

Clinical description, molecular delineation and genotype-phenotype correlation in 340 patients with KBG syndrome: Addition of 67 new patients

Elena Martínez-Cayuelas 1*, Fiona Blanco-Kelly 2, 3, Fermina López-Grondona 2, 3, Saoud Tahsin-Swafiri 2, 3, Rosario López-Rodríguez 2, Rebeca Losada-Del Pozo 1, Ignacio Mahillo 4, Beatriz Moreno 1, María Rodrigo-Moreno 1, Dídac Casas-Alba 5, Aitor López-González 5, Sixto García-Miñaur 3, 6, 7, María de los Ángeles Mori 3, 6, 7, Marta Pacio-Mínguez 6, Emi Rikeros-Orozco 6, Fernando Santos-Simarro 3, 6, 7, Jaime Cruz-Rojo 8, 9, Juan Francisco Quesada-Espinosa 8, 10, María Teresa Sánchez-Calvin 8, 10, Jaime Sánchez-del Pozo 8, 9, Raquel Bernadó-Fonz, MD 11, María Isidoro-García 12, Irene Ruiz-Ayucar 13, María Isabel Álvarez 3, 14, Raquel Blanco-Lago 15, Begoña De Azua-Brea 16, Jesús Eirís 17, Juan José García-Peñas 18, Belén Gil-Fournier 19, Carmen Gómez-Lado 17, Nadia Irazabal 20, Vanessa López 21, Irene Madrigal 3, 14, Ignacio Málaga 15, Beatriz Martínez-Menéndez 22, María Soraya Ramiro-Leon 19, María García-Hoyos 23, Pablo Prieto-Matos 13, Javier López-Pisón 24, Sergio Aguilera-Albesa 11, Sara Álvarez de Andrés 23, Alberto Fernández-Jaén 25, 26, Isabel Llano-Rivas 27, Blanca Gener 27, Carmen Ayuso 2, 3, Ana Arteché-López 8, 10, María Palomares-Bralo 3, 6, 7, Anna Cueto 28, Irene Valenzuela 28, Antonio F. Martínez-Monseny 5, Isabel Lorda-Sánchez 2, 3, Berta Almoguera 2,3*

1. Department of Pediatrics. Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital
2. Department of Genetics and Genomics, IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital
3. Center for Biomedical Network Research on Rare Diseases (CIBERER), ISCIII, Madrid, Spain.
4. Department of Statistics. IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital
5. Clinical Genetics and Dysmorphology. Department of Genetic and Molecular Medicine. Pediatric Institute of Rare Diseases (IPER) Sant Joan de Deu Hospital, Barcelona
6. Medical and Molecular Genetics Institute (INGEMM), La Paz University Hospital, IdiPaz

7. ITHACA- European Reference Network, Spain
8. UDISGEN (Dysmorphology and Genetics Unit), 12 de Octubre University Hospital, Madrid
9. Department of Pediatrics, Endocrinology Unit, 12 de Octubre University Hospital, Madrid, Spain
10. Department of Genetics. 12 de Octubre University Hospital, Madrid, Spain
11. Pediatric Neurology Unit. Department of Pediatrics. Navarra University Hospital. Navarrabiomed Pediatric Neurology Research Group
12. Department of Biochemistry. Salamanca University Hospital
13. Department of Pediatrics. Salamanca University Hospital
14. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, Clinic Hospital, IDIBAPS (Institut de Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunyer)
15. Pediatric Neurology Unit. Department of Pediatrics. Central de Asturias University Hospital
16. Department of Pediatrics. Son Llàtzer University Hospital
17. Department of Pediatric Neurology. Santiago University Hospital
18. Pediatric Neurology Unit. Department of Pediatrics. Niño Jesús University Hospital
19. Department of Genetics. Getafe University Hospital
20. Department of Pediatrics. Can Misses University Hospital
21. Medical Genetics Unit. Department of Genetics. Virgen de la Arrixaca University Hospital. IMIB-Arrixaca
22. Pediatric Neurology Unit. Department of Neurology. Getafe University Hospital
23. Genomics and Medicine, NIMGenetics. Madrid
24. Department of Pediatrics. Miguel Servet University Hospital
25. Department of Pediatric Neurology. Hospital Universitario Quirónsalud. Madrid. Spain.

26. School of Medicine. European University of Madrid

27. Department of Genetics. Cruces University Hospital

28. Department of Clinical and Molecular Genetics, Vall d Hebron University Hospital and Medicine Genetics Group, Vall d Hebron Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain

Corresponding authors

Berta Almoguera, PhD

Department of Genetics and Genomics.

IIS–Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital

Avenida Reyes Católicos, 2. Madrid 28040. Spain

email address: balmoguera@quironsalud.es

Elena Martínez-Cayuelas, MD

Department of Pediatrics.

Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital

Avenida Reyes Católicos, 2. Madrid 28040. Spain

email address: elena.martinezc@quironsalud.es

SUMMARY

Background: KBG syndrome is a highly variable neurodevelopmental disorder and clinical diagnostic criteria have changed as new patients have been published. Both loss-of-function sequence variants and large deletions (CNVs) involving *ANKRD11* have been involved in KBG, but no genotype-phenotype correlation has been reported to date. This study presents the clinical and molecular characteristics of 67 new patients with KBG syndrome and the results of the first genotype-phenotype correlation leveraging data on 273 patients previously published. **Methods:** 67 patients with KBG syndrome were recruited through a Spanish collaborative effort and were assessed using a custom phenotypic questionnaire. The frequency of all features was calculated. Manifestations present in >50% of the patients and a “severity score” were used to perform a genotype-phenotype correlation in the 340 KBG patients. **Results:** Neurodevelopmental delay (95%), comorbidities (82.8%), macrodontia (80.9%), triangular face (71%), characteristic ears (76%), nose (75.9%) and eyebrows (67.3%) were the most prevalent features in the 67 patients. The genotype-phenotype correlation yielded significant associations with the triangular face (71.1% in patients with sequence variants vs 45.2% in CNVs, $p=0.015$), short stature (62.5% variants in exon 9 vs. 27.8% outside; $p=0.009$) and macrodontia (with larger deletions, $p=0.028$), ID/ADHD/ASD (70.4% in c.1903_1907del vs. 89.4%; $p=0.012$) and a higher phenotypic score in patients with sequence variants compared with CNVs ($p=0.005$). **Conclusions:** We present a detailed phenotypic description of KBG syndrome in the largest series of patients reported to date, provide evidence of a genotype-phenotype correlation between some KBG features and specific *ANKRD11* aberrations, and propose updated clinical diagnostic criteria based on our findings.

INTRODUCTION

KBG syndrome (MIM: 148050) is caused by haploinsufficiency of Ankyrin Repeat Domain 11 (*ANKRD11*), an important chromatin regulator, capable of inducing changes in gene expression. *ANKRD11* plays an important role in neurodevelopment as it acts as a regulator in the developing brain, where it influences proliferation of neural progenitors, the genesis and positioning of newborn neurons, neuronal plasticity and dendritic differentiation, playing an important role in neurodevelopment[1–3].

In the last decade, *ANKRD11* has been associated with intellectual disability (ID), facial dysmorphism and developmental disorders, with more than 300 patients with KBG syndrome reported in the literature to date (Human Genetic Mutation Database HGMD professional version; accessed in February 2022). Indeed, the incidence of KBG syndrome is suggested to be underestimated due to the variability in the severity and manifestations of the phenotype[4, 5]

The cardinal features of the syndrome are developmental delay (DD), ID, and a characteristic skeletal and facial phenotype consistent of postnatal short stature, hand and costovertebral anomalies, macrodontia of the upper central incisors and a triangular face[6–9]. Additional manifestations such as hearing loss and seizures are frequent comorbidities in KBG patients. However, the clinical presentation of the syndrome is highly heterogeneous regarding both the phenotype and severity of the symptoms, with variable expressivity and penetrance[10]. This has resulted in a lack of a consensus clinical diagnostic criteria, with manifestations considered major and minor changing as new patients have been published[6–9, 11–13].

Skjei and colleagues were the first to propose a diagnostic criteria of KBG based on a series of 46 patients[11]. According to their proposal, patients had to have DD/ID and four out of eight major findings: macrodontia, a characteristic facial appearance, hand anomalies, costovertebral anomalies, delayed bone age (<2SD), short stature (<p3), neurological involvement and first degree relative with KBG[11]. With the publication of additional series of patients, this initial criteria evolved to one based on four major (macrodontia or characteristic facial gestalt, postnatal stature below the 10th centile, recurrent otitis media/hearing loss, and a first degree relative with KBG) and seven minor (hand anomalies, seizures, cryptorchidism, feeding problems, palate abnormalities, a formal diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder -ASD- and a wide/large fontanelle)[8]. Based on these criteria, patients had to have at least two major or one

major plus two minor features in order to meet a clinical diagnosis of KBG syndrome[8].

