

Supplementary information

Community factors and excess mortality in the COVID-19 pandemic in England, Italy and Sweden.


Brandon Parkes, Massimo Stafoggia, Daniela Fecht, Bethan Davies, Carl Bonander, Francesca de' Donato, Paula Michelozzi, Frédéric B. Piel, Ulf Strömberg and Marta Blangiardo

Supplementary Section 1. Annual population estimates for England

Annual population was from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) mid-year (June) population estimates by age and sex MSOAs in England, 2015 to 2019. Mid-year population estimates for 2020 at MSOA level were unavailable at the time of writing, 2020 MSOA populations were estimated by distributing 2020 local authority district population estimates (released by ONS 25 June 2021)(1) according to MSOA shares in 2019. However, since the 2020 local authority district populations are mid-year estimates, the population denominators will be affected by the excess mortality during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. To assess this effect we compared the ONS mid-year population estimates to a linear regression of the age stratified populations (2015-2019). The ONS mid-year population estimates take account of births and deaths, but also immigration, emigration and changes due to the demographics of the country(1) which is not the case for a simple linear regression. The population pyramid for England(2) shows that there are likely to be uniform changes in the 80+ age group, but non-uniform changes in the 40-59, 60-69, 70-79 age groups, and this is reflected in the comparison between the linear regression estimates against the ONS mid-year estimates (supplementary figure 1). The 80+ population age groups (M & F) linear regression estimates exceed the ONS mid-year estimates. We assert that this is due to the effect of the first wave of the pandemic where the majority of the COVID-19 deaths were in the 80+ age group(3). Consequently, for our 2020 population denominators we used the ONS mid-year estimates for the 40-59, 60-69, 70-79 age groups, and the linear regression estimates for the 80+ age group.

This issue is of little concern for Sweden, however: In 2020, considering the Swedish population aged 80+, there was < 5,00 excess deaths in relation to the reference period (in total, 58,906 persons aged 80+ died in 2020), which implies that <1% of the total 80+ denominator (80+ population size in 2020: ~540,000) could add to the overall error of the “pandemic year”-denominators (this error should otherwise be analogous to the errors in the

denominators for each reference year). Hence, no adjustments of the denominator data were made for Sweden.



Supplementary Fig. 1. Population trends and estimates for England stratified by age and sex showing the comparison between 2015-2019 linear regression and the ONS 2020 mid-year population estimates.

Supplementary Section 2. Details of statistical methods

For the first stage model, we assumed that the number of deaths y_{itk} for the i -th area ($i=1, \dots, 6,791$ for England; $1, \dots, 7,900$ for Italy; $1, \dots, 5,985$ for Sweden), the t -th year ($t=2015, \dots, 2019$) of the comparison period and k -th age group ($k=40-59, 60-69, 70-79, 80+$) is modelled as a Poisson distribution:

$$y_{itk} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_{ikt1} \text{Pop}_{itk})$$

where the log-transformed death rates are modelled as fixed and random effects:

$$\log(\lambda_{ikt1}) = \alpha_0 + \beta_{0k} + b_{0i} + \gamma_t. \quad (1)$$

In (1) α_0 is the common intercept which represents the overall mortality rate, while β_{0k} is the age fixed effect for the k -th age group. To account for the multiple years in the comparison group, γ_t provides a year-specific Gaussian random effect. Area-level effect b_0 are modelled as a combination of a conditional autoregressive structure (u_{0i}), to capture local dependency and independent and identically distributed Gaussian random effects (v_{0i}) to account for the similarities of all the areas in the study region (i.e. each country):

$$b_{0i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_b}} (\sqrt{1-\varphi} v_{0i} + \sqrt{\varphi} u_{0i}).$$

The model has a precision parameter (τ_b), while φ represents the weight of the spatially structured component (4). This model provides both local and global smoothing on the underlying death rate λ_{ikt1} .

We obtained the posterior distributions of the death rates in each area, age group and year, λ_{ikt1} ; we then averaged over the 5 years of the comparison period to obtain λ_{ik1} , the expected death rate for the i -th area and k -th age group during the study period under the alternative scenario that the pandemic did not take place.

In the second stage model we estimated the ratio between death rates in the study period and the death rates we would have expected had there been no pandemic, using data for the comparison period. For the number of deaths in the i -th area and k -th age group in the study period, we specified the following model:

$$y_{i,2020,k} \sim \text{Poisson}(\rho_{ik} \cdot \lambda_{ik1} \cdot \text{Pop}_{i,2020,k})$$

where ρ_{ik} represents the age-specific ratio between death rates in the study period and the comparison period (λ_{ik1}).

We modelled the ratio ρ_{ik} in a similar way to stage one using terms to account for both space and age:

$$\log(\rho_{ik}) = \alpha_1 + \beta_{1k} + b_{1i}. \quad (2)$$

Covariates were incorporated into this second stage log-linear model to evaluate their effect on the mortality rate ratio. For univariable effects in (2) we added the term δX_i where X_i is the category of the variable in the i -th area and δ is the associated effect. Similarly for the full multivariable model evaluating the joint effect of all variables we added $\sum_{n=1}^6 \sum_{j=2}^5 \delta_{nj} X_{inj}$ with $n=1, \dots, 6$ covariates and $j=2, \dots, 5$ categories, as the first category is the reference one.

We obtained the posterior distribution of the estimated number of deaths across ages for the study period, calculated as $\hat{y}_{i,2020} = \sum_k \lambda_{ik1} \times \rho_{ik} \times Pop_{i,2020,k}$, and subtracted the corresponding number for 2020 using the rates for 2015-2019, calculated as $\hat{y}_{i,2015-2019} = \sum_k \lambda_{ik1,2015-2019} \times Pop_{i,2020,k}$ where the samples for $\lambda_{ik1,2015-2019}$ are drawn in equal proportions from each of the 5 years of the comparison period allowing the uncertainty to be fully represented. This difference was then divided by the 2020 population over 40 years old in that area and multiplied by 100,000.

Supplementary Section 3. Results

Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of the 6,791 Middle Super Output Areas in England.

	Mean	Median	Range	Inter-quartile range
Population*	8,288	7,985	2,224 – 26,513	6,831 – 9,320
Population* (M)	4,098	3,926	1,089 – 14,535	3,348 – 4,629
Population* (F)	4,191	4,052	1,135 – 11,978	3,468 – 4,722
Population over 40* (M)	1,994	1,926	410 – 4,459	1,660 – 2,277
Population over 40* (F)	2,155	2,090	286 – 4,794	1,788 – 2,155
Area (km ²)	19.2	3.04	0.294 – 1,128	1.69 – 10.5
Baseline deaths (M), per 100,000 males over 40, March 1 2015 – 28 February 2020	1,783	1,748	201 – 4,476	1,462 – 2,063
Baseline deaths (F), per 100,000 females over 40, March 1 2015 – 28 February 2020	1,679	1,611	105 – 5,757	1,276 – 2,028

* 2019 mid-year population estimates.(5)

M – male; F – female; km- kilometre.

Supplementary Table 2. Characteristics of the 7,900 Municipalities in Italy.

	Mean	Median	Range	Inter-quartile range
Population	7,548	2,450	30 – 2,808,293	1,005 – 6,292
Population (M)	3,676	1,213	16 – 1,328,672	500 – 3,091
Population (F)	3,872	1,230	13 – 1,479,621	504 – 3,183
Population over 40 (M) (2019)	2,149	727	13 – 778,085	315 – 1,813
Population over 40 (F) (2019)	2,420	779	8 – 949,186	334 – 1,971
Area (km ²)	38.2	22.5	0.104 – 1,286	11.47 – 44.55
Baseline deaths (M), per 100,000 males over 40, March 1 2015 – 28 February 2020	2,018	1,921	0 – 7,803	1,619 – 2,298
Baseline deaths (F), per 100,000 females over 40, March 1 2015 – 28 February 2020	1,988	1,867	0 – 10,959	1,547 – 2,284

M – male; F – female; km- kilometre.

Supplementary Table 3. Characteristics of the 5,985 DeSOs in Sweden.

