- 1 Title: Psychosocial factors at work and fertility and menstrual disorders: a systematic
- 2 review

- 4 Authors (one academic degree)
- 5 Natsu SASAKI, MD; nasasaki-tky@umin.ac.jp
- 6 Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo,
- 7 Japan.
- 8 Department of Public Mental Health Research, National Institute of Mental Health, National
- 9 Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Tokyo, Japan.
- 10 Kotaro IMAMURA, PhD*; kouima-tky@umin.ac.jp
- Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo,
- 12 Japan.
- 13 **Kazuhiro WATANABE, PhD**; kzwatanabe-tky@umin.ac.jp
- Department of Public Health, Kitasato University School of Medicine, Japan
- 15 **Yui HIDAKA, MS**; yuihidaka-tky@umin.ac.jp
- 16 Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo,
- 17 Japan.
- Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo, Japan.
- 19 **Asuka SAKURAYA, PhD**; sakuraya.asuka@twmu.ac.jp
- 20 Division of Public Health, Department of Hygiene and Public Health, School of Medicine,
- 21 Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan
- Emiko ANDO, PhD; emikoando73@gmail.com
- 23 Institute for Cancer Control, National Cancer Center Japan.
- Hisashi EGUCHI, MD; eguchi@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp
- 25 Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of
- Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan.
- Akiomi INOUE, PhD; akiomi@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (system registry; akiomi-tky@umin.ac.jp)
- 28 Institutional Research Center, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan,
- 29 Kitakyushu, Japan.
- 30 **Kanami TSUNO, PhD**; ktsuno-tky@umin.ac.jp
- 31 School of Health Innovation, Kanagawa University of Human Services, Kawasaki, Japan
- 32 Yu KOMASE, PhD; kmsy-tky@umin.ac.jp
- 33 Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo,
- 34 Japan.
- 35 **Mako IIDA, MS**: imako-tky@umin.ac.jp
- 36 Department of Psychiatric Nursing, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo,

- Tokyo, Japan.
- 38 **Yasumasa OTSUKA, PhD**; otsuka@human.tsukuba.ac.jp
- 39 Faculty of Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tokyo, Japan
- 40 **Mai IWANAGA, PhD**; maii-tky@umin.ac.jp
- Department of Psychiatric Nursing, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo,
- 42 Tokyo, Japan.
- 43 **Yuka KOBAYASHI, PhD**; yuka-kobayashi@umin.ac.jp
- Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo,
- 45 Japan.
- 46 **Reiko INOUE, MD**; dm18003@st.kitasato-u.ac.jp
- 47 Department of Public Health, Kitasato University School of Medicine, Sagamihara, Japan.
- 48 **Akihito SHIMAZU, PhD**; ashimazu-tky@umin.ac.jp
- 49 Faculty of Policy Management, Keio University, Japan
- Akizumi TSUTSUMI, MD; akizumi@kitasato-u.ac.jp
- 51 Department of Public Health, Kitasato University School of Medicine, Sagamihara, Japan.
- 52 **Norito KAWAKAMI, MD**; nkawakami@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp
- 53 Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo,
- 54 Japan.

- * Correspondence to: **Kotaro IMAMURA**, PhD.
- 58 Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo,
- 59 Japan
- 60 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, JAPAN
- 61 Tel: +81-3-5841-3612 Fax: +81-3-5841-3392 E-mail: kouima-tky@umin.ac.jp

64 Condensation 65 This systematic review evaluated the association between psychosocial factors at work and 66 fertility and menstrual disorders. 67 **Short Title** 68 69 psychosocial factors at work and fertility and menstrual disorders 70 71 A. Why was this study conducted? 72 The longitudinal studies which examined the associations between psychosocial factors at 73 work and menstrual abnormalities or fertility have not been systematically presented yet. 74 B. What are the key findings? 75 The outcomes were fertility (n=3), early menopause (n=1), endometriosis (n=1), and serum 76 hormones (n=1), in the included six studies. 77 All the study (n=3) that examined the association of night shift/rotating work with fertility 78 outcomes showed no significant difference. 79 C. What does this study add to what is already known? 80 This review revealed insufficient high-level evidence on the association of psychosocial 81 factors at work and menstrual abnormalities. 82 83 KEYWORDS: biopsychosocial medicine; endocrine; gynecology; occupational health; 84 reproductive health.

85

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96

97 98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110111

112113

114

115116

Statement of authorship Acknowledgment The authors wish to thank Dr. Daisuke Shigemi (obstetrician-gynecologist) for a valuable suggestion on the variable selection. **Author's contribution** NS, KI, KW, EM, HE, AI, KT, YH, YKom, MIi, YO, ASa, YA, MIw, YKob, RI, ASh, AT, and NK substantially contributed to the conception and design of the study, writing of the protocol, and revising it critically for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. **Funding statement** This study is supported by The University of Tokyo Occupational Mental Health (TOMH) Research Fund, obtained from the Department of Mental Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Japan. **Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Registry and registration number of the study/trial: The study protocol was registered at the UMIN registry (registration number: UMIN000039488). The registration date is 14th Feb 2020. URL: https://upload.umin.ac.jp/cgi-bin/ctr/ctr view reg.cgi?recptno=R000044704 **Conflict of interest:** All authors declare no conflict of interest related the submitted work. Data availability statement: All data produced in the present study are available upon reasonable request to the authors.

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

Abstract Objective: This systematic review aimed to evaluate the association between psychosocial factors at work and menstrual abnormalities or fertility by collecting the literature that had utilized a longitudinal or prospective cohort design. Data sources: MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, PsycARTICLES, and Japan Medical Abstracts Society electronic databases were searched for published studies from inception to 26th February 2020. Study eligibility criteria: The inclusion criteria for this systematic review were defined as follows: (P) Adult female workers (over 18 years old), (E) Presence of adverse psychosocial factors at work, (C) Absence of adverse psychosocial factors at work, and (O) Any menstrual cycle disorders, menstrual-related symptoms, or fertility. The study design was limited to prospective/longitudinal studies. Study appraisal and synthesis methods: The included studies were descriptively summarized in a narrative format. Results: Database searching yielded 12,868 abstracts. After the screening process, six studies were included. The outcomes were fertility (n=3), early menopause (n=1), endometriosis (n=1), and serum hormones (n=1). Three included studies presented significant findings: women with high job demands were significantly less likely to conceive; working over 40 hours per week and lifting or moving a heavy load >15 times per day significantly increased the duration of pregnancy attempts; women with rotating night shift work had increased risk of earlier menopause. All the study (n=3) that examined the association of night shift/rotating work with fertility outcomes showed no significant difference. No study investigated the association of psychosocial factors at work with menstrual abnormality.

Conclusion: This review revealed insufficient high-level evidence on the association of

psychosocial factors at work with fertility and menstrual disorders. Future well-designed studies are needed. Trial registration: The study protocol was registered at the UMIN registry (registration number: UMIN000039488). The registration date is 14th Feb 2020. URL: https://upload.umin.ac.jp/cgi-bin/ctr/ctr_view_reg.cgi?recptno=R000044704 **Review protocol:** Protocol paper is available in preprint format. $URL: \underline{https://assets.researchsquare.com/files/rs-179301/v1/48845b10-5ec4-4d48-8918-3dcf0e0eller + \underline{https://assets.researchsquare.com/files/rs-17948-8dcf0e0eller + \underline{https://assets.researchsquare.com/files/rs-17948-8dcf0e0eller$ dded.pdf?c=1631873381 148

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

149

Introduction

Menstrual abnormalities are a crucial issue in women's health. Menstrual cycle irregularities increased the risk of premature death, ovarian and breast cancer, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes. Moreover, fertility is essential for reproductive health and rights among preconceptual working-aged women. Menstrual characteristics and fertility are thus important indicators in occupational health settings.