Both *de novo* and dominantly inherited loss-of-function variants in *ANKRD11*, primarily in exon 9, and large deletions in 16q24.3 have been identified in KBG patients (HGMD professional version, accessed in February 2020). Several authors have attempted to establish a genotype-phenotype correlation with the position or type of *ANKRD11* aberration[7, 8, 14]. Although preliminary evidence has suggested associations with neurological involvement, congenital heart defects, vision problems or severe otitis media, no statistical significance has been reached, possibly due to the limited number of patients studied[7, 8, 14]. In this study, we present the clinical and molecular data of 67 new patients with KBG syndrome, which is the largest series of patients described to date, along with 273 patients previously reported in the literature. Data from these 340 patients were used to delineate the clinical characteristics of KBG syndrome and their frequency, and to perform the first genotype-phenotype correlation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection of KBG patients and collection of phenotypic information

The project was approved by the ethics committee of all participating sites and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki Principles and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for genetic tests, for data sharing and publication of identifiable patient images was obtained from each participant or their guardians.

Patients were recruited through a national collaborative effort involving 19 centers of expertise in pediatric neurology, rare diseases, and clinical genetics in Spain (Supplementary Material). The selection of subjects was performed by retrospective review of patients with a diagnosis of KBG syndrome due to pathogenic sequence variants or large deletions involving *ANKRD11*.

All KBG patients were examined by a clinical geneticist and/or a pediatric neurologist, who collected phenotypic information from each participant. The phenotype information was collected using a custom deep phenotyping questionnaire developed for this project (Supplementary Material) based on existing phenotypic information of

KBG syndrome in the literature and additional clinical features deemed of interest by the collaborators. Categories included in the questionnaire were: 1. Demographic data; 2. Personal history; 3. Neurodevelopment; 4. Neurological findings; 5. Other clinical findings; 6. Physical examination; 7. Medical investigations; and 8. Genetic investigation and findings (Supplementary Material).

Genetic tests and variant analysis

Tests performed for the genetic diagnosis of KBG included the following: 1. Clinical exome sequencing using the Clinical Exome Solution v2 of 4900 genes by Sophia Genetics (CES; Sophia Genetics, Boston, MA) and Illumina True Sight One with 6713 genes (TSO; Illumina, San Diego, CA). 2. Array CGH using the aCGX 60K platform (CGXTM, PerkinElmer, Inc), CytoScan. 3. Direct Sanger sequencing of *ANKRD11*. 4. Whole exome sequencing, with the Agilent SureSelect v6 library, the Agilent SureSelect Clinical Research Exome (Agilent Santa Clara, CA), or the Ion AmpliSeq™ Exome Kit (Life Technologies). 5. Whole genome sequencing. Variant interpretation and classification followed the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guidelines for *ANKRD11* sequence variants and the International Standards for Cytogenomic Arrays for large deletions. All variants were referred to the genomic construct hg19 and *ANKRD11* transcript NM_013275.6.

Literature review

A PubMed search was conducted to select papers reporting patients with KBG syndrome and pathogenic variants in *ANKRD11* from 2010 to December 2021. Inclusion criteria was based on fulfillment of the search terms “KBG syndrome” OR “*ANKRD11*” and only papers in English, reporting pathogenic variants, and with a comprehensive description of the phenotype were considered. Patients with missense *ANKRD11* variants not previously reported as pathogenic or not confirmed as *de novo* were discarded. These patients were used to 1) describe the spectrum of pathogenic variants and their prevalence, 2) describe the clinical features of the syndrome, their frequency, and compare with data from our cohort; and 3) to perform genotype-phenotype correlations.

For all patients selected by the literature review, phenotypic and molecular information included in the questionnaire was extracted and converted to the cutoffs established. Presence and absence of the features were considered when there was a specific

mention of that presence or absence or when patients were documented to be assessed for that specific feature. Not mentioning a given feature was considered as a missing value except in large series with frequencies calculated from the totals, where patients with no mention of the feature were considered negative for that feature.

Data analysis

Variables

Phenotypic information from the questionnaire was reviewed and variables with missingness $\leq 60\%$ in our cohort of patients were excluded or grouped. Frequency of each feature in the two cohorts separately and combined was calculated. Age of patients, age for first words, and age at independent walking was expressed as mean (\pm standard deviation, SD). Language and motor delays were considered when having first words and when independent walking was achieved after 18 months of age, respectively. ID was graded into four categories: borderline, mild, moderate, and severe. ASD and Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) categories included patients with either a formal diagnosis or features of such conditions.

Growth measurements of length, weight, and head circumference in our cohort were expressed as percentiles. Postnatal microcephaly and short stature were defined as measurements $< p3$ and $< p10$, respectively. Data from the literature were converted to the same cutoffs.

Statistical analyses

Comparison of KBG syndrome features between our cohort and patients from the literature

Frequency of phenotypic features from the questionnaire in our cohort and from the literature was calculated and compared using a Chi squared test or Fisher's exact test. Significance was set as $p < 0.05$. Analyses were performed using the R software v4.0.5.

Genotype-phenotype correlation

Phenotypic features present in more than 50% of the patients were selected for the genotype-phenotype correlation and grouped as follows: 1. History of developmental delay (motor and/or language delay); 2. ID/ASD/ADHD (ID, ASD, and/or ADHD); 3.

Main comorbidities: seizures, congenital heart defects, hearing loss, recurrent otitis media, visual problems, feeding difficulties, and cryptorchidism. 4. Phenotypic features: macrodontia and other dental anomalies; triangular face; long philtrum; characteristic nose (anteverted nares, bulbous tip, and/or prominent nose), eyebrows (wide and/or thick and/or bushy and/or synophrys), ears (large and/or prominent and/or low-set); hand anomalies (brachydactyly and/or clinodactyly); and postnatal short stature (<p10). For binary variables (presence or absence of the feature), presence was defined as having at least one of the features defining the group, and for the comorbidities the total number per patient was calculated. A “phenotypic score” was created for the genotype-phenotype correlation, as a measure of the severity and complexity of the syndrome.

The severity score consisted of the sum of the 17 features from the four groups described above: history of developmental delay, ID/ASD/ADHD, presence of seizures, congenital heart defects, hearing loss, recurrent otitis media, visual problems, feeding difficulties, and cryptorchidism; macrodontia and other dental anomalies; triangular face; long philtrum; characteristic nose, eyebrows, and ears; hand anomalies; and postnatal short stature. Presence of each of the variables scored 1, so the maximum value of the phenotypic score was 17. Patients with more than 60% of missing values were excluded from the analysis.

Genetic variants in *ANKRD11* were classified into large deletions (CNVs) and sequence *ANKRD11* variants, and the latter were further subdivided according to whether they fell inside or outside exon 9, or whether it was the recurrent c.1903_1907del variant.

For the genotype-phenotype correlation, each individual feature, the number of comorbidities, and the phenotypic score were compared in the following groups: patients with sequence variants vs CNVs, variants inside and outside exon 9, c.1903_1907del vs other sequence variants and deletion size in CNV carriers. For the correlation with binary variables, proportions were compared with a Chi squared or Fisher’s exact tests. For the correlation with the continuous variables (number of comorbidities and phenotypic score), median values and quartiles were compared with a Mann-Whitney U test. For the comparison of the deletion size with the quantitative variables, a Spearman correlation coefficient was used. Statistical significance was set as $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Patients and variables included in the study

Sixty seven patients from 64 families were recruited from 19 different centers across Spain (Supplementary Material): 39 patients were males and 28 were females, with a mean age (\pm SD) of 14.7 (\pm 8.1) years (range of 2-50 years) and 84.1% were Caucasians. The suspected clinical diagnosis was primarily KBG (N=18), followed by Cornelia de Lange (N=11) and Kabuki syndromes (N=4) (some patients had more than one suspected diagnosis).

