Accelerated Aging Mediates the Associations of Unhealthy Lifestyles with 1 Cardiovascular Disease, Cancer, and Mortality: 2 3 **Two Large Prospective Cohort Studies** 4 **Authors:** 5 Xueqin Li^{1#}, Xingqi Cao^{1#}, Jingyun Zhang^{1#}, Jinjing Fu¹, Mayila Mohedaner¹, 6 Danzengzhuoga¹, Xiaoyi Sun¹, Gan Yang¹, Zhenqing Yang¹, Chia-Ling Kuo², Xi Chen³, 7 ⁴, Alan A Cohen⁵, Zuyun Liu^{1*} 8 **Affiliations:** 9 ¹Department of Big Data in Health Science School of Public Health and Center for 10 Clinical Big Data and Analytics Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School 11 of Medicine, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China 12 ²Department of Community Medicine and Health Care, Connecticut Convergence 13 Institute for Translation in Regenerative Engineering, Institute for Systems Genomics, 14 University of Connecticut Health, Farmington, CT 06030, USA 15 ³Department of Health Policy and Management, Yale School of Public Health, New 16 Haven, CT 06520, USA 17 ⁴Department of Economics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA 18 ⁵Department of Family Medicine, Research Centre on Aging, CHUS Research Centre, 19 University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada 20 21 *These authors contributed equally to this work. 22 *Corresponding author: 23 Zuyun Liu, Professor, Department of Big Data in Health Science School of Public 24 Health and Center for Clinical Big Data and Analytics Second Affiliated Hospital, 25 Zhejiang University School of Medicine, 866 Yuhangtang Rd, Hangzhou, 310058, 26 Zhejiang, China. Telephone: +86-0571-87077127. Email: Zuyun.liu@outlook.com or 27 zuyunliu@zju.edu.cn 28 29

Abstract

 With a well-validated aging measure – Phenotypic Age Acceleration (PhenoAgeAccel), this study examined whether and to what extent aging mediates the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes. Data were from 405,944 adults (40-69 years) from UK Biobank (UKB) and 9,972 adults (20-84 years) from US National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES). The mediation proportion of PhenoAgeAccel in associations of unhealthy lifestyles with incident cardiovascular disease, incident cancer, and all-cause mortality were 20.0%, 17.8%, and 26.6% (P values <0.001) in UKB, respectively. The mediation proportion of PhenoAgeAccel in associations of lifestyles with cancer mortality, and all-cause mortality were 25.7%, and 35.2% (P values <0.05) in NHANES, respectively. This study demonstrated that accelerated aging partially mediated the associations of lifestyles with adverse health outcomes in UK and US populations. The findings reveal a novel pathway and the potential of geroprotective programs in mitigating health inequality in late-life beyond lifestyle interventions.

Keywords: Lifestyles: Phenotypic aging: Adverse health outcomes; Mediation analysis

Introduction

Unhealthy lifestyle factors, such as lack of exercise ¹, unhealthy diet ², and smoking ³, are independently associated with multiple adverse health outcomes ⁴⁻⁶, such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality. Furthermore, such factors are associated with each other and tend to have synergistic effects on health ^{7,8}. A few randomized controlled trials have shown that multi-domain and intensive lifestyle interventions as a whole could improve cognitive function and reduce the prevalence of frailty, a geriatric syndrome ⁹⁻¹¹. Despite the observed benefit of lifestyle interventions, the potential mechanisms explaining how healthy lifestyles contribute to the reduction of adverse health outcomes remain poorly understood.

Accelerated aging is the primary risk factor for chronic diseases and death ¹². Geroscience researchers hypothesize that therapies or preventive programs targeting aging would alleviate the incidence or severity of most chronic diseases ¹². Interestingly, emerging evidence suggests that unhealthy lifestyles are associated with the acceleration of aging in various populations ^{11,13-18}. For instance, smoking and higher BMI are found to be strongly associated with biological aging ¹⁸. Thus, we hypothesize that aging could play a mediating role in the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes, which, however, has rarely been investigated in previous literature.

Based on multi-system blood biomarkers, we have previously developed a novel aging measure, Phenotypic Age Acceleration (PhenoAgeAccel) ¹⁹⁻²². We have demonstrated that this comprehensive aging measure could predict mortality and morbidity across different subpopulations, even among those who are disease-free ²². This aging measure provides a useful indicator for differentiating at-risk individuals and evaluating the efficacy of interventions, and it facilitates the investigation of mechanisms of aging ²⁰. Here, with this validated aging measure, we tested the hypothesis that lifestyle interventions impact health outcomes (CVD, cancer, and mortality) via their effects on aging rates. We used data from the UK Biobank (UKB) and the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), which comprised nationally representative and large samples of participants in the UK and the US. More specifically, this study aimed to examine: (1) the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with aging; (2) the associations of aging with adverse health outcomes; and (3) the mediating role of aging in the association of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes.

Results

Population characteristics

Table 1 shows sex-specific characteristics of UKB (N= 405,944) and NHANES participants (N= 9,972), respectively. In UKB, the chronological age and PhenoAge of participants were 57.0 years (SD= 8.0) and 53.2 years (SD= 10.0); In NHANES, the chronological age and PhenoAge of participants were 49.6 years (SD= 17.6) and 43.3 years (SD= 19.8), respectively. In UKB, we recorded 29,726 incident CVD cases, 34,413 incident cancer cases, and 27,471 deaths during a mean follow-up of 11.9 years. In NHANES, we recorded 258 CVD deaths, 325 cancer deaths, and 1,374 all-cause

