

Protective efficacy of holed and aging PBO-pyrethroid synergist-treated nets on malaria infection prevalence in north-western Tanzania: Protective efficacy of holed and aging PBO-pyrethroid synergist-treated nets on malaria

Eliud Lukole¹, Jackie Cook², Jacklin F. Mosha¹, Louisa A Messenger³, Mark Rowland³, Immo

Kleinschmidt^{2,4,5}, Jacques D Charlwood², Franklin W Mosha⁶, Alphaxard Manjurano¹, Alexandra Wright², Natacha Protopopoff²

1. Department of parasitology, National Institute for Medical Research, Mwanza Medical Research Centre, Mwanza, Tanzania
2. MRC International Statistics and Epidemiology Group, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
3. Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
4. Wits Research Institute for Malaria, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.
5. Southern African Development Community Malaria Elimination Eight Secretariat, Windhoek, Namibia
6. Department of parasitology, Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College, Moshi, Tanzania

Abstract

Two billion pyrethroid long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) have been distributed since 2010 for malaria prevention in Sub-Saharan Africa. Current malaria control strategies rely on an assumed effective 3-year lifespan for LLINs. PBO synergist LLINs are a newly recommended class but there is limited information on their life span and long-term protective efficacy in communities. To assess their operational survival, a cohort of 390 PBO LLINs (Olyset Plus) and 367 standard

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

pyrethroid LLIN (Olyset net) from 396 households were followed for 36 months in Western Tanzania. To assess the association between the condition of the LLIN and malaria infection, nets from at least 480 randomly selected households were assessed during malaria prevalence cross-sectional surveys at 4, 9, 16, 21, 28, and 33 months post-distribution. Information on the presence and condition of nets, and demographic information from the household, were collected to evaluate factors influencing net durability. After 3 years less than 17% of nets distributed were available for sleeping. The fabric condition was not associated with malaria infection in either type of net. The difference between the net types was highest when nets were between 1-2 years old, when PBO nets appeared to be similarly protective as nets less than a year old, whereas standard nets were considerably less protective as they aged, regardless of fabric condition. There was no statistical difference in the estimated median functional survival time between net types with 1.6 years (95% CI 1.38-1.87) for PBO LLIN and 1.9 years (95% CI 1.67-2.06) for standard LLINs. After 3 years, there was a loss of 55% of permethrin content for both nets, and 97% of PBO content was lost in PBO LLIN. These results highlight that functional survival is less than the recommended 3 years for both net types. However, even as the nets age, the PBO nets remained more protective than standard nets, regardless of their condition.

Keywords: Long-lasting insecticidal nets, Piperonyl butoxide (PBO), durability, attrition

Background

Long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) remain a cornerstone approach for malaria prevention (1) and 2 billion have been distributed between 2010 and 2021 in Sub Saharan Africa(2, 3). The functional survival of LLINs is recommended to be at least 3 years under field conditions(4, 5). Functional survival is characterised by the LLIN remaining in the household, in an acceptable physical condition, and retaining biological activity (giving protection against mosquitoes). Early accumulation of holes and loss of adequate insecticide is listed among the factors hindering

LLINs' functional survival and protective effects(6, 7). For decades, LLINs have been treated with pyrethroid insecticides, due to their high insecticidal activity and low mammalian toxicity(8). However, recent evidence suggests that insecticide resistance in mosquito vectors may have reduced the level of protection provided by pyrethroid-only LLINs(9, 10).

One alternative to pyrethroid-only LLINs is to treat nets with a combination of pyrethroid insecticides and a synergist, piperonyl butoxide (PBO) (11). Piperonyl butoxide (PBO) is not designed to kill insects directly, but when mixed and applied with pyrethroids enhances their potency by inhibiting enzymes that normally act to detoxify insecticides in the insect (12), essentially rendering pyrethroids effective, even in pyrethroid-resistant insects(13). PBO is designed to be effective against mosquitoes whose resistance is based on oxidative metabolism (10). There are currently two brands of LLIN which incorporate PBO that have been assessed in epidemiological trials: Permanet 3.0 (14) and Olyset Plus (10, 15). In these trials, the PBO nets showed better efficacy than standard pyrethroid nets for at least 12 months of monitoring(10, 14, 15). However, there are mounting concerns that the efficacy of PBO LLINs may wane before 3 years because the PBO has been shown to degrade at a fast pace(16). A recent study conducted in Uganda showed that in nets that had been in use in the community, mosquito mortality measured using three-minute WHO cone bioassay decreased quickly however, still remained higher for PBO LLIN compared to standard LLIN over two years (17).

Net durability is a key factor in the effectiveness of nets. As nets deteriorate there is likely to be more vector-human contact; and, in addition, owners are more likely to stop using, discard, or repurpose holed LLINs as they perceive them to be no longer useful (18, 19). To investigate the durability of PBO-nets, we performed a study to investigate the attrition (net loss), physical integrity (number of holes present), chemical content (amount of active ingredient remaining), and the association between holed and aged LLINs and malaria infection in children under 15

years, over three years of use in field conditions. The study took place within a 4 arm factorial cluster randomised control trial (RCT) to evaluate the efficacy of PBO LLIN compared to standard LLINs(20).

Methodology

Study area

The study was conducted in Muleba district (1⁰ 45' S 31⁰ 40' E), in Kagera, in the North-West region of Tanzania on the Western shore of Lake Victoria. Muleba covers an area of approximately 3500km² at an altitude ranging from 1,100m-1,600m above sea level. The district comprises 43 wards and 160 villages and a population of 540,310 (21). Rainfall occurs in two seasons: the short rains in October–December (average monthly rainfall 160 mm) and the long rains in March-May (average monthly rainfall 300 mm). Malaria transmission in the district occurs throughout the year with two distinct peaks, in June to July and November to January following the long and short rains, respectively. The study area is described in detail elsewhere(10). Briefly, it comprised 48 clusters from 40 villages within 13 wards. A total of 30,000 households were included. The 48 clusters were randomly assigned to one of four arms: (1) conventional standard LLIN (pyrethroid only), (2) PBO LLIN (pyrethroid and PBO), (3) conventional standard LLIN + Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS, with pirimiphos-methyl CS at the dosage of 1–2 g AI/m² (22)), and (4) PBO LLIN + IRS (Fig 1). Indoor residual spraying (IRS) took place once in February/March 2015.

Fig 1. Durability Study profile

Net treatment and distribution

As part of the trial, approximately 90,000 LLINs (45,000 PBO LLIN and 45,000 standard LLINs) were distributed to study clusters between 6th-8th February 2015. The nets appeared similar and were blue, rectangular LLINs made from 150 denier polyethylene material. PBO LLIN (Sumitomo

Chemicals, Japan) contain the pyrethroid permethrin (20 g/kg) as the active ingredient (AI) and the synergist Piperonyl Butoxide (PBO) (10 g/kg) (23). The standard LLIN (Sumitomo Chemicals, Japan) contains permethrin (20 g/kg) incorporated in the yarn but no synergist (24).