Menstrual cycle and ovarian functions are regulated by sex hormones (i.e., the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian [HPO] axis); however, they are often disrupted by psychosocial factors of through activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal [HPA] axis. Psychosocial factors at work provide potential risks of activating the HPA axis and releasing cortisol due to psychological stress reactions, leading to menstrual disorders. For instance, some literature showed significant associations: low job control, co-worker support, and job security with high menstrual pain, low supervisor support with menopausal symptoms, strenuous work with premenstrual symptoms, work schedule variability with irregular cycles, time pressure and fast work speed with perimenstrual symptoms, and job strain (a combination of high job demands and low job control) with cycle length irregularities. The study design of many of them was cross-sectional, leading to limited evidence and suggestions for appropriate practices in the women's occupational health field.

The HPA-HPO axis interaction is affected by circadian sleep control, ^{17,18} The relation between night/shift work and menstrual disorders has been thus often described, and a meta-analysis by Stocker et al. (2014), ¹⁹ which included a cross-sectional design, showed that shift work increased the risk of menstrual disruption and infertility ¹⁹. However, more recent literature showed inconsistent results in the association of shift

work with ovarian function. ²⁰⁻²² Lim et al. (2016) reported no association between shift work and ovarian functions or menstrual disorders in a cross-sectional design. ²⁰ In contrast, Minguez-Alarcon et al. (2017) reported that non-day work schedules were inversely related to oocyte production and quality among women receiving fertility treatment in a cross-sectional design. ²¹ Only one longitudinal study by Albert-Sabater et al. (2016) reported that nurses on the rotating shift did not show an increased risk of having menstrual disorders compared with day staff. ²² Since most studies were adopted a cross-sectional design (i.e., one cycle was treated as one individual outcome), the topics of shift work with menstruation require further investigations.

The association between psychosocial factors at work and menstrual abnormalities or fertility has not been systematically presented yet. Longitudinal studies should be summarized for concrete conclusions. The aim of this systematic review was thus to investigate whether psychosocial factors at work impact menstrual characteristics or fertility, limiting the literature that has utilized a longitudinal or prospective cohort design, assessing the outcome both at baseline and follow-up.

Method

Study design

The method was reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA 2020) guidelines.²³ The study protocol has been registered at the UMIN registry (registration number: UMIN000039488 URL: https://upload.umin.ac.jp/cgi-bin/ctr/ctr_view_reg.cgi?recptno=R000044704). The registration date is 14th Feb 2020. The protocol paper has been available elsewhere.²⁴

PECO and Eligibility criteria

The eligible participants, exposures, comparisons, and outcomes (PECO) of this systematic review are listed in **Table 1**. PECO was: P: adult female workers (over 18 years old), E: adverse psychosocial factors at work (+), C: adverse psychosocial factors at work (-), and O: menstrual disorders, related symptoms, reproductive outcomes. The study design was restricted only longitudinal or prospective manner. The study was also excluded if the cross-sectional analysis method was applied, even if the study used a longitudinal design. The language was limited to English or Japanese.

Search and Information Sources

Databases including PubMed (MEDLINE), Embase, PsycINFO, PsycARTICLES, and the Japan Medical Abstract Society database were searched for publications from inception to 26th February 2020. The details of the search terms are shown in **Appendix 1**. The search terms for psychosocial factors at work were defined based on the previous meta-analysis. ²⁵⁻²⁸ The search terms for outcome variables were initially selected by an investigator (NS) who reviewed previous systematic reviews handling menstrual-related health. ^{19,29-32} The medical doctor in obstetrics and gynecology (outside the research members) confirmed the search terms before searching. The terms were amended to exclude infectious, inflammatory disease, and pelvic organ prolapse due to the lack of probability that psychosocial work-related factors cause these.

Study selection

Microsoft Excel (Washington, USA) was used to manage all identified studies. An investigator (NS) excluded duplicate records. The remaining articles were shared with

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

16 investigators (NS, KI, KW, EM, HE, AI, KT, YH, YKom, MIi, YO, ASa, YA, MIw, YKob, and RI); Pairs of them independently assessed the title and abstract of each article according to the eligibility criteria (shifting phase: first screening). Subsequently, two investigators independently reviewed the full texts that were included in the first screening. Any disagreements were discussed until reaching a consensus by all authors. The reasons why studies were excluded were recorded during the full-text review phase. Data collection process and data items Data were extracted independently from eligible articles by 16 investigators (NS, KI, KW, EM, HE, AI, KT, YH, YKom, MIi, YO, ASa, YA, MIw, YKob, and RI). An investigator (NS) integrated and formatted the information on publication year, study design, the country of study origin, the number of participants completing the baseline survey and included in the statistical analysis, demographic characteristics of the participants (i.e., age, occupation), the length of follow-up and attrition rate, exposure variables (i.e., adverse psychosocial factors at work), and outcome variables (i.e., menstrual abnormalities, fertility). Risk of Bias in individual Studies The risk of bias in observational studies of exposures (ROBINS-I) tool was used to assess the quality of included studies. Investigators (NS, KI, KW, ASa, and YH) independently scored the bias classified as low, high, or unclear. Discrepancies in quality assessment among the investigators were solved by discussion and consensus among all authors. A Summary of Findings (SoF) will be created using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

grade the certainty of evidence. **Synthesis of Results and Meta-Analysis** For the main analysis, we synthesized all types of psychosocial factors at work and all types of menstrual-related disorders/symptoms. Meta-analysis was conducted if at least three eligible studies were found to have the same outcome. If a meta-analysis was not appropriate (i.e., only two or fewer studies were eligible and included), the results were presented in a narrative format. **Changes to the protocol** To examine the association between exposure and outcome longitudinally, the study applied a cross-sectional fashioned analysis (i.e., one menstrual cycle was treated as an individual unit of outcome) was excluded. Result **Database searching** Database searching yielded 12,868 abstracts (PubMed n = 2,823, EMBASE n = 9,340, PsycINFO n = 608, Japan Medical Abstracts Society n = 97). After removing 1,435 duplicates, 11,433 records were included in the first screening, after which 11,395 records were excluded, and 38 records proceeded to full-text screening. Subsequently, 32 studies that did not meet the criteria for design (n=13), 11-16,20-22,33-36 participants (n=4), $^{37-40}$ exposures (n=10), $^{41-50}$ outcomes (n=2), 51,52 article type (n=1), 53 and duplicates (n=2) were excluded. Finally, six studies were included in the systematic qualitative review. 54-59 The meta-analysis was not conducted due to insufficient studies based on our protocol. The flowchart of study selection is shown in **Figure 1**.

