**Supplementary Material**

1. **Minnesota Nicotine Withdrawal Symptoms (MNWS)**

No meaningful differences between active and sham groups were noted in MNWS scores at session 1 (mean ± SD in sham group: 14.3 ± 8.7 vs. active group: 15.3 ± 6.4, p=0.87) or at session 5 (sham: 13.0 ± 6.3 vs. active: 10 ± 11.3, p=0.65), nor were there differences between groups in the change in MNWS scores (sham: -1.3 ± 4.6 vs. active: -5.3 ± 10.5, p=0.51).

1. **Mixed-Models for Repeated Measures Analysis**

**Note: The original data is available upon request from the corresponding author**.

Self-reported Cigarette Consumption

Note: The “CPDdata2” dataset is in long format, with a baseline measure and measurement during each of 5 rTMS sessions.

SAS programming code:

/\* full model \*/

proc mixed data= CPDdata2;

class subject\_ visit\_num TrGroup;

model CigarettePerday = TrGroup visit\_num TrGroup\*visit\_num CigarettePerday\_bl / solution;

repeated / subject=subject\_ type=ar(1) rcorr;

run;

/\* null model - for aid in calculation of f squared statistic \*/

proc mixed data= CPDdata2;

class subject\_ visit\_num TrGroup;

model CigarettePerday = visit\_num CigarettePerday\_bl;

repeated / subject=subject\_ type=ar(1) rcorr;

run;

Output from model estimation for the “full model”:

The Mixed Procedure

Model Information

Data Set WORK.CPDDATA2

Dependent Variable CigarettePerday

Covariance Structure Autoregressive

Subject Effect Subject\_

Estimation Method REML

Residual Variance Method Profile

Fixed Effects SE Method Model-Based

Degrees of Freedom Method Between-Within

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

Subject\_ 7 10002 10003 10004 10005 20001

20002 20003

visit\_num 6 0 1 2 3 4 5

TrGroup 2 X Y

Dimensions

Covariance Parameters 2

Columns in X 22

Columns in Z 0

Subjects 7

Max Obs per Subject 6

Number of Observations

Number of Observations Read 42

Number of Observations Used 42

Number of Observations Not Used 0

Iteration History

Iteration Evaluations -2 Res Log Like Criterion

0 1 181.14762353

1 2 173.52687218 0.00000180

2 1 173.52676361 0.00000000

Convergence criteria met.

The Mixed Procedure

Estimated R Correlation Matrix for Subject\_ 10002

Row Col1 Col2 Col3 Col4 Col5 Col6

1 1.0000 0.4989 0.2489 0.1242 0.06196 0.03091

2 0.4989 1.0000 0.4989 0.2489 0.1242 0.06196

3 0.2489 0.4989 1.0000 0.4989 0.2489 0.1242

4 0.1242 0.2489 0.4989 1.0000 0.4989 0.2489

5 0.06196 0.1242 0.2489 0.4989 1.0000 0.4989

6 0.03091 0.06196 0.1242 0.2489 0.4989 1.0000

Covariance Parameter Estimates

Cov Parm Subject Estimate

AR(1) Subject\_ 0.4989

Residual 14.0068

Fit Statistics

-2 Res Log Likelihood 173.5

AIC (Smaller is Better) 177.5

AICC (Smaller is Better) 178.0

BIC (Smaller is Better) 177.4

Null Model Likelihood Ratio Test

DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq

1 7.62 0.0058

Solution for Fixed Effects

Standard

Effect X = sham, Y = active visit\_num Estimate Error DF t Value

Intercept -2.6318 2.9386 4 -0.90

TrGroup X 4.4652 2.9178 4 1.53

Solution for Fixed Effects

Effect X = sham, Y = active visit\_num Pr > |t|

Intercept 0.4211

TrGroup X 0.2007

The Mixed Procedure

Solution for Fixed Effects

Standard

Effect X = sham, Y = active visit\_num Estimate Error DF t Value

TrGroup Y 0 . . .

visit\_num 0 7.0000 3.0082 25 2.33

visit\_num 1 6.3333 2.9596 25 2.14

visit\_num 2 -0.3333 2.8598 25 -0.12

visit\_num 3 -0.6667 2.6483 25 -0.25

visit\_num 4 0.6667 2.1631 25 0.31

visit\_num 5 0 . . .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 0 -5.7500 3.9795 25 -1.44

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 0 0 . . .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 1 -5.8333 3.9152 25 -1.49

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 1 0 . . .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 2 1.5833 3.7831 25 0.42

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 2 0 . . .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 3 0.6667 3.5034 25 0.19

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 3 0 . . .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 4 -0.4167 2.8615 25 -0.15

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 4 0 . . .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 5 0 . . .