The literature review yielded 295 patients from 42 papers (see Supplementary Material). Six of the 295 patients were excluded due to having a missense variant in *ANKRD11* not confirmed *de novo*, and 16 patients lacked comprehensive phenotypic data, and were also excluded. The final number of patients included was 273 from 40 studies (Supplementary Material)[6, 7, 18–27, 8, 28–37, 9, 38–46, 12–17]

All 340 patients (67 from our cohort and 273 from the literature) were evaluated using the questionnaire developed for this study and data were converted according to the cutoffs established (Supplementary Material).

Demographic and clinical description of 67 new patients with KBG syndrome and comparison with 273 patients from the literature

A summary of the clinical and phenotypic data from our cohort is shown in Table 1, along with data from the 273 previously published KBG patients and the frequency from all patients combined. Extended data for the 340 patients can be found in the Supplementary Material (Tables S1 and S2)

Pregnancy and perinatal complications were present in 39.1% of the patients, with intrauterine growth retardation in 22.4% and weight and length at birth \leq p3 in 23.7% and 15.7% of patients, respectively.

Fifty eight percent of patients had motor delay, 72% language delay, and 88% had ID (82.1%), and/or ADHD (63.3%), or ASD (41.5%) symptoms. When the main comorbidities were considered together, 82.8% of patients had either hearing loss and/or otitis media; cardiopathy (27.6%; most commonly ventricular septal defect), seizures (24.6%: six focal, four generalized, four febrile seizures and two electrical status

epilepticus in sleep); visual problems (42.6%; primarily refractive errors -81.8%- and strabismus -18.4%-). Cryptorchidism was reported in 36.4% of the male patients and two unrelated patients had a double excretory system. Regarding the phenotype, the most common features were macrodontia (75.6%), a characteristic nose (bulbous nasal tip, anteverted nares and/or prominent nose, 78.9%); a triangular face (71.2%); thick eyebrows and/or synophrys (67.3%); characteristic ears (large and/or prominent and/or low-set, 64%), hand anomalies (brachydactyly and/or clinodactyly, 57.4%); and a long philtrum (57.1%). Brain MRI was abnormal in 38.3% of patients, who had unspecific findings: enlargement of cisterna magna (9%), leukoencephalopathy (4.5%), anomalous cerebellum (4.5%), ventriculomegaly (3%), and anomalies of corpus callosum (3%), and/or hippocampus (1.5%).

The frequency of some of the features described (N=18) was significantly lower ($p<0.05$) in our cohort of patients compared to those from the literature: language delay (78% vs 90.5%); visual problems (40.7% vs 66.2%); feeding difficulties (26.8% vs 51.9%); MRI (38.3% vs 60%) and EEG (16.3% vs 65%) abnormalities; and 15 of the 24 phenotypic features (Table 1). The frequency of ADHD symptoms was significantly higher in our cohort of patients (63.3% vs 35.7%).

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of KBG patients from our series, the literature and all combined. Age at walking and first words, expressed in months. Frequency of features was determined considering only patients assessed for each specific feature, indicated in the denominator. NA=not available; NC=not calculated. **refers to a statistically significant difference between the two series of patients ($p < 0.05$).

	This study (N=67) N (%)	Previous studies (N=273) N (%)	Total (N=340) N (%)
PERSONAL HISTORY			
Pregnancy and perinatal complications	18/46 (39.1%)	20/47 (42.6%)	37/93 (40.7%)
Intrauterine growth retardation	13/58 (22.4.2%)	8/21 (38.1%)	21/79(26.6%)
Somatometry at birth			
Length \leq p3	9/38 (23.7%)	7/39(17.9%)	15/60(25%)
Weight \leq p3	8/51 (15.7%)	8/51(15.7%)	16/102(15.7%)
NEURODEVELOPMENT			
Intellectual disability	46/56 (82.1%)	148/167 (88.6%)	194/242 (80.2%)
Degree of intellectual disability			
Borderline	3/32(9.4%)	8/52(15.4%)	11/84 (13.1%)
Mild	23/32 (71.9%)	15/52 (28.8%)	38/82 (46.3%)
Moderate	5/32 (15.6%)	26/52 (50%)	31/82 (37.8%)
Severe	1/32 (3.1%)	3/52(5.8%)	4/82 (4.9%)
Language delay	36/50 (72%)	124/137 (90.5%)**	160/187 (85.6%)
First words. Mean \pm SD (range)	24.7 \pm 9.4 (11-48)	NA	NA
ADHD symptoms	31/49 (63.3%)	30/84(35.7%)**	61/133(45.9%)
Motor delay	32/55 (58.2%)	37/44 (84.1%)**	69/99 (69.7%)
Walking independently. Mean \pm SD (range)	19.6 \pm 9.6 (11-72)	NA	NA
ASD symptoms	22/53 (41.5%)	34/117(29.1%)	56/170(32.9%)
Hypotonia	17/51 (33.3%)	12/16 (NC)	29/67(NC)
NEUROIMAGING AND ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHY			
Abnormal MRI	18/47 (38.3%)	42/70 (60%)**	60/117 (51.3%)
Abnormal EEG	7/43 (16.3%)	13/20 (65%)**	20/63(31.7%)
COMORBIDITIES			
Hearing loss	25/57 (43.9%)	61/112 (54%)	86/169 (50.9%)
Sensorineural	6/17 (35.3%)	3/22 (13.6%)	9/39 (23.1%)
Conductive	9/17 (52.9%)	17/22 (77.3%)	26/39 (66.7%)
Mixed	2/17 (11.8%)	2/22 (9.1%)	4/39 (10.2%)
Visual problems	23/54 (42.6%)	49/74 (66.2%)**	71/128 (55.5%)
Recurrent otitis media	22/53 (41.5%)	9/13 (69.2%)	31/66(47%)
Cryptorchidism	12/33 (36.4%)	30/62(48.4%)	42/95(44.2%)
Congenital heart defects	16/58 (27.6%)	55/141 (39%)	71/199 (35.7%)
Feeding difficulties	15/56 (26.8%)	55/106(51.9%)**	70/162 (43.2%)
Seizures	15/61 (24.6%)	58/155(37.4%)	73/216(33.8%)
Sleep problems	11/55 (20%)	14/14 (NC)	25/69(36.2%)
PHENOTYPE			
Macrodontia	35/47 (75.6%)	176/218 (80.7%)	211/265 (79.6%)
Triangular face	37/52 (71.2%)	46/76 (60.5%)	83/128 (64.8%)
Bushy and/or thick eyebrows	37/55 (67.3%)	89/100 (89%)**	126/155 (81.3%)
Bulbous nasal tip	30/51 (58.8%)	59/82 (72%)**	89/133 (66.9%)
Brachydactyly and/or clinodactyly	27/47 (57.4%)	162/225 (72%)	189/272 (69.5%)
Long philtrum	28/49 (57.1%)	89/109 (81.6%)**	117/158 (74.1%)
Anteverted nares	24/42 (57.1%)	52/63(82.5%)**	76/105 (72.4%)
Large and/or prominent ears	28/50 (56%)	42/44(95.5%)**	70/94(74.5%)
Short stature (\leq p10)	28/54 (51.8%)	122/205 (59.5%)	150/259 (57.9%)
Low hairline	25/52 (48.1%)	37/51(72.5%)**	62/103 (60.2%)
Low-set ears	20/44 (45.5%)	4/6(NC)	24/50(48%)
Macrostomy	17/42 (40.5%)	14/31(45.2%)	31/73 (42.5%)
Synophrys	23/60 (38.3%)	45/55(81.8%)**	68/115(59.1%)
Prominentnose	17/45 (37.8%)	3/6 (NC)	20/51(39.2%)
Hypertelorism	18/52 (34.6%)	50/65 (76.9%)**	68/117 (58.1%)
Microretrognathia	17/55 (30.9%)	6/8 (75%)**	23/63(36.5%)
Thin upper lip	16/52 (30.8%)	46/80 (57.5%)**	62/132 (47%)

Webbed or short neck	15/51 (29.4%)	13/17 (76.5%)**	28/68 (41.2%)
Brachycephaly	11/50 (22%)	29/66(43.9%)**	40/116 (34.5%)
Prognathism	11/52 (21.2%)	2/2(NC)	13/54(24.1%)
Head circumference \leq p3	10/48 (20.8%)	32/118 (27.1%)	42/166 (25.3%)
Cupid'sbow	11/54 (20.4%)	4/4 (NC)	15/58(NC)
Strabism	9/49 (18.4%)	11/31(35.5%)**	20/80(25%)

3. Molecular findings on the 67 new patients and 273 patients from the literature

Fifty eight of the 67 patients from our cohort (86.6%) carried 44 different heterozygous pathogenic sequence variants in *ANKRD11* (Table 2) and nine (13.8%) carried a deletion at 16q24.3 involving *ANKRD11* (Table 3). Of the 44 pathogenic sequence variants, 33 were novel (75%) and eleven had been previously reported (Table 2), and were mostly located in exon 9 (79.5%). There were six recurrent sequence variants: c.1903_1907del; p.Lys635Glnfs*26 found in seven unrelated patients, c.6792dup; p.Ala2265Argfs*8 found in four patients from three families; and c.2329_2332del; p.Glu777Argfs*5; c.4384dup; p.Arg1462Lysfs*92; and c.5790C>A; p.Tyr1930* found in two unrelated patients each. Information about the origin of the variant was available for 39 of the 67 patients and was *de novo* in 36 cases (92.3%) and inherited from an affected mother in three patients (KBG8A, KBG10A, and KBG31A).