	Mean	Median	Range	Inter-quartile range
Population	1,726	1,708	663 – 5,291	1,402 – 2,018
Population (M)	868	858	335 – 2,543	701 – 1,016
Population (F)	876	849	319 – 2,748	695 – 1,006
Population over 40 (M) (2019)	428	420	4 – 1,131	346 - 502
Population over 40 (F) (2019)	445	437	4 – 1,233	357 - 528
Area (km ²)				
Baseline deaths (M), per 100,000 males over 40, March 1 2015 – 28 February 2020	1,716	1,513	0 – 7,862	1,101 - 2,120
Baseline deaths (F), per 100,000 females over 40, March 1 2015 – 28 February 2020	1,667	1,271	0 – 11,395	851 – 2,128

M – male; F – female; km- kilometre.

Supplementary Table 4. Characteristics of the study and comparison populations in England.

	Category	Nb. of MSOAs	Comparison period (1-3-2015 – 29-2-2020) Mean deaths per year (%)	Study period (1-3-2020 – 28-2-2021) Total deaths (%)
Total			483,447	583,255
Sex				
	Male		237,052 (49.0)	294,634 (50.5)
	Female		246,395 (50.0)	288,621 (49.5)
Age				
	40-59		41,120 (8.51)	47,359 (8.12)
	60-69		56,363 (11.7)	65,007 (11.1)
	70-79		107,969 (22.3)	134,355 (23.0)
	80+		277,995 (57.5)	336,534 (57.7)
Place of death				
	Hospital		222,140 (45.9)	249,854 (42.8)
	Care home		109,691 (22.7)	138,411 (23.7)
	Home		114,389 (23.7)	159,124 (27.3)
	Hospice		27,785 (5.75)	23,896 (4.10)
	Other/elsewhere		9,442 (1.95)	11,969 (2.05)
NO₂ (µg/m³)				
	≥ 3.60 to < 9.52	1	1359	114,116 (23.6)
	≥ 9.52 to < 12.3	2	1358	106,942 (22.1)
	≥ 12.3 to < 14.9	3	1358	97,141 (20.1)
	≥ 14.9 to < 18.7	4	1358	95,201 (19.7)
	≥ 18.7 to < 47.5	5	1358	70,047 (14.5)
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)				
	≥ 5.05 to < 7.76	1	1359	110,181 (22.8)
	≥ 7.76 to < 8.91	2	1358	104,549 (21.6)
	≥ 8.91 to < 9.88	3	1358	104,134 (21.5)
	≥ 9.88 to < 10.9	4	1358	94,103 (19.5)
	≥ 10.9 to < 14.4	5	1358	70,480 (14.6)
Population density (population per km²)				
	≥ 5.65 to < 456	1	1359	106,152 (22.0)
	≥ 456 to < 1,877	2	1358	108,376 (22.4)
	≥ 1,877 to < 3,445	3	1358	101,018 (20.9)
	≥ 3,445 to < 5,340	4	1358	96,500 (20.0)
	≥ 5,340 to < 29,000	5	1358	71,401 (14.8)
Overcrowding (% of overcrowded homes)				
	≥ 0.334 to < 1.65	1	1359	105,353 (21.8)
	≥ 1.65 to < 2.42	2	1358	106,712 (22.1)
	≥ 2.42 to < 3.67	3	1358	105,477 (21.8)
	≥ 3.67 to < 6.26	4	1358	95,508 (19.8)
	≥ 6.26 to < 36.5	5	1358	70,397 (14.6)
Deprivation (Index of Multiple Deprivation)				
	≥ 2.15 to < 10.4	1	1359	94,581 (19.6)

≥ 10.4 to < 15.2	2	1358	99,800 (20.6)	118,793 (20.4)
≥ 15.2 to < 21.8	3	1358	98,264 (20.3)	117,621 (20.2)
≥ 21.8 to < 31.9	4	1358	93,347 (19.3)	113,567 (19.5)
≥ 31.9 to < 86.9	5	1358	97,456 (20.2)	120,031 (20.6)
Workplace visits (% change in travel to workplace)				
≥ -68.7 to < -44.5	1	1358	72,164 (14.9)	90,238 (15.5)
≥ -44.4 to < -40.7	2	1373	93,098 (19.3)	113,129 (19.4)
≥ -40.7 to < -37.9	3	1364	100,301 (20.7)	121,437 (20.8)
≥ -37.9 to < -35.2	4	1351	108,031 (22.3)	129,649 (22.2)
≥ -35.2 to < -25.3	5	1345	109,853 (22.7)	128,802 (22.1)

NO₂ – nitrogen dioxide; PM_{2.5} – particulate matter ≤ 2.5 μm diameter.

Supplementary Table 5. Characteristics of the study and comparison populations in Italy

	Category	Nb. of municipalities	Comparison period (1-3-2015 – 29-2-2020) Mean deaths per year (%)	Study period (1-3-2020 – 28-2-2021) Total deaths (%)
Total			635,168	751,893
Sex				
Male			303,162 (47.7)	366,065 (48.7)
Female			332,006 (52.3)	385,828 (51.3)
Age (years)				
40–59			39,635 (6.2)	41,895 (5.6)
60–69			59,221 (9.3)	66,295 (8.8)
70–79			127,949 (20.1)	149,531 (19.9)
80+			408,363 (64.3)	494,172 (65.7)
NO₂ (µg/m³)				
≥ 2.75 to ≤ 6.59	1	1,581	29,774 (4.7)	31,744 (4.2)
> 6.59 to ≤ 9.51	2	1,577	57,579 (9.1)	63,593 (8.5)
> 9.51 to ≤ 14.19	3	1,581	101,943 (16.0)	114,043 (15.2)
> 14.19 to ≤ 19.40	4	1,580	136,565 (21.5)	160,091 (21.3)
> 19.40 to ≤ 43.26	5	1,581	309,307 (48.7)	382,422 (50.9)
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)				
≥ 4.93 to ≤ 9.70	1	1,580	32,084 (5.1)	35,734 (4.8)
> 9.70 to ≤ 11.41	2	1,578	66,637 (10.5)	72,990 (9.7)
> 11.41 to ≤ 13.42	3	1,581	178,603 (28.1)	201,788 (26.8)
> 13.42 to ≤ 17.93	4	1,580	187,267 (29.5)	219,226 (29.2)
> 17.93 to ≤ 25.25	5	1,581	170,578 (26.9)	222,155 (29.5)
Population density (population per km²)				
≥ 0.8 to ≤ 34.1	1	1,581	25,064 (3.9)	27,382 (3.6)
> 34.1 to ≤ 74.7	2	1,577	45,607 (7.2)	51,467 (6.8)
> 74.7 to ≤ 151.6	3	1,581	69,930 (11.0)	80,433 (10.7)
> 151.6 to ≤ 362.0	4	1,580	132,740 (20.9)	156,606 (20.8)
> 362.0 to ≤ 12,177.8	5	1,581	361,827 (57.0)	436,005 (58.0)
Overcrowding (% of overcrowded homes)				
≥ 0.0 to ≤ 0.3	1	1,509	25,070 (3.9)	29,783 (4.0)
> 0.3 to ≤ 0.7	2	1,503	87,153 (13.7)	104,190 (13.9)
> 0.7 to ≤ 1.0	3	1,938	171,533 (27.0)	206,478 (27.5)
> 1.0 to ≤ 1.6	4	1,400	146,736 (23.1)	175,154 (23.3)
> 1.6 to ≤ 16.7	5	1,550	204,676 (32.2)	236,288 (31.4)
Deprivation (index of deprivation)				
1: very low	1	1,550	200,208 (31.5)	234,881 (31.2)
2: low	2	1,579	126,411 (19.9)	151,228 (20.1)
3: medium	3	1,610	125,571 (19.8)	149,043 (19.8)

4: high	4	1,592	108,999 (17.2)	128,325 (17.1)
5: very high	5	1,569	73,980 (11.6)	88,416 (11.8)
Workplace visits (% change in travel to workplace)				
≥ -40.4 to ≤ -33.1	1	1,620	191,979 (30.2)	233,226 (31.0)
> -33.1 to ≤ -30.5	2	1,619	121,060 (19.1)	148,088 (19.7)
> -30.5 to ≤ -29.0	3	1,541	117,243 (18.5)	136,376 (18.1)
> -29.0 to ≤ -27.6	4	1,554	85,023 (13.4)	96,997 (12.9)
> -27.6 to ≤ -19.2	5	1,566	119,863 (18.9)	137,206 (18.2)


NO₂ – nitrogen dioxide; PM_{2.5} – particulate matter ≤ 2.5 μm diameter.