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 5 0 . . .

CigarettePerday\_bl 0.7430 0.1172 4 6.34

Solution for Fixed Effects

Effect X = sham, Y = active visit\_num Pr > |t|

TrGroup Y .

visit\_num 0 0.0284

visit\_num 1 0.0423

visit\_num 2 0.9081

visit\_num 3 0.8033

visit\_num 4 0.7605

visit\_num 5 .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 0 0.1609

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 0 .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 1 0.1488

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 1 .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 2 0.6791

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 2 .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 3 0.8506

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 3 .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 4 0.8854

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 4 .

visit\_num\*TrGroup X 5 .

visit\_num\*TrGroup Y 5 .

CigarettePerday\_bl 0.0032

The Mixed Procedure

Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects

Num Den

Effect DF DF F Value Pr > F

TrGroup 1 4 2.29 0.2051

visit\_num 5 25 1.60 0.1980

visit\_num\*TrGroup 5 25 1.53 0.2168

CigarettePerday\_bl 1 4 40.23 0.0032

Output from model estimation for the “null model”:

The Mixed Procedure

Model Information

Data Set WORK.CPDDATA2

Dependent Variable CigarettePerday

Covariance Structure Autoregressive

Subject Effect Subject\_

Estimation Method REML

Residual Variance Method Profile

Fixed Effects SE Method Model-Based

Degrees of Freedom Method Between-Within

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

Subject\_ 7 10002 10003 10004 10005 20001

20002 20003

visit\_num 6 0 1 2 3 4 5

TrGroup 2 X Y

Dimensions

Covariance Parameters 2

Columns in X 8

Columns in Z 0

Subjects 7

Max Obs per Subject 6

Number of Observations

Number of Observations Read 42

Number of Observations Used 42

Number of Observations Not Used 0

Iteration History

Iteration Evaluations -2 Res Log Like Criterion

0 1 216.06796912

1 2 205.11045832 0.00000263

2 1 205.11027324 0.00000000

Convergence criteria met.

The Mixed Procedure

Estimated R Correlation Matrix for Subject\_ 10002

Row Col1 Col2 Col3 Col4 Col5 Col6

1 1.0000 0.5394 0.2910 0.1570 0.08468 0.04568

2 0.5394 1.0000 0.5394 0.2910 0.1570 0.08468

3 0.2910 0.5394 1.0000 0.5394 0.2910 0.1570

4 0.1570 0.2910 0.5394 1.0000 0.5394 0.2910

5 0.08468 0.1570 0.2910 0.5394 1.0000 0.5394

6 0.04568 0.08468 0.1570 0.2910 0.5394 1.0000

Covariance Parameter Estimates

Cov Parm Subject Estimate

AR(1) Subject\_ 0.5394

Residual 16.1927

Fit Statistics

-2 Res Log Likelihood 205.1

AIC (Smaller is Better) 209.1

AICC (Smaller is Better) 209.5

BIC (Smaller is Better) 209.0

Null Model Likelihood Ratio Test

DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq

1 10.96 0.0009

Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects

Num Den

Effect DF DF F Value Pr > F

visit\_num 5 30 1.11 0.3769

CigarettePerday\_bl 1 5 31.69 0.0025

Questionnaire of Smoking Urges-Brief (QSU-B)

Note: The “QSUdata2” dataset is in long format, with a baseline measure and 2 measurements during each of 5 rTMS sessions (1 pre-session and 1 post-session).