Study Design

Longitudinal LLIN study

The durability of the nets was assessed first in a longitudinal survey, which followed a cohort of nets every year for 36 months. For this study, 100 households per cluster were randomly selected from two clusters receiving PBO LLIN (one with and one without IRS) and two receiving standard LLINs (one with and one without IRS). Net durability was also assessed in 480 households (10 households per cluster) during successive cross-sectional surveys done at 4, 9, 16, 22, 28, and 33 months post-distribution (Table 1).

At enrolment, all nets in selected households hung and in use received a unique ID number, to be easily identified at subsequent visits. At each 12, 24, and 36 months visit, the physical presence of the net was recorded (to assess survivorship) and nets were inspected for holes to estimate functional survival of the LLIN (4). In addition, 10 PBO LLIN and 10 standard LLINs still in use were randomly sampled from the households for insecticide content analysis. All sampled nets were replaced with new nets of the same brand but were not subsequently followed up, and if the selected nets were missing, additional nets were sampled and replaced.

Cross-sectional LLIN study

At each time point, a random sample of 10 households per cluster was selected for LLIN physical durability assessment. If the selected household had no study net, households were not replaced by another. In each household, field assistants randomly selected three study LLINs. In the same selected household, up to three children aged 6 months to 14 years were randomly selected to be tested for malaria infection using a rapid diagnostic test (CareStart Malaria

HRP2/pLDH(pf/PAN) Combo, DiaSys, UK). Children diagnosed as malaria positive by the rapid diagnostic test were treated with artemether-lumefantrine according to national guidelines. At the 21-month cross-sectional survey, 10 PBO LLIN and 10 standard LLINs were randomly selected to be used for insecticide content analysis.

LLIN fabric integrity assessment

To assess fabric integrity, nets were mounted onto a 170cm x 180cm x160cm collapsible frame to facilitate the visual assessment of the net (25). The number of holes, location on the net, type of holes, and hole size were recorded. Size was classified into four categories as per WHO guidelines (4, 5): size 1 =0.5–1.99 cm, size 2 =2–9.99 cm, size 3 =10–25 cm and size 4 > 25 cm. Size of holes was measured by superimposing transparent plastic with illustrations of hole sizes.

LLIN insecticidal content

For each net collected for chemical content, one 30 cm x 30 cm net piece was cut from each side of the net following standard WHO procedure (5). These pieces were then uniquely labelled, packed in aluminium foil, and stored at 4°C; and sent to the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (United Kingdom) for chemical content analysis using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (26).

Table 1. Measurement, frequency, and outcomes of durability components

Component	Definition	Data collection survey	Measurement	Outcome indicators	WHO criteria or industry standard or study categories	Timing of measures
Survivorship[4,5,27]	Proportion of distributed nets still available for use as intended in the households to which they were given	Longitudinal survey	Household (HH) follow-up survey: all LLINs labelled and still available	Numerator: Total number of each LLIN product present in surveyed households (and available for sleeping under) x 100 Denominator: Total number of each LLIN product distributed to surveyed households		12, 24, 36 months
Attrition[4,5,27]	Net no longer available in household due to discarding, alternative uses, given away, used elsewhere or stolen	Longitudinal survey	Household follow-up survey: all LLINs labelled and lost by any reason	Numerator: Total number of each LLIN product no longer present in surveyed households x 100 Denominator: Total number of each LLIN product distributed to surveyed households		12, 24, 36 months
Physical integrity[4,5,27]	Physical state of the net	Longitudinal survey	Number, location, and size of hole(s) for all labelled nets in HH	Holed surface area (HS)	Good: HS < 80cm ² , Damaged: HS 80-789cm ² , extremely torn: HS ≥ 790cm ²	12, 24, 36 months
		Cross-sectional survey	Number, location, and size of hole(s) for 3 nets per HH			4, 9, 16, 21, 28, 33 months
Functional survival[4,5,27]	Estimation of nets still in households and in good and damaged (serviceable) condition	Longitudinal survey	Median survival analysis	Total LLINs present and serviceable / (Total LLINs originally labelled at baseline - total net given away or not followed at each time point)	Median net survival in years = time point at which the estimate of functional survival crosses 50%	12, 24, 36 months
Holed nets protective effect	Ability of each torn LLIN product to provide protection against malaria infection	Cross-sectional survey	Children between 6 months and 14 years tested for malaria parasite by RDT	Odds ratio of malaria infection between users of holed standard LLIN and PBO LLIN in children between 6 month and 14 years, over three years of use in field conditions	Good: HS <80cm ² , Damaged: HS 80-789cm ² , extremely torn: HS ≥ 790cm ²	4, 9, 16, 21, 28, 33 months
Insecticide content	Amount of active ingredient (PBO and/or pyrethroid) per gram of the LLIN as determined by chemical assay	Cross-sectional survey	Permethrin and PBO content in g/kg in 10 pieces (30x30 cm pieces) per net	Concentration at baseline, and over study period as per WHO	Permethrin: 20 g/kg, PBO: 10g/kg	21 months
		Longitudinal survey				0, 12, 24, 36 months

Data analysis

Data collection was done on Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs) using Pendragon Software. The primary outcome was LLIN functional survival (LLIN present and in serviceable condition). Secondary outcomes included (1) malaria infection in children sleeping under LLINs of different physical conditions, and (2) chemical content. All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA release 15 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Household social and economic wealth indices were constructed and analysed by Principal component analysis (PCA), and households were sub-divided into wealth tertiles. The svy command using cluster as sampling unit was used to account for the clustered design of the study. Comparisons between the two types of nets were tested for significance using the Chi-square (χ^2) test allowing for the clustered design.

A sum of the holes identified in the nets were weighted (27) to calculate a hole surface area (HS) = (1.23 x no. of size1 holes) + (28.28 x no. of size2 holes) + (240.56 x no. of size3 holes) + (706.95 x no. size4 holes)(27). Linear regression allowing for survey design was used to compare hole surface area between net products, using log-transformed data to normalise the distribution. Based on the hole surface area, LLINs were assigned to different categories (good <80cm²; damaged=80-789 cm², and extremely torn \geq 790 cm²) to determine risk factors associated with the physical state of each LLIN product.