- deaths during a mean follow-up of 9.8 years. The proportion of participants with 91
- favorable, intermediate, and unfavorable lifestyles were 23.0%, 59.9%, and 17.1% in 92
- UKB, and 24.4%, 66.4%, and 9.2% in NHANES, respectively. The distributions of 93
- unhealthy lifestyle scores of UKB and NHANES were shown in Fig. S1 and Fig. S2. 94
- Associations of unhealthy lifestyles with aging (Path a) 95
- 96 The unhealthy lifestyles score was positively associated with PhenoAgeAccel (UKB:
- β =0.741± 0.008 SE, P<0.001; NHANES: β =0.874± 0.064 SE, P<0.001) (**Table S1**). 97
- Associations of aging with adverse health outcomes (Path b) 98
- PhenoAgeAccel was positively associated with the risk of adverse health outcomes in 99
- both UKB and NHANES, as we observed in the previous study ²². For instance, for 100
- each one-year increase in PhenoAgeAccel, the risk of incident CVD, incident cancer, 101
- and all-cause mortality increased by 4% (hazard ratios [HR]:1.04, 95% confidence 102
- 103 intervals [CIs]: 1.04, 1.05), 2% (HR: 1.02, 95%CI: 1.02, 1.02), and 7% (HR: 1.07,
- 95%CI: 1.07, 1.07), respectively in UKB (**Table S2**). 104
- Mediations analysis of aging on associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse 105
- health outcomes (Path c) 106
- **Table 2** presents the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes 107
- and the mediation proportion of PhenoAgeAccel in adverse health outcomes attributed 108
- to unhealthy lifestyles. In UKB, unhealthy lifestyles were significantly associated with 109
- adverse health outcomes. When unfavorable lifestyles were compared with favorable 110
- lifestyles, the risks of incident CVD, incident cancer, and all-cause mortality were 111
- increased by 59% (HR: 1.59, 95%CI: 1.53, 1.65), 33% (HR: 1.33, 95%CI: 1.29, 1.38), 112
- and 84% (HR: 1.84, 95%CI: 1.77, 1.92) in model 1, respectively. After further adjusting 113
- 114 PhenoAgeAccel in model 2, the magnitude of increased risk of incident CVD, incident
- cancer, and all-cause mortality was reduced. The mediation proportion of 115
- PhenoAgeAccel in associations of unhealthy lifestyles with risk of incident CVD, 116
- incident cancer, and all-cause mortality were 20.0%, 17.8%, and 26.6% (all P values < 117
- 0.001), respectively. 118
- In NHANES, unhealthy lifestyles were significantly associated with adverse health 119
- 120 outcomes as well. Although the association of unhealthy lifestyles with the risk of CVD
- mortality was not statistically significant, effect sizes were broadly consistent with the 121
- findings from NHANES and the analyses appear to be underpowered due to a small 122
- number of cases in the favorable lifestyle group. Similarly, after further adjusting for 123
- PhenoAgeAccel in model 2, the magnitude of increased risk of CVD mortality, cancer 124 mortality, and all-cause mortality was reduced. The mediation proportion of 125
- PhenoAgeAccel in associations of lifestyles with risk of CVD mortality, cancer 126
- mortality, and all-cause mortality were 43.9% (P values = 0.100), 25.7%, and 35.2% 127
- (all P values < 0.05), respectively. 128
- Sensitivity analyses 129
- First, using another aging measure—Biological Age Acceleration (BioAgeAccel), we 130
- observed similar results, but the mediation proportion of BioAgeAccel (ranging from 131
- 1.9% to 18.3% in UKB and 1.1% to 8.1% in NHANES) was smaller than that of 132
- PhenoAgeAccel (Table S3-S7). Second, the mediation proportion of PhenoAgeAccel 133
- in associations of lifestyles with incident CVD was slightly smaller in women than that 134

in men (P for interaction< 0.001). The above sex-specific results were not observed in NHANES (**Table S6**). Third, after excluding outcomes that occurred within the first two years of follow-up, the association between unhealthy lifestyles and adverse health outcomes was maintained and the mediation proportion of PhenoAgeAccel in associations of lifestyles with adverse health outcomes did not change substantially (**Table S7**). Fourth, the difference method showed similar results (**Table S8**).

Discussion

Based on the two large population-based cohort studies, this study found that accelerated aging partially mediated the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes (CVD, cancer, and mortality) in the UK and US populations. The mediation proportion ranged from 17.8 % to 26.6% in UKB and 25.7% to 35.2% in NHANES. The findings reveal a novel pathway linking unhealthy lifestyles to adverse health outcomes and the potential for lifestyle intervention.

To our knowledge, studies investigating the mediating role of aging in the association of lifestyles with adverse health outcomes are scarce, with one exception by our group ²³. In this previous study of older Chinese adults, aging is suggested to partially mediate the association of lifestyles with mortality. In the present study, we enlarged the age range by including a large sample size of participants aged 40-69 years old in UKB and 20-84 years old in NHANES. We further included incident CVD and cancer in UKB, and CVD mortality and cancer mortality in NHANES for adverse health outcomes, because CVD and cancer are the leading causes of increased disability-adjusted life-years and mortality globally ^{24,25}. We extended our previous observation to more general populations and more adverse health outcomes, enhancing the significance of the findings in disease prevention and intervention.

The explanation of the mediating role of aging in the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with CVD, cancer, and mortality might be related to inflammation/immunity. First, unhealthy lifestyles, such as smoking, and obesity, are likely to contribute to accelerated aging by triggering low-grade chronic inflammation ²⁶⁻²⁸, which increases individuals' susceptibility to diverse adverse outcomes. Second, PhenoAgeAccel is found to be associated with increased activation of pro-inflammatory pathways (16). Additionally, as blood biomarkers involved in PhenoAge could reflect individuals' status of inflammation (i.e., C-reactive protein) and immune system (i.e., lymphocyte percent, mean (red) cell volume, red cell distribution width, and white blood cell count), PhenoAgeAccel could capture risks of multiple diseases from the preclinical perspective. Genes linked to PhenoAgeAccel are overrepresented in the immune system, carbohydrate homeostasis pathways, and cell function, suggesting that the effect of unhealthy lifestyles on accelerated aging may be through multiple pathways including inflammation/immune pathways (17). Third, inflammation and dysfunctional immune function contribute to the pathogenesis of multiple diseases, such as CVD and cancer, which eventually contribute to death ^{29,30}. Based on the evidence above, we speculate that unhealthy lifestyles lead to the pathogenesis of disease through accelerated aging (unhealthy lifestyles → accelerated aging (inflammation and immune dysfunction) → adverse health outcomes).