Overall, of the entire cohort of 340 patients with KBG syndrome, 78.5% had a pathogenic sequence variant in *ANKRD11*, and four were recurrent: c.1903_1907del; p.Lys635Glnfs*26 identified in 34 patients (10% of all KBG patients), c.2408_2412del; p.Lys803Argfs*5 in 10 (2.9%); c.1381_1384del; p.Glu461Glnfs*48 in 8 (2.4%); and c.2398_2401del; p.Glu800Asnfs*62 in 6 patients (1.8%), which explained 17% of all cases with KBG syndrome.

Table 2. Pathogenic *ANKRD11* sequence variants identified in 58 patients sorted by exon, the number and identifier of patients (ID) and whether the variant is novel or not. *ANKRD11* transcript used is NM_013275.

<i>ANKRD11</i> Sequence variant	Number and ID of patients	Described or novel
Intron 4		
c.226+1G>A	1 (KBG42)	Novel
Exon 6		
c.520C>T;p.Arg174*	1 (KBG54)	Novel
c.548_551del;p.Arg183Profs*44	1 (KBG33)	Patient previously reported by our group [48]
Exon 8		
c.831del;p.Thr278Argfs*3	1 (KBG34)	Novel
c.866dup;p.Tyr289*	1 (KBG30)	Novel
Exon 9		
c.1367_1370del;p.Lys456Serfs*53	1 (KBG43)	[7]
c.1381_1384del;p.Glu461Glnfs*48	1 (KBG41)	[7, 8, 42]
c.1731dup;p.Asp578*	1 (KBG59)	Novel
c.1846G>T;p.Glu616*	1 (KBG53)	Novel
c.1903_1907del;p.Lys635Glnfs*26	7 (KBG5, KBG20, KBG27, KBG35, KBG44, KBG45, KBG48)	[7–9, 12, 13, 22, 27, 35, 37, 41, 42]
c.1940_1941delinsT;p.Arg647Leufs*6	1 (KBG7)	Novel
c.2197C>T;p.Arg733*	1 (KBG57)	[37]
c.2329_2332del;p.Glu777Argfs*5	2 (KBG13, KBG16)	Novel
c.2367del;p.Glu790Argfs*73	1 (KBG56)	Novel
c.2398_2401del;p.Glu800Asnfs*62	1 (KBG3)	[7, 8, 35, 37, 42]
c.2408_2412del;p.Lys803Argfs*5	1 (KBG36)	[8, 31, 37]
c.2593dup;p.Tyr865Leufs*51	1 (KBG52)	Novel
c.2765_2766del;p.Glu922Alafs*6	1 (KBG32)	Patient previously reported by our group [48]
c.3046del;p.Asp1016Ilefs*20	1 (KBG37)	Novel
c.3193A>T;p.Lys1065*	1 (KBG39)	Novel
c.3309dup;p.Asp1104Argfs*2	1 (KBG40)	[37]
c.3334del;p.Ser1112Alafs*206	1 (KBG11)	Novel
c.3448C>T;p.Gln1150*	1 (KBG47)	Novel
c.3590_3594del;p.Lys1197Argfs*5	2 (KBG10A, KBG10B)	Novel
c.3704_3707del;p.Lys1235Argfs*82	1 (KBG29)	[8, 31, 37, 42]
c.3905_3906del;p.Glu1302Glyfs*4	1 (KBG65)	Novel
c.3931C>T;p.Arg1311*	1 (KBG21)	Novel
c.4171C>T;p.Gln1391*	1 (KBG19)	Novel
c.4384dup;p.Arg1462Lysfs*92	2 (KBG62; KBG66)	Novel
c.4529dup;p.Pro1511Alafs*43	1 (KBG28)	Novel
c.5790C>A;p.Tyr1930*	2 (KBG14, KBG51)	Novel
c.6628G>T;p.Glu2210*	1 (KBG17)	Novel
c.6682del;p.Glu2228Lysfs*109	1 (KBG63)	Novel
c.6691dup;p.Ala2231Glyfs*29	1 (KBG6)	Novel
c.6701del;p.Asp2234Valfs*103	1 (KBG55)	Novel

c.6792dup;p.Ala2265Argfs*8	4 (KBG12, KBG8A, KBG8B, KBG24)	[7]
c.6968_6975del;p.Ala2323Glyfs*206	1 (KBG15)	Novel
c.7083del;p.Thr2362Profs*38	1 (KBG4)	Novel
c.7180C>T;p.Gln2394*	1 (KBG49)	Novel
c.7407C>G;p.Tyr2469*	1 (KBG64)	Novel
Exon 10		
c.7534C>T;p.Arg2512Trp	1 (KBG46)	[14]
Exon 11		
c.7570_7572del;p.Glu2524del	1 (KBG50)	Novel
Exon 12		
c.7753C>T;p.Arg2585Cys	1 (KBG18)	Novel
Exon 13		
c.7834G>T;p.Glu2612*	2 (KBG31A, KBG31B)	Novel

Table 3. 16q24.3 deletions identified in nine patients with the genes included in the deleted region, the *ANKRD11* exons affected, the size of the variant and the ID of the patient. Genomic coordinates refer to hg19 and *ANKRD11* transcript used is NM_013275.

Region involved	Genes involved	<i>ANKRD11</i> exons involved	Size	ID of patients
arr[hg19]16q24.3(88854757_89520963)x1	<i>CDT1, APRT, GALNS, TRAPPC2L, ACSF3, CHD15, ANKRD11</i>	2-13	666kb	KBG9
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89256478_89506223)x1	<i>CDH15, ANKRD11</i>	2-13	250kb	KBG2
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89261399_89419131)x1	<i>CDH15, ANKRD11</i>	3-13	158kb	KBG26
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89283689-89579130)x1	<i>ZNF778, ANKRD11</i>	1-13	295kb	KBG58
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89325387_89409147)x1	<i>ANKRD11</i>	3-13	83kb	KBG23
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89336307_89354085)x1	<i>ANKRD11</i>	8-13	17kb	KBG1
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89349711_89607741)x1	<i>ANKRD11, SPG7</i>	1-9	258kb	KBG38
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89359701_89379306)x1	<i>ANKRD11</i>	4	19kb	KBG22
arr[hg19]16q24.3(89556618_89557004)x1	<i>ANKRD11</i>	1	378bp	KBG25

4. Genotype-phenotype correlation

For the genotype-phenotype correlation, the frequency of the features with a frequency >50% in the patients (Table 4) and the phenotypic score were compared in carriers of SNVs vs CNVs; carriers of variants inside and outside exon 9, carriers and non-carriers of the c.1903_1907del and correlated with the deletion size, in CNV carriers.

Table 4. Categories of variables with a frequency >50% in our series of KBG patients defining the phenotypic score used for the genotype-phenotype correlation

Category	Variable	Our series N= 67 patients	Literature N= 273 patients	All N= 340 patients
Neurodevelopment	Motor and/or language delay	86.5%	99.2%	95.7%
	ID and/or ADHD and/or ASD	95%	85.8%	88.0%
Phenotype	Characteristic nose	75.9%	96.8%	91.2%
	Macrodontia and/or other dental anomalies	80.9%	84.6%	83.9%
	Characteristic eyebrows	67.3%	89.0%	81.3%
	Long philtrum	51.8%	95.8%	79.6%
	Characteristic ears	76.0%	83.0%	79.4%
	Hand anomalies (brachydactyly and/or clinodactyly)	57.5%	71.2%	69.5%
	Triangular face	71.2%	60.5%	64.8%
	Short stature	51.9%	59.5%	57.9%
Comorbidities	Any of the seven main comorbidities	82.8%	94.2%	91.5%
	Hearing loss and/or otitis media	55.6%	95.7%	78.2%
	Other comorbidities	75.0%	91.5%	87.4%

The phenotypic score was calculated with 17 variables: ten from the neurodevelopment and phenotype categories and the seven main comorbidities (Supplementary Material Table S3). The median value of the score was 6 ranging from 0 to 15.