Study description

The characteristics of the six included studies are shown in **Table 2**. Three studies treated fertility outcomes. ^{55,57,59} Earlier menopause, ⁵⁶ and incident diagnosis of endometriosis, ⁵⁸ and serum reproductive hormone ⁵⁴ were each measured by one study. No study was found which outcome was menstrual abnormalities. Three of the six studies retrieved their data from the nurse's health study (NHS). All studies measured shift work as an adverse psychosocial factor at work. Each study reported job demands ⁵⁹ and long working hours. ⁵⁷

Although authors designed the protocol to conduct a meta-analysis if at least three eligible studies were found with the same outcome, fertility outcomes of included studies varied, and it was difficult to synthesize the findings: time to pregnancy,⁵⁵ the current duration of participants' ongoing pregnancy attempt,⁵⁷ and conception.⁵⁹

Regarding the three studies focusing on fertility outcomes, Barzilai-Pesach et al. (2006)⁵⁹ prospectively evaluated the association between women's occupational stress factors (i.e., job demands, decisional latitude, shift work, and working hours, measured using a self-reported questionnaire) and the outcome of fertility treatments. They followed 75 women (mean age = 31.3) who had worked at least three months before intake, had a female fertility problem and attended fertility clinics between 1999 and 2000. Information regarding the outcome of fertility treatments was based on a follow-up 18 months after the initial intake through fertility clinics. Women with high

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

job demands were less likely to conceive (relative risk [RR] = 0.60 [95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.42 to 0.96]). There was no significant association of decision latitude, shift work, or working hours with conception. Gaskins et al. (2015) ⁵⁷ evaluated the association of work schedule (i.e., work hours and total duration of night shift work) and physical factors (i.e., standing job and lifting load) with fecundity (i.e., current duration of participants' ongoing pregnancy attempts) among female nurses, using the data from an internet-based cohort study of female nurses in the USA and Canada (NHS 3). For assessment of fecundity, the authors took a participant's first report of ongoing pregnancy attempt after the baseline questionnaire as her outcome in three follow-up survey questionnaires conducted every six months. As a result, 1,739 women (median age = 33) were included in this analysis. Long working hours (over 40 hours per week) and lifting or moving a heavy load (>15 times per day) were related to a longer median duration of pregnancy attempts (increasing 20% [95% CI: 7% to 35%] and 49% [95% CI: 20% to 85%], respectively). Additionally, a subgroup analysis found that the association between heavy moving and lifting with reduced fecundity was even more pronounced among overweight or obese women. Night shift work over the past year was not significantly associated with fecundity. Willis et al. (2019)⁵⁵ prospectively evaluated the association of shift work with fecundability. They followed North American women (living in Canada or the United States) who are not using contraception and aged 21-45 years attempting pregnancy for up to 12 months or until conception, using web-based surveys. Time to pregnancy was estimated by reports of their last menstrual period, usual menstrual cycle length, and the number of cycles they had attempted pregnancy at study entry. As a result, 6,873 women who had shift/night work ranging from 14.3% to 23.0% were included in the study. There was no association between shift work and fecundability.

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

Stock et al. (2019)⁵⁶ prospectively evaluated the association between nurses' rotating night shift work (i.e., the number of months with at least three nights per month in the past two years) and menopausal age, using the data from an ongoing prospective cohort study (NHS 2). This cohort included 116,429 female registered nurses in the United States, aged between 25 to 42, at the baseline survey in 1989, followed from 1991 through 2013. Participants were asked whether their menstrual periods had ceased, at what age, and if this was due to natural menopause, chemotherapy or radiation, or surgery. Of those whose menstrual periods had not stopped before the last follow-up, 27,456 had natural menopause. The mean age of natural menopause in these women was 50 (SD ±4.0) years old. Among them, 2,524 had menopause at under 45 years old. Significant associations were found between the number of months of night shift work in the past two years and earlier menopause (HRs = 1.06 to 1.11 among women with <10 months, 10-19 months, and with ≥20 months, compared to none of night shift work). Cumulative rotating night work of 10 or more years was also associated with a higher risk of menopause among women reaching menopause under age 45. These analyses did not consider any confounders such as psychological stress, job strain, or chronotype-related tolerance.

Schernhammer et al. (2011)⁵⁸ reported an association between rotating night shift work and endometriosis risk within the NHS2. Participants were asked whether they had ever had physician-diagnosed endometriosis. Analyses of incident diagnosis of endometriosis were restricted to those women who reported laparoscopic confirmation

of their diagnosis. This study included 2,062 laparoscopically confirmed cases documented during 16 years of follow-up evaluation from 89,400 women without diagnosed endometriosis at baseline. Overall, there was no association between rotating night shift work and endometriosis. When the cases were categorized by infertility status, the risk was elevated among women with concurrent infertility and ≥ 5 years of rotating night shift work (RR = 1.71 [95% CI: 1.18 to 2.49]; P for trend =0.005), compared with women with no rotating night shift work.

Michels et al. (2020)⁵⁴ examined the influences of night/shift work on the serum reproductive hormones. The participants were recruited in the United States from the BioCycle Study (2005–2007), a prospective cohort of 259 healthy women (mean age = 24) not using oral contraceptives. At baseline, participants were asked if they were currently employed and if they worked nights or rotating shifts. Fasting blood samples were collected at eight clinic visits across each menstrual cycle. Fertility monitors were used to assist in the timing of mid-cycle clinic visits. Two cycles were followed. The proportion of participants who had night/shift work was 34.4%. The results showed that night/shift work did not influence estradiol or progesterone. Night/shift work showed lower testosterone (-9.9%) than employees without night/shift work, but the clinical implication of the finding was not mentioned.

Risk of bias assessment

The summary of the risk of bias assessment by ROBINS-I is shown in **Table 3**. The overall judgment was all "serious" due to confounding or selection of reported results. The certainty of evidence was judged to be very low for all outcomes, downgraded for

very serious risk of bias and publication bias (Appendix 2).

Discussion

Principal Findings

This review investigated the association between psychosocial factors at work and menstrual abnormalities or fertility by limiting the study design to longitudinal, assessing the outcome at baseline and follow-up. Of the six included studies, three showed non-significant associations of shift work with fertility. 55,57,59 Instead, high job demands, long working hours, and heavy lifting were significantly related. 77,59 Rotating night shift work was not associated with the onset of endometriosis, 8 but was with early menopause. One study identified slight changes in the sex hormones by shift work. All the studies had a serious risk of bias. There were still insufficient well-designed studies available to conduct meta-analysis and draw conclusions. However, the present study clarified the lack of evidence, achieved hypotheses to be examined in the future, and suggested further research directions.

Comparison with Existing Literature

All three studies^{55,57,59} that investigated the risk of shift/night work for pregnancy outcomes showed non-significant associations. These studies were not included in the recent meta-analysis published in 2014¹⁹ that examined the influence of shift work on reproductive outcomes, showing that shift work increased the risk of infertility (crude odds ratio [OR]: 1.80 [95%CI: 1.01- 3.20]). This meta-analysis included four cross-sectional fashioned studies (one study was a retrospective cohort) from 1996 to 2003.⁶⁰⁻⁶³ These studies retrieved a pregnant population and obtained the

occupational data retrospectively. Three of them did not show significant associations, $^{60-62}$ and one did. 63 Certainly, some studies seemed to find that women with night/shift work tend to take a long time to become pregnant. $^{60-62}$ However, the significance disappeared after adjusting the demographic and job characteristics information. The adjusted results of the meta-analysis also showed non-significant associations (adjusted OR: $1.12 \ [0.86 - 1.44]$). From both our findings and previous reviews, we cannot conclude that shift/night work itself has an influence on fertility. More studies should be conducted using rigorous longitudinal study designs that account for possible confounding factors (psychosocial factors at work or lifestyle factors) to examine the association between shift work and fertility outcomes.