Using another aging measure—BioAgeAccel, we observed similar results though with weaker effect sizes, which enhanced our speculation that aging partially mediated the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with CVD, cancer, and mortality. Researchers revealed that genes linked to BioAgeAccel were enriched in lipid-related pathways 31 which were reported to be associated with the regulation of aging and longevity ²⁰. Since dysregulation in lipid metabolism is another prominent pathway in the pathogenesis of CVD and cancer ^{32,33}, there may be another pathway that unhealthy lifestyles lead to the pathogenesis of disease through aging (unhealthy lifestyles \rightarrow accelerated aging (lipid metabolism) -> adverse health outcomes). The mutual verification of the mediating role of aging by two aging measures further tells us that if more aging measures are available, we could capture aging more comprehensively. Many aging measures are under development, involving a single hallmark of aging (eg, DNA methylation) ³⁴ or composite aging measures by integrating multi-omics data ³⁵. Hence, we have the opportunity to further understand the important role of aging, a complex process, in the pathway linking unhealthy lifestyles to adverse health outcomes. Future studies are needed to validate our findings using more aging measures.

The associations of unhealthy lifestyles with CVD, cancer, and mortality observed in this study highlight the potential of multi-domain lifestyle interventions (i.e., healthy diet, no smoking, no alcohol consumption, regular exercise, and healthy BMI) in disease prevention. As the implementation of multi-domain interventions in preventing cognitive decline and frailty has been suggested to be effective ⁹⁻¹¹, it is meaningful to extend such interventions to CVD, cancer, and even aging itself. Besides, from the point of view of pharmacological intervention, many anti-aging drugs have been developed such as caloric restriction mimetics (resveratrol, rapamycin, metformin), senolytics, and synthetic sirtuin activators, which are promising to alleviate or delay age-related conditions ³⁶⁻⁴⁰. With a more comprehensive understanding of aging hallmarks, more aging interventions are expected to flourish. Likewise, advances in biomedical research may bring us the possibility of anti-aging and delaying the onset of diseases in the future.

We caution that it would premature to interpret our results as showing that lifestyle interventions reduce adverse health outcomes by slowing aging. While PhenoAge and BioAge well-validated metrics related to the aging process, their precise interpretation as metrics of aging is complicated by the lack of consensus on what aging is ^{41,42}. For example, it is possible that many of the adverse health outcomes observed today are a result of the cumulative impact of industrialized lifestyles over decades, and not of the basic human biology of aging. In that case, PhenoAge and BioAge might at least partially reflect these aging-independent lifestyle impacts, and we could still see the relationships observed here. At a practical level, such nuances could be important to disentangle mechanisms and help tailor interventions to specific aspects of aging and/or health that are most important for preventing adverse outcomes.

The strengths of our study included the large sample sizes of middle-aged and older adults from the UK and the US and the use of two well-validated aging measures. Nevertheless, several limitations should be noted. First, the assessment of lifestyle was based on self-reported information and could be subject to bias. Second, the UKB and NHANES participants are healthier than the general population and are mostly

Caucasian. Hence, our findings may not be generalizable to other populations. Third, there was likely residual confounding even though we controlled for various covariates. Future studies are needed to further validate our findings in other populations and to develop appropriate lifestyle interventions and geroprotective programs.

This study, for the first time, demonstrated that accelerated aging partially mediated the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with CVD, cancer, and mortality in UK and US populations. The findings reveal a novel pathway and the potential of geroprotective programs in mitigating health inequality in late-life beyond lifestyle interventions.

Methods

223

224

225

226

227228

229

230231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241242

243

244

245246

247

248249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

Study population

UKB, a prospective, population-based study, recruited more than 500,000 participants aged 40-69 years from 22 assessment centers across the UK from 2006 to 2010. Details of the study design and data collection have been described previously ⁴³. UKB was approved by the North West Multi-center Research Ethics Committee ⁴⁴, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants ⁴⁵. Among 499,366 participants aged 40-69 years old, we excluded those with missing information on lifestyle factors (N= 432), clinical blood biomarkers (N= 85,193), and covariates (N= 7,797). Finally, we included 405,944 participants for all-cause mortality (Analytic sample 1). We further excluded participants with CVD at baseline (N= 27,236) and cancer at baseline (N= 33,741). We included 378,708, and 372,203 participants for the analyses of incident CVD (Analytic sample 2), and incident cancer (Analytic sample 3), respectively.

NHANES uses a multistage, complex probability design to recruit a representative sample of community-dwelling children and adults of the US population. Since 1999, NHANES has become a continuous biennial survey. Approximately 5,000 participants among the 7,000 randomly selected individuals were invited to complete both the questionnaire interview and comprehensive health examination. NHANES was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Detailed information available through the **NHANES NHANES** (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm) 46. Among 60,685 participants who were not pregnant and aged 20 years and older from the continuous NHANES (1999-2010) surveys, we excluded those with missing information on clinical blood biomarkers (N= 49,698) and covariates (N= 1,015). Overall, we included 9,972 participants for the analysis of CVD mortality, cancer mortality, and all-cause mortality. The flow chart is shown in Fig. 1.

Assessments of lifestyles

Consistent with the recommendations from World Health Organization ⁴⁷, we constructed an unhealthy lifestyles score, including five lifestyle factors (smoking, drinking, physical inactivity, unhealthy body mass index (BMI), and unhealthy diet) assessed at baseline by a touchscreen questionnaire in UKB and NHANES. In UKB, structured questionnaires and 24-hour dietary recalls offered information on all lifestyle factors. An unhealthy level of smoking was defined as participants who reported ever smoked or current smoking or who had smoked more than 100 times in their life.

Drinking was defined as participants who reported alcohol consumption of more than one drink for women and two drinks for men according to the UK dietary guidelines 48 (one drink contains ethanol 8 g in the UK). Diet was defined based on the ideal intake of dietary components for cardiovascular health ⁴⁹. An unhealthy diet was defined as an intake of less than half of the following diet components and not meeting the amount of intake requirements: increased intake of vegetables, fruits, (shell) fish, wholes grains, dairy products, and vegetable oils; and no intake of sugar-sweetened beverages; and reduced intake of refined grains, processed meats, and unprocessed red meats according to the previous study ⁵⁰. Physical inactivity was defined as less than 75 minutes of vigorous activity per week or 150 minutes of moderate activity per week (or an equivalent combination) or engaging in vigorous activity less than once a week or moderate activity less than 5 days a week 51. In NHANES, smoking was defined as participants who reported ever smoking more than 100 cigarettes ⁵². Using self-reported frequency and volume of alcohol consumption, drinking was defined as daily alcohol consumption of more than one drink for women and one drink for men, according to the US dietary guidelines ⁵³ (one drink contains 14 g of ethanol in the US). According to the questionnaires, the metabolic equivalent scores were calculated. Participants were classified into thirds and they were defined as physical inactivity if their metabolic equivalent score was lower than the top third of the distribution ⁵². Dietary quality was assessed by the Healthy Eating Index-2010 (HEI-2010), a measurement score to evaluate the degree to which diets met the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. The total score ranged from 0 to 100 and a higher score indicated a healthier diet 54. According to a previous study, we defined an unhealthy diet as the HEI in the three lowest quintiles of distribution ⁵². In both UKB and NHANES, an unhealthy BMI was defined as a BMI out of the range of 18.5-24.9 kg/m².