Supplementary Table 6. Characteristics of the study and comparison populations (Sweden)


	Category	Nb. of DeSOs	Comparison period (1-3-2015 – 29-2-2020) Mean deaths per year (%)	Study period (1-3-2020 – 28-2-2021) Total deaths (%)
Total			88,709	97,997
Sex				
Male			43,229 (48.7)	49,207 (50.2)
Female			45,480 (51.3)	48,790 (49.8)
Age (years)				
40–59			4,832 (5.45)	4,784 (4.88)
60–69			9,042 (10.2)	8,836 (9.02)
70–79			19,873 (22.4)	22,954 (23.4)
80+			54,963 (62.0)	61,423 (62.7)
NO₂ (µg/m³)				
≥ 1.38 to ≤ 5.2	1	1,197	15,602 (17.6)	15,507 (15.8)
> 5.2 to ≤ 8.51	2	1,197	18,617 (21.0)	20,852 (21.3)
> 8.51 to ≤ 12.7	3	1,197	18,864 (21.3)	22,108 (22.6)
> 12.7 to ≤ 16.3	4	1,197	18,571 (20.9)	21,675 (22.1)
> 16.3 to ≤ 39.8	5	1,197	17,054 (19.2)	17,855 (18.2)
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)				
≥ 4.06 to ≤ 7.04	1	1,197	18,001 (20.3)	19,201 (19.6)
> 7.04 to ≤ 7.66	2	1,197	17,960 (20.2)	20,021 (20.4)
> 7.66 to ≤ 8.14	3	1,198	18,112 (20.4)	20,326 (20.7)
> 8.14 to ≤ 8.8	4	1,196	17,019 (19.2)	18,974 (19.4)
> 8.8 to ≤ 11.8	5	1,197	17,616 (19.9)	19,475 (19.9)
Population density (population per km²)				
≥ 0.0682 to ≤ 94.2	1	1,197	14,526 (16.4)	15,507 (15.8)
> 94.2 to ≤ 383	2	1,197	18,923 (21.3)	20,852 (21.3)
> 383 to ≤ 1,470	3	1,197	19,819 (22.3)	21,675 (22.1)
> 1,470 to ≤ 4,850	4	1,197	19,398 (21.9)	22,108 (22.6)
> 4,850 to ≤ 57,500	5	1,197	16,042 (18.1)	17,855 (18.2)
Overcrowding (living area, m² per person)				
≤ 65.6 to > 46.8	1	1178	17,070 (19.2)	18,340 (18.7)
≤ 46.8 to > 43.5	2	1214	19,909 (22.4)	21,758 (22.2)
≤ 43.5 to > 40.2	3	1177	19,133 (21.6)	20,941 (21.4)
≤ 40.2 to > 35.2	4	1204	17,699 (20.0)	19,767 (20.2)
≤ 35.2 to ≥ 6.3	5	1212	14,897 (16.8)	17,191 (17.5)
Deprivation (% of inhabitants with low economic standard)				
≥ 1.2 to ≤ 3.0	1	1,197	11,050 (12.5)	12,768 (13.0)
> 3.0 to ≤ 18.9	2	1,197	15,045 (17.0)	17,090 (17.4)
> 18.9 to ≤ 25.4	3	1,197	18,170 (20.5)	19,847 (20.3)
> 25.4 to ≤ 34.4	4	1,197	21,718 (24.5)	23,267 (23.7)

> 34.4 to ≤ 94.8	5	1,197	22,726 (25.6)	25,025 (25.5)
Workplace visits (% change in travel to workplace)				
≥ -48.3 to ≤ -30.7	1	1,198	14,386 (16.2)	16,407 (16.7)
> -30.7 to ≤ -26.9	2	1,200	16,964 (19.1)	18,841 (19.2)
> -26.9 to ≤ -24.9	3	1,196	17,190 (19.4)	19,305 (19.7)
> -24.9 to ≤ -22.2	4	1,197	19,633 (22.1)	21,074 (21.5)
> -22.2 to ≤ -12.3	5	1,194	20,535 (23.1)	22,370 (22.8)


NO₂ – nitrogen dioxide; PM_{2.5} – particulate matter ≤ 2.5 μm diameter.



Supplementary Fig. 2. Choropleth maps of MSOAs in England showing categories of covariates. Note ‘workplace visits’ data were not available at MSOA resolution.



Supplementary Fig. 3. Choropleth maps of municipalities in Italy showing categories of covariates. Note ‘workplace visits’ data were not available at municipality resolution.



Supplementary Fig. 4. Choropleth maps of DeSOs in Sweden showing categories of covariates. Note ‘workplace visits’ data were not available at DeSO resolution.

Supplementary Table 7. Excess death rates, percent increase in mortality and posterior probabilities for Middle Layer Super Output Areas in England.

	Mean	Median	Range	Inter-quartile range
Excess death rate (M), per 100,000 males over 40	316	291	-362 – 1,383	173 - 441
Excess death rate (F), per 100,000 females over 40	230	205	-403 – 1,840	102 - 330
Percent increase in mortality (M)	17.8	16.5	-16.3 – 82.9	9.90 – 24.3
Percent increase in mortality (F)	14.0	13.3	-18.3 – 62.7	6.84 – 20.4
Posterior probability that excess rate is greater than zero (M)	0.881	0.946	0.024 – 1.00	0.834 – 0.990
Posterior probability that excess rate is greater than zero (F)	0.813	0.878	0.023 – 1.00	0.722 – 0.961

M – male; F – female.

Supplementary Table 8. Excess death rates, percent increase in mortality and posterior probabilities for municipalities in Italy.

	Mean	Median	Range	Inter-quartile range
Excess death rate (M), per 100,000 males over 40	307	273	-353 – 1,575	127 - 436
Excess death rate (F), per 100,000 females over 40	278	249	-382 – 2,332	108 - 402
Percent increase in mortality (M)	15.5	13.8	-19.6 – 79.2	6.26 – 21.5
Percent increase in mortality (F)	14.0	13.0	-24.3 – 59.6	5.66 – 20.8
Posterior probability that excess rate is greater than zero (M)	0.852	0.940	0.0007 – 1.00	0.761 – 0.993
Posterior probability that excess rate is greater than zero (F)	0.831	0.914	0.0000 – 1.00	0.729 – 0.987

M – male; F – female.

Supplementary Table 9. Excess death rates, percent increase in mortality and posterior probabilities for DeSOs in Sweden.

	Mean	Median	Range	Inter-quartile range
Excess death rate (M), per 100,000 males over 40	156	94.9	-986 – 3,042	-44.1 – 278.8
Excess death rate (F), per 100,000 females over 40	95.5	24.2	-1,604 – 3,507	-87.6 - 178
Percent increase in mortality (M)	9.19	7.07	-28.8 - 102	-2.24 – 18.3
Percent increase in mortality (F)	4.98	2.84	-32.6 - 148	-6.09 – 13.5
Posterior probability that excess rate is greater than zero (M)	0.588	0.592	0.0365 – 1.00	0.416 – 0.766
Posterior probability that excess rate is greater than zero (F)	0.518	0.504	0.0155 – 1.00	0.348 – 0.683

M – male; F – female.

Supplementary Table 10. England: Correlation between community characteristics for Middle Super Output Areas in England: Kendall's Tau coefficients between covariates (categories), n = 6,791 MSOAs.

	NO₂	PM_{2.5}	Population density	Overcrowding	Deprivation	Workplace visits
NO₂	1					
PM_{2.5}	0.55	1				
Population density	0.59	0.39	1			
Overcrowding	0.56	0.42	0.61	1		
Deprivation	0.24	0.01	0.33	0.51	1	
Workplace visits	-0.41	-0.42	-0.30	-0.26	0.14	1

Supplementary Table 11. Italy: Correlation between community characteristics for Municipalities in Italy: Kendall's Tau coefficients between covariates (categories), n = 7,900 municipalities.

