## SQUIRE (Standards for Quality Improvement Reporting Excellence) Checklist

Final revision - 4-29-08

- These guidelines provide a framework for reporting formal, planned studies designed to assess the nature and effectiveness of interventions to improve the quality and safety of care.
- It may not be possible to include information about every numbered guideline item in reports of original formal studies, but authors should at least consider every item in writing their reports.
- Although each major section (i.e., Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) of a published original study generally contains some information about the numbered items within that section, information about items from one section (for example, the Introduction) is often also needed in other sections (for example, the Discussion).

Text Section; Item Number	Section or Item Description	Reported	Reported on
and Name		(Yes/No)	Page No.
Title and Abstract	Did you provide clear and accurate information for finding,		
	indexing, and scanning your paper?		
1. Title	a. Indicates the article concerns the improvement of		
	quality (broadly defined to include the safety,		
	effectiveness, patient-centeredness, timeliness, efficiency,		
	and equity of care)		
	b. States the specific aim of the intervention		
	c. Specifies the study method used (for example, "A		
	qualitative study," or "A randomized cluster trial")		
2. Abstract	Summarizes precisely all key information from various		
	sections of the text using the abstract format of the		
	intended publication		
Introduction	Why did you start?		
3. Background	Provides a brief, non-selective summary of current		
Knowledge	knowledge of the care problem being addressed, and		
	characteristics of organizations in which it occurs		
4. Local problem	Describes the nature and severity of the specific local		
	problem or system dysfunction that was addressed		
5. Intended	a. Describes the specific aim (changes/improvements in		
improvement	care processes and patient outcomes) of the proposed		
	intervention		
	b. Specifies who (champions, supporters) and what		
	(events, observations) triggered the decision to make		
	changes, and why now (timing)		
6. Study question	States precisely the primary improvement-related question		
	and any secondary questions that the study of the		
	intervention was designed to answer		
Methods	What did you do?		
7. Ethical issues	Describes ethical aspects of implementing and studying the		
	improvement, such as privacy concerns, protection of		
	participants' physical well-being, and potential author		
	conflicts of interest, and how ethical concerns were		
	addressed		
8. Setting	Specifies how elements of the environment considered		
	most likely to influence change/improvement in the		
	involved site or sites were identified and characterized		

Text Section; Item Number and Name	Section or Item Description	Reported (Yes/No)	Reported on Page No.
9. Planning the	a. Describes the intervention and its component parts in		
intervention	sufficient detail that others could reproduce it		
	b. Indicates main factors that contributed to choice of the		
	specific intervention (for example, analysis of causes of		
	dysfunction; matching relevant improvement experience		
	of others with the local situation)		
	c. Outlines initial plans for how the intervention was to be		
	implemented: e.g., what was to be done (initial steps;		
	functions to be accomplished by those steps; how tests of		
	change would be used to modify intervention), and by		
	whom (intended roles, qualifications, and training of staff)		
10. Planning the study of	a. Outlines plans for assessing how well the intervention		
the intervention	was implemented (dose or intensity of exposure)		
	b. Describes mechanisms by which intervention		
	components were expected to cause changes, and plans		
	for testing whether those mechanisms were effective		
	c. Identifies the study design (for example, observational,		
	quasi-experimental, experimental) chosen for measuring		
	impact of the intervention on primary and secondary		
	outcomes, if applicable		
	d. Explains plans for implementing essential aspects of the		
	chosen study design, as described in publication guidelines		
	for specific designs, if applicable (see, for example,		
	http://www.equator-network.org)		
	e. Describes aspects of the study design that specifically		
	concerned internal validity (integrity of the data) and		
	external validity (generalizability)		
11. Methods of evaluation	a. Describes instruments and procedures (qualitative,		
	quantitative, or mixed) used to assess a) the effectiveness		
	of implementation, b) the contributions of intervention		
	components and context factors to effectiveness of the		
	intervention, and c) primary and secondary outcomes		
	b. Reports efforts to validate and test reliability of (11.b.		
	cont.) assessment instruments		
	c. Explains methods used to assure data quality and		
	adequacy (for example, blinding; repeating measurements		
	and data extraction; training in data collection; collection		
	of sufficient baseline measurements)		
12. Analysis	a. Provides details of qualitative and quantitative		
	(statistical) methods used to draw inferences from the		
	data		
	b. Aligns unit of analysis with level at which the		
	intervention was implemented, if applicable		
	c. Specifies degree of variability expected in		
	implementation, change expected in primary outcome		
	(effect size), and ability of study design (including size) to		
	detect such effects		