The genotype-phenotype correlation yielded various significant associations (Table 5). Triangular face was significantly higher in patients with sequence variants compared to CNVs (71.1% vs. 45.2%, respectively; $p=0.015$) and short stature was significantly more frequent in patients with variants in exon 9 than outside (62.5% vs. 27.8%, respectively, $p=0.009$). Patients carrying the c.1903_1907del variant had lower frequency of ID/ADHD/ASD (70.4% vs. 89.4%, respectively; $p=0.012$). The size of the deletion was significantly associated with the presence of macrodontia and/or dental

anomalies, more frequent in larger deletions ($p=0.028$); and with hand anomalies, with the opposite effect ($p=0.048$). Finally, the phenotypic score was significantly higher in patients with sequence variants compared with CNVs (median of 7 vs 6, $p=0.005$)

Variable	Sequence variants	CNV	P-value	Exon 9	No exon 9	P-value	c.1903_1907del	Other variant	p-value	Deletion size		
										Yes	No	p-value
Developmental delay	134 (96.4%)	42(93.2%)	0.407	124 (96.1%)	10 (100%)	1.000	14 (93.3%)	120 (96.8%)	0.440	240 (144, 795)	295 (272, 480)	0.625
ID/ADHD/ASD	180 (99.4%)	40 (93.0%)	0.392	159 (85.5%)	21 (100%)	0.083	19 (70.4%)	161 (89.4%)	0.012*	250 (142, 479)	666 (333, 1283)	0.720
Triangular face	69 (71.1%)	14 (45.2%)	0.015*	60 (71.4%)	9 (69.2%)	1.000	6 (75.0%)	63 (70.8%)	1.000	250 (202, 334)	690 (158, 1000)	0.074
Macrodontia/dental anomalies	182 (84.7%)	42 (80.8%)	0.636	165 (84.6%)	17 (85.0%)	1.000	23 (85.2%)	159 (84.6%)	1.000	256 (149, 609)	97 (39, 154)	0.028*
Long philtrum	103 (79.8%)	18 (78.3%)	0.786	93 (79.5%)	10 (83.3%)	1.000	11 (73.3%)	92 (80.7%)	0.502	154 (94, 582)	250 (158, 295)	0.890
Characteristic nose	113 (91.1%)	21 (91.3%)	1.000	102 (91.1%)	11 (91.7%)	1.000	13 (81.2%)	100 (92.6%)	0.152	249 (157, 434)	333 (167, 500)	0.641
Characteristic eyebrows	105 (82.0%)	21 (77.8%)	0.808	98 (83.1%)	7 (70.0%)	0.384	10 (71.4%)	95 (83.3%)	0.278	274 (142, 603)	748 (285, 957)	0.280
Characteristic ears	59 (83.1%)	18 (69.2%)	0.225	49 (81.7%)	10 (90.9%)	0.676	6 (75.0%)	53 (84.1%)	0.615	268 (140, 371)	466 (233, 1025)	0.267
Hand anomalies	157 (72.4%)	32 (58.2%)	0.061	140 (70.7%)	17 (89.5%)	0.139	22 (78.6%)	135 (71.4%)	0.574	223 (128, 357)	580 (156, 1100)	0.048
Short stature	115 (59.3%)	35 (53.8%)	0.534	110 (62.5%)	5 (27.8%)	0.009*	14 (53.8%)	101 (60.1%)	0.696	232 (135, 732)	264 (128, 854)	0.818
Hearing loss/otitis media	75 (77.3%)	22 (81.5%)	0.842	65 (77.4%)	10 (76.9%)	1.000	7 (70.0%)	68 (78.2%)	0.690	240 (149, 952)	224 (116, 388)	0.522
Other comorbidities	174 (86.1%)	47 (92.2%)	0.358	157 (85.8%)	17 (89.5%)	1.000	21 (87.5%)	153 (86.0%)	1.000	332 (152, 1025)	83 (42, 118)	0.039
Number of comorbidities	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	0.585	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	2.0 (1.0, 2.2)	1.000	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	0.582	0.09		0.542
Phenotypic score	7.0 (6.0, 8.0)	6.0 (4.0, 8.0)	0.005*	7.0 (6.0, 8.0)	7.0 (5.8, 9.2)	0.461	7.0 (6.0, 8.2)	7.0 (5.5, 8.0)	0.665	-0.27		0.059

Table 5. Phenotype-genotype correlation of the most frequent features of KBG syndrome and the phenotypic score. Four groups of comparisons are shown: sequence variants versus CNV; sequence variants inside and outside exon 9; recurrent c.1903_1907del variant versus other sequence variants; and deletion size in carriers of CNVs. For CNV deletion size, Yes and No refers to patients having and not having the specific feature. For the first three groups, binary variables are expressed as frequency and continuous as median and quartiles (1st, 3rd quartile) of the number of comorbidities or score. For the CNV deletion size, binary variables are expressed as median and quartiles (1st, 3rd quartile) of the CNV size and quantitative variables are expressed with the Spearman correlation score.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we present the clinical and molecular characteristics of the largest series of 67 patients with KBG syndrome described to date. Using a comprehensive phenotypic questionnaire in our cohort and in 273 KBG patients previously published in the literature, we provide a detailed description of the syndrome and the results of the first genotype-phenotype correlation.

In our cohort, ID was the most common neurodevelopmental finding (82.1%), along with language delay (72%) and ADHD diagnosis or symptoms (63.3%), especially milder forms, which is in line with previous reports [5, 12–14, 45, 49]. We included patients who met all clinical criteria for ASD and ADHD, and therefore had a formal diagnosis, but also those with clear symptoms related to those entities, despite not meeting all criteria for a definitive diagnosis. We decided to be more inclusive in the definition of ASD and ADHD to better describe the spectrum of symptoms in KBG syndrome. This could explain the high prevalence of ADHD in our sample compared to that from the 273 patients from the literature. Nevertheless, a recent study by Kutkowska-Kazmierczak and colleagues described that 86% of the 23 patients reported had “psychomotor hyperactivity” and proposed to include these symptoms in the diagnostic criteria of KBG syndrome[13].

On the other hand, 41.5% of our patients had ASD diagnosis or symptoms, which is similar to the frequency reported by Ockeloen and colleagues[12], but higher than that found in other large series of patients[7–9, 14, 37], and that the frequency we determined from patients from the literature (29.1%).

Regarding the phenotype, there was a large interindividual variability in our patients but macrodontia, triangular face, bushy eyebrows and a long philtrum were features consistently found. Other common features were brachydactyly and/or clinodactyly, anteverted nares, a bulbous nasal tip, and large or prominent ears, all characteristic of the syndrome[6–8, 12]. Notably, 53 of 64 patients (83%) had comorbidities. The most common was hearing loss and/or otitis media, present in 55.6% of the patients, followed by visual problems, cryptorchidism, congenital heart defects, feeding difficulties, or seizures. Even though epilepsy and EEG anomalies have been frequently reported in previous series of KBG syndrome, no specific epileptic profile has been described[10, 12, 14, 37, 44]. In our study, both focal and generalized seizures were present; two

individuals presented electrical status epilepticus in sleep which, to our knowledge, has not been previously reported, and four had febrile seizures, which has just been recently described[44].

When the frequency of KBG features was compared between our sample and patients from the literature, there were some variables that were significantly lower in our series, such as motor or language delay; visual problems, feeding difficulties, abnormal MRI or EEG, as well as several phenotypic features. Differences in the definition or age cutoffs for language or motor delay; a non-active search of some co-morbid complications such as feeding difficulties, visual problems or MRI/EEG abnormalities; the difficulty in the detection of dysmorphic facial features, which may be subtle and age-dependent; and a bias in the reporting of some features could explain such differences. Indeed, most variables displaying differences between the two cohorts were reported in a small proportion of the patients in the literature and we only considered absence of the feature when the report specifically stated that absence or the entire cohort had been assessed for the feature. This criterion, along with the systematic phenotypic assessment in our cohort of patients by the use of a questionnaire, may result in an artificially higher frequency of such variables compared to that seen in our sample.

The phenotypic evaluation of patients was performed in our sample using a specific and comprehensive phenotypic questionnaire, which allowed for a systematic assessment of features in our cohort. We believe that the frequency of KBG features yielded from our cohort is more accurate due to the large sample size and the systematic assessment of each specific feature, which allows a more objective evaluation of the patients. We encourage the use of this questionnaire or a similar tool for the phenotypic evaluation of KBG patients as it would aid in the delineation and recognition of the syndrome and ultimately in the diagnosis of the patients.

Both large deletions and sequence variants in *ANKRD11* cause KBG syndrome[10]. Despite the attempt of several authors to establish a correlation between the phenotype of the patients and the position or type of *ANKRD11* aberration, no significant associations have been reported to date [7–9, 12]. This has likely been the consequence of the limited sample sizes investigated[7– 9, 12]. To overcome such limitation, we combined genetic and phenotypic data from our 67 patients with that of 273 patients previously published in the literature with a comprehensive phenotype description. We explored associations with phenotypic features found with a frequency over 50% in the

entire cohort of 340 patients, and aggregated into categories, and with a phenotypic score, which was created as a measure of the complexity and severity of the phenotype. Such variables were compared between patients with sequence variants vs CNVs, sequence variants inside and outside exon 9, patients with the recurrent c.1903_1907del variant and in carriers of CNVs, associated with the deletion size. There was a significantly higher frequency of patients with a triangular face in carriers of sequence variants compared to CNVs, who also had significantly higher phenotypic score, suggesting the occurrence of a more severe or syndromic phenotype in patients with sequence variants compared to CNVs. Other associations found were short stature and variants in exon 9, a lower incidence of ID/ADHD/ASD in carriers of the c.1903_1907del variant and the size of the deletion, in CNV carriers, with the presence of macrodontia and hand anomalies. Although these findings may need to be further confirmed, the results of the genotype-phenotype correlation support the existence of distinctive findings in different *ANKRD11* variant types.

As previously stated, and evidenced by our data, there is wide phenotypic heterogeneity in KBG syndrome regarding the manifestations and severity of the phenotype[10]. This heterogeneity has resulted in the update of the diagnostic criteria of the syndrome as new patients have been published[7, 8, 11, 12]. The first diagnostic criteria, proposed by Skjei, included having DD and/or ID and four of the following eight findings: macrodontia, a characteristic facial appearance, hand anomalies, costovertebral anomalies, delayed bone age, short stature, neurological involvement and a first degree relative with KBG[11]. The studies led by Goldenberg and Ockeloen proposed to remove delayed bone age and costovertebral anomalies from the criteria and to not consider macrodontia mandatory[7, 12]. The latest diagnostic criteria by Low and colleagues in 2016, however, considered macrodontia as one major criteria and they also included recurrent otitis media and/or hearing loss as major criteria, adding the presence of seizures, cryptorchidism, feeding problems, palate abnormalities, a formal diagnosis of ASD and a wide/large fontanelle as minor criteria, not including delayed bone age and costovertebral anomalies[8].

Data from our series of patients supports the removal of delayed bone age and costovertebral anomalies as they are not systematically investigated (assessed in 28 and 39 of 67 patients, respectively) although, in our opinion, after diagnosis, costovertebral

anomalies should be ruled out to discard possible complications. Also, we think that macrodontia must be kept as major since, besides being highly prevalent in KBG patients (80.9% in our series), is one of the most characteristic features of the syndrome, often clue to diagnosis and easy to explore. Furthermore, although some of the facial features of KBG patients could be subtle, others may be easily recognizable and useful in the differential diagnosis of the syndrome. Thus, we propose to use facial features as a criterion independent of macrodontia, especially those related to ears (76%), nose (75.9%), and eyebrows (67.3%), as well as the triangular face (71.2%), as they are highly prevalent among patients. In addition, macrodontia of upper central incisors could not be present before the eruption of permanent teeth so that, in children younger than six years old, facial phenotype, developmental delay and comorbidities, would help in establishing the diagnosis[37] .

Hearing loss and/or otitis media, considered by Low as a major criterion[8] was present in 55.6% of the 67 patients. However, when any of the main seven comorbidities was considered, 82.8% of the patients had hearing loss and/or otitis media, congenital heart defects, seizures, vision problems, feeding difficulties, and/or cryptorchidism. Thus, we strongly suggest considering the presence of any of such main comorbidities as major criterion in the diagnosis of KBG.

Having a first-degree relative with a diagnosis of KBG syndrome was also proposed as major criterion by Low and colleagues[8]. Despite this fact being probably the most suggestive finding of KBG syndrome, it would only be met in a small proportion of patients, as pathogenic variants in *ANKRD11* are *de novo* in most patients (86% of the 340 cases).

ASD proposed by Low as part of the diagnostic criteria[8] was substantially lower than ADHD in our series (41.5% vs 63.6%). Indeed, of the 58 patients with information on neurodevelopment (ADHD/ID/ASD), 57 had either ID or ADHD with or without ASD (98.3%), and only one had a diagnosis of ASD as the main neurodevelopmental condition (Supplementary Material). Therefore, we recommend using ADHD symptomatology as a criterion instead of or in addition to ASD.

Lastly, fontanelle and palatal abnormalities have been found with variable frequencies in KBG syndrome, but as high as 22% and 58% of the patients, respectively[8]. In our series, only 4 of 31 patients (12.9%) had a wide anterior fontanelle with delayed closure

compared to the 38% calculated from the literature (29 of 76 patients from the total of 273) (data not shown), and none were found to have palatal abnormalities. Those differences can be explained by the fact that these features were not systematically explored in the patients. More evidence is needed to determine their real frequency in KBG patients.

The diagnostic criteria proposed by Low in our cohort were met by 70% of our KBG syndrome patients (data not shown). Therefore, we calculated the percentage of patients who would meet the diagnostic criteria for KBG considering the categories illustrated in Table 4: 88.7% of the patients had DD and/or ID/ADHD/ASD and either ≥ 3 phenotypic features or ≥ 1 phenotypic features plus ≥ 1 main comorbidity (Supplementary Material, Table S4). Therefore, we propose having either a history of neurodevelopmental delay (motor and/or language) or ID, ADHD and/or ASD and at least three phenotypic features or less than three plus, at least, one of the seven main comorbidities as diagnostic criteria for KBG.

This study has some limitations that are intrinsic to the retrospective review of patients, both from our cohort and the literature, and the criteria used for data management, which leads to a considerable proportion of missing data that may impact the results. However, compared to previous works, this study has strengths that, in our opinion, outweigh the limitations. First, the sample size described, which is the largest to date, and the comprehensive phenotypic assessment made with a custom questionnaire that was used in our 67 patients and the 273 from the literature. The exhaustive literature review performed in this work and the extraction of the genetic and comprehensive phenotypic data on 340 patients using the same questionnaire have made it possible to provide a detailed phenotypic description and frequencies of the main features of KBG syndrome, and to perform the first genotype-phenotype correlation.

FUNDING

Berta Almoguera's work is supported by a Juan Rodés program (JR17/00020) and a grant from Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (FIS, PI18/01098), funded by Instituto de Salud Carlos III and the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all patients and their parents, as well as the Spanish Association of KBG syndrome, for their participation in the study. We thank Fernando Infantes, Inés García, Ascensión Giménez, Miguel Ángel López, Jesús Gallego, Camilo Vélez, Rocío Cardero, Laura Horcajada, and the Raregenomics Network (S2017/BMD-3721) for their technical and intellectual support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

ETHICS

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board (EO165-19_FJD, approval date 22/10/2019).

CONTRIBUTORSHIP STATEMENT

E.M-C, F.B-K, I.L.S. and B.A. designed the study. E.M-C, F.B-K, R.L-R, I.M, I.L.S, and B.A. analyzed the data; and E.M-C and B.A prepared the manuscript. E.M.C, F.B.K., F.L-G, S.T-S, R.L-P, B.M, M.R-M, D.C-A., A.L-G, S.G-M., M.A.M., M.P-M., E.R-O., F.S-S., J.C-R., JF.Q-E., MT.S-C., J.S-P., R.B-F., M.I-G., I.R-A., M.I.A., R.B-L., B.A-B., J.E., JJ.G-P., B.G-F., C.G-L., N.I., V.L., I.M., I.M., B.M-M., MS.R-L., M.G-H., P.P-M., J.L-P., S.A-A., S.A.dA., A.F-J., I.L-R., B.G., C.A., A.A-L., M.P-B., A.C., I.V., AF.M-M., I.L-S contributed to the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data used in the study. All authors critically reviewed the present manuscript, approved this final version and are accountable for all aspects of the work.

REFERENCES

- 1 Zhang A, Li CW, Chen JD. Characterization of transcriptional regulatory domains of ankyrin repeat cofactor-1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2007;**358**:1034–40.
- 2 Gallagher D, Voronova A, Zander MA, Cancino GI, Bramall A, Krause MP, Abad C, Tekin M, Neilsen PM, Callen DF, Scherer SW, Keller GM, Kaplan DR, Walz K, Miller FD. Ankrd11 is a chromatin regulator involved in autism that is essential for neural development. *Dev Cell* 2015;**32**:31–42.
- 3 Ka M, Kim W-Y. ANKRD11 associated with intellectual disability and autism regulates dendrite differentiation via the BDNF/TrkB signaling pathway. *Neurobiol Dis* 2018;**111**:138–52.
- 4 Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study. Prevalence and architecture of de novo mutations in developmental disorders. *Nature* 2017;**542**:433–8.
- 5 Hanly C, Shah H, Au PYB, Murias K. Description of neurodevelopmental phenotypes associated with 10 genetic neurodevelopmental disorders: A scoping review. *Clin Genet* 2021;**99**:335–46.
- 6 Sirmaci A, Spiliopoulos M, Brancati F, Powell E, Duman D, Abrams A, Bademci G, Agolini E, Guo S, Konuk B, Kavaz A, Blanton S, Digilio MC, Dallapiccola B, Young J, Zuchner S, Tekin M. Mutations in ANKRD11 cause KBG syndrome, characterized by intellectual disability, skeletal malformations, and macrodontia. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011;**89**:289–94.
- 7 Goldenberg A, Riccardi F, Tessier A, Pfundt R, Busa T, Cacciagli P, Capri Y, Coutton C, Delahaye-Duriez A, Frebourg T, Gatinois V, Guerrot A, Genevieve D, Lecoquierre F, Jacqueline A, Khau Van Kien P, Leheup B, Marlin S, Verloes A, Michaud V, Nadea G, Mignot C, Parent P, Rossi M, Toutain A, Schaefer E, Thauvin-Robinet C, Van Maldergem L, Thevenon J, Satre V, Perrin L, Vincent-Delorme C, Sorlin A, Missirian C, Villard L, Mancini J, Saugier-veber P, Philip N. Clinical and Molecular Findings in 39 Patients with KBG Syndrome Caused by Deletion or Mutation of ANKRD11. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2016;**170**:2847–59.
- 8 Low K, Ashraf T, Canham N, Clayton-Smith J, Deshpande C, Donaldson A, Fisher R, Flinter F, Foulds N, Fryer A, Gibson K, Hayes I, Hills A, Holder S, Irving M, Joss S, Kivuva E, Lachlan K, Magee A, McConnell V, McEntagart M, Metcalfe K,

Montgomery T, Newbury-Ecob R, Stewart F, Turnpenny P, Vogt J, Fitzpatrick D, Williams M, Smithson S. Clinical and genetic aspects of KBG syndrome. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2016;**170**:2835–46.

9 Murray N, Burgess B, Hay R, Colley A, Rajagopalan S, McGaughran J, Patel C, Enriquez A, Goodwin L, Stark Z, Tan T, Wilson M, Roscioli T, Tekin M, Goel H. KBG syndrome: An Australian experience. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2017;**173**:1866–77.

10 Morel Swols D, Foster J, Tekin M. KBG syndrome. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2017;**12**:1–7.

11 Skjei, K. L., Martin, M. M., & Slavotinek AM. KBG syndrome: report of twins, neurological characteristics, and delineation of diagnostic criteria. *Am J Hum Genet Part A* 2007;**143A**:292–300.

12 Ockeloen CW, Willemsen MH, De Munnik S, Van Bon BWM, De Leeuw N, Verrips A, Kant SG, Jones EA, Brunner HG, Van Loon RLE, Smeets EEJ, Van Haelst MM, Van Haaften G, Nordgren A, Malmgren H, Grigelioniene G, Vermeer S, Louro P, Ramos L, Maal TJJ, Van Heumen CC, Yntema HG, Carels CEL, Kleefstra T. Further delineation of the KBG syndrome phenotype caused by ANKRD11 aberrations. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2015;**23**:1176–85.

13 Kutkowska_kaźmierczak A, Boczar M, Kalka E, Castañeda J, Kłapecki J, Pietrzyk A, Barczyk A, Malinowska O, Landowska A, Gambin T, Kowalczyk K, Wiśniowiecka_kowalnik B, Smyk M, Dawidziuk M, Niepokój K, Paczkowska M, Szyld P, Lipska_ziętkiewicz B, Szczałuba K, Kostyk E, Runge A, Rutkowska K, Płoski R, Nowakowska B, Bal J, Obersztyń E, Gos M. Wide fontanels, delayed speech development and hoarse voice as useful signs in the diagnosis of kbg syndrome: A clinical description of 23 cases with pathogenic variants involving the ankrd11 gene or submicroscopic chromosomal rearrangements of 16q24.3. *Genes (Basel)* 2021;**12**. doi:10.3390/genes12081257

14 Scarano E, Tassone M, Graziano C, Gibertoni D, Tamburrino F, Perri A, Gnazzo M, Severi G, Lepri F, Mazzanti L. Novel Mutations and Unreported Clinical Features in KBG Syndrome. *Mol Syndromol* 2019;**10**:130–8.

15 Sacharow S, Li D, Fan YS, Tekin M. Familial 16q24.3 microdeletion involving ANKRD11 causes a KBG-like syndrome. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2012;**158A**:547–52.

16 Khalifa M, Stein J, Grau L, Nelson V, Meck J, Aradhya S, Duby J. Partial deletion of ANKRD11 results in the KBG phenotype distinct from the 16q24.3 microdeletion syndrome. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2013;**161**:835–40.

17 Miyatake S, Murakami A, Okamoto N, Sakamoto M, Miyake N, Saitsu H, Matsumoto N. A De Novo Deletion at 16q24.3 Involving ANKRD11 in a Japanese Patient With KBG Syndrome. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2013;**161**:1073–7.

18 Spengler S, Oehl-Jaschkowitz B, Begemann M, Hennes P, Zerres K, Eggermann T. Haploinsufficiency of ANKRD11 (16q24.3) is not obligatorily associated with cognitive impairment but shows a clinical overlap with silver-Russell syndrome. *Mol Syndromol* 2013;**4**:246–9.

19 Lim JH, Seo EJ, Kim YM, Cho HJ, Lee JO, Cheon CK, Yoo HW. A de novo microdeletion of ANKRD11 gene in a Korean patient with KBG Syndrome. *Ann Lab Med* 2014;**34**:390–4.

20 Crippa M, Bestetti I, Maitz S, Weiss K, Spano A, Masciadri M, Smithson S, Larizza L, Low K, Cohen L, Finelli P. SETD5 Gene Haploinsufficiency in Three Patients With Suspected KBG Syndrome. *Front Neurol* 2020;**11**:1–9.

21 Kim HJ, Cho E, Park JB, Im WY, Kim HJ. A Korean family with KBG syndrome identified by ANKRD11 mutation, and phenotypic comparison of ANKRD11 mutation and 16q24.3 microdeletion. *Eur J Med Genet* 2015;**58**:86–94.

22 Walz K, Cohen D, Neilsen PM, Foster J, Brancati F, Demir K, Fisher R, Moffat M, Verbeek NE, Bjørgo K, Lo Castro A, Curatolo P, Novelli G, Abad C, Lei C, Zhang L, Diaz-Horta O, Young JI, Callen DF, Tekin M. Characterization of ANKRD11 mutations in humans and mice related to KBG syndrome. *Hum Genet* 2015;**134**:181–90.

23 Kleyner R, Malcolmson J, Tegay D, Ward K, Maughan A, Maughan G, Nelson L, Wang K, Robison R, Lyon GJ. KBG syndrome involving a single-nucleotide duplication in ANKRD11. *Cold Spring Harb Mol case Stud* 2016;**2**:a001131.

24 Palumbo O, Palumbo P, Muro E Di, Cinque L, Petracca A, Carella M, Castori M. A private 16q24.2q24.3 microduplication in a boy with intellectual disability, speech delay and mild dysmorphic features. *Genes (Basel)* 2020;**11**:1–9.