For every single lifestyle, we assigned 1 point and 0 points for an unhealthy level and a healthy level, respectively. The unhealthy lifestyles score was the sum of the points and ranged from 0 to 5, with higher scores indicating a higher level of an unhealthy lifestyle. The unhealthy lifestyles score was subsequently categorized as favorable (score: 0 to 1), intermediate (score: 2 to 3), and unfavorable (score: 4 to 5) lifestyles.

Aging measures

PhenoAgeAccel was previously developed and validated using data from NHANES ^{19,22} and has been applied to UKB participants in our recent work ²⁰. Briefly, nine biomarkers (i.e., albumin, creatinine, glucose, C-reactive protein, lymphocyte percentage, mean cell volume, red blood cell distribution width, alkaline phosphatase, white blood cell count) and chronological age collected at baseline were used to calculate PhenoAge (in years), with the equation as follows:

PhenoAge =
$$141.50 + \frac{\ln\{-0.00553 \times \ln(1 - \text{mortality risk})\}}{0.090165}$$

where

Mortality risk = $1 - \exp(\frac{-1.51714 \times \exp(xb)}{0.0076927})$

308 and

267

268

269

270

271272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302303

```
xb = -19.907 - 0.0336 \times albumin + 0.0095 \times creatinine + 0.1953 \times glucose \\ + 0.0954 \times ln(C - reactive protein) - 0.0120 \\ \times lymphocyte percentage + 0.0268 \times mean cell volume \\ + 0.3306 \times red blood cell distribution width + 0.00188 \\ \times alkaline phosphatase + 0.0554 \times white blood cell count \\ + 0.0804 \times chronological age
```

PhenoAgeAccel was calculated as a residual from a linear regression of PhenoAge against chronological age ^{20,22}.

Ascertainment of adverse health outcome

In UKB, the outcomes – incident CVD, incident cancer, and all-cause mortality – were ascertained through linked hospital admissions data through Aug 29, 2021. We used the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 9th (ICD-9) (410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 429.79, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, and 438) and 10th (ICD-10) (I20, I21, I22, I23, I24.1, I25, I46, I60, I61, I63, and I64) to define CVD ⁵⁵. Participants' time to CVD was calculated from baseline to the date of the first diagnosis of CVD, date of death, date of loss to follow-up, or Aug 29, 2021, whichever came first. Cancer and deaths were ascertained through the NHS Information Centre (England and Wales) and the NHS Central Register (Scotland). We used ICD-9 (140-208, except 173) and ICD-10 (C00-C96, except C44) to define the diagnosis of cancer. Participants' time to cancer was calculated from baseline to the date of the first diagnosis of cancer, date of death, date of loss to follow-up, or 30 Nov 2020, whichever came first. Participants' time to death was calculated from baseline to the date of death, date of loss to follow up, or 8 Apr 2021, whichever came first.

In NHANES, CVD mortality, cancer mortality, and all-cause mortality during follow-up were based on linked data from records taken from the National Death Index through December 31, 2015, provided through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Covariates

We considered the following covariates through questionnaires, i.e., age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, occupational status (UKB only), Townsend deprivation index (TDI) (UKB only), marital status (NHANES only), and family poverty income ratio (PIR) (NHANES only). The self-reported race/ethnicity was categorized as White, Mixed background, Asian, Black, Chinese, and Others in UKB; Mexican American, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, and others in NHANES. Education level was categorized as high (college or university degree), intermediate (A/AS levels or equivalent, O levels/GCSEs or equivalent), and low (none of the aforementioned) in UKB ⁵⁶; college, some college, high school (HS), and less than HS /general educational diploma (GED) in NHANES ²². Occupation status was categorized as working, retired, and others. TDI was calculated by combining information on employment, social class, housing, and car availability. A lower score on TDI indicates a higher level of socioeconomic status. PIR (categorized as < 1.3, 1.30-3.50, and >3.50) was estimated using guidelines and adjustments for family size, year, and state ⁵⁷.

Statistical analysis

352 The basic characteristics of the study participants were summarized by sex using mean

and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and number (percentage) for categorical variables. Basic characteristics by sex were compared using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) or χ^2 test.

To evaluate the potential mediating role of PhenoAgeAccel in the association of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes, we considered three pathways (a: unhealthy lifestyles \rightarrow aging; b: aging \rightarrow adverse health outcomes; c: unhealthy lifestyles \rightarrow adverse health outcomes. Fig. 2). For path a, general linear regression models were used to investigate the associations of unhealthy lifestyle scores with PhenoAgeAccel. The coefficient (β) and standard error (SE) were documented in model 1. According to previous studies ^{22,52}, model 1 included chronological age, gender, education level, race, occupational status (UKB only), TDI (UKB only), marital status (NHANES only), and PIR (NHANES only). For path b, Cox proportional hazards regression models were performed to investigate the associations of PhenoAgeAccel with adverse health outcomes. The HR and 95% CI were documented in model 1. For path c, Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to estimate the HR and 95% CIs of adverse health outcomes associated with unhealthy lifestyles and aging in model 1 and model 2 (additionally including PhenoAgeAccel based on model 1). To determine whether PhenoAgeAccel mediated the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes, the formal mediation analyses were performed to estimate the mediation proportions and 95% CIs in model 1 ⁵⁸.