Two studies examined psychosocial factors at work other than shift/night work. These studies showed that high job demands, long working hours, and heavy lifting were significantly associated with infertility. These findings were consistent with previous cross-sectional studies that were not included in the present review, which suggested that long working hours and physically demanding jobs lead to less ovarian function and infertility. In contrast, another study reported a non-significant association. Therefore, job demands, long time working, and lifting are possibly related to fertility outcomes. Although the previous study suggested that psychological stress reactions (i.e., depression, low positive affect) declined ovarian function, whether these psychosocial factors at work affect fertility through such distress or not (direct impact) still needs examination. Psychological stress theoretically disrupts HPA-HPO axis interactions and has been associated with higher levels of oxidative stress, which potentially declines ovarian function. However, there is a lack of evidence

to investigate the associations between psychosocial factors at work and fertility outcomes in a robust design. Further prospective studies will be expected in this area.

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

One study in this review showed the increased risk of early menopause from shift/night work.⁵⁶ Rotating night shift work experience in the prior 2 years increased the risk of earlier menopause. The subgroup analysis with women under 45 showed that over 10 years of cumulative rotating night shift work exposure increased the risk of early menopause.⁵⁶ This finding is consistent with the recent systematic review that included cross-sectional studies investigating job stress and menopause; the authors reported that age at menopause and severity of menopausal symptoms were both influenced by job-related factors such as high job strain. The review identified the risk factor for early menopause as smoking, type of work (e.g., service work), and shift work. Early menopause has been regarded as a significant outcome because it increases the risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality. 65 Further investigations are needed to prevent the deterioration of female workers' health if any occupational factors cumulatively affect early menopause. In this review, one study showed no significant association of rotating night shift work with the onset of endometriosis diagnosed by laparoscopy.⁵⁸ Night shift work was found to decrease melatonin levels, ⁶⁶ reduced the aromatase activity and worked as a potential antiestrogenic agent. ⁶⁷ Elevated estrogen levels caused by the low melatonin levels from night shift work could promote endometriosis growth. A population-based case-control study reported that any night shift work was associated with a 48% increased risk of endometriosis. 68 The authors of the included study discussed the possible bias that women with clinical pain symptoms or with children might be likely to be out of the workforce in rotating work.

Additionally, laparoscopic confirmation of endometriosis among those who never experienced infertility is restricted to those with clinical symptoms, leading to underestimating the risk in those who never experienced infertility. The associations of shift work with endometriosis still need further investigation.

No study investigated the association of psychosocial factors at work with menstrual abnormality using longitudinal data. This review was limited to studies that evaluated the outcome (menstrual abnormality) in both baseline and follow-up. Furthermore, studies were excluded that analyzed the menstrual cycle as one unit of outcome. No study that met these criteria was found in this review. Some studies analyzed the cycle data as one unit. 14,16,22,34 That method did not allow for a before/after comparison of data from one person and was considered a cross-sectional study. Menstrual abnormality can be caused not only by occupational stress but also by multiple other factors (e.g., body mass index [BMI], non-occupational stress). A longitudinal study evaluating the change in menstrual abnormalities will be needed to estimate the risk of psychosocial factors at work.

Strengths and Limitations

This systematic review was the first review to investigate the evidence of the associations between psychosocial factors at work and menstrual abnormalities or fertility, which limited the longitudinal well-designed studies. However, this systematic review has several limitations. First, we did not conduct a meta-analysis due to an insufficient number of studies. The concrete evidence thus was not provided for the clinical questions. Second, this review is limited by English and Japanese language

restriction; therefore, studies in other languages may have been missed. Third, publication bias may occur, but this review did not assess that bias.

Conclusions and Implications

This systematic review investigated the association of psychosocial factors at work with menstrual abnormalities and fertility outcomes, and six articles were included. This review presented insufficient high-level evidence of well-designed studies on this topic. Because psychosocial factors at work are modifiable risk factors for women's reproductive outcomes, further investments are needed to determine the implications for occupational health practice.

Acknowledgements

We extend great thanks to cooperated researcher Yumi ASAI for her support of our procedures of screening the literature.

References

- 479 1. Wang Y-X, Arvizu M, Rich-Edwards JW, et al. Menstrual cycle regularity and length
- 480 across the reproductive lifespan and risk of premature mortality: prospective
- cohort study. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2020;371:m3464.
- 482 2. Kamdar BB, Tergas AI, Mateen FJ, Bhayani NH, Oh J. Night-shift work and risk of
- breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast cancer research and
- 484 *treatment*. 2013;138(1):291-301.
- 485 3. Tavani A, Ricci E, La Vecchia C, et al. Influence of menstrual and reproductive
- 486 factors on ovarian cancer risk in women with and without family history of breast
- or ovarian cancer. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2000;29(5):799-802.
- 488 4. Solomon CG, Hu FB, Dunaif A, et al. Menstrual cycle irregularity and risk for future
- 489 cardiovascular disease. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism.
- 490 2002;87(5):2013-2017.
- 491 5. Solomon CG, Hu FB, Dunaif A, et al. Long or highly irregular menstrual cycles as
- 492 a marker for risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Jama*. 2001;286(19):2421-2426.
- 493 6. Chrousos GP, Torpy DJ, Gold PW. Interactions between the
- 494 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the female reproductive system: clinical
- 495 implications. Ann Intern Med. 1998;129(3):229-240.
- 496 7. Magiakou MA, Mastorakos G, Webster E, Chrousos GP. The
- 497 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the female reproductive system. Annals
- 498 of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1997;816:42-56.
- 499 8. Lennartsson AK, Kushnir MM, Bergquist J, Billig H, Jonsdottir IH. Sex steroid
- levels temporarily increase in response to acute psychosocial stress in healthy
- 501 men and women. International journal of psychophysiology: official journal of
- the International Organization of Psychophysiology. 2012;84(3):246-253.
- 503 9. László KD, Gyorffy Z, Adám S, Csoboth C, Kopp MS. Work-related stress factors and
- menstrual pain: a nation-wide representative survey. Journal of psychosomatic
- obstetrics and gynaecology. 2008;29(2):133-138.
- 506 10. Bariola E, Jack G, Pitts M, Riach K, Sarrel P. Employment conditions and
- 507 work-related stressors are associated with menopausal symptom reporting among
- perimenopausal and postmenopausal women. *Menopause (New York, NY)*.
- 509 2017;24(3):247-251.
- 510 11. Smith D, Wei N, Wang R. Subjective health complaints among female hospital nurses
- in Mainland China. J Occup Health Safety (Aust NZ). 2005;21:51-59.
- 512 12. Hourani LL, Yuan HX, Bray RM. Psychosocial and Lifestyle Correlates of Premenstrual
- 513 Symptoms among Military Women. Journal of Womens Health. 2004;13(7):812-821.