/\* full model – the AIC from this model was 408.3, indicating that this model with a compound symmetry error structure provided a better fit than the model with an AR(1) structure, which had an AIC of 416.4 \*/

proc mixed data=QSUdata2;

class subjectID visitNumber TrGroup prepost;

model qsu\_score = TrGroup visitNumber TrGroup\*visitNumber prepost TrGroup\*prepost visitNumber\*prepost TrGroup\*visitNumber\*prepost qsu\_baseline;

repeated / subject=subjectID type=cs rcorr;

run;

/\* null model - for aid in calculation of f squared statistic \*/

proc mixed data=QSUdata2;

class subjectID visitNumber TrGroup prepost;

model qsu\_score = visitNumber prepost qsu\_baseline;

repeated / subject=subjectID type=cs rcorr;

run;

Output from model estimation for the “full model”:

The Mixed Procedure

Model Information

Data Set WORK.QSUDATA2

Dependent Variable qsu\_score

Covariance Structure Compound Symmetry

Subject Effect subjectID

Estimation Method REML

Residual Variance Method Profile

Fixed Effects SE Method Model-Based

Degrees of Freedom Method Between-Within

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

subjectID 7 10002 10003 10004 10005 20001

20002 20003

visitNumber 5 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00

TrGroup 2 X Y

PrePost 2 POST PRE

Dimensions

Covariance Parameters 2

Columns in X 55

Columns in Z 0

Subjects 7

Max Obs per Subject 10

Number of Observations

Number of Observations Read 70

Number of Observations Used 70

Number of Observations Not Used 0

Iteration History

Iteration Evaluations -2 Res Log Like Criterion

0 1 422.20410376

1 1 404.34306758 0.00000000

Convergence criteria met.

Estimated R Correlation Matrix for subjectID 10002

Row Col1 Col2 Col3 Col4 Col5 Col6 Col7 Col8 Col9

1 1.0000 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749

2 0.4749 1.0000 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749

3 0.4749 0.4749 1.0000 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749

4 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 1.0000 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749

5 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 1.0000 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749

6 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 1.0000 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749

7 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 1.0000 0.4749 0.4749

8 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 1.0000 0.4749

9 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 1.0000

10 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749 0.4749

Estimated R

Correlation

Matrix for

subjectID

10002

Row Col10

1 0.4749

2 0.4749

3 0.4749

4 0.4749

5 0.4749

6 0.4749

7 0.4749

8 0.4749

9 0.4749

10 1.0000

Covariance Parameter Estimates

Cov Parm Subject Estimate

CS subjectID 80.6655

Residual 89.2085

Fit Statistics

-2 Res Log Likelihood 404.3

AIC (Smaller is Better) 408.3

AICC (Smaller is Better) 408.6

BIC (Smaller is Better) 408.2

Null Model Likelihood Ratio Test

DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq

1 17.86 <.0001

Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects

Num Den

Effect DF DF F Value Pr > F

TrGroup 1 4 0.01 0.9382

visitNumber 4 20 3.99 0.0154

visitNumber\*TrGroup 4 20 6.96 0.0011

PrePost 1 5 0.39 0.5601

TrGroup\*PrePost 1 5 2.44 0.1791

visitNumber\*PrePost 4 20 0.88 0.4910

visitN\*TrGrou\*PrePos 4 20 0.80 0.5379

qsu\_baseline 1 4 61.62 0.0014

Output from model estimation for the “null model”:

The Mixed Procedure

Model Information

Data Set WORK.QSUDATA2

Dependent Variable qsu\_score

Covariance Structure Compound Symmetry

Subject Effect subjectID

Estimation Method REML

Residual Variance Method Profile

Fixed Effects SE Method Model-Based

Degrees of Freedom Method Between-Within

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

subjectID 7 10002 10003 10004 10005 20001

20002 20003

visitNumber 5 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00

TrGroup 2 X Y

PrePost 2 POST PRE

Dimensions

Covariance Parameters 2

Columns in X 9

Columns in Z 0

Subjects 7

Max Obs per Subject 10

Number of Observations

Number of Observations Read 70

Number of Observations Used 70

Number of Observations Not Used 0

Iteration History

Iteration Evaluations -2 Res Log Like Criterion

0 1 530.41496981

1 1 519.05777415 0.00000000

Convergence criteria met.