Survivorship, attrition and functional survival were estimated using the longitudinal data and compared between net types and over the three visits using Chi-square (χ^2), accounting for the clustered design. Functional survival over time were calculated using cox regression. To aid in functional survival calculations, a questionnaire was used to capture information on net condition and usage, as well as “how many months ago the net was lost/discarded” if the net was no longer in the household at each follow-up point. Then, the number of months was subtracted from the current date to determine the actual month the net was lost. For the

longitudinal data, where nets were sampled at multiple timepoints, generalized estimation equations (GEE) using a logit model were used to determine factors associated with the poor physical condition of the 2 net brands accounting for the repeated measures on individual nets and correlations within clusters. In addition, we assessed the factors which were associated with bad net condition using multivariate logistic regression with the cross-sectional data. Factors investigated included net type, net age, socio-economic status (SES) of household, hanging habits of nets, type of beds, type of mattress, net ever washed, indoor residual spraying (IRS), and use of open flames in the household. The association between net physical condition and malaria infection was assessed using multivariate logistic regression analysis, controlling for SES, child age, indoor residual spraying (IRS), and presence of eaves in the house (these variables showed evidence for a possible association with malaria infection, $p < 0.05$).

Ethics

The trial was approved by the ethics review committees of the Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College, the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, and the Tanzanian Medical Research Coordinating Committee (NIMR/HQ/R.8a/VoIIX/1803). A trial steering committee reviewed progress. Written informed consent from parents or guardians was obtained for each survey.

Results

Nets included in the study

In total, 757 nets (397 PBO LLIN, 360 standard LLIN) from 396 households from four clusters were included in the longitudinal study and followed up for 3 years at 12 months intervals. The proportion of households that were lost to follow-up was 21% (3%-refused, 14%-dwelling vacant for survey duration, and 4% dwelling not found) over the 3 years of the trial. Cross-sectional

surveys were conducted every year in June-July and November-December from 2015 to 2017. In total, 2104 LLINs (987 PBO LLIN, and 1117 standard LLINs) from 1,383 households were assessed during cross-sectional surveys. During cross-sectional surveys, the proportion of households with at least one study net for every two people decreased from 50% after 4 months to 7% after 33 months. The proportion of participants reporting using study nets the night before decreased from 71% at 4 months to 21% at 33 months post-intervention.

The demographics of households over surveys and study arms were relatively similar in terms of the average number of sleeping rooms, number of sleeping spaces, education status, main housing materials (Table 2). There was an increase in the number of households with electricity over the study period, from 5% to 19% (Table 2). This was due to the government's mission to facilitate access to modern energy services in rural Mainland Tanzania through Rural Energy Agency (REA).

Table 2. Household and socioeconomic characteristics of participating households in longitudinal and cross-sectional surveys

Covariates	Longitudinal survey	Cross-sectional survey					
		4 months	9 months	16 months	21 months	28 months	33 months
Net Age							
Mean number sleeping rooms (sd), N	4.7 (1.5), 350	5.1 (1.5), 405	5.0 (1.3), 298	5.2 (1.6), 326	5.4 (1.8), 340	5.5 (2.1), 339	5.0 (1.6), 331
Mean number sleeping spaces (sd), N	2.3 (1.0), 350	2.2 (0.8), 405	2.3 (0.8), 298	2.3 (0.9), 326	2.4 (0.9), 340	2.4 (0.9), 339	2.4 (0.8), 331
Mean number of people per household (sd), N	5.1 (2.5), 396	5.6 (1.97), 3379	5.7 (1.98), 1718	5.7 (2.06), 1862	5.7 (2.10), 1859	5.7 (1.98), 1828	5.7 (1.89), 1720
% Malaria infection (in children 0.5-14 years) (N), 95%CI		40 (948), 34-47	32 (732), 27-37	38 (760), 30-45	49 (818), 40-57	72 (790), 65-78	50 (789), 42-57
% literate heads of households (N), 95%CI	74 (351), 66-80	75 (405), 71-79	77 (298), 72-81	78 (326), 73-82	74 (340), 69-78	75 (339), 71-80	80 (331), 76-85
Proportion of households with at least one net for every two people (HH Access) % (N)							
Any LLIN		89 (460)	87 (349)	74 (371)	65 (447)	61 (441)	66 (407)
Study PBO LLIN		51 (219)	46 (167)	26 (179)	18 (232)	10 (203)	8 (204)
Study standard LLIN		49 (241)	40 (182)	22 (192)	13 (215)	12 (238)	6 (203)
Proportion of participants reporting using a net the night before % (N)							
Any LLIN		76 (2324)	76 (1749)	49 (1884)	53 (1968)	59 (1913)	48 (1879)
Study PBO LLIN		72 (1134)	70 (894)	44 (926)	43 (1026)	38 (885)	23 (887)
Study standard LLIN		69 (1190)	71 (855)	44 (958)	39 (942)	44 (1028)	20 (992)
Household possessions							
% electricity (N), 95%CI	8 (351), 3-18	5(405), 3-8	5 (298), 3-10	8 (326), 5-13	9 (340), 6-14	12 (339), 8-18	19 (331), 14-25
% mobile phones (N), 95%CI	70 (351), 58-81	69 (405), 64-74	69 (298), 61-75	71 (326), 65-76	73 (340), 67-78	69 (339), 62-76	79 (331), 75-84
% open eaves: (N), 95%CI	69 (351), 60-77	59 (405), 54-64	61 (298), 54-68	67 (326), 61-73	63 (340), 56-70	46 (339), 40-53	47 (331), 40-54
Main housing materials							
% floor: earth/sand (N), 95%CI	88 (349), 74-95	89 (405), 83-92	96 (298), 92-98	91 (326), 88-94	89 (340), 84-93	85 (339), 79-89	83 (331), 76-88
% roof: tin (N), 95%CI	86 (350), 77-91	82 (405), 76-87	83 (298), 78-87	91 (326), 86-94	92 (340), 87-95	93 (339), 90-95	92 (331), 87-95
% walls: mud (N), 95%CI	61 (350), 27-87	78 (405), 71-84	79 (298), 72-85	73 (326), 66-80	76 (340), 69-83	72 (339), 63-89	65 (331), 57-72

sd: standard deviation; CI: confidence intervals; N: total number of observations; LLIN: Long-lasting insecticidal net

LLIN survivorship and attrition

Three years following distribution, survivorship (defined as nets present in surveyed households and available for sleeping under) was 28.8% (95% CI 11.8-54.9) for PBO LLIN and 25.6% (95% CI 22.2-29.3) for standard LLIN. A small proportion of nets were given away, stolen, sold, or used in other locations at each time point and these also did not differ significantly per arm (Table 3). Attrition due to wear and tear (poor net condition) increased from 3% (95% CI 0.3-23.6) at 12 months to 56% (95% CI 37.6-72.4) at 36 months in PBO LLIN and from 4% (95% CI 3.5-4.5) to 63% (95% CI 57.1-68.7) in standard LLINs (Table 3). There were no significant differences in attrition between PBO LLIN and standard LLINs over the study period ($p=0.6816$). Comparing survivorship between arms that received IRS and those that did not, there was a significant difference in survivorship at 24 months ($p=0.044$) and 36 months ($p=0.050$); where arms without IRS recorded higher survivorship of nets (S2 Table). There was no significant difference in functional survival (defined as the presence of serviceable LLIN) of the 2 net products; 15.4% (95% CI 4.8-39.7) for PBO LLIN and 17.9% (95% CI 14.7-21.5) for standard LLIN ($p=0.6929$). Estimated median functional survival was relatively similar in both net types (1.9 years (95% CI 1.67-2.06) for standard LLIN and 1.6 years (95% CI 1.38-1.87)) for the PBO LLIN (S1 Table).