We performed several sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of our results. First, we repeated all the analyses using another aging measure—BioAgeAccel in model 1 and model 3 (additionally included BioAgeAccel based on model 1). Details on BioAgeAccel were shown in **supplemental methods**. Second, given the sex difference in aging and health, we further conducted a stratified analysis by sex to investigate the associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes and the mediation proportion of aging in adverse health outcomes attributed to unhealthy lifestyles. Third, to reduce the potential reverse causation, we repeated the main analyses after excluding outcomes that occurred within the first two years of follow-up. Forth, we repeated the main analyses using the difference method ⁵⁹ to evaluate the mediating role of PhenoAgeAccel.

All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and R version 3.6.3 (2020-02-29). We considered a two-sided P value <0.05 to be statistically significant.

Data availability

353

354

355

356

357 358

359

360

361

362

363

364 365

366

367

368

369 370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378379

380

381

382

383

384

385 386

387

391

394

- Data and materials are available via UK Biobank at http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/. Data from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) are
- available at the NHANES website: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm.

Code availability

- 392 The source code for this study can be obtained upon request to the corresponding
- author (Prof. Z.L., Zuyun.liu@outlook.com)

Reference:

- Kraus, W. E. *et al.* Physical Activity, All-Cause and Cardiovascular Mortality, and Cardiovascular Disease. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **51**, 1270-1281, doi:10.1249/mss.0000000000001939 (2019).
- Chareonrungrueangchai, K., Wongkawinwoot, K., Anothaisintawee, T. & Reutrakul, S. Dietary Factors and Risks of Cardiovascular Diseases: An Umbrella Review. *Nutrients* **12**, doi:10.3390/nu12041088 (2020).
- Thurber, K. A. *et al.* Tobacco smoking and mortality among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults in Australia. *Int J Epidemiol* **50**, 942-954, doi:10.1093/ije/dyaa274 (2021).
- Livingstone, K. M., Abbott, G., Ward, J. & Bowe, S. J. Unhealthy Lifestyle, 405 4 Genetics and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality in 76,958 406 407 Individuals from the UK Biobank Cohort Study. **Nutrients** doi:10.3390/nu13124283 (2021). 408
- Shan, Z. *et al.* Rotating night shift work and adherence to unhealthy lifestyle in predicting risk of type 2 diabetes: results from two large US cohorts of female nurses. *Bmj* **363**, k4641, doi:10.1136/bmj.k4641 (2018).
- Martinez, J. E. *et al.* Unhealthy Lifestyle and Gut Dysbiosis: A Better Understanding of the Effects of Poor Diet and Nicotine on the Intestinal Microbiome. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **12**, 667066, doi:10.3389/fendo.2021.667066 (2021).
- Zhang, Y. B. *et al.* Combined lifestyle factors, all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Epidemiol Community Health* **75**, 92-99, doi:10.1136/jech-2020-214050 (2021).
- Zhang, Y. B. *et al.* Combined lifestyle factors, incident cancer, and cancer mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Br J Cancer* **122**, 1085-1093, doi:10.1038/s41416-020-0741-x (2020).
- Ngandu, T. *et al.* A 2 year multidomain intervention of diet, exercise, cognitive training, and vascular risk monitoring versus control to prevent cognitive decline in at-risk elderly people (FINGER): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **385**, 2255-2263, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60461-5 (2015).
- Chew, K. A. *et al.* SINgapore GERiatric intervention study to reduce physical frailty and cognitive decline (SINGER)-pilot: A feasibility study. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)* 7, e12141, doi:10.1002/trc2.12141 (2021).
- Hazuda, H. P. *et al.* Association of Intensive Lifestyle and Metformin Interventions With Frailty in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **76**, 929-936, doi:10.1093/gerona/glaa295 (2021).
- 433 12 Sierra, F. & Kohanski, R. Geroscience and the trans-NIH Geroscience Interest 434 Group, GSIG. *Geroscience* **39**, 1-5, doi:10.1007/s11357-016-9954-6 (2017).
- Ryan, J., Wrigglesworth, J., Loong, J., Fransquet, P. D. & Woods, R. L. A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Environmental, Lifestyle, and Health Factors Associated With DNA Methylation Age. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*
- 438 **75**, 481-494, doi:10.1093/gerona/glz099 (2020).

- Robinson, O. *et al.* Determinants of accelerated metabolomic and epigenetic aging in a UK cohort. *Aging Cell* **19**, e13149, doi:10.1111/acel.13149 (2020).
- Zhao, W. et al. Education and Lifestyle Factors Are Associated with DNA
 Methylation Clocks in Older African Americans. Int J Environ Res Public
 Health 16, doi:10.3390/ijerph16173141 (2019).
- 444 16 McCartney, D. L. *et al.* Epigenetic prediction of complex traits and death. 445 *Genome Biol* **19**, 136, doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1514-1 (2018).
- Quach, A. *et al.* Epigenetic clock analysis of diet, exercise, education, and lifestyle factors. *Aging (Albany NY)* **9**, 419-446, doi:10.18632/aging.101168 (2017).
- Fiorito, G. *et al.* Socioeconomic position, lifestyle habits and biomarkers of epigenetic aging: a multi-cohort analysis. *Aging (Albany NY)* **11**, 2045-2070, doi:10.18632/aging.101900 (2019).
- Levine, M. E. *et al.* An epigenetic biomarker of aging for lifespan and healthspan. *Aging (Albany NY)* **10**, 573-591, doi:10.18632/aging.101414 (2018).
- Kuo, C. L., Pilling, L. C., Liu, Z., Atkins, J. L. & Levine, M. E. Genetic associations for two biological age measures point to distinct aging phenotypes.