Estimated R Correlation Matrix for subjectID 10002

Row Col1 Col2 Col3 Col4 Col5 Col6 Col7 Col8 Col9

1 1.0000 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212

2 0.3212 1.0000 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212

3 0.3212 0.3212 1.0000 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212

4 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 1.0000 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212

5 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 1.0000 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212

6 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 1.0000 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212

7 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 1.0000 0.3212 0.3212

8 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 1.0000 0.3212

9 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 1.0000

10 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212 0.3212

Estimated R

Correlation

Matrix for

subjectID

10002

Row Col10

1 0.3212

2 0.3212

3 0.3212

4 0.3212

5 0.3212

6 0.3212

7 0.3212

8 0.3212

9 0.3212

10 1.0000

Covariance Parameter Estimates

Cov Parm Subject Estimate

CS subjectID 59.2661

Residual 125.25

Fit Statistics

-2 Res Log Likelihood 519.1

AIC (Smaller is Better) 523.1

AICC (Smaller is Better) 523.3

BIC (Smaller is Better) 522.9

Null Model Likelihood Ratio Test

DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq

1 11.36 0.0008

Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects

Num Den

Effect DF DF F Value Pr > F

visitNumber 4 24 2.02 0.1243

PrePost 1 6 0.52 0.4974

qsu\_baseline 1 5 78.78 0.0003

Carbon Monoxide Levels

Note: The “CO2” dataset is in long format, with a carbon monoxide measurement during each of 5 rTMS sessions.

SAS programming code:

/\* full model – note that the model using an AR(1) covariance structure did not converge and thus the compound symmetry structure was used. \*/

proc mixed data=co2;

class subjectidco visit\_num TrGroupco ;

model co = TrGroupco visit\_num TrGroupco\*visit\_num co\_bl;

repeated int / subject=subjectidco type=cs rcorr;

run;

/\* null model - for aid in calculation of f squared statistic \*/

proc mixed data=co2 ;

class subjectidco visit\_num ;

model co = visit\_num co\_bl;

repeated int / subject=subjectidco type=cs r;

run;

Output from model estimation for the “full model”:

The Mixed Procedure

Model Information

Data Set WORK.CO2

Dependent Variable CO

Covariance Structure Compound Symmetry

Subject Effect subjectIDCO

Estimation Method REML

Residual Variance Method Profile

Fixed Effects SE Method Model-Based

Degrees of Freedom Method Between-Within

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

subjectIDCO 7 10002 10003 10004 10005 20001

20002 20003

visit\_num 5 TMS1 TMS2 TMS3 TMS4 TMS5

TrGroupCO 2 active sham

Dimensions

Covariance Parameters 2

Columns in X 19

Columns in Z 0

Subjects 7

Max Obs per Subject 5

Number of Observations

Number of Observations Read 35

Number of Observations Used 35

Number of Observations Not Used 0

Iteration History

Iteration Evaluations -2 Res Log Like Criterion

0 1 137.66424417

1 1 136.37047204 0.00000000

Convergence criteria met.

Estimated R Correlation Matrix for subjectIDCO 10002

Row Col1 Col2 Col3 Col4 Col5

1 1.0000 0.1996 0.1996 0.1996 0.1996

2 0.1996 1.0000 0.1996 0.1996 0.1996

3 0.1996 0.1996 1.0000 0.1996 0.1996

4 0.1996 0.1996 0.1996 1.0000 0.1996

5 0.1996 0.1996 0.1996 0.1996 1.0000

Covariance Parameter Estimates

Cov Parm Subject Estimate

CS subjectIDCO 1.6533

Residual 6.6283

Fit Statistics

-2 Res Log Likelihood 136.4

AIC (Smaller is Better) 140.4

AICC (Smaller is Better) 140.9

BIC (Smaller is Better) 140.3

Null Model Likelihood Ratio Test

DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq

1 1.29 0.2554

Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects

Num Den

Effect DF DF F Value Pr > F

TrGroupCO 1 4 0.27 0.6300

visit\_num 4 20 1.04 0.4128

visit\_num\*TrGroupCO 4 20 1.14 0.3680

co\_bl 1 4 111.07 0.0005

Output from model estimation for the “null model”:
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The Mixed Procedure

Model Information

Data Set WORK.CO2

Dependent Variable CO

Covariance Structure Compound Symmetry

Subject Effect subjectIDCO

Estimation Method REML

Residