitzberg R, Israeli A, Hartal M, Davidovitch N. Addressing vaccine hesitancy and access barriers to achieve persistent progress in Israel's COVID-

19 vaccination program. Israel Journal of Health Policy Research. 2021;10(1):43. doi:10.1186/s13584-021-00481-x

2. *Health.Gov.II*. https://datadashboard.health.gov.il/COVID-19/general