 Aging Cell 20, e13376, doi:10.1111/acel.13376 (2021).
- Levine, M. E. Modeling the rate of senescence: can estimated biological age predict mortality more accurately than chronological age? *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **68**, 667-674, doi:10.1093/gerona/gls233 (2013).
- Liu, Z. *et al.* A new aging measure captures morbidity and mortality risk across diverse subpopulations from NHANES IV: A cohort study. *PLoS Med* **15**, e1002718, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002718 (2018).
- Jin, S. *et al.* Association of lifestyle with mortality and the mediating role of aging among older adults in China. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* **98**, 104559, doi:10.1016/j.archger.2021.104559 (2022).
- Joseph, P. *et al.* Reducing the Global Burden of Cardiovascular Disease, Part 1: The Epidemiology and Risk Factors. *Circ Res* **121**, 677-694, doi:10.1161/circresaha.117.308903 (2017).
- Leong, D. P. *et al.* Reducing the Global Burden of Cardiovascular Disease, Part 2: Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease. *Circ Res* **121**, 695-710, doi:10.1161/circresaha.117.311849 (2017).
- Saltiel, A. R. & Olefsky, J. M. Inflammatory mechanisms linking obesity and metabolic disease. *J Clin Invest* **127**, 1-4, doi:10.1172/jci92035 (2017).
- Strzelak, A., Ratajczak, A., Adamiec, A. & Feleszko, W. Tobacco Smoke
 Induces and Alters Immune Responses in the Lung Triggering Inflammation,
 Allergy, Asthma and Other Lung Diseases: A Mechanistic Review. *Int J Environ*
- 477 Res Public Health 15, doi:10.3390/ijerph15051033 (2018).
- 478 28 Deeks, S. G. HIV infection, inflammation, immunosenescence, and aging. *Annu Rev Med* **62**, 141-155, doi:10.1146/annurev-med-042909-093756 (2011).
- 480 29 Frostegård, J. Immunity, atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. *BMC Med* 481 **11**, 117, doi:10.1186/1741-7015-11-117 (2013).
- 482 30 Greten, F. R. & Grivennikov, S. I. Inflammation and Cancer: Triggers,

- 483 Mechanisms, and Consequences. *Immunity* **51**, 27-41, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.025 (2019).
- Mutlu, A. S., Duffy, J. & Wang, M. C. Lipid metabolism and lipid signals in aging and longevity. *Dev Cell* **56**, 1394-1407, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2021.03.034 (2021).
- Deprince, A., Haas, J. T. & Staels, B. Dysregulated lipid metabolism links NAFLD to cardiovascular disease. *Mol Metab* **42**, 101092, doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2020.101092 (2020).
- 491 33 Bian, X. *et al.* Lipid metabolism and cancer. *J Exp Med* **218**, 492 doi:10.1084/jem.20201606 (2021).
- 493 34 Porter, H. L. *et al.* Many chronological aging clocks can be found throughout the epigenome: Implications for quantifying biological aging. *Aging Cell* **20**, e13492, doi:10.1111/acel.13492 (2021).
- Nie, C. *et al.* Distinct biological ages of organs and systems identified from a multi-omics study. *Cell Rep* **38**, 110459, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110459 (2022).
- 499 36 Kirkland, J. L. & Tchkonia, T. Senolytic drugs: from discovery to translation. *J* 500 *Intern Med* **288**, 518-536, doi:10.1111/joim.13141 (2020).
- Statzer, C. *et al.* Youthful and age-related matreotypes predict drugs promoting longevity. *Aging Cell* **20**, e13441, doi:10.1111/acel.13441 (2021).
- Palliyaguru, D. L., Moats, J. M., Di Germanio, C., Bernier, M. & de Cabo, R. Frailty index as a biomarker of lifespan and healthspan: Focus on pharmacological interventions. *Mech Ageing Dev* **180**, 42-48, doi:10.1016/j.mad.2019.03.005 (2019).
- Zajda, A., Huttunen, K. M., Sikora, J., Podsiedlik, M. & Markowicz-Piasecka, M. Is metformin a geroprotector? A peek into the current clinical and experimental data. *Mech Ageing Dev* **191**, 111350, doi:10.1016/j.mad.2020.111350 (2020).
- Le Pelletier, L. *et al.* Metformin alleviates stress-induced cellular senescence of aging human adipose stromal cells and the ensuing adipocyte dysfunction. *Elife* **10**, doi:10.7554/eLife.62635 (2021).
- Cohen, A. A. *et al.* Lack of consensus on an aging biology paradigm? A global survey reveals an agreement to disagree, and the need for an interdisciplinary framework. *Mech Ageing Dev* **191**, 111316, doi:10.1016/j.mad.2020.111316 (2020).
- 518 42 Cohen, A. A., Legault, V. & Fülöp, T. What if there's no such thing as "aging"? 519 *Mech Ageing Dev* **192**, 111344, doi:10.1016/j.mad.2020.111344 (2020).
- 520 43 Sudlow, C. *et al.* UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes 521 of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med* **12**, 522 e1001779, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779 (2015).
- Haan, M. N., Zeki Al-Hazzouri, A. & Aiello, A. E. Life-span socioeconomic trajectory, nativity, and cognitive aging in Mexican Americans: the Sacramento Area Latino Study on Aging. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* **66 Suppl 1**, i102-
- 526 110, doi:10.1093/geronb/gbq071 (2011).