	NO₂	PM_{2.5}	Population density	Overcrowding	Deprivation	Workplace visits
NO₂	1					
PM_{2.5}	0.77	1				
Population density	0.63	0.55	1			
Overcrowding	0.02	-0.03	0.12	1		
Deprivation	-0.11	-0.11	-0.13	0.15	1	
Workplace visits	-0.30	-0.23	-0.25	-0.07	0.00	1

Supplementary Table 12. Sweden: Correlation between community characteristics for DeSOs in Sweden: Kendall's Tau coefficients between covariates (categories), n = 5,985 DeSOs.

	NO₂	PM_{2.5}	Population density	Overcrowding	Deprivation	Workplace visits
NO₂	1					
PM_{2.5}	0.44	1				
Population density	0.79	0.43	1			
Overcrowding	0.50	0.29	0.54	1		
Deprivation	0.05	0.00	0.12	0.14	1	
Workplace visits	-0.25	-0.12	-0.27	-0.29	0.17	1

Supplementary Table 13. England: One-variable-at-a-time models for MSOA (6,971) characteristics. Age is included in all the models.

Covariate	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.03	1.01 – 1.05	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	3	1.06	1.04 – 1.07	1.04	1.02 – 1.06
	4	1.08	1.06 – 1.10	1.06	1.04 – 1.08
	5	1.13	1.10 – 1.16	1.09	1.07 – 1.12
	% of variation explained by variable	10.6	6.70 – 14.9	5.73	3.13 – 8.84
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.05	1.02 – 1.07	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	3	1.08	1.06 – 1.11	1.05	1.02 – 1.08
	4	1.12	1.08 – 1.15	1.09	1.06 – 1.12
	5	1.18	1.14 – 1.22	1.13	1.09 – 1.17
	% of variation explained by variable	19.7	12.3 – 28.2	10.5	5.44 – 16.7
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.04	1.02 – 1.05	1.03	1.01 – 1.04
	3	1.06	1.04 – 1.08	1.05	1.03 – 1.06
	4	1.06	1.05 – 1.08	1.06	1.04 – 1.08
	5	1.10	1.08 – 1.13	1.08	1.06 – 1.10
	% of variation explained by variable	6.49	4.28 – 9.03	4.29	2.53 – 6.43
Overcrowding	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.03	1.01 – 1.05	1.02	1.00 – 1.03
	3	1.05	1.04 – 1.07	1.04	1.02 – 1.06
	4	1.07	1.05 – 1.09	1.06	1.05 – 1.08
	5	1.14	1.12 – 1.17	1.12	1.10 – 1.14
	% of variation explained by variable	11.9	8.70 – 15.4	8.87	6.11 – 12.0
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.00 – 1.03	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	3	1.05	1.03 – 1.06	1.03	1.01 – 1.04
	4	1.07	1.05 – 1.09	1.06	1.04 – 1.08
	5	1.11	1.10 – 1.13	1.10	1.08 – 1.12
	% of variation explained by variable	8.52	6.27 – 11.1	6.75	4.72 – 9.02
Workplace visits	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	0.99	0.97 – 1.01
	3	1.00	0.98 – 1.03	1.00	0.97 – 1.02
	4	1.01	0.98 – 1.03	1.00	0.98 – 1.03
	5	1.01	0.98 – 1.04	1.01	0.98 – 1.03
	% of variation explained by variable	0.431	0.052 – 1.25	0.416	0.052 – 1.17

Supplementary Table 14. England: Mutually adjusted multivariable models for all MSOA (6,971) characteristics. Age is included in all the models.

Covariate	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	3	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	1.01	0.98 – 1.03
	4	1.01	0.98 – 1.04	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
	5	1.02	0.99 – 1.06	1.00	0.97 – 1.04
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.00 – 1.04	1.01	0.98 – 1.03
	3	1.03	1.00 – 1.06	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
	4	1.03	0.99 – 1.07	1.02	0.98 – 1.05
	5	1.06	1.01 – 1.11	1.03	0.98 – 1.08
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.00 – 1.04	1.01	1.00 – 1.03
	3	1.03	1.01 – 1.05	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	4	1.02	1.00 – 1.04	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	5	1.03	1.00 – 1.06	1.01	0.99 – 1.04
Overcrowding	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	1.00 – 1.03	1.01	0.99 – 1.02
	3	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	4	1.01	0.98 – 1.03	1.02	0.99 – 1.04
	5	1.05	1.02 – 1.08	1.06	1.02 – 1.09
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	1.00	0.99 – 1.02
	3	1.03	1.01 – 1.05	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	4	1.04	1.02 – 1.06	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	5	1.07	1.05 – 1.10	1.05	1.03 – 1.08
Workplace visits	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	0.99 – 1.04	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	3	1.02	0.99 – 1.04	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	4	1.02	0.99 – 1.04	1.01	0.99 – 1.04
	5	1.01	0.98 – 1.04	1.01	0.98 – 1.03
% of variation explained by all variables		17.7	13.1 – 23.0	11.2	8.07 – 14.8
% of variance explained by local clustering for multivariable model		29.2	20.7 – 38.8	15.7	9.18 – 24.0
% of variance explained by local clustering for model with no variables		45.4	36.6 – 54.5	27.1	19.6 – 35.7

Total absolute excess across all MSOAs: M: 41,737; F: 32,827

Supplementary Table 15. Italy: One-variable-at-a-time models for Municipalities (7,900) characteristics. Age is included in all the models.

Covariate	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	3	0.99	0.97 – 1.01	1.01	0.99 – 1.04
	4	1.01	0.98 – 1.02	1.03	1.00 – 1.05
	5	1.02	1.00 – 1.05	1.05	1.02 – 1.07
	% of variation explained by variable	1.07	0.36 – 2.09	1.74	0.52 – 3.51
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.05
	3	1.01	0.98 – 1.03	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	4	1.03	1.01 – 1.06	1.05	1.02 – 1.07
	5	1.06	1.03 – 1.08	1.07	1.04 – 1.10
	% of variation explained by variable	3.20	1.21 – 5.85	3.33	1.20 – 6.22
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.98 – 1.03	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	3	1.00	0.97 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	4	0.99	0.97 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	5	0.99	0.97 – 1.02	1.00	0.98 – 1.03
	% of variation explained by variable	0.41	0.06 – 1.08	0.35	0.04 – 1.01
Overcrowding	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.97 – 1.01	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	3	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	4	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	5	1.00	0.98 – 1.03	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	% of variation explained by variable	0.29	0.04 – 0.80	0.60	0.09 – 1.57
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.01 – 1.04	1.01	1.01 – 1.03
	3	1.02	1.00 – 1.03	1.02	1.00 – 1.03
	4	1.03	1.02 – 1.05	1.02	1.01 – 1.04
	5	1.05	1.03 – 1.06	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	% of variation explained by variable	1.52	0.66 – 2.61	0.78	0.22 – 1.63
Workplace visits	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	1.00	0.97 – 1.02
	3	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	0.98	0.96 – 1.01
	4	0.98	0.96 – 1.01	0.99	0.96 – 1.02
	5	0.98	0.95 – 1.00	0.98	0.96 – 1.01
	% of variation explained by variable	0.73	0.11 – 1.87	0.71	0.09 – 1.85

Supplementary Table 16. Italy: Mutually adjusted multivariable models for all Municipalities (7,900) characteristics. Age is included in all the models.

Covariate	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.97 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.04
	3	0.99	0.97 – 1.02	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
	4	1.00	0.96 – 1.03	1.02	0.99 – 1.06
	5	1.02	0.98 – 1.06	1.05	1.01 – 1.09
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	0.99 – 1.04	1.03	1.01 – 1.06
	3	1.04	1.01 – 1.07	1.04	1.01 – 1.07
	4	1.06	1.03 – 1.10	1.06	1.02 – 1.09
	5	1.08	1.04 – 1.12	1.07	1.03 – 1.11
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.98 – 1.03	0.98	0.96 – 1.01
	3	0.98	0.96 – 1.01	0.98	0.95 – 1.01
	4	0.97	0.94 – 1.00	0.97	0.94 – 1.00
	5	0.96	0.93 – 0.99	0.95	0.92 – 0.98
Overcrowding	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.97 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	3	1.00	0.98 – 1.03	1.02	0.99 – 1.04
	4	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	5	1.00	0.98 – 1.03	1.02	0.99 – 1.04
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.01 – 1.04	1.01	0.99 – 1.02
	3	1.01	1.00 – 1.03	1.02	1.00 – 1.03
	4	1.03	1.02 – 1.05	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	5	1.05	1.03 – 1.07	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
Workplace visits	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.97 – 1.01	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	3	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	0.98	0.96 – 1.01
	4	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	1.00	0.97 – 1.02
	5	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	1.00	0.97 – 1.02
% of variation explained by all variables		7.74	4.67 – 11.7	6.44	3.57 – 10.3
% of variance explained by local clustering for multivariable model		80.4	69.8 – 89.3	65.57	53.0 – 77.3
% of variance explained by local clustering for model with no variables		81.1	71.3 – 89.5	65.12	52.8 – 76.3

Total absolute excess across all municipalities:

M: 43,122; F: 41,007

Supplementary Table 17. Sweden: One-variable-at-a-time models for DeSOs (5,985) characteristics. Age is included in all the models.

Covariate	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.05	1.01 – 1.09	1.01	0.97 – 1.05
	3	1.08	1.04 – 1.12	1.03	0.99 – 1.07
	4	1.10	1.06 – 1.15	1.04	1.00 – 1.08
	5	1.11	1.06 – 1.15	1.03	0.99 – 1.08
	% of variation explained by variable	2.69	1.16 – 4.61	0.57	0.086 – 1.44
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.04	1.00 – 1.09	1.04	1.00 – 1.09
	3	1.08	1.03 – 1.13	1.05	1.00 – 1.10
	4	1.08	1.02 – 1.13	1.03	0.98 – 1.08
	5	1.09	1.03 – 1.16	1.02	0.97 – 1.08
	% of variation explained by variable	1.98	0.45 – 4.28	0.72	0.12 – 1.78
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.10	1.06 – 1.14	1.04	0.99 – 1.08
	3	1.09	1.05 – 1.13	1.03	0.99 – 1.07
	4	1.10	1.06 – 1.15	1.07	1.03 – 1.12
	5	1.18	1.12 – 1.23	1.04	0.99 – 1.09
	% of variation explained by variable	4.77	2.64 – 7.31	0.96	0.24 – 2.06
Overcrowding	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.04	1.00 – 1.07	1.02	0.98 – 1.06
	3	1.05	1.01 – 1.09	1.01	0.98 – 1.06
	4	1.07	1.03 – 1.11	1.06	1.02 – 1.10
	5	1.20	1.15 – 1.25	1.12	1.07 – 1.17
	% of variation explained by variable	6.81	4.14 – 9.84	2.66	1.14 – 4.65
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.07	1.02 – 1.11	1.06	1.01 – 1.10
	3	1.07	1.03 – 1.12	1.08	1.04 – 1.13
	4	1.10	1.06 – 1.15	1.09	1.04 – 1.14
	5	1.21	1.16 – 1.26	1.15	1.10 – 1.20
	% of variation explained by variable	6.62	4.24 – 9.38	3.34	1.64 – 5.39
Workplace visits	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.03	0.98 – 1.09	1.00	0.95 – 1.05
	3	1.03	0.98 – 1.09	1.02	0.97 – 1.07
	4	1.02	0.96 – 1.07	0.97	0.92 – 1.02
	5	1.02	0.96 – 1.07	0.99	0.95 – 1.05
	% of variation explained by variable	0.662	0.0877 – 1.84	0.664	0.111 – 1.59

Supplementary Table 18. Sweden: Mutually adjusted multivariable models for all DeSOs (5,985) characteristics. Age is included in all the models.

Covariate	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.97	0.91 – 1.03	0.97	0.91 – 1.04
	3	0.99	0.92 – 1.06	0.98	0.91 – 1.06
	4	0.99	0.91 – 1.07	0.98	0.90 – 1.07
	5	0.98	0.90 – 1.07	0.98	0.89 – 1.07
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.96 – 1.05	1.02	0.98 – 1.07
	3	1.01	0.96 – 1.07	1.02	0.97 – 1.08
	4	1.01	0.95 – 1.07	1.01	0.95 – 1.07
	5	0.99	0.92 – 1.06	0.99	0.92 – 1.06
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.11	1.05 – 1.18	1.05	0.98 – 1.11
	3	1.09	1.02 – 1.17	1.03	0.95 – 1.11
	4	1.08	1.00 – 1.17	1.05	0.96 – 1.14
	5	1.11	1.02 – 1.21	0.99	0.90 – 1.08
Overcrowding	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.97 – 1.04	1.02	0.97 – 1.06
	3	1.00	0.96 – 1.05	1.00	0.96 – 1.05
	4	1.01	0.96 – 1.05	1.04	0.99 – 1.09
	5	1.09	1.03 – 1.14	1.08	1.02 – 1.14
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.06	1.02 – 1.11	1.06	1.01 – 1.11
	3	1.06	1.02 – 1.11	1.09	1.04 – 1.14
	4	1.08	1.04 – 1.13	1.09	1.04 – 1.14
	5	1.15	1.10 – 1.20	1.13	1.08 – 1.19
Workplace visits	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	0.97 – 1.07	0.98	0.93 – 1.03
	3	1.02	0.97 – 1.07	1.00	0.95 – 1.05
	4	1.00	0.95 – 1.05	0.95	0.90 – 1.00
	5	1.00	0.95 – 1.06	0.97	0.92 – 1.03
% of variation explained by all variables		11.3	8.23 – 14.8	6.44	4.24 – 9.08
% of variance explained by local clustering for multivariable model		4.84	1.08 – 11.4	3.16	0.215 – 9.74
% of variance explained by local clustering for model with no variables		8.45	3.24 – 16.2	3.74	0.444 – 10.1

Total absolute excess across all DeSOs: M: 4,090; F: 2,987

Supplementary Table 19. Total excess mortality per 100,000 population stratified by covariates

England				
Covariate/Category	Males		Females	
	Population > 40 years	Excess deaths per 100,000 (95% CI)	Population > 40 years	Excess deaths per 100,000 (95% CI)
NO₂				
1	3,081,088	164 (92.3 – 236)	3,374,801	125 (47.9 – 203)
2	2,896,322	267 (196 – 339)	3,172,105	196 (117 – 273)
3	2,647,267	318 (248 – 390)	2,885,370	239 (162 – 317)
4	2,540,899	379 (309 – 452)	2,736,587	293 (213 – 372)
5	2,503,845	436 (378 – 495)	2,592,859	289 (227 – 351)
PM_{2.5}				
1	2,840,956	204 (131 – 280)	3,119,001	149 (67.9 – 230)
2	2,745,513	298 (225 – 373)	2,967,697	218 (138 – 298)
3	2,894,494	297 (226 – 370)	3,046,193	213 (134 – 291)
4	2,695,993	338 (272 – 408)	2,914,299	264 (190 – 338)
5	2,581,466	400 (344 – 457)	2,714,530	277 (217 – 338)
Population density				
1	3,124,573	165 (97.3 – 233)	3,378,801	120 (47.5 – 192)
2	2,900,193	275 (204 – 348)	3,189,299	201 (122 – 280)
3	2,660,323	344 (273 – 418)	2,918,606	248 (168 – 328)
4	2,547,437	367 (296 – 441)	2,763,657	289 (210 – 369)
5	2,435,895	415 (355 – 476)	2,511,358	284 (219 – 348)
Overcrowding				
1	3,084,486	174 (107 – 243)	3,377,527	130 (57.1 – 203)
2	2,946,650	243 (173 – 314)	3,234,026	166 (90.2 – 243)
3	2,728,326	313 (242 – 388)	2,993,911	238 (156 – 319)
4	2,469,095	373 (301 – 448)	2,657,048	294 (214 – 375)
5	2,439,866	469 (409 – 529)	2,499,210	325 (262 – 389)
Deprivation				
1	2,974,471	200 (137 – 264)	3,260,351	159 (90.4 – 228)
2	2,930,299	223 (157 – 291)	3,194,777	166 (93.5 – 239)
3	2,787,724	288 (219 – 357)	3,018,319	190 (115 – 265)
4	2,595,358	359 (286 – 430)	2,763,138	264 (188 – 341)
5	2,380,568	500 (422 – 579)	2,525,136	367 (283 – 452)
Workplace visits				
1	2,567,251	305 (248 – 363)	2,721,171	229 (166 – 291)
2	2,707,528	328 (261 – 396)	2,926,776	232 (159 – 306)
3	2,758,376	324 (254 – 396)	2,999,571	232 (156 – 309)
4	2,832,770	303 (231 – 378)	3,077,028	228 (148 – 309)
5	2,802,496	268 (194 – 346)	3,037,175	191 (110 – 273)

Italy				
Covariate/Category	Males		Females	
	Population > 40 years	Excess deaths per 100,000 (95% CI)	Population > 40 years	Excess deaths per 100,000 (95% CI)
NO₂				
1	644,403	197 (102 – 325)	687,282	155 (80.5 – 255)
2	1,392,861	167 (85.6 – 280)	1,512,831	156 (96.3 – 241)
3	2,686,962	146 (74.5 – 247)	2,967,178	135 (83.9 – 210)
4	3,677,285	208 (140 – 306)	4,110,085	200 (151 – 274)
5	8,577,788	324 (262 – 415)	9,840,544	257 (212 – 326)
PM_{2.5}				
1	763,545	214 (126 – 333)	815,439	185 (117 – 277)
2	1,628,935	137 (58.0 – 247)	1,797,417	146 (89.5 – 229)
3	4,673,230	167 (98.8 – 266)	5,278,784	143 (95.8 – 217)
4	5,037,521	222 (155 – 317)	5,779,871	172 (125 – 244)
5	4,876,068	413 (349 – 504)	5,446,409	353 (306 – 425)
Population density				
1	513,480	272 (269 – 408)	541,357	259 (177 – 366)
2	1,045,298	266 (177 – 384)	1,133,675	223 (159 – 314)
3	1,761,388	224 (148 – 332)	1,912,683	225 (168 – 307)
4	3,655,269	218 (151 – 315)	4,027,384	210 (162 – 283)
5	10,003,864	268 (206 – 360)	11,502,821	210 (166 – 279)
Overcrowding				
1	618,639	318 (229 – 437)	662,835	281 (213 – 375)
2	2,378,655	242 (171 – 342)	2,619,250	222 (171 – 298)
3	4,518,796	284 (216 – 382)	5,072,981	252 (203 – 328)
4	3,914,832	269 (201 – 365)	4,415,126	239 (191 – 313)
5	5,548,377	214 (149 – 309)	6,347,728	155 (110 – 225)
Deprivation				
1	5,314,313	217 (151 – 313)	6,180,280	183 (136 – 256)
2	3,406,516	270 (201 – 368)	3,816,519	229 (180 – 303)
3	3,354,306	255 (186 – 354)	3,733,344	230 (180 – 304)
4	2,876,984	268 (198 – 368)	3,187,766	219 (168 – 294)
5	2,027,180	295 (223 – 395)	2,200,011	240 (189 – 316)
Workplace visits				
1	5,384,911	295 (231 – 388)	6,146,251	212 (167 – 282)
2	3,295,644	308 (240 – 404)	3,696,937	284 (235 – 358)
3	3,100,402	234 (164 – 333)	3,463,055	190 (140 – 265)
4	2,165,927	177 (103 – 282)	2,408,839	189 (135 – 269)
5	3,032,415	192 (120 – 296)	3,402,838	182 (130 – 260)

Sweden				
Covariate/Category	Males		Females	
	Population > 40 years	Excess deaths per 100,000 (95% CI)	Population > 40 years	Excess deaths per 100,000 (95% CI)
NO₂				
1	538,996	14.1 (-75.5 – 135)	491,471	36.4 (-33.7 – 133)
2	531,311	106 (14.3 – 234)	542,043	67.1 (-13.5 – 182)
3	540,865	167 (74.9 – 294)	577,002	100 (22.3 – 210)
4	496,679	222 (128 – 349)	549,155	146 (65.7 – 263)
5	476,938	235 (144 – 358)	524,564	136 (58.1 – 248)
PM_{2.5} annual average (µg/m³)				
1	520,865	58.0 (-37.9 – 190)	504,961	42.8 (-38.5 – 157)
2	529,801	126 (35.6 – 253)	540,039	112 (32.4 – 223)
3	524,873	203 (113 – 326)	556,214	133 (55.2 – 242)
4	504,356	171 (81.6 – 292)	538,166	103 (28.1 – 211)
5	504,984	172 (81.4 – 295)	544,855	96.1 (18.1 – 209)
Population density				
1	542,046	-19.8 (-106 – 96.6)	490,660	6.96 (-58.8 – 97.0)
2	522,750	150 (56.1 – 280)	530,260	93.0 (9.94 – 211)
3	550,043	154 (61.1 – 282)	590,957	88.6 (8.96 – 203)
4	517,832	180 (85.0 – 309)	569,707	176 (95.9 – 293)
5	452,118	291 (202 – 412)	502,651	116 (38.1 – 227)
Overcrowding				
1	534,722	27.2 (-65.0 – 155)	517,249	20.7 (-52.7 – 124)
2	545,288	98.5 (3.05 – 230)	570,565	72.5 (-8.58 – 189)
3	523,004	132 (38.2 – 262)	559,185	60.1 (-20.2 – 176)
4	512,233	158 (68.4 – 280)	552,862	135 (57.7 – 246)
5	469,542	338 (255 – 449)	484,374	213 (137 – 319)
Deprivation				
1	546,658	23.7 (-42.4 – 114)	546,019	-6.61 (-59.0 – 66.6)
2	541,944	95.9 (14.4 – 21.8)	551,997	57.4 (-8.95 – 152)
3	515,873	97.5 (4.26 – 227)	538,973	95.9 (17.3 – 209)
4	509,579	157 (50.7 – 304)	541,417	112 (20.5 – 242)
5	470,735	386 (273 – 542)	505,829	244 (143 – 388)
Workplace visits				
1	512,245	167 (90.8 – 271)	540,574	115 (47.6 – 209)
2	517,072	158 (70.1 – 278)	533,837	95.2 (20.1 – 203)
3	504,867	174 (82.3 – 301)	518,987	131 (52.0 – 242)
4	513,474	113 (13.5 – 251)	530,147	61.8 (-23.2 – 183)
5	537,131	118 (17.7 – 257)	560,690	89.2 (5.63 – 210)

Supplementary Section 4. Results of Sensitivity Analyses
Sensitivity Analysis. Italy, alternative categorical covariates

Supplementary Table 20. Italy: One-variable-at-a-time models

Variable	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	3	0.99	0.97 – 1.01	1.01	0.99 – 1.04
	4	1.01	0.98 – 1.02	1.03	1.00 – 1.05
	5	1.02	1.00 – 1.05	1.05	1.02 – 1.07
	% of variation explained by variable	1.07	0.36 – 2.09	1.74	0.52 – 3.51
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.05
	3	1.01	0.98 – 1.03	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	4	1.03	1.01 – 1.06	1.05	1.02 – 1.07
	5	1.06	1.03 – 1.08	1.07	1.04 – 1.10
	% of variation explained by variable	3.20	1.21 – 5.85	3.33	1.20 – 6.22
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.98 – 1.03	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	3	1.00	0.97 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	4	0.99	0.97 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	5	0.99	0.97 – 1.02	1.00	0.98 – 1.03
	% of variation explained by variable	0.41	0.06 – 1.08	0.35	0.04 – 1.01
Overcrowding	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.97 – 1.01	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	3	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	4	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	5	1.00	0.98 – 1.03	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	% of variation explained by variable	0.29	0.04 – 0.80	0.60	0.09 – 1.57
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.01 – 1.04	1.01	1.01 – 1.03
	3	1.02	1.00 – 1.03	1.02	1.00 – 1.03
	4	1.03	1.02 – 1.05	1.02	1.01 – 1.04
	5	1.05	1.03 – 1.06	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	% of variation explained by variable	1.52	0.66 – 2.61	0.78	0.22 – 1.63
Workplace visits	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	1.00	0.97 – 1.02

	3	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	0.98	0.96 – 1.01
	4	0.98	0.96 – 1.01	0.99	0.96 – 1.02
	5	0.98	0.95 – 1.00	0.98	0.96 – 1.01
	% of variation explained by variable	0.73	0.11 – 1.87	0.71	0.09 – 1.85
Population density Indicator 2^a	1: ≥ 12 to < 423	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2: ≥ 423 to < 816	0.98	0.95 – 1.01	0.99	0.96 – 1.02
	3: ≥ 816 to < 1439	0.98	0.95 – 1.00	1.00	0.97 – 1.02
	4: ≥ 1439 to < 2735	0.98	0.95 – 1.00	0.99	0.97 – 1.02
	5: ≥ 1439 to < 35272	0.98	0.96 – 1.00	0.99	0.97 – 1.01
	% of variation explained by variable	0.71	0.07 – 2.01	0.42	0.05 – 1.28
Overcrowding index 2^b	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	3	0.99	0.98 – 1.01	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	4	0.98	0.97 – 1.00	0.99	0.97 – 1.01
	5	0.98	0.96 – 1.00	0.99	0.97 – 1.01
	% of variation explained by variable	0.59	0.11 – 1.43	0.36	0.05 – 0.98
Movement index 2^c	1: ≥ 5 to < 369	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2: ≥ 369 to < 829	0.99	0.96 – 1.02	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
	3: ≥ 829 to < 1697	0.99	0.96 – 1.01	1.00	0.97 – 1.03
	4: ≥ 1697 to < 3900	0.98	0.96 – 1.01	1.00	0.98 – 1.03
	5: ≥ 3900 to < 1340819	0.98	0.96 – 1.01	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
	% of variation explained by variable	0.57	0.05 – 1.79	0.40	0.04 – 1.32

^a Maximum population density (based on population at census block level, year 2011) among all 1-km² grid cells intersecting the municipality

^b Average number of family members, from 1 to 5

^c Total number of movements in and out the municipality, for work, study or other reasons, year 2015

Supplementary Table 21. Italy: Mutually adjusted multivariable models.

Variable	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.97 – 1.02	1.02	0.99 – 1.05
	3	0.98	0.95 – 1.01	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
	4	0.99	0.95 – 1.02	1.02	0.99 – 1.06
	5	1.00	0.97 – 1.04	1.04	1.00 – 1.08
PM_{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	0.99 – 1.04	1.03	1.00 – 1.05
	3	1.04	1.01 – 1.07	1.04	1.01 – 1.07
	4	1.06	1.03 – 1.10	1.05	1.01 – 1.08
	5	1.08	1.04 – 1.12	1.06	1.02 – 1.10
Deprivation	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.01 – 1.04	1.01	1.00 – 1.03
	3	1.02	1.00 – 1.03	1.02	1.01 – 1.04
	4	1.04	1.02 – 1.05	1.03	1.01 – 1.04
	5	1.05	1.03 – 1.07	1.04	1.02 – 1.06
Population density Indicator 2	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.98	0.95 – 1.01	0.98	0.95 – 1.01 2
	3	0.98	0.95 – 1.02	0.98	0.95 – 1.01
	4	0.99	0.95 – 1.02	0.97	0.93 – 1.00
	5	0.98	0.95 – 1.02	0.95	0.92 – 0.99
Overcrowding index 2	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
	3	0.99	0.97 – 1.01	1.01	0.97 – 1.05
	4	0.98	0.96 – 1.00	1.01	0.97 – 1.05
	5	0.98	0.96 – 1.00	1.02	0.98 – 1.06

Movement index 2	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.96 – 1.03	0.99	0.97 – 1.01
	3	1.00	0.96 – 1.03	0.99	0.97 – 1.01
	4	0.99	0.95 – 1.03	0.99	0.97 – 1.01
	5	0.98	0.95 – 1.02	0.98	0.96 – 1.00
% of variation explained by all variables full model 2 ^a		5.75	3.61 – 8.41	4.95	2.81 – 7.81
% of variance explained by local clustering for full model 2 ^a		81.83	71.29 – 90.58	67.28	54.87 – 78.55

^a New model, including age, PM_{2.5}, NO₂, deprivation index, max population density (1x1-km), new overcrowding index (# family members), and movement index 2 (# movements of people in and out)

Supplementary Table 22. Italy: Correlation between community characteristics for municipalities in Italy: Kendall's Tau coefficients between covariates (categories), n = 7,900 municipalities.

	NO₂	PM_{2.5}	Deprivation	Pop. density 1	Pop. density 2	Overcrowd. index 1	Overcrowd. index 2	Google Move.	Move. indicator 2
NO₂	1.00								
PM_{2.5}	0.77	1.00							
Deprivation	-0.11	-0.11	1.00						
Pop. density 1^a	0.63	0.55	-0.13	1.00					
Pop. density 2^b	0.44	0.33	-0.09	0.60	1.00				
Overcrowd ind. 1^c	0.02	-0.03	0.15	0.12	0.21	1.00			
Overcrowd ind. 2^d	0.30	0.32	-0.01	0.42	0.40	0.08	1.00		
Workplace visits.^e	-0.30	-0.23	0.00	-0.26	-0.15	-0.07	-0.12	1.00	
Move. indicator 2^f	0.45	0.38	-0.10	0.61	0.75	0.18	0.41	-0.10	1.00

^a Classical population density, calculated as ratio between population size (# inhabitants, on 2019) and municipality size (km², on 2020)

^b Maximum population density (based on population at census block level, year 2011) among all 1-km² grid cells intersecting the municipality

^c Maximum % urban cover (based on 200m CORINE data, year 2012) among all 1-km² grid cells intersecting the municipality

^d Percentage of households <40 m² and 4+ occupants, or 40-59 m² and 5+ occupants, or 60-79 m² and 6+ occupants, over the total of inhabited household

^e Average number of family members, from 1 to 5

^f Google movement data, decrease (%) in movement to workplace, as quintiles. Only available at lower resolution spatially. Downscaled to MSOA/DeSO/municipality level

^g Total number of movements in and out the municipality, for work, study or other reasons, year 2015

Sensitivity Analysis: Continuous Covariates

Supplementary Table 23. England: One-variable-at-a-time models.

Variable		Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	Linear effect (per IQR=7.27 µg/m ³)	1.05	1.04 – 1.06	1.04	1.03 – 1.05
PM _{2.5}	Linear effect (per IQR=2.51 µg/m ³)	1.10	1.08 – 1.12	1.07	1.05 – 1.09
Population density	Linear effect (per IQR=3,959 inhab./km ²)	1.03	1.03 – 1.04	1.03	1.02 – 1.04

Supplementary Table 24. England: Mutually adjusted multivariable models. MALES

Variable		Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	Linear effect (per IQR=7.27 µg/m ³)	9.98	0.96 – 1.00	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
PM _{2.5}	Linear effect (per IQR=2.51 µg/m ³)	1.06	1.03 – 1.09	1.02	0.99 – 1.05
Population density	Linear effect (per IQR=3,959 inhab./km ²)	1.00	0.99 – 1.01	1.00	0.99 – 1.01
% of variance explained by local clustering for full model ^a		30.2	21.7 – 39.8	16.4	9.70 – 24.8

Supplementary Table 25. Italy: One-variable-at-a-time models.

Variable		Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	Linear effect (per IQR=10.8 µg/m ³)	1.02	1.01 – 1.03	1.02	1.01 – 1.03
PM _{2.5}	Linear effect (per IQR=6.84 µg/m ³)	1.04	1.03 – 1.06	1.04	1.03 – 1.06
Population density	Linear effect (per IQR=238 inhab./km ²)	1.00	1.00 – 1.00	1.00	1.00 – 1.00

Supplementary Table 26. Italy: Mutually adjusted multivariable models.

Variable		Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	Linear effect (per IQR=10.8 µg/m ³)	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
PM _{2.5}	Linear effect (per IQR=6.84 µg/m ³)	1.04	1.01 – 1.07	1.04	1.02 – 1.07
Population density	Linear effect (per IQR=238 inhab./km ²)	1.00	1.00 – 1.00	1.00	1.00 – 1.00
% of variance explained by local clustering for full model ^a		80.7	70.0 – 89.6	66.4	53.7 – 78.1

Supplementary Table 27. Sweden: One-variable-at-a-time models.