Table 3. Survivorship and attrition of cohort nets by net type and age (data from longitudinal survey)

LLIN type	Standard LLIN (360)			PBO LLIN (397)		
	12 months	24 months	36 months	12 months	24 months	36 months
Nets labelled in visited households	N=329	N=308	N=309	N=326	N=313	N=309
Survivorship: % (95% CI)	89.7 (88.9-90.4)	54.5 (51.0-58.0)	25.6 (22.2-29.3)	89.9 (74.6-96.4)	53.0 (35.9-69.4)	28.8 (11.8-54.9)
Attrition rate-category1: % (95% CI)	4.0 (3.5-4.5)	34.4 (30.0-39.1)	63.1 (57.1-68.7)	3.1 (0.3-23.6)	31.9 (11.6-62.7)	55.7 (37.6-72.4)
Attrition rate-category2: % (95% CI)	6.1 (4.4-8.4)	10.1 (4.8-20.0)	11.3 (9.3-13.8)	6.7 (4.7-9.7)	14.4 (6.7-28.1)	15.5 (11.6-20.5)
Attrition rate-category3: % (95% CI)	0.3 (0.0-3.7)	1.0 (0.4-2.2)	0 (0-0)	0.3 (0.0-4.5)	0.6 (0.6-0.7)	0 (0-0)
Missing nets* (n)	31	52	51	71	84	88

Survivorship: present LLIN in surveyed households (and available for sleeping under); Attrition rate-category1: for nets that have been destroyed or disposed of due to wear and tear (poor condition) in surveyed households; Attrition rate-category2: for nets not available for sleeping under for reasons other than poor fabric integrity (given away, stolen, sold or used in another location, withdrawn by PAMVERC staff) in surveyed households; Attrition rate-category3: for nets used for other purposes in surveyed households; * Missing nets from households that were not interviewed due to either (dwelling vacant, a dwelling not found, and refused) and were not included in the denominator when calculating survivorship and attrition

Net physical integrity

After 3 years of use, on average, 93% of standard LLIN and 94% PBO LLIN had at least one hole of any size in the longitudinal survey; while on average 63% of standard LLIN and 57% of PBO LLIN had at least one hole in the cross-sectional data (Table 4). **Error! Reference source not found.** The damage to PBO LLIN was more severe than to standard LLINs across the study period in the longitudinal survey but only significant at 36 months; while this tendency was opposite in the cross-sectional survey. Based on WHO categories, 55% of PBO LLIN and 37% standard LLINs were considered extremely torn after 3 years of field use in the longitudinal survey; whereas in the cross-sectional survey data it was 24% of PBO LLIN and 33% standard LLINs at 33 months post-distribution. Of the nets present in the households but no longer in use as they were perceived unprotective by users after 3 years, 32% (7%-intact, 5%-good, and 20%-damaged) were in good or damaged condition. In both surveys, fabrics of the lower half of both net types were more vulnerable to tear than other parts of the net (S3 Table).

Table 4. LLIN physical durability expressed by hole surface area by survey (cross-sectional and longitudinal) and net age

Net type	Standard LLIN				PBO LLIN				p-values comparison of mean hole surface area between Olyset plus and Olyset net
	Total Net assessed	% net with at least 1 hole	% extremely torn LLIN (HS \geq 790cm ²)	Hole surface area (cm ²): Median (IQR)	Total Net assessed	% net with at least 1 hole	% extremely torn LLIN (HS \geq 790cm ²)	Hole surface area (cm ²): Median (IQR)	
Longitudinal survey									
12 months	296	91	19	89 (6-577)	294	87	29	234 (5-1116)	0.5763
24 months	171	93	41	368 (39-1840)	168	98	49	770 (92-2284)	0.1015
36 months	79	95	37	322 (31-1520)	89	97	55	925 (212-2464)	0.0462
Cross sectional survey									
4 months	289	39	4	31 (4-138)	273	24	2	30 (2-490)	0.9328
9 months	224	42	13	271 (58-994)	182	46	14	180 (28-1247)	0.3982
16 months	188	67	24	270 (60-1311)	162	65	26	402 (28-1421)	0.7701
21 months	150	70	26	375 (87-1326)	170	63	22	321 (25-1077)	0.1257
28 months	178	78	22	398 (111-911)	120	73	24	196 (33-1349)	0.0703
33 months	88	82	33	376 (65-1488)	80	71	24	357 (79-1464)	0.8657

* net considered as extremely torn if hole surface area \geq 790 cm²; CI: confidence interval

Factors associated with net integrity

Factors that were thought to influence net fabric integrity were assessed. These included, net type, net age, SES, type of bed, type of mattress, folding/unfolding the net in the morning, and washing of the net (. The influence of these factors to fabric integrity deferred by survey (longitudinal and cross-sectional survey). In cross-sectional data, factors that were significantly associated with net damage included net age, lowest SES, unfolding the net in the morning, and sleeping under grass or reed mats and washing of the net. however, in longitudinal data, net age, unfolding the net in the morning, and sleeping under no bed frame were significantly associated with net damage.

Table 5). The influence of these factors to fabric integrity deferred by survey (longitudinal and cross-sectional survey). In cross-sectional data, factors that were significantly associated with net damage included net age, lowest SES, unfolding the net in the morning, and sleeping under grass or reed mats and washing of the net. however, in longitudinal data, net age, unfolding the net in the morning, and sleeping under no bed frame were significantly associated with net damage.