- 527 45 Li, Y., Xue, Q. L., Odden, M. C., Chen, X. & Wu, C. Linking early life risk 528 factors to frailty in old age: evidence from the China Health and Retirement 529 Longitudinal Study. *Age Ageing* **49**, 208-217, doi:10.1093/ageing/afz160 (2020).
- 530 46 Statistics., N. C. f. H. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. 531 Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018 [cited 2018 Nov 532 29]).
- Yang, W. S. *et al.* Albumin dialysis in critically ill patients: use versus omission of intradialytic heparin. *Artificial organs* **32**, 411-416, doi:10.1111/j.1525-1594.2008.00560.x (2008).
- Bik, W. *et al.* The relationship between adiponectin levels and metabolic status in centenarian, early elderly, young and obese women. *Neuro endocrinology letters* **27**, 493-500 (2006).
- Mozaffarian, D. Dietary and Policy Priorities for Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, and Obesity: A Comprehensive Review. *Circulation* **133**, 187-225, doi:10.1161/circulationaha.115.018585 (2016).
- 542 50 Said, M. A., Verweij, N. & van der Harst, P. Associations of Combined Genetic 543 and Lifestyle Risks With Incident Cardiovascular Disease and Diabetes in the 544 UK Biobank Study. *JAMA Cardiol* **3**, 693-702, 545 doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2018.1717 (2018).
- 51 Lloyd-Jones, D. M. *et al.* Defining and setting national goals for cardiovascular 547 health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's 548 strategic Impact Goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation* **121**, 586-613, 549 doi:10.1161/circulationaha.109.192703 (2010).
- 550 52 Zhang, Y. B. *et al.* Associations of healthy lifestyle and socioeconomic status 551 with mortality and incident cardiovascular disease: two prospective cohort 552 studies. *Bmj* **373**, n604, doi:10.1136/bmj.n604 (2021).
- 553 Phillips, J. A. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. *Workplace Health Saf* **69**, 395, doi:10.1177/21650799211026980 (2021).
- Kinderknecht, K., Harris, C. & Jones-Smith, J. Association of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act With Dietary Quality Among Children in the US National School Lunch Program. *Jama* **324**, 359-368, doi:10.1001/jama.2020.9517 (2020).
- Wan, E. Y. F. *et al.* Blood Pressure and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in UK
 Biobank: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *Hypertension* 77, 367-375,
 doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.16138 (2021).
- 562 56 Chadeau-Hyam, M. *et al.* Education, biological ageing, all-cause and cause-563 specific mortality and morbidity: UK biobank cohort study. *EClinicalMedicine* 564 **29-30**, 100658, doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100658 (2020).
- Lehmler, H. J., Simonsen, D., Liu, B. & Bao, W. Environmental exposure to pyrethroid pesticides in a nationally representative sample of U.S. adults and children: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007-2012. *Environ Pollut* **267**, 115489, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115489 (2020).
- 569 58 Tingley, D., Yamamoto, T., Hirose, K., Keele, L. & Imai, K. mediation: R Package for Causal Mediation Analysis. *J Stat Softw* **59** (2014).

571 59 Nevo, D., Liao, X. & Spiegelman, D. Estimation and Inference for the 572 Mediation Proportion. *Int J Biostat* **13**, doi:10.1515/ijb-2017-0006 (2017). 573 Acknowledgments: This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource under application number 61856. We wish to acknowledge all participants of the UK Biobank and US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). This research was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82171584), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Key Laboratory of Intelligent Preventive Medicine of Zhejiang Province (2020E10004), and Zhejiang University Global Partnership Fund (188170-11103). This work was also supported by the Career Development Award (K01AG053408) from the National Institute on Aging; Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center at Yale School of Medicine, funded by the National Institute on Aging (P30AG021342). The funders had no role in the study design; data collection, analysis, or interpretation; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Author contributions

574

575

576

577

578579

580

581

582

583

584

585 586

593

595

- 587 Z.L. contributed to the concept and design of the research. X.L. contributed to data
- 588 analyses. X.L., X.C., J.Z., J.F., M.M., D., X.S., G.Y., Z.Y., C.L.K., X.Cheng., A.A.C.,
- and Z.L. contributed to interpretation of data. X.L., X.C., and J.Z. contributed to the
- drafting of the manuscript. X.C., J.Z., J.F., M.M., D., X.S., G.Y., Z.Y., C.L.K., X.Cheng.,
- 591 A.A.C., and Z.L. contributed to critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and
- approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants from UK Biobank (UKB) and US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).

Characteristics	Total	Women	Men	P value	
UKB					
	(N=405,944)	(N= 218,528)	(N= 187,416)		
Chronological age in years, Mean±SD	57.0±8.0	56.8±7.9	57.1±8.1	< 0.001	
Race/ethnicity, n (%)				< 0.001	
White	385,421 (94.9)	207,622 (95.0)	177,799 (94.9)		
Mixed	2,364 (0.6)	1,463 (0.7)	901 (0.5)		
South Asian	7,367 (1.8)	3,290 (1.5)	4,077 (2.2)		
Black	6,087 (1.5)	3,441 (1.6)	2,646 (1.4)		
Chinese	1,197 (0.3)	750 (0.3)	447 (0.2)		
Others	3,508 (0.9)	1,962 (0.9)	1,546 (0.8)		
TDI, Mean± SD	-1.3 ± 3.1	-1.4 ± 3.0	-1.3±3.1	0.01	
Education level, n (%)				< 0.001	
High	140,280 (34.6)	71,734 (32.8)	68,546 (36.6)		
Intermediate	132,828 (32.7)	78,021 (35.7)	54,807 (29.2)		
Low	132,836 (32.7)	68,773 (31.5)	64,063 (34.2)		
Occupation status, n (%)				< 0.001	
Working	235,655 (58.1)	120,882 (55.3)	114,773 (61.2)		
Retired	134,133 (33.0)	76,294 (34.9)	57,839 (30.9)		
Other	36,156 (8.9)	21,352 (9.8)	14,804 (7.9)		
Smoking, yes, n (%)	179,506 (44.9)	83,547 (38.9)	95,959 (51.8)	< 0.001	
Drinking, yes, n (%)	147,559 (42.6)	80,280 (44.9)	67,279 (40.1)	< 0.001	
Physical inactivity, yes, n (%)	106,264 (26.7)	60,562 (28.3)	45,702 (24.8)	< 0.001	

Unhealthy diet, yes, n (%)	255,648 (63.0)	128,229 (58.7)	127,419 (68.0)	< 0.001
Unhealthy BMI, yes, n (%)	276,778 (68.4)	134,697 (61.8)	142,081 (76.1)	< 0.001
Unhealthy lifestyles ^a				< 0.001
Favorable	93,491 (23.0)	59,754 (27.3)	33,737 (18.0)	
Intermediate	243,161 (59.9)	128,617 (58.9)	114,544 (61.1)	
Unfavorable	692,92 (17.1)	30,157 (13.8)	39,135 (20.9)	
PhenoAge, Mean±SD	53.3±10.0	52.2±9.5	54.4±10.5	< 0.001
NHANES				
	(N=9,972)	(N=5,099)	(N=4,873)	P Value
Chronological age in years,	40.6+17.6	40.5 17.7	40.7+17.5	0.567
Mean±SD	49.6±17.6	49.5±17.7	49.7±17.5	0.567
Race/ethnicity, n (%)				0.165
Non-Hispanic white	5,162 (51.8)	2,664 (52.2)	2,498 (51.3)	
Non-Hispanic black	1,800 (18.1)	895 (17.6)	905 (18.6)	
Mexican-American	2,021 (20.3)	1,057 (20.7)	964 (19.8)	
Other	989 (9.9)	483 (9.5)	506 (10.4)	
PIR, n (%)				< 0.001
≤ 1.30	2,801 (28.1)	1,318 (25.8)	1,483 (30.4)	
1.31~3.50	3,884 (38.9)	1,999 (39.2)	1,885 (38.7)	
≥ 3.51	3,287 (33.0)	1,782 (34.9)	1,505 (30.9)	
Education level, n (%)				< 0.001
Less than HS	2,841 (28.5)	1,521 (29.8)	1,320 (27.1)	
HS/GED	2,380 (23.9)	1,216 (23.8)	1,164 (23.9)	
Some college	2,751 (27.6)	1,285 (25.2)	1,466 (30.1)	
College	2,000 (20.1)	1,077 (21.1)	923 (18.9)	