- 514 13. Messing K, Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, Bourgine M, Kaminski M. Menstrual-cycle
- 515 characteristics and work conditions of workers in poultry slaughterhouses and
- 516 canneries. Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health.
- 517 1992;18(5):302-309.
- 518 14. Su SB, Lu CW, Kao YY, Guo HR. Effects of 12-hour rotating shifts on menstrual cycles
- of photoelectronic workers in Taiwan. Chronobiol Int. 2008;25(2):237-248.
- 520 15. Tissot F, Messing K. Perimenstrual Symptoms and Working Conditions Among Hospital
- 521 Workers in Quebec. American journal of industrial medicine. 1995;27 (4):511-522.
- 522 16. Fenster L, Waller K, Chen J, et al. Psychological Stress in the Workplace and
- 523 Menstrual Function. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1999;149(2):127-134.
- 524 17. Gamble KL, Resuehr D, Johnson C. Shift work and circadian dysregulation of
- reproduction. Frontiers in endocrinology. 2013;4:92.
- 526 18. Sellix M, Menaker M. Circadian clocks in mammalian reproductive physiology:
- 527 effects of the "other" biological clock on fertility. Discovery medicine.
- 528 2011;11(59):273-281.
- 529 19. Stocker LJ, Macklon NS, Cheong YC, Bewley SJ. Influence of shift work on early
- 530 reproductive outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol.
- 531 2014;124(1):99-110.
- 532 20. Lim AJ, Huang Z, Chua SE, Kramer MS, Yong EL. Sleep Duration, Exercise, Shift Work
- 533 and Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome-Related Outcomes in a Healthy Population: A
- 534 Cross-Sectional Study. *PloS one.* 2016;11(11):e0167048.
- 535 21. Minguez-Alarcon L, Souter I, Williams PL, et al. Occupational factors and markers
- of ovarian reserve and response among women at a fertility centre. Occup Environ
- 537 *Med.* 2017;74(6):426-431.
- 538 22. Albert-Sabater JA, Martinez JM, Baste V, Moen BE, Ronda-Perez E. Comparison of
- 539 menstrual disorders in hospital nursing staff according to shift work pattern.
- 540 *J Clin Nurs.* 2016;25 (21–22):3291–3299.
- 541 23. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated
- 542 guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ (Clinical research ed).
- 543 2021;372:n71.
- 544 24. Sasaki N, Imamura K, Watanabe K, et al. Psychosocial Factors at Work and Menstrual
- 545 Disorders: a Protocol for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. 2021;
- 546 https://assets.researchsquare.com/files/rs-179301/v1/48845b10-5ec4-4d48-8918-
- 547 3dcf0e0edded.pdf?c=1631873381. Accessed 3 April 2022.
- 548 25. Eguchi H, Watanabe K, Kawakami N, et al. Psychosocial factors at work and
- 549 inflammatory markers: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ open.

- 550 2018;8(8):e022612.
- 551 26. Imamura K, Tsutsumi A, Asai Y, et al. Association between psychosocial factors
- at work and health outcomes after retirement: a protocol for a systematic review
- and meta-analysis. *BMJ open.* 2019;9(8):e030773.
- 554 27. Sakuraya A, Watanabe K, Kawakami N, et al. Work-related psychosocial factors and
- onset of metabolic syndrome among workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis
- 556 protocol. *BMJ open.* 2017;7(6):e016716.
- 557 28. Watanabe K, Sakuraya A, Kawakami N, et al. Work-related psychosocial factors and
- 558 metabolic syndrome onset among workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
- 559 Obes Rev. 2018;19(11):1557-1568.
- 560 29. Soliman AM, Yang H, Du EX, Kelley C, Winkel C. The direct and indirect costs
- associated with endometriosis: a systematic literature review. *Human reproduction*
- 562 (Oxford, England). 2016;31(4):712-722.
- 563 30. Cerqueira RO, Frey BN, Leclerc E, Brietzke E. Vitex agnus castus for premenstrual
- syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder: a systematic review. Archives of
- 565 women's mental health. 2017;20(6):713-719.
- 566 31. Chiaffarino F, Ricci E, Cipriani S, Chiantera V, Parazzini F. Cigarette smoking
- 567 and risk of uterine myoma: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Obstet
- 568 Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2016;197:63-71.
- 569 32. Song JA, Lee MK, Min E, Kim ME, Fike G, Hur MH. Effects of aromatherapy on
- 570 dysmenorrhea: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Nurs Stud.
- 571 2018;84:1-11.
- 572 33. Kim O, Ahn Y, Lee HY, et al. The Korea Nurses' Health Study: A Prospective Cohort
- 573 Study. Journal of women's health (2002). 2017;26(8):892-899.
- 574 34. Hjollund NH, Kold Jensen T, Bonde JP, et al. Job strain and time to pregnancy.
- Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health. 1998;24(5):344-350.
- 576 35. Schernhammer ES, Rosner B, Willett WC, Laden F, Colditz GA, Hankinson SE.
- 577 Epidemiology of Urinary Melatonin in Women and Its Relation to Other Hormones and
- Night Work. Cancer Epidem Biomar. 2004;13(6):936-943.
- 579 36. Wang Y, Gu F, Deng M, et al. Rotating shift work and menstrual characteristics
- in a cohort of Chinese nurses. BMC Womens Health. 2016;16:24.
- 581 37. Jeyaseelan L, Rao PS. Effect of occupation on menstrual cycle length: causal model.
- 582 *Hum Biol.* 1995;67(2):283-290.
- 583 38. Burdorf A, Brand T, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, Mackenbach JP, Steegers EA. The effects
- of work-related maternal risk factors on time to pregnancy, preterm birth and birth
- weight: the Generation R Study. Occup Environ Med. 2011;68(3):197-204.

- 586 39. Wang XS, Travis RC, Reeves G, et al. Characteristics of the Million Women Study
- 587 participants who have and have not worked at night. Scandinavian journal of work,
- 588 environment & health. 2012;38(6):590-599.
- 589 40. Lawson CC, Johnson CY, Chavarro JE, et al. Work schedule and physically demanding
- 590 work in relation to menstrual function: the Nurses' Health Study 3. Scandinavian
- 591 *journal of work, environment & health.* 2015;41(2):194-203.
- 592 41. Tabernero-Rico PM, Garcia-Velasco JA. Observational study of the social
- 593 determinants of health in subfertile versus nonsubfertile women. Journal of human
- 594 reproductive sciences. 2019;12(3):240.
- 595 42. Zhu JL, Knudsen LE, Andersen AM, Hjollund NH, Olsen J. Time to pregnancy among
- Danish laboratory technicians who were a part of the National Birth Cohort.
- 597 Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health. 2005;31(2):108-114.
- 598 43. Potter J, Bouyer J, Trussell J, Moreau C. Premenstrual syndrome prevalence and
- fluctuation over time: results from a French population-based survey. *Journal of*
- 600 women's health (2002). 2009;18(1):31-39.
- 601 44. Assadi SN. Is Being a Health-care Worker a Risk Factor for Women's Reproductive
- System? nternational Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2013;4(7).
- 603 45. Gaskins AJ, Chavarro JE, Rich-Edwards JW, et al. Occupational use of high-level
- disinfectants and fecundity among nurses. Scandinavian journal of work,
- 605 environment & health. 2017;43(2):171-180.
- 606 46. Sun S, Chen F. Women's employment trajectories during early adulthood in urban
- 607 China: A cohort comparison. Soc Sci Res. 2017;68:43-58.
- 608 47. Geukes M, Anema JR, van Aalst MP, de Menezes RX, Oosterhof H. Improvement of
- 609 menopausal symptoms and the impact on work ability: A retrospective cohort pilot
- 610 study. *Maturitas*. 2019;120:23-28.
- 611 48. Kuczmierczyk AR, Labrum AH, Johnson CC. PERCEPTION OF FAMILY AND WORK ENVIRONMENTS
- IN WOMEN WITH PREMENSTRUAL SYNDROME. Journal of psychosomatic research.
- 613 1992;36(8):787-795.
- 614 49. Davydov DM, Shapiro D, Goldstein IB, Chicz-DeMet A. Moods in everyday situations:
- 615 effects of menstrual cycle, work, and stress hormones. Journal of psychosomatic
- 616 research. 2005;58(4):343-349.
- 617 50. Weller L, Weller A, Koresh-Kamin H, Ben-Shoshan R. Menstrual synchrony in a sample
- of working women. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 1999;24(4):449-459.
- 619 51. Woods NF, Mitchell ES, Percival DB, Smith-DiJulio K. Is the menopausal transition
- 620 stressful? Observations of perceived stress from the Seattle Midlife Women's
- 621 Health Study. *Menopause (New York, NY)*. 2009;16(1):90-97.