Table 5. Multivariate determinants of LLIN's being classed as unserviceable (HS: $\geq 790\text{cm}^2$) (data from longitudinal and cross-sectional survey)

Covariate	Longitudinal survey ^a				Cross-sectional survey ^b			
	%extremely torn (HS $\geq 790\text{cm}^2$) (N)	aOR	95% CI	p-value	%extremely torn (HS $\geq 790\text{cm}^2$) (N)	aOR	95% CI	p-value
Net type								
Olyset net	28.2 (546)	1 (Ref)			17.5 (1117)	1 (Ref)		
Olyset plus	39.2 (551)	1.77	1.23-2.57	<0.001	16.1 (987)	0.81	0.60-1.09	0.160
Net age (years)								
Year 1	23.7 (590)	1 (Ref)			7.6 (968)	1 (Ref)		
Year 2	44.8 (339)	2.41	1.56-3.71	<0.001	24.5 (670)	3.60	2.60-4.97	<0.001
Year 3	46.4 (168)	1.68	0.95-2.97	0.080	24.9 (466)	3.62	2.50-5.24	<0.001
Socio economic status (SES)								
Lowest	38.4 (315)	1 (Ref)			20.5 (639)	1 (Ref)		
Medium	36.9 (393)	1.12	0.72-1.73	0.620	15.6 (716)	0.72	0.52-0.99	0.040
Highest	26.7 (389)	0.76	0.46-1.25	0.270	14.8 (749)	0.86	0.62-1.19	0.370
How was the net found								
Hanging loose over sleeping space	35.3 (309)	1 (Ref)			20.7 (781)	1 (Ref)		
Hanging folded	21.6 (393)	0.56	0.39-0.79	<0.001	14.3 (1216)	0.75	0.58-0.97	0.030
Type of bed								
No bed frame	35.5 (248)	1 (Ref)			18.8 (640)	1 (Ref)		
Stick	27.4 (237)	0.65	0.42-1.00	0.050	18.7 (646)	1.17	0.85-1.60	0.330
Wood/Iron	22 (287)	0.56	0.34-0.91	0.020	13.7 (736)	0.88	0.62-1.24	0.476
Type of mattress								
Grass/reed mat/clothes	33.5 (269)	1 (Ref)			22.9 (637)	1 (Ref)		
Foam/spring mattress	25.1 (502)	0.91	0.59-1.38	0.65	14.2 (1385)	0.69	0.51-0.92	0.010
Net ever washed								
No	32.6 (656)	1 (Ref)			12.9 (1441)	1 (Ref)		
Yes	37.8 (415)	0.89	0.60-1.34	0.59	25.1 (630)	1.40	1.06-1.85	0.020
Indoor residual spraying (IRS)								
No	30.7 (583)	1 (Ref)			18.5 (1026)	1 (Ref)		
Yes	37.2 (514)	1.44	1.00-2.07	0.05	15.2 (1078)	0.88	0.66-1.18	0.400

^a analysis was done using logistic generalized estimation equations (GEE); ^b analysis was done using multivariate logistic analysis; aOR: adjusted odds Ratios; HS: Hole surface area

Chemical content

At baseline (0 months), all 10 PBO LLIN and 10 standard LLIN samples complied with their target doses of pyrethroid (20 ± 5 g AI/kg) and PBO concentration (10 ± 2.5 g PBO/kg) for PBO LLIN nets (Fig 2). At 12 months, 24 months, and 36 months mean permethrin content in PBO LLIN decreased to 15 g/kg, 6 g/kg, and 9 g/kg, corresponding to a loss of 29.8%, 73.4%, and 56.9% of the original dose, respectively. The mean permethrin content of standard LLINs was 21 g/kg at 12 months, 16 g/kg (23.8% loss of the original dose) at 24 months, and 10 g/kg (53.3% loss) at 36 months. Mean PBO content decreased to 3 g/kg (69.5%) at 12 months and less than 1g/kg (96% loss) after 36 months. Pyrethroid content of nets collected at 21 months during the cross-sectional survey was 12.2 g/kg for PBO LLIN and 16.7 g/kg for standard LLIN and PBO concentration in PBO LLIN was 1.4 g/kg.

Fig 2. Insecticide content after 3 years of field use

Malaria infection and physical condition of LLIN

Malaria infection was measured in cross-sectional surveys only. Between year two and three, there was a significant increase in malaria infection in all arms. When controlling for other factors, the fabric condition was not associated with malaria infection in either type of net. However superior protection afforded by PBO nets depended on the age of nets: Olyset Plus (PBO LLIN) providing particularly strong protection versus standard nets when both net types were aged between 1 and 2 years (

Table 6). For nets that are still relatively new (<1 year), there was only weak evidence that PBO nets were better in protecting against infection; after 2 years there was also little evidence that PBO nets provided enhanced protection compared to standard nets.

Table 6. Multivariate adjusted odds ratios of malaria infection among 0.5–14-year olds using nets with different physical conditions

Covariates	Prevalence % (N)	Adjusted odd Ratios*	95% CI	p-value
Net types per condition of net				
Olyset plus vs Olyset net: Less than 1 year	32.8 (540) vs 39 (564)	0.80	0.46-1.38	0.4197
Olyset plus vs Olyset net: 1 year to < 2 years	32.7 (312) vs 50.3 (322)	0.44	0.26-0.75	0.0028
Olyset plus vs Olyset net: 2 years to < 3 years	57.1 (191) vs 64.6 (243)	0.88	0.49-1.60	0.6791
Net condition				
Good (HS: < 80 cm ²)	39.5 (1174)	1 (Ref)		
Damaged (HS: 80-789 cm ²)	45.9 (342)	0.99	0.71-1.36	0.9330
Extremely torn (HS: ≥ 790cm ²)	47.0 (387)	1.10	0.79-1.51	0.5790

*adjusting for survey rounds, socio-economic status (SES), children age, indoor residual spraying (IRS), and presence of eaves in the house; There was an evidence of interaction between net age and net type, p value= 0.0392

Discussion

This study presents the durability of two types of nets with and without the synergist PBO over 3 years of field use and examines whether this was associated with malaria infection in an area of pyrethroid resistance. As has been seen with other studies (refs), the nets are not lasting for the three years which is assumed for 'long-lasting' nets, both in terms of fabric integrity and chemical content.

The majority of nets followed in the longitudinal study had at least one hole by 12 months, with a similar proportion of extremely torn nets, for the two net types and poor fabric integrity was the main reason nets were discarded. Nets collected via the cross-sectional surveys were generally less damaged- this is likely to be due to the fact that participants in the longitudinal study were asked to keep hold of their nets regardless, whilst it appears that if this was not the case, users are more likely to get rid of the torn nets quickly. In addition, we do not have data on when the nets sampled cross-sectionally were first used, and some may have been stored for several months before being put into use, as has been seen elsewhere (28, 29). This highlights the differential information the two methods (longitudinal and cross-sectional) obtain with regards to damage to fabric integrity over time, compared to the point when users realistically discard their nets. Indeed, a third of the nets that were considered unprotective by users which were examined by the field workers were actually still in serviceable condition. Community attitudes towards damaged nets may vary from place to place and may feed into differences in durability seen across countries(30).

Overall, PBO nets were more likely to be torn, although this was only evident in longitudinal survey nets and not in the cross-sectional nets. PBO nets had less functional survival by 12

months, suggesting that users had held onto them even if they were in bad condition. This could be due to the higher protective efficacy of the PBO nets, regardless of fabric condition(31).