Text Section; Item Number and Name	Section or Item Description	Reported (Yes/No)	Reported on Page No.
	d. Describes analytic methods used to demonstrate effects		
	of time as a variable (for example, statistical process		
	control)		
Results	What did you find?		
13. Outcomes			
13a. Nature of setting and	i) Characterizes relevant elements of setting or settings (for		
improvement intervention	example, geography, physical resources, organizational		
	culture, history of change efforts), and structures and		
	patterns of care (for example, staffing, leadership) that		
	provided context for the intervention		
	ii) Explains the actual course of the intervention (for		
	example, sequence of steps, events or phases; type and		
	number of participants at key points), preferably using a		
	time-line diagram or flow chart		
	iii) Documents degree of success in implementing		
	intervention components		
	iv) Describes how and why the initial plan evolved, and the		
	most important lessons learned from that evolution,		
	particularly the effects of internal feedback from tests of		
	change (reflexiveness)		
13b. Changes in processes	i) Presents data on changes observed in the care delivery		
of care and patient	process		
outcomes associated with			
the intervention			
	ii) Presents data on changes observed in measures of		
	patient outcome (for example, morbidity, mortality,		
	function, patient/staff satisfaction, service utilization, cost,		
	care disparities)		
	iii) Considers benefits, harms, unexpected results,		
	problems, failures		
	iv) Presents evidence regarding the strength of association		
	between observed changes/improvements and		
	intervention components/context factors		
	v) Includes summary of missing data for intervention and		
	outcomes		
Discussion	What do the findings mean?		
14. Summary	a) Summarizes the most important successes and		
	difficulties in implementing intervention components, and		
	main changes observed in care delivery and clinical		
	outcomes		
	b) Highlights the study's particular strength		
15. Relation to other	Compares and contrasts study results with relevant		
evidence	findings of others, drawing on broad review of the		
	literature; use of a summary table may be helpful in		
	building on existing evidence		
16. Limitations	a. Considers possible sources of confounding, bias, or		
	imprecision in design, measurement, and analysis that		
	might have affected study outcomes (internal validity)		

Text Section; Item Number	Section or Item Description	Reported	Reported on
and Name		(Yes/No)	Page No.
	b. Explores factors that could affect generalizability		
	(external validity), for example: representativeness of		
	participants; effectiveness of implementation; dose-		
	response effects; features of local care setting		
	c. Addresses likelihood that observed gains may weaken		
	over time, and describes plans, if any, for monitoring and		
	maintaining improvement; explicitly states if such planning		
	was not done		
	d. Reviews efforts made to minimize and adjust for study		
	limitations		
	e. Assesses the effect of study limitations on interpretation		
	and application of results		
17. Interpretation	a. Explores possible reasons for differences between		
	observed and expected outcomes		
	b. Draws inferences consistent with the strength of the		
	data about causal mechanisms and size of observed		
	changes, paying particular attention to components of the		
	intervention and context factors that helped determine the		
	intervention's effectiveness (or lack thereof), and types of		
	settings in which this intervention is most likely to be		
	effective		
	c. Suggests steps that might be modified to improve future		
	performance		
	d. Reviews issues of opportunity cost and actual financial		
	cost of the intervention		
18. Conclusions	a. Considers overall practical usefulness of the intervention		
	b. Suggests implications of this report for further		
	(18.b. cont.) studies of improvement interventions		
Other Information	Were other factors relevant to conduct and interpretation		
	of the study?		
19. Funding	Describes funding sources, if any, and role of funding		
	organization in design, implementation, interpretation,		
	and publication of study		

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.