

Table 1: CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a cluster randomised trial

Section/Topic	Item No	Standard Checklist item	Extension for cluster designs	Page No/ Section *
Title and abstract				
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	Identification as a cluster randomised trial in the title	Title (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)) ; Title (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts) ^[i] ^[ii]	See table 2	Abstract (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)) Abstract (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Introduction				
Background and objectives	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	Rationale for using a cluster design	Methods, Participants (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.) as cluster design was performed in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)
	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	Whether objectives pertain to the the cluster level, the individual participant level or both	Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)), Introduction (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Methods				
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	Definition of cluster and description of how the design features apply to the clusters	Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)), Methods, Participants (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons		N/A
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	Eligibility criteria for clusters	Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Methods, Participants (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected		Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Methods, Study design (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
		The interventions for each group with sufficient details to	Whether interventions pertain to the	Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021));

Interventions	5	allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	cluster level, the individual participant level or both	Methods, Intervention delivery (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	Whether outcome measures pertain to the cluster level, the individual participant level or both	Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Methods - outcomes (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons		Methods - outcomes (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.) as any changes were reported in Pickering et al. (2019)
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	Method of calculation, number of clusters(s) (and whether equal or unequal cluster sizes are assumed), cluster size, a coefficient of intracluster correlation (ICC or k), and an indication of its uncertainty	Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Methods – statistical analysis (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines		Procedures (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.)
Randomisation:				
Sequence generation	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence		Methods, Randomisation (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.) as random allocation was performed in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)
	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	Details of stratification or matching if used	Methods, Randomisation (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.) as randomisation was performed in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)
Allocation concealment mechanism	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	Specification that allocation was based on clusters rather than individuals and whether allocation concealment (if any) was at the cluster level, the individual participant level or both	Methods, Randomisation (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.) as random allocation was performed in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)

Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	Replace by 10a, 10b and 10c	Methods, Randomisation (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Monteleagre et al.) as random allocation was performed in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)
	10a		Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled clusters, and who assigned clusters to interventions	Methods, Randomisation (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Monteleagre et al.) as random allocation was performed in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)
	10b		Mechanism by which individual participants were included in clusters for the purposes of the trial (such as complete enumeration, random sampling)	Methods, Randomisation (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Monteleagre et al.) as random allocation and enrollment were performed in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)
	10c		From whom consent was sought (representatives of the cluster, or individual cluster members, or both), and whether consent was sought before or after randomisation	Methods, Study design (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Monteleagre et al.) as consent was sought in the scope of Pickering, et al. (2019)
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how		Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Monteleagre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Methods, intervention delivery and masking (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions		Methods, intervention delivery and masking (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Monteleagre et al.)
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	How clustering was taken into account	Methods, Statistical analyses (Monteleagre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Methods – statistical analysis (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
		Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses		Methods, Statistical analyses (Monteleagre, Maria C., et al. (2021));

	12b	and adjusted analyses		Methods – statistical analysis (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Results				
Participant flow (a diagram is strongly recommended)	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome	For each group, the numbers of clusters that were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome	Methods and Results (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Figure 1 (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	For each group, losses and exclusions for both clusters and individual cluster members	Methods, Study design and fecal samples (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Figure 1 (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up		Results (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.), as recruitment and follow-up were conducted in Pickering et al. (2019).
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped		Results and Discussion (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.), as trial was ended in scope of Pickering et al. (2019).
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	Baseline characteristics for the individual and cluster levels as applicable for each group	Table 1 (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Table 1 (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups	For each group, number of clusters included in each analysis	Results (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Figure 1 (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Outcomes and estimation	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	Results at the individual or cluster level as applicable and a coefficient of intracluster correlation (ICC or k) for each primary outcome	Results (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Figure 1, Table 3 (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended		Results (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Table 3 (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory		Results (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)); Results, Table 2, Table 3 (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms[iii])		Results (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study, as focused on secondary sample analysis (Montealegre et al.)

Discussion			
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	Discussion (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)) Discussion (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	Generalisability to clusters and/or individual participants (as relevant) Discussion (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)) Discussion (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	Discussion (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)) Discussion (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))
Other information			
Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	Methods (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.)
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	Published on Open Science Framework, link in Methods (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019)) Not updated for this study (Montealegre et al.)
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	Summary, Funding (Montealegre, Maria C., et al. (2021)) Abstract, Role of Funder section (Pickering, Amy J., et al. (2019))

[1] Relevant to Conference Abstracts

REFERENCES

- [i] Hopewell S, Clarke M, Moher D, Wager E, Middleton P, Altman DG, et al. CONSORT for reporting randomised trials in journal and conference abstracts. *Lancet* 2008, 371:281-283
- [ii] Hopewell S, Clarke M, Moher D, Wager E, Middleton P, Altman DG at al (2008) CONSORT for reporting randomized controlled trials in journal and conference abstracts: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med* 5(1): e20
- [iii] Ioannidis JP, Evans SJ, Gotzsche PC, O'Neill RT, Altman DG, Schulz K, Moher D. Better reporting of harms in randomized trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 141(10):781-788.