Both net types lasted for less than 2 years, which is a shorter timespan than has been seen in other studies' for similar nets (16, 18, 32). Moreover, in this study, less than a third of the distributed nets remained in households after 3 years of community use, which is slightly lower than has been observed in other studies conducted in Tanzania (45%)(18), Mozambique (40%)(33), and Kenya (82%)(34). Notably, a recent study in Kenya examining the durability of the same make of PBO LLIN showed extremely high survivorship of 91% after 3 years of use(16). Differences in living conditions but also in net care behaviour could explain these variations(35). Remarkably, net survivorship in the arms that did not receive indoor residual spraying (IRS) was significantly higher than in arms that received IRS, suggesting that people are more likely to care for their nets if there is no other preventive intervention in place. This is not a chance finding since communities were randomly allocated to receiving IRS, and may therefore have implications for any future strategy that combines different interventions.

Several risk factors were identified for increased risk of damage to the net. Washing of the net and aging of the net increased the likelihood of net damage whereas folding the net every morning was observed to protect the nets against damage, as has been seen in other studies (33, 36, 37). Other factors that could protect the net against damage included sleeping under bed frames and the use of foam mattresses. Targeted behavioural change communication (BCC) campaigns could eventually increase awareness on the net care, repair and net durability determinants thereby preventing LLINs from becoming extremely torn (36-39).

In this study, fabric condition was not associated with malaria infection in either type of net. However, older nets were associated with higher risk of infection, with differential effects by net type depending on age of net. Notably, the difference between the net types was highest when

nets were between 1-2 years old, when PBO nets appeared to be similarly protective as nets less than a year old, whereas standard nets were considerably less protective as they aged, regardless of fabric condition (Table 6). This suggests that a major benefit of PBO nets may be in mitigating the detrimental effect of aging of nets, but that this advantage relative to standard nets wanes after two years. Therefore, to maximise the gain that PBO nets offer in combating malaria, programmes and funding agencies should consider a two-year cycle for net replacement strategies.

The results also suggest that much of the protection given by the nets is related to the insecticide (which declined in both nets over the three years), rather than the condition of the fabric(40). Other studies have also found that malaria infection is not necessarily associated with the condition of the nets (7, 41), however, we would caution against interpreting this too strongly as study net usage considerably declined over the three years of this study and low community-level coverage of nets could be confounding the impact of fabric quality on malaria infection.

Both net types had chemical content within the manufacturers' specifications at the start of the study. However, PBO content was low at 12 month and almost gone by 24 months. The active ingredient (permethrin) was considerably reduced in both nets, but reduced more quickly in PBO nets. Despite insecticide loss and aging of nets, PBO nets were more protective against malaria infection in the second year than standard LLINs, suggesting that people should continue using PBO LLINs even if they are aged and damaged(10). This may also explain why there was a high proportion of extremely torn PBO LLIN compared to standard LLINs in use at the end of the study; as users may still find that they are effective, despite the physical condition and aging. These findings concur with the earlier findings on torn PBO LLIN, that they will continue protecting against blood-feeding *Anopheles* irrespective of physical condition(31).

Access and usage of study net decreased sharply over the study period. This is likely due to the high attrition rate leading to too few study nets remaining in the households at the end of the study. However, access and usage of any nets was relatively higher, highlighting that residents are keen to keep using nets if they are considered in good condition. In general, the nets which replaced study nets were obtained from periodic governmental net distributions in the study area. It is of key importance that manufacturers produce more durable nets, which could potentially be achieved with a small increase in unit price (18, 42) by reinforcing seam and net lower mid-zone or bottom part which is vulnerable to abrasion (S3 Table).

The study had some limitations. The low study net usage by the third year may have confounded some of the results- though, in the main, they highlight the speed at which these nets are discarded in the community. In addition, the insecticide content was tested on smaller number of nets than what is recommended by WHO. However, small number of nets has been tested for other net types than PBO nets (43); thus, for PBO nets this need to be repeated to confirm these results. Although, a study that assessed insecticide content of PBO nets on a number of nets 4 times higher than of this study, found a relatively similar reduction in chemical content(16). Importantly, this study does not report on entomological bio-efficacy meaning that we do not know whether the reduced chemical content on the nets over time would have also resulted in reduced mosquito mortality. Finally, due to higher attrition than expected, textile integrity could only be assessed on a small sample of nets after 3 years. However, the high rate of attrition in this community is clear and highlights that the usable life of these two nets is well under the recommended lifespan of 3 years.

Conclusion

The findings of this study demonstrate that the nets' lifespan in this setting was below 2 years.

Aged PBO LLINs still provided better protection against malaria infection than standard LLINs up

to about 2 years. Behavioural change communication encouraging users to retain aged and holed PBO LLIN could extend their effective lifespan. Importantly, either manufacturers need to make nets that last for the recommended 3 years, in terms of fabric and chemical content, or distribution methods need to be increased in regularity to ensure that the population is able to sleep under effective bed nets

Supporting information

S1 Table. Percentage net functional survival (defined as the presence of the net in the house and serviceable condition) by net product and time point

S2 Table. Survivorship and attrition of cohort nets by age and arms with and without Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS) (data from longitudinal survey)

S3 Table. Geometric mean of holes by zone and by size

Acknowledgments

We thank colleagues and staff at the Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College in Muleba and Moshi, and those at the National Institute of Medical Research in Mwanza who were involved in the project. We acknowledge the assistance provided by staff at the Muleba District Medical Office, at both the ward, village and hamlet level. Additionally, we thank USAID/President's Malaria Initiative and RTI International for funding and implementing the indoor residual spraying operation in the study area, and the Tanzania Communication and Development Center for communications and implementation of the distribution campaign of the long-lasting insecticidal nets. We thank Hanafy Ismail (Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK) for chemical analysis of the long-lasting insecticidal net. Finally, we thank all the participating households.

Author Contributions

Authors' contributions EL, NP, JC conceptualized the study. EL, JM, AW, NP participated in data collection and curation. EL analysed data and wrote the first draft manuscript, NP, JC, IK reviewed the first draft manuscript . EL, NP, LM, JC, IK, MR finalized and edited the original manuscript and revised it according reviewers' comments. All authors read and approved the revised manuscript.