- 622 52. Dagher RK, McGovern PM, Dowd BE, Lundberg U. Postpartum depressive symptoms and
- the combined load of paid and unpaid work: a longitudinal analysis. *Int Arch Occup*
- 624 Environ Health. 2011;84(7):735-743.
- 625 53. Lynch R, Lummaa V, Panchanathan K, et al. Integration involves a trade-off between
- fertility and status for World War II evacuees. *Nat Hum Behav.* 2019;3(4):337-345.
- 627 54. Michels KA, Mendola P, Schliep KC, et al. The influences of sleep duration,
- 628 chronotype, and nightwork on the ovarian cycle. *Chronobiol Int.*
- 629 2020;37(2):260-271.
- 630 55. Willis SK, Hatch EE, Wesselink AK, Rothman KJ, Mikkelsen EM, Wise LA. Female sleep
- patterns, shift work, and fecundability in a North American preconception cohort
- 632 study. Fertility and sterility. 2019;111(6):1201-1210 e1201.
- 633 56. Stock D, Knight JA, Raboud J, et al. Rotating night shift work and menopausal age.
- 634 *Human reproduction (Oxford, England).* 2019;34(3):539-548.
- 635 57. Gaskins AJ, Rich-Edwards JW, Lawson CC, Schernhammer ES, Missmer SA, Chavarro JE.
- Work schedule and physical factors in relation to fecundity in nurses. Occup
- 637 Environ Med. 2015;72(11):777-783.
- 638 58. Schernhammer ES, Vitonis AF, Rich-Edwards J, Missmer SA. Rotating nightshift work
- and the risk of endometriosis in premenopausal women. Am J Obstet Gynecol.
- 640 2011;205(5):476 e471-478.
- 641 59. Barzilai-Pesach V, Sheiner EK, Sheiner E, Potashnik G, Shoham-Vardi I. The effect
- of women's occupational psychologic stress on outcome of fertility treatments.
- 643 Journal of occupational and environmental medicine. 2006;48(1):56-62.
- 644 60. Spinelli A, Figà-Talamanca I, Osborn J. Time to pregnancy and occupation in a group
- of Italian women. International journal of epidemiology. 1997;26(3):601-609.
- 646 61. Tuntiseranee P, Olsen J, Geater A, Kor-anantakul O. Are long working hours and
- 647 shiftwork risk factors for subfecundity? A study among couples from southern
- Thailand. Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 1998;55(2):99-105.
- 649 62. Zhu JL, Hjollund N, Boggild H, Olsen J. Shift work and subfecundity: a causal link
- or an artefact? Occupational and environmental medicine. 2003;60(9):e12-e12.
- 651 63. Bisanti L, Olsen J, Basso O, Thonneau P, Karmaus W. Shift work and subfecundity:
- 652 a European multicenter study. Journal of occupational and environmental medicine.
- 653 1996;38(4):352-358.
- 654 64. Bleil ME, Adler NE, Pasch LA, et al. Depressive symptomatology, psychological
- stress, and ovarian reserve: a role for psychological factors in ovarian aging?
- 656 Menopause (New York, NY). 2012;19(11):1176.
- 657 65. Muka T, Oliver-Williams C, Kunutsor S, et al. Association of age at onset of

658		menopause and time since onset of menopause with cardiovascular outcomes,
659		intermediate vascular traits, and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and
660		meta-analysis. JAMA cardiology. 2016;1(7):767-776.
661	66.	Burch JB, Yost MG, Johnson W, Allen E. Melatonin, sleep, and shift work adaptation.
662		Journal of occupational and environmental medicine. 2005;47(9):893-901.
663	67.	Cos S, Martínez – Campa C, Mediavilla MD, Sánchez – Barceló EJ. Melatonin modulates
664		aromatase activity in MCF – 7 human breast cancer cells. <i>Journal of pineal research</i> .
665		2005;38(2):136-142.
666	68.	Marino JL, Holt VL, Chen C, Davis S. Shift work, hCLOCK T3111C polymorphism, and
667		endometriosis risk. <i>Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass)</i> . 2008;19(3):477.
668		

Table 1 Eligibility criteria in the present systematic review

-	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Design	Longitudinal or prospective cohort design	Outcome was not assessed at least at two time point.
	design	 Menstrual cycle was cross-sectionally
		analyzed as an independent unit.
Participants	Adult female workers (over 18 years)	Pregnant workers
1 articipants	old)	Clinical students
Exposures	Presence of adverse psychosocial	N/A
Exposures	factors at work	N/A
		NT/A
Comparisons	Absence of adverse psychosocial	N/A
	factors at work	
Outcomes	Menstrual disorders and related	• Pregnancy-related outcomes (e.g.,
	symptoms: 1) diverse menstrual	premature birth)
	dysfunctions (e.g., cycle disorder,	• Malignant outcomes (e.g., cancer)
	hypermenorrhea), 2) gynecological	
	disease/syndrome (e.g., endometriosis,	
	polycystic ovarian syndrome), 3)	
	menstrual-related symptoms (e.g.,	
	PMS, menopausal symptoms)	
	• Reproductive outcomes (e.g.,	
	infertility, time to be pregnant)	
	Biological outcomes (e.g., serum sex	
	hormone)	
Article type	Original article published by	Conference abstract
	peer-reviewed journal (including	Letter/correspondence
	advanced online publication)	
Language	Written in English or Japanese	N/A

Table 2. The descriptions of the included studies (N=6).