25 Parenti I, Gervasini C, Pozojevic J, Graul-Neumann L, Azzollini J, Braunholz D, Watrin E, Wendt KS, Cereda A, Cittaro D, Gillessen-Kaesbach G, Lazarevic D, Mariani M, Russo S, Werner R, Krawitz P, Larizza L, Selicorni A, Kaiser FJ. Broadening of cohesinopathies: Exome sequencing identifies mutations in ANKRD11 in two patients with Cornelia de Lange-overlapping phenotype. *Clin Genet* 2016;**89**:74–81.

26 Low KJ, Hills A, Williams M, Duff-Farrier C, McKee S, Smithson SF. A splicesite variant in ANKRD11 associated with classical KBG syndrome. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2017;**173**:2844–6.

27 Miyatake S, Okamoto N, Stark Z, Nabetani M, Tsurusaki Y, Nakashima M, Miyake N, Mizuguchi T, Ohtake A, Saito H, Matsumoto N. ANKRD11 variants cause variable clinical features associated with KBG syndrome and Coffin-Siris like syndrome. *J Hum Genet* 2017;**62**:741–6.

28 Novara F, Rinaldi B, Sisodiya SM, Coppola A, Giglio S, Stanzial F, Benedicenti F, Donaldson A, Andrieux J, Stapleton R, Weber A, Reho P, Van Ravenswaaij-Arts C, Kerstjens-Frederikse WS, Vermeesch JR, Devriendt K, Bacino CA, Delahaye A, Maas SM, Iolascon A, Zuffardi O. Haploinsufficiency for ANKRD11-flanking genes makes the difference between KBG and 16q24.3 microdeletion syndromes: 12 new cases. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2017;**25**:694–701.

29 Srivastava P, Gambhir PS, Phadke SR. KBG syndrome: 16q24.3 microdeletion in an Indian patient. *Clin Dysmorphol* 2017;**26**:161–6.

30 Behnert A, Auber B, Steinemann D, Frühwald MC, Huisinga C, Hussein K, Kratz C, Ripperger T. KBG syndrome patient due to 16q24.3 microdeletion presenting with a paratesticular rhabdoid tumor: Coincidence or cancer predisposition? *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2018;**176**:1449–54.

31 Bianchi PM, Bianchi A, Digilio MC, Tucci FM, Sitzia E, De Vincentiis GC. Audiological findings in a de novo mutation of ANKRD11 gene in KBG syndrome: Report of a case and review of the literature. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2017;**103**:109–12.

32 De Bernardi ML, Ivanovski I, Caraffi SG, Maini I, Street ME, Bayat A, Zollino M, Lepri FR, Gnazzo M, Errichiello E, Superti-Furga A, Garavelli L. Prominent and

elongated coccyx, a new manifestation of KBG syndrome associated with novel mutation in ANKRD11. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2018;**176**:1991–5.

33 Alves RM, Uva P, Veiga MF, Oppo M, Zschaber FCR, Porcu G, Porto HP, Persico I, Onano S, Cuccuru G, Atzeni R, Vieira LCN, Pires MVA, Cucca F, Toralles MBP, Angius A, Crisponi L. Novel ANKRD11 gene mutation in an individual with a mild phenotype of KBG syndrome associated to a GEFS+ phenotypic spectrum: A case report. *BMC Med Genet* 2019;**20**:1–7.

34 Libianto R, Wu KH, Devery S, Eisman JA, Center JR. KBG syndrome presenting with brachydactyly type E. *Bone* 2019;**123**:18–22.

35 van Dongen LCM, Wingbermhühle E, van der Veld WM, Vermeulen K, Bos-Roubos AG, Ockeloen CW, Kleefstra T, Egger JIM. Exploring the behavioral and cognitive phenotype of KBG syndrome. *Genes, Brain Behav* 2019;**18**:1–9.

36 Bucerzan S, Miclea D, Lazea C, Asavoiaie C, Kulcsar A, Grigorescu-Sido P. 16q24.3 Microduplication in a Patient With Developmental Delay, Intellectual Disability, Short Stature, and Nonspecific Dysmorphic Features: Case Report and Review of the Literature. *Front Pediatr* 2020;**8**:1–8.

37 Gnazzo M, Lepri FR, Dentici ML, Capolino R, Pisaneschi E, Agolini E, Rinelli M, Alesi V, Versacci P, Genovese S, Cesario C, Sinibaldi L, Baban A, Bartuli A, Marino B, Cappa M, Dallapiccola B, Novelli A, Digilio MC. KBG syndrome: Common and uncommon clinical features based on 31 new patients. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2020;**182**:1073–83.

38 Kim SJ, Yang A, Park JS, Kwon DG, Lee JS, Kwon YS, Lee JE. Two Novel Mutations of ANKRD11 Gene and Wide Clinical Spectrum in KBG Syndrome: Case Reports and Literature Review. *Front Genet* 2020;**11**:1–7.

39 Reuter MS, Chaturvedi RR, Liston E, Manshaei R, Aul RB, Bowdin S, Cohn I, Curtis M, Dhir P, Hayeems RZ, Hosseini SM, Khan R, Ly LG, Marshall CR, Mertens L, Okello JBA, Pereira SL, Raajkumar A, Seed M, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Scherer SW, Kim RH, Jobling RK. The Cardiac Genome Clinic: implementing genome sequencing in pediatric heart disease. *Genet Med* 2020;**22**:1015–24.

40 Sayed ISM, Abdel-Hamid MS, Abdel-Salam GMH. KBG syndrome in two patients from Egypt. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2020;**182**:1309–12.

41 Mattei D, Cavarzere P, Gaudino R, Antoniazzi F, Piacentini G. DYSMORPHIC features and adult short stature: possible clinical markers of KBG syndrome. *Ital J Pediatr* 2021;**47**:1–6.

42 Parenti I, Mallozzi MB, Hüning I, Gervasini C, Kuechler A, Agolini E, Albrecht B, Baquero-Montoya C, Bohring A, Bramswig NC, Busche A, Dalski A, Guo Y, Hanker B, Hellenbroich Y, Horn D, Innes AM, Leoni C, Li YR, Lynch SA, Mariani M, Medne L, Mikat B, Milani D, Onesimo R, Ortiz-Gonzalez X, Prott EC, Reutter H, Rossier E, Selicorni A, Wieacker P, Wilkens A, Wieczorek D, Zackai EH, Zampino G, Zirn B, Hakonarson H, Deardorff MA, Gillissen-Kaesbach G, Kaiser FJ. ANKRD11 variants: KBG syndrome and beyond. *Clin Genet* 2021;**100**:187–200.

43 Cucco F, Sarogni P, Rossato S, Alpa M, Patimo A, Latorre A, Magnani C, Puisac B, Ramos FJ, Pié J, Musio A. Pathogenic variants in EP300 and ANKRD11 in patients with phenotypes overlapping Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 2020;**182**:1690–6.

44 Nardello R, Mangano GD, Antona V, Fontana A, Striano P, Giorgio E, Brusco A, Mangano S, Salpietro V. Electroclinical features and outcome of ANKRD11-related KBG syndrome: A novel report and literature review. *Seizure* 2021;**85**:151–4.

45 Willemsen MH, Fernandez BA, Bacino CA, Gerkes E, De Brouwer APM, Pfundt R, Sikkema-Raddatz B, Scherer SW, Marshall CR, Potocki L, Van Bokhoven H, Kleefstra T. Identification of ANKRD11 and ZNF778 as candidate genes for autism and variable cognitive impairment in the novel 16q24.3 microdeletion syndrome. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2010;**18**:429–35.

46 Youngs E, Hellings J, Butler M. ANKRD11 gene deletion in a 17-year-old male. *Clin Dysmorphol* 2011;**20**:170–1.

47 Isrie M, Hendriks Y, Gielissen N, Sistermans EA, Willemsen MH, Peeters H, Vermeesch JR, Kleefstra T, Van Esch H. Haploinsufficiency of ANKRD11 causes mild cognitive impairment, short stature and minor dysmorphisms. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2012;**20**:131–3.

48 Martinez-Granero F, Blanco-Kelly F, Sanchez-Jimeno C, Avila-Fernandez A, Arteche A, Bustamante-Aragones A, Rodilla C, Rodríguez-Pinilla E, Riveiro-Alvarez R, Tahsin-Swafiri S, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Ayuso C, Rodríguez de Alba M, Lorda-

Sanchez I, Almoguera B. Comparison of the diagnostic yield of aCGH and genome-wide sequencing across different neurodevelopmental disorders. *NPJ genomic Med* 2021;**6**:25.

49 Swols DM, Tekin M. KBG Syndrome Summary Clinical characteristics Genetic counseling. 2019;:1–19.