Marital status, n (%)				< 0.001
Married or living with partner	6,333 (63.5)	3,539 (69.4)	2,794 (57.3)	
Other	3,639 (36.5)	1,560 (30.6)	2,079 (42.7)	
Smoking, yes, n (%)	4,829 (48.5)	2,903 (57.0)	1,926 (39.5)	< 0.001
Drinking, yes, n (%)	711 (7.4)	436 (8.8)	275 (6.0)	< 0.001
Physical inactivity, n (%)	3,410 (52.3)	1,631 (46.8)	1,779 (58.7)	< 0.001
Unhealthy diet, yes, n (%)	5,883 (60.1)	3,224 (64.4)	2,659 (55.7)	< 0.001
Unhealthy BMI, yes, n (%)	6,774 (71.2)	3,533 (72.8)	3,241 (69.5)	< 0.001
Unhealthy lifestyles				< 0.001
Favorable	2,436 (24.4)	987 (19.4)	1,449 (29.7)	
Intermediate	6,619 (66.4)	3,557 (69.8)	3,062 (62.8)	
Unfavorable	917 (9.2)	555 (10.9)	362 (7.4)	
PhenoAge, Mean±SD	43.6±19.8	44.3±20.3	42.9±19.2	0.005

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; TDI, Townsend deprivation index; BMI, body mass index; PIR, poverty income ratio; HS/GED, less than high school (HS) /general educational development (GED); PhenoAge, Phenotypic Age.

 ^aFavorable (unhealthy lifestyle score ranged from 0 to 1), intermediate (unhealthy lifestyle score ranged from 2 to 3), and Unfavorable (unhealthy lifestyle score ranged from 4 to 5) lifestyles.

Table 2. Associations of unhealthy lifestyles with adverse health outcomes and mediation proportion of aging (measured by PhenoAgeAccel) in adverse health outcomes attributed to different lifestyles.

Unhealthy lifestyle	No. of events/No. participants	Model 1 ^a		Model 2 ^b		Mediation proportion of	P value
		HR (95% CI)	P for trend	HR (95% CI)	P for trend	PhenoAgeAccel (%)(95% CI) ^a	
	UKB						
	Incident CVD						
	29,726/378,708						
Favorable ^c	4,967/89,538	ref	< 0.001	ref	< 0.001	0.200 (0.182, 0.220)	< 0.001
Intermediate	18,234/226,657	1.33 (1.29, 1.38)		1.27 (1.23, 1.31)			
Unfavorable	6,525/62,513	1.59 (1.53, 1.65)		1.45 (1.39, 1.51)			
	Incident cancer						
	34,413/372,203						
Favorable	6,695/85,776	ref	< 0.001	ref	< 0.001	0.178 (0.155, 0.200)	< 0.001

Intermediate	20,700/223,155	1.15 (1.12, 1.18)		1.12 (1.09, 1.15)			
Unfavorable	7,018/63,272	1.33 (1.29, 1.38)		1.27 (1.22, 1.31)			
	All-cause mortality						
	27,471/405,944						
Favorable	4,146/93,491	ref	< 0.001	ref	< 0.001	0.266 (0.250, 0.290)	< 0.001
Intermediate	16,174/243,161	1.34 (1.29, 1.39)		1.22 (1.18, 1.26)			
Unfavorable	7,151/69,292	1.84 (1.77, 1.92)		1.55 (1.49, 1.61)			
	NHANES						
	CVD mortality						
	258/9,972						
Favorable	54/2,436	ref	0.175	ref	0.373	0.439 (-1.85, 4.45)	0.100
Intermediate	181/6,619	1.23 (0.90, 1.67)		1.14 (0.83, 1.55)			
Unfavorable	23/917	1.37 (0.82, 2.28)		1.23 (0.74, 2.05)			

	Cancer mortality						
	325/9,972						
Favorable	69/2,436	ref	0.036	ref	0.101	0.257 (0.135, 1.010)	0.004
Intermediate	223/6,619	1.22 (0.92, 1.61)		1.15 (0.87, 1.52)			
Unfavorable	33/917	1.60 (1.04, 2.47)		1.47 (0.95, 2.27)			
	All-cause mortality						
	1,374/9,972						
Favorable	317/2,436	ref	<0.001	ref	0.023	0.352 (0.245, 0.610)	<0.001
Intermediate	921/6,619	1.11 (0.98, 1.27)		1.04 (0.92, 1.18)			
Unfavorable	136/917	1.48 (1.20, 1.82)		1.34 (1.09, 1.65)			

Abbreviations: PhenoAgeAccel, Phenotypic Age Acceleration; HR, hazard ratios; CI, confidence intervals; CVD, cardiovascular disease;


^aModel 1 included age; sex; education level; self-reported race/ethnicity; occupational status (UKB only); Townsend deprivation index (TDI)

^{607 (}UKB only); marital status (NHANES only); poverty income ratio (PIR) (NHANES only);

^bModel 2 additional included PhenoAgeAccel based on model 1.

^{609 °}Favorable (unhealthy lifestyle score ranged from 0 to 1), intermediate (unhealthy lifestyle score ranged from 2 to 3), and Unfavorable

^{610 (}unhealthy lifestyle score ranged from 4 to 5) lifestyles.






Fig. 1. Flow chart of two studies (A for UK Biobank and B for NHANES).

Abbreviations: UK Biobank: UKB; US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: NHANES; CVD, cardiovascular diseases.




Fig. 2 The mediating role of aging in the association of unhealthy lifestyles and adverse health outcomes