References

1. WHO. World malaria report: Years of global progress & challenges. 2020.
2. Net mapping project. The alliance for malaria prevention [Internet]. 2021 [cited 08/02/2021]. Available from: Net Mapping Project - The Alliance for Malaria Prevention.
3. WHO. World malaria report. 2021.
4. WHO-VCTEG. Estimating functional survival of long-lasting insecticidal nets from field data. Crowne Plaza Hotel, Geneva; 2013 11-13 September 2013,.
5. WHO. Guidelines for monitoring the durability of long-lasting insecticidal mosquito nets under operational conditions. 2011.
6. Shah MP, Steinhardt LC, Mwandama D, Mzilahowa T, Gimnig JE, Bauleni A, et al. The effectiveness of older insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) to prevent malaria infection in an area of moderate pyrethroid resistance: results from a cohort study in Malawi. *Malar J.* 2020;19(1):24.
7. Rehman AM, Coleman M, Schwabe C, Baltazar G, Matias A, Gomes IR, et al. How much does malaria vector control quality matter: the epidemiological impact of holed nets and inadequate indoor residual spraying. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(4):e19205.
8. Lengeler C, Snow RW. From efficacy to effectiveness: insecticide-treated bednets in Africa. *Bull World Health Organ.* 1996;74(3):325-32.
9. Agossa FR, Gnanguenon V, Anagonou R, Azondekon R, Aizoun N, Sovi A, et al. Impact of insecticide resistance on the effectiveness of pyrethroid-based malaria vectors control tools in Benin: decreased toxicity and repellent effect. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(12):e0145207.
10. Protopopoff N, Mosha JF, Lukole E, Charlwood JD, Wright A, Mwalimu CD, et al. Effectiveness of a long-lasting piperonyl butoxide-treated insecticidal net and indoor residual spray interventions, separately and together, against malaria transmitted by pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes: a cluster, randomised controlled, two-by-two factorial design trial. *Lancet.* 2018;391(10130):1577-88.
11. WHO-GMP. Conditions for deployment of mosquito nets treated with a pyrethroid and piperonyl butoxide: recommendations, September 2017 (revised December 2017). 2017.
12. WHO. Guideline for malaria vector control. 2019.
13. Cross A B, C.; Buhl, K.; Jenkins, J. Piperonyl Butoxide (PBO) general fact sheet; National Pesticide Information Center, Oregon State University Extension Services. npic.orst.edu/factsheets/pbogen.html. 2017 [
14. Staedke SG, Gonahasa S, Dorsey G, Kamya MR, Maiteki-Sebuguzi C, Lynd A, et al. Effect of long-lasting insecticidal nets with and without piperonyl butoxide on malaria indicators in Uganda (LLINEUP): a pragmatic, cluster-randomised trial embedded in a national LLIN distribution campaign. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10232):1292-303.
15. Mosha JF KM, Lukole E, Matowo NS, Pitt C, Messenger LA, Mallya E, Jumanne M, Aziz T, Kaaya R, Shirima BA, Isaya G, Taljaard M, Martin J, Hashim R, Thickstun C, Manjurano A, Kleinschmidt I, Mosha FW, Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness against malaria of three types of dual-active-ingredient long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) compared with pyrethroid-only LLINs in Tanzania: a four-arm, cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* 2022 Mar 26;399(10331):1227-1241 2022.
16. Gichuki PM, Kamau L, Njagi K, Karoki S, Muigai N, Matoke-Muhia D, et al. Bioefficacy and durability of Olyset((R)) Plus, a permethrin and piperonyl butoxide-treated insecticidal net in a 3-year long trial in Kenya. *Infect Dis Poverty.* 2021;10(1):135.
17. Frank Mechan1* AK, Violet Tuhaise2, MM, AO, IO, 2, KB, et al. LLIN Evaluation in Uganda Project (LLINEUP) – The durability of long-lasting insecticidal nets treated with and without piperonyl butoxide (PBO) in Uganda. 2022.

18. Lorenz LM, Bradley J, Yukich J, Massue DJ, Mageni Mboma Z, Pigeon O, et al. Comparative functional survival and equivalent annual cost of 3 long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN) products in Tanzania: A randomised trial with 3-year follow up. *PLoS Med*. 2020;17(9):e1003248.
19. Massue DJ, Moore SJ, Mageni ZD, Moore JD, Bradley J, Pigeon O, et al. Durability of Olyset campaign nets distributed between 2009 and 2011 in eight districts of Tanzania. *Malar J*. 2016;15:176.
20. Jacklin F, Mosha MAK, Louisa A, Messenger, Mark Rowland, Nancy Matowo, Catherine Pitt, Eliud Lukole, Monica Taljaard, Charles Thickstun, Alphaxard Manjurano, Franklin W. Mosha, Immo Kleinschmidt, Natacha Protopopoff. Protocol for a four parallel-arm, singleblind, cluster-randomised trial to assess the effectiveness of three types of dual active ingredient treated nets compared to pyrethroid-only long-lasting insecticidal nets to prevent malaria transmitted by pyrethroid insecticide-resistant vector mosquitoes in Tanzania. 2021.
21. NBS. 2012 population and housing census: population distribution by administrative areas. Dar-es-Salaam: National Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Finance & Office of Chief Government Statistician; 2013.
22. WHOPEs. Report of the 16th working WHOPEs group meeting: Review of: pirimiphos-methyl 300 cs, chlorfenapyr 240 sc, deltamethrin 62.5 sc-pe, duranet LN, netprotect In, yahe LN, spinosad 83.3 monolayer DT, spinosad 25 extended release GR. WHO/HQ, GENEVA: 22–30 JULY 2013; 2013. Contract No.: WHO/HTM/NTD/WHOPEs/2013.6.
23. WHO. WHO specifications and evaluations for public health pesticides: permethrin (40:60 cis:trans isomer ratio) + piperonyl butoxide long-lasting (incorporated into filaments) insecticidal net. 2013 May 2013.
24. WHO_CDS_WHOPEs. Report of the Fifth WHOPEs working group meeting. WHO/HQ, Geneva; 2001.
25. Lorenz LM, Overgaard HJ, Massue DJ, Mageni ZD, Bradley J, Moore JD, et al. Investigating mosquito net durability for malaria control in Tanzania - attrition, bioefficacy, chemistry, degradation and insecticide resistance (ABC DR): study protocol. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:1266.
26. WHO-GMP. Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector mosquitoes: Second edition. 2016.
27. WHO/WHOPEs. Guidelines for laboratory and field-testing of long-lasting insecticidal nets: Control of neglected tropical diseases WHO pesticide evaluation scheme. 2013.
28. Kilian A, Byamukama W, Pigeon O, Atieli F, Duchon S, Phan C. Long-term field performance of a polyester-based long-lasting insecticidal mosquito net in rural Uganda. *Malar J*. 2008;7:49.
29. Kilian A, Byamukama W, Pigeon O, Gimnig J, Atieli F, Koekemoer L, et al. Evidence for a useful life of more than three years for a polyester-based long-lasting insecticidal mosquito net in Western Uganda. *Malar J*. 2011;10:299.
30. Koenker H, Kilian A, Zegers de Beyl C, Onyefunafoa EO, Selby RA, Abeku T, et al. What happens to lost nets: a multi-country analysis of reasons for LLIN attrition using 14 household surveys in four countries. *Malar J*. 2014;13:464.
31. Martin JL, Mosha FW, Lukole E, Rowland M, Todd J, Charlwood JD, et al. Personal protection with PBO-pyrethroid synergist-treated nets after 2 years of household use against pyrethroid-resistant *Anopheles* in Tanzania. *Parasit Vectors*. 2021;14(1):150.
32. Bhatt S, Weiss DJ, Mappin B, Dalrymple U, Cameron E, Bisanzio D, et al. Coverage and system efficiencies of insecticide-treated nets in Africa from 2000 to 2017. *Elife*. 2015;4.
33. Abilio AP, Obi E, Koenker H, Babalola S, Saifodine A, Zulliger R, et al. Monitoring the durability of the long-lasting insecticidal nets MAGNet and Royal Sentry in three ecological zones of Mozambique. *Malar J*. 2020;19(1):209.
34. Mejia P, Teklehaimanot HD, Tesfaye Y, Teklehaimanot A. Physical condition of Olyset(R) nets after five years of utilization in rural western Kenya. *Malar J*. 2013;12:158.
35. Kilian A, Koenker H, Obi E, Selby RA, Fotheringham M, Lynch M. Field durability of the same type of long-lasting insecticidal net varies between regions in Nigeria due to differences in household behaviour and living conditions. *Malar J*. 2015;14:123.
36. Helinski MH, Namara G, Koenker H, Kilian A, Hunter G, Acosta A, et al. Impact of a behaviour change communication programme on net durability in eastern Uganda. *Malar J*. 2015;14:366.