1 st author, year, (country)	Design (cohort name, duration)	Baseline N (N for analysis)	Participants	Exposure	Comparison	Outcome	F/U (%)	Main results
Michels KA., 2020 (U.S.)	Prospective cohort (The BioCycle Study, 2005–2007)	259 (259)	Healthy, regularly menstruating women aged 18–44 years, who did not used oral contraceptives, other medications or vitamins; had not been pregnant in the last six months; did not have any diagnoses of chronic conditions; did not have gynecologic surgeries.	With night/shift work.	Without night/shift work.	Reproductive hormone	Eight times across one to two menstrual cycle (100%)	Women reporting night/shift work (n = 77) had lower testosterone (percent difference = -9.9% [95% CI: -18.4 to -0.4]). No significant relationship in estradiol, ovulatory LH, FSH, ovulatory FSH, luteal progesterone, and the risk of sporadic anovulation.
Willis SK., 2019 (Canada and U.S.)	Prospective cohort (PRESTO)	8,772 (6,873)	Women aged 21 to 45 years, attempting pregnancy, who are not using contraception or fertility treatment.	Working rotating shifts (hours that shift in a predictable way from day-to-day, week-to-week, or month-to-month) in the past month.	No night/shift work	Fecundability (per-cycle probability of conception)	Every 8 weeks or until reported conception, initiation of fertility treatment, cessation of pregnancy attempts. (86.2% a)	No association between shift work and fecundability.
Stock D., 2019 (U.S.)	Prospective cohort (NHS2 1989-2011)	116,429 (80,840)	Female registered nurses between ages 25 and 42, who did not experience natural or medically induced menopause; did not use menopausal hormone therapy (HT), or did not	Rotating night shift (recent number of months worked rotating night shifts, which defined as working	Never worked on rotating nightshifts	Age at natural menopause over 22 years of follow-up/ earlier menopause younger than 45 years	Every 2 years follow-up questionnaire s were sent to cohort members. Response rates to the	Women who had worked 10–19 months of rotating night shifts had the largest point estimate (HR = 1.11 [95% CI: 1.01 to 1.21]) and women who had worked ≥20 months had similar result (HR = 1.09 [95% CI:

have cancer diagnosis
(excluding
non-melanoma skin
cancer).

sometime between midnight and 2 AM).

Gaskins	Prospective	38,016
AJ., 2015	cohort	(1,739)
(U.S. and	(NHS3	
Canada)	2014-2017)	

Female registered nurses trying to get pregnant.

Typical work schedule over past year (evenings only/ nights only/ rotating with nights/ rotating no nights);

weekly hours of nursing work over past year (1-20h/>40h); frequency of night work in past month (<1/ 2-3/>3); duration of rotating night shifts (<1y/ 1-2y/ >3y); duration of permanent night shifts (<1y/ 1-2y/ >3y); duration of

night shifts (<1y/

>4y); duration of

1-1.9y/ 2-4y/

Typical work schedule over past year (days only); weekly hours of nursing work over past year (21-40h); frequency of night work in past month (none); duration of rotating night shifts (never); duration of permanent night shifts (never); duration of night shifts (never); duration of standing/walking (5-8h); frequency of moving or lifting a heavy

load (none).

NHS 2 questionnaire s have been at 90% throughout the follow-up period.

Every 6 months (no data available)

The current

ongoing

attempt.

pregnancy

duration of their

1.02 to 1.16]). Cumulative rotating night work of 10 or more years was also associated with a higher risk of menopause among women reaching menopause under age 45 (HR10-19 years = 1.22)[95% CI: 1.03 to 1.44]; $HR \ge 20 \text{ years} = 1.73 \text{ } [95\%]$ CI: 0.90 to 3.35]). Working over 40 hours per week was related to increasing 20% (95% CI 7% to 35%) the median duration of pregnancy attempt compared to working 21-40 h/week (p =0.006). work-related confounders, women lifting or moving a

After adjustment for demographic and heavy load >15 times per day had a 49% (95% CI 20% to 85%) longer median duration of pregnancy attempt (p =0.002).

Typical work schedules over the past year, frequency of night work in the past month and duration of rotating or non-rotating night shifts were not also significantly associated with fecundity.

				standing/walking (0 or less than 1h/1-4h/>8h); frequency of moving or lifting a heavy load (1-5/6-15/>15 times).				
Schernha mmer ES., 2011 (US)	Prospective cohort (NHS 2, 1989-2005)	89,400 (2,062)	Female registered nurses of ages 25-42 years, without the diagnosis of endometriosis or a history of infertility at baseline.	Duration of work on rotating nightshift (1-4.9yr / ≥ 5yr).	Never worked on rotating nightshifts.	Diagnosis of endometriosis by laparoscopic confirmation.	Every 2 years, follow-up questionnaire s were sent to cohort members. Response rates to the NHS II questionnaire s have been at 90% throughout the follow-up period.	Overall, there was no association between rotating nightshift work and risk of endometriosis. When the cases were categorized by infertility status, risk was elevated among women with concurrent infertility and ≥5 years of rotating nightshift work (RR = 1.71 [95% CI: 1.18 to 2.49]; P for trend =0.005), compared with women with no rotating nightshift work.
Barzilai-P esach V., 2006 (Israel)	Prospective cohort (1999-2000).	160 (75)	Female working patients with a fertility problem, who attended the Fertility and In-Vitro Fertilization clinics at the Soroka University Medical Center.	Job demands (high), type of job (full-time job), decision latitude (minimal), shift work (yes).	Job demands (low), Type of job (part-time), decision latitude (high), shift work (no).	Conception in which the data was obtained from the clinical records.	18 months (100%)	Women with high job demand were less likely to conceive (RR = 0.60 [95% CI: 0.42 to 0.96]). No significant association of decision latitude, nor shift work with conception.

a. The authors calculated from the data described in the paper (5926/6873*100).

NHS: The Nurses' Health Study. PRESTO: Pregnancy Study Online

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.17.22276473; this version posted June 17, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Table 3. Summary of risk of bias assessment for the included studies analyzed by ROBINS-I (N=6).

	Michels et al	Willis et al.	Stock et al.	Gaskins et al.	Schernhammer	Barzilai-Pesach
	(2020) #3722	(2019) #4093	(2019) #4307	(2015)#7240	et al.	et al.
					(2011)#9737	(2006)#11436
1. Confounding	S	S	M	S	S	S
2. Selection of Participants	L	L	L	L	S	S
3. Classification of intervention	M	M	M	M	S	S
4. Deviations from intended interventions	L	L	L	L	L	L
5. Missing data	S	M	S	L	S	S
6. Measurement of outcomes	L	S	S	S	L	L
7. Selection of reported result	S	M	S	S	S	S
Overall judgment	S	S	S	S	S	S

Note: L: low risk of bias, M: moderate risk of bias, S: serious risk of bias, C: critical risk of bias, N: no information

ROBINS-I: The risk of bias in observational studies of exposures

Identification of studies via databases Records identified from database: (n=12,868) Identification Records removed PubMed: n=2,823 version posted **be force. Ser exprint** of this preiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.00.17.2227077. was Eq. (PBi/AS to peer to ever in the author/funder, which is made available under a CO Duplicate records PsycINFO/Articles: n=608 removed (n=1,435) Japan Medical Abstracts Society: n=97 Records excluded: Records screened (n=11,433) (n=11,395)Reports sought for retrieval Reports not retrieved (n=0)(n=38)Screening Reports assessed for eligibility Reports excluded: (n=38)(n=32) Design: n=13 Participants: n=4 Exposures: n=10 Outcomes: n=2 Article type: n=1 Duplicate: n=2 Studies included in review (n=6)Reports of included studies

Figure 1 PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram of systematic review search results.