Variable		Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mea	95% CI
NO ₂	Linear effect (per IQR= 9.152 µg/m ³)	1.05	1.03 – 1.07	1.01	0.98 – 1.03
PM _{2.5}	Linear effect (per IQR= 1.401 µg/m ³)	1.04	1.02 – 1.07	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
Population density	Linear effect (per IQR= 3,384 inhab./km ²)	1.01	1.01 – 1.02	1.00	0.99 – 1.01

Supplementary Table 28. Sweden: Mutually adjusted multivariable models. MALES

Variable		Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mea	95% CI
NO ₂	Linear effect (per IQR= 9.152 µg/m ³)	1.01	0.98 – 1.05	0.99	0.95 – 1.02
PM _{2.5}	Linear effect (per IQR= 1.401 µg/m ³)	1.01	0.98 – 1.05	1.01	0.98 – 1.04
Population density	Linear effect (per IQR= 3,384 inhab./km ²)	1.00	0.99 – 1.01	0.99	0.98 – 1.00
% of variance explained by local clustering for full model ^a		4.97	1.21 – 11.58	3.08	0.262 – 8.99

^a Model including age, PM_{2.5} (linear), NO₂ (linear), deprivation index, population density (linear), overcrowding index and Google movement data

Sensitivity Analysis: Models run without accounting for the local spatial correlation (no spatial smoothing)

Supplementary Table 29. England Multivariate model with no spatial smoothing (stage 1 & 2)

Variable	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.04	1.02 – 1.06	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	3	1.05	1.03 – 1.07	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	4	1.07	1.04 – 1.09	1.04	1.02 – 1.06
	5	1.10	1.07 – 1.13	1.04	1.01 – 1.07
PM_{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.01 – 1.04	1.02	1.00 – 1.03
	3	1.03	1.01 – 1.05	1.02	1.01 – 1.04
	4	1.03	1.02 – 1.05	1.04	1.02 – 1.06
	5	1.06	1.03 – 1.09	1.05	1.02 – 1.08
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	1.00 – 1.03	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	3	1.02	1.00 – 1.04	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	4	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	5	1.02	1.00 – 1.05	1.00	0.98 – 1.03
Overcrowded homes	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	1.00	0.99 – 1.02
	3	1.00	0.99 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	4	1.00	0.98 – 1.02	1.01	0.99 – 1.04
	5	1.05	1.02 – 1.08	1.05	1.02 – 1.09
IMD	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	0.99 – 1.02	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	3	1.02	1.01 – 1.04	1.00	0.99 – 1.02
	4	1.04	1.02 – 1.06	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	5	1.07	1.05 – 1.10	1.06	1.03 – 1.09
Google movement (workplace)	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.03	1.02 – 1.05	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
	3	1.05	1.03 – 1.07	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	4	1.05	1.03 – 1.07	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	5	1.03	1.01 – 1.05	1.01	0.99 – 1.03
% of variation explained by all variables		27.7	24.0 – 31.5	16.2	13.2 – 19.2

Total absolute excess across all MSOAs: M: 41,708 ; F: 32,801

Supplementary Table 30. Italy Multivariate model with no spatial smoothing (stage 1 & 2)

Variable	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.02	0.99 – 1.05	1.04	1.01 – 1.06
	3	1.04	1.01 – 1.07	1.06	1.03 – 1.09
	4	1.06	1.03 – 1.10	1.10	1.06 – 1.14
	5	1.10	1.06 – 1.15	1.13	1.09 – 1.17
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.97 – 1.08	1.00	0.97 – 1.03
	3	1.00	0.98 – 1.03	1.00	0.97 – 1.02
	4	1.04	1.00 – 1.07	1.03	0.99 – 1.06
	5	1.08	1.04 – 1.12	1.07	1.03 – 1.10
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.96 – 1.02	0.97	0.95 – 1.00
	3	0.95	0.93 – 0.98	0.95	0.93 – 0.98
	4	0.92	0.90 – 0.95	0.92	0.90 – 0.95
	5	0.90	0.87 – 0.93	0.89	0.862 – 0.92
Household overcrowding index	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.97	0.95 – 1.00	0.99	0.96 – 1.01
	3	0.98	0.96 – 1.00	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	4	0.97	0.95 – 1.00	1.00	0.98 – 1.02
	5	0.96	0.93 – 0.98	0.98	0.95 – 1.00
Socio-economic status (Deprivation indicator)	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.03	1.01 – 1.05	1.01	1.00 – 1.03
	3	1.02	1.00 – 1.04	1.02	1.00 – 1.04
	4	1.05	1.03 – 1.06	1.03	1.01 – 1.05
	5	1.07	1.05 – 1.09	1.04	1.02 – 1.06
Google movement (workplace)	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.99	0.97 – 1.00	1.02	0.98 – 1.02
	3	0.94	0.92 – 0.96	0.95	0.96 – 0.97
	4	0.93	0.92 – 0.95	0.96	0.94 – 0.98
	5	0.95	0.93 – 0.96	0.96	0.95 – 0.98
% of variation explained by all variables		19.2	16.02 – 22.6	14.8	11.9 – 17.9

Total absolute excess across all municipalities:

M: 43,361; F: 41,204

Supplementary Table 31. Sweden Multivariate model with no spatial smoothing (stage 1 & 2)

Variable	Category	Males		Females	
		Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
NO ₂	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	0.97	0.91 – 1.02	0.97	0.91 – 1.04
	3	0.99	0.92 – 1.06	0.98	0.91 – 1.06
	4	0.99	0.92 – 1.07	0.99	0.91 – 1.07
	5	0.99	0.91 – 1.07	0.98	0.90 – 1.07
PM _{2.5}	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.96 – 1.04	1.02	0.98 – 1.06
	3	1.02	0.98 – 1.06	1.02	0.97 – 1.06
	4	1.00	0.96 – 1.05	1.01	0.96 – 1.05
	5	0.99	0.95 – 1.04	0.99	0.95 – 1.04
Population density	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.11	1.05 – 1.18	1.04	0.98 – 1.11
	3	1.09	1.01 – 1.17	1.03	0.95 – 1.11
	4	1.08	1.00 – 1.16	1.05	0.96 – 1.14
	5	1.11	1.02 – 1.21	0.98	0.90 – 1.08
Living area	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.00	0.96 – 1.04	1.02	0.97 – 1.06
	3	1.01	0.97 – 1.05	1.00	0.95 – 1.04
	4	1.01	0.97 – 1.06	1.04	0.99 – 1.09
	5	1.10	1.05 – 1.16	1.08	1.02 – 1.14
Economic status (Deprivation indicator SDI1)	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.06	1.02 – 1.11	1.06	1.02 – 1.11
	3	1.06	1.02 – 1.10	1.09	1.04 – 1.14
	4	1.08	1.04 – 1.12	1.09	1.04 – 1.14
	5	1.14	1.09 – 1.19	1.14	1.09 – 1.19
Google movement (workplace)	1	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2	1.01	1.97 – 1.05	0.98	0.94 – 1.02
	3	1.01	0.97 – 1.06	1.00	0.95 – 1.04
	4	0.99	0.95 – 1.04	0.95	0.91 – 0.99
	5	0.99	0.95 – 1.04	0.98	0.93 – 1.02
% of variation contributed by all variables		12.73	9.52 – 16.30	6.22	4.12 – 8.60

Total absolute excess across all DeSOs: M: 3,727; F: 2,625

References

1. ONS. The Office for National Statistics. Population estimates for the UK, England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland: mid-2020
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/bulletins/annualmidyearpopulationestimates/mid2020>. 2020. Accessed 27 January 2022.
2. ONS. The Office for National Statistics. UK population pyramid interactive.
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/articles/ukpopulationpyramidinteractive/2020-01-08>. 2020. Accessed 27 January 2022.
3. Davies B, Parkes BL, Bennett J, et al. Community factors and excess mortality in first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in England. *Nature Communications*. 2021;12(1):1-9.
4. Riebler A, Sørbye SH, Simpson D, Rue H. An intuitive Bayesian spatial model for disease mapping that accounts for scaling. *Statistical methods in medical research*. 2016;25(4):1145-65.
5. ONS. The Office for National Statistics. Annual population estimates.
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates>. 2019.