37. Koenker H, Kilian A, Hunter G, Acosta A, Scandurra L, Fagbemi B, et al. Impact of a behaviour change intervention on long-lasting insecticidal net care and repair behaviour and net condition in Nasarawa State, Nigeria. *Malar J.* 2015;14:18.
38. Zewde A, Irish S, Woyessa A, Wuletaw Y, Nahusenay H, Abdelmenan S, et al. Knowledge and perception towards net care and repair practice in Ethiopia. *Malar J.* 2017;16(1):396.
39. Santos EM, McClelland DJ, Shelly CE, Hansen L, Jacobs ET, Klimentidis YC, et al. Malaria education interventions addressing bed net care and repair practices: a systematic review. *Pathog Glob Health.* 2020;114(1):2-15.
40. Ochomo EO, Bayoh NM, Walker ED, Abongo BO, Ombok MO, Ouma C, et al. The efficacy of long-lasting nets with declining physical integrity may be compromised in areas with high levels of pyrethroid resistance. *Malar J.* 2013;12:368.
41. Minta AA, Landman KZ, Mwandama DA, Shah MP, Eng JLV, Sutcliffe JF, et al. The effect of holes in long-lasting insecticidal nets on malaria in Malawi: results from a case-control study. *Malar J.* 2017;16(1):394.
42. Sherrard-Smith E, Winskill P, Hamlet A, Ngufor C, N'Guessan R, Guelbeogo MW, et al. Optimising the deployment of vector control tools against malaria: a data-informed modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health.* 2022;6(2):e100-e9.
43. Tiono AB, Ouedraogo A, Ouattara D, Bougouma EC, Coulibaly S, Diarra A, et al. Efficacy of Olyset Duo, a bednet containing pyriproxyfen and permethrin, versus a permethrin-only net against clinical malaria in an area with highly pyrethroid-resistant vectors in rural Burkina Faso: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2018;392(10147):569-80.


Survey adopted	Cross-sectional survey				Longitudinal survey			
Clusters involved	48 clusters				4 clusters			
Clusters per arm	12: Olyset net (reference)	12: Olyset net + IRS	12: Olyset Plus	12: Olyset Plus +IRS	1: Olyset net (reference)	1: Olyset net + IRS	1: Olyset Plus	1: Olyset Plus +IRS
LLIN distribution: 6th - 8th February 2015								
HH selected consented/ visited	4m: 112/143 9m: 77/121 16m: 77/120 21m: 86/119 28m: 97/127 33m: 90/141	4m: 101/132 9m: 81/120 16m: 81/117 21m: 83/125 28m: 84/131 33m: 89/137	4m: 57/93 9m: 81/117 16m: 81/117 21m: 85/124 28m: 72/123 33m: 76/114	4m: 145/189 9m: 72/115 16m: 82/124 21m: 95/141 28m: 93/137 33m: 84/130	0m: 101/101 12m: 92/101 24m: 88/100 36m: 81/102	0m: 94/94 12m: 88/95 24m: 84/94 36m: 78/91	0m: 100/100 12m: 90/100 24m: 89/100 36m: 64/80	0m: 101/102 12m: 81/98 24m: 75/91 36m: 89/101
Number of children tested for malaria infection by mRDT	4m: 252 9m: 170 16m: 186 21m: 211 28m: 222 33m: 203	4m: 235 9m: 186 16m: 194 21m: 185 28m: 199 33m: 202	4m: 137 9m: 197 16m: 198 21m: 199 28m: 159 33m: 184	4m: 324 9m: 179 16m: 182 21m: 223 28m: 210 33m: 200	Not done	Not done	Not done	Not done
3 study nets used in a previous week were randomly selected per household				All cohort nets still present in the household were assessed				
Study LLIN selected for fabric integrity /net available	4m: 162/186 9m: 99/118 16m: 73/93 21m: 84/94 28m: 96/107 33m: 34/40	4m: 135/149 9m: 130/142 16m: 73/83 21m: 62/64 28m: 63/75 33m: 31/35	4m: 89/102 9m: 114/148 16m: 69/82 21m: 89/92 28m: 52/64 33m: 44/50	4m: 194/229 9m: 115/134 16m: 85/98 21m: 76/85 28m: 72/81 33m: 34/36	0m: 186/186 12m: 153/153 24m: 86/86 36m: 37/37	0m: 174/174 12m: 143/143 24m: 85/85 36m: 42/42	0m: 190/190 12m: 160/160 24m: 99/99 36m: 48/48	0m: 207/207 12m: 134/134 24m: 69/69 36m: 41/41
Number of LLIN sampled chemical content analysis	21m: 5	21m: 5	21m: 5	21m: 5	0m: 5 12m: 5 24m: 5 36m: 10	0m: 5 12m: 5 24m: 5 36m: 10	0m: 5 12m: 5 24m: 5 36m: 10	0m: 5 12m: 5 24m: 5 36m: 10

m=months

IRS=Indoor residual spraying

LLIN=Long lasting insecticidal net

mRDT=malaria rapid diagnostic test



Longitudinal data nets to assess chemical content were collected at 0, 12, 24 and 36 months post net distribution.

Cross-sectional nets were collected once at 21 months post net distribution