(n=6)

Appendix 1

Search terms for PubMed

(employe*[tw] OR manag*[tw] OR colleague*[tw] OR worksit*[tw] OR "work"[tw] OR works*[tw] OR work'*[tw] OR worka*[tw] OR worke*[tw] OR workg*[tw] OR worki*[tw] OR workl*[tw] OR workp*[tw] OR occupant*[tw] OR company*[tw] OR offic*[tw] OR busines*[tw] OR workplace[mh]) AND (("Stress, Mechanical"[Mesh] OR "Lifting"[Mesh] OR "Moving and Lifting Patients" [Mesh] OR "Weight-Bearing" [Mesh] OR "Biomechanics" OR "Physical Exertion" [Mesh] OR "Torsion, Mechanical" [Mesh] OR "Postural Balance"[Mesh] "Walking"[Mesh] OR "Recovery of Function" [Mesh] OR "Relaxation"[Mesh] OR (static[Title/Abstract] AND posture) OR (awkward[Title/Abstract] AND posture) (dynamic[Title/Abstract] AND posture) OR static work[Title/Abstract] OR dynamic load*[Title/Abstract] OR lift*[Title/Abstract] OR carry*[Title/Abstract] OR hold*[Title/Abstract] OR pull*[Title/Abstract] OR drag*[Title/Abstract] OR push*[Title/Abstract] OR manual handling[Title/Abstract] OR force*[Title/Abstract] OR biomechanic*[Title/Abstract] OR walking*[Title/Abstract] OR postural balance[Title/Abstract] OR flexion*[Title/Abstract] OR extension*[Title/Abstract] OR turning[Title/Abstract] OR sitting[Title/Abstract] OR kneeling[Title/Abstract] OR squatting[Title/Abstract] OR twisting[Title/Abstract] OR bending[Title/Abstract] OR reaching[Title/Abstract] standing[Title/Abstract] OR OR OR movement*[Title/Abstract] sedentary[Title/Abstract] repetitive OR monotonous work[Title/Abstract] OR relaxation[Title/Abstract] OR recovery of function[Title/Abstract] OR physical demand*[Title/Abstract] OR physically demand*[Title/Abstract]) OR ("Stress, Psychological" [Majr] OR "Social Support" [Majr] OR "Job Satisfaction" [Mesh] OR "Work Schedule "Employee Tolerance"[Mesh] OR Performance Appraisal"[Mesh] OR "Employee Grievances" [Mesh] OR "Social Justice/psychology" [Mesh] OR "Personnel Downsizing" [Mesh] OR "Staff Development" [Mesh] OR "Organizational Culture" [Mesh] OR "Bullying" [Mesh] OR "Prejudice" [Mesh] OR "Social Discrimination" [Mesh] OR "Interpersonal Relations" [Mesh] OR "Communication/psychology"[Mesh]) OR (psychosocial[Title/Abstract] strain[Title/Abstract] OR work strain[Title/Abstract] OR work demand*[Title/Abstract] OR job demand*[Title/Abstract] OR high demand*[Title/Abstract] OR low control[Title/Abstract] OR lack of control[Title/Abstract] OR work control[Title/Abstract] OR job control[Title/Abstract] OR OR influence*[Title/Abstract] decision latitude[Title/Abstract] work OR demand resource*[Title/Abstract] OR effort reward*[Title/Abstract] OR time pressure*[Title/Abstract] OR recuperation*[Title/Abstract] OR work overload*[Title/Abstract] OR work over-load*[Title/Abstract] OR recovery[Title/Abstract] OR coping[Title/Abstract] OR work ability[Title/Abstract] OR social support[Title/Abstract] OR support system*[Title/Abstract] OR

network*[Title/Abstract] OR emotional support[Title/Abstract] OR interpersonal relation*[Title/Abstract] OR interaction*[Title/Abstract] OR social capital [Title/Abstract] OR justice*[Title/Abstract] OR injustice*[Title/Abstract] OR job satisfaction[Title/Abstract] OR work satisfaction[Title/Abstract] OR boredom[Title/Abstract] OR skill discretion*[Title/Abstract] OR staff development[Title/Abstract] OR discrimination[Title/Abstract] OR harass*[Title/Abstract] OR work-place conflict*[Title/Abstract] OR workplace violen*[Title/Abstract] OR work-place violen*[Title/Abstract] OR bullying[Title/Abstract] OR ageism[Title/Abstract] OR homophobia[Title/Abstract] OR racism[Title/Abstract] OR sexism[Title/Abstract] OR victimization*[Title/Abstract] OR silent workplace*[Title/Abstract] OR role ambiguity[Title/Abstract] OR role-conflict*[Title/Abstract] OR work-role*[Title/Abstract] OR working hour*[Title/Abstract] OR working time[Title/Abstract] OR day-time[Title/Abstract] OR night-time[Title/Abstract] OR shift work*[Title/Abstract] OR work shift*[Title/Abstract] OR temporary work[Title/Abstract] OR full-time[Title/Abstract] OR part-time[Title/Abstract] OR flexible work*[Title/Abstract] OR organizational change[Title/Abstract] OR organisational change[Title/Abstract] OR lean production[Title/Abstract] OR job security[Title/Abstract] OR job insecurity[Title/Abstract])) AND (menstruation OR menstrual OR "menstrual pain" OR "period pain" OR "period pains" OR menorrhagia OR hypermenorrhea OR dysmenorrhea[MeSH] OR premenstrual OR "PMS" OR "premenstrual syndrome" OR "PMDD" OR "premenstrual dysphoric disorder" OR amenorrhea OR menoxenia OR metrorrhagia OR endometrio* OR myoma* OR leiomyoma OR fibroids OR adenomyosis OR ovarian cyst* OR endometrioma OR polycystic ovar* OR menopaus* OR hot flush* OR climacteric OR estrogen* OR estradiol OR progesterone* OR prolactin OR lutenizing OR LH OR "lutenizing hormone" OR follicul* OR FSH OR "follicle stimulating hormone" OR gonadotropin OR GnRH OR "gonadotropin releasing hormone" OR "basal body temperature" OR infertility OR fertility OR fecund* OR subfecundity OR reproductiv*) AND (longitudinal OR prospective OR cohort OR (follow AND up) OR observational OR (case AND (control or cohort)) OR case-control OR case-cohort)

Appendix 2: GRADE overview.

	Quality Assessment									
Studies	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	Overall Quality of Evidence				
Fecundabilit	y	•		,						
3 studies ^a	Very serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ^e	Very serious ^g	Very low quality				
Diagnosis of	Diagnosis of endometriosis									
1 study ^b	Very serious	NA ^f	NA ^f	NA ^f	Very serious ^g	Very low quality				
Age at natur	al menopause									
1 study ^c	Very serious	NA ^f	NA ^f	NA ^f	Very serious ^g	Very low quality				
Reproductiv	e hormone									
1 study ^d	Very serious	NA ^f	NA ^f	NA ^f	Very serious ^g	Very low quality				

^a Willis SK., 2019; Gaskins AJ., 2015; Barzilai-Pesach V., 2006. ^b Schernhammer ES., 2011.

^c Stock D., 2019. ^d Michels KA., 2020.

e one study has small sample size f not applicable since no pooled estimate was calculated heterogeneity

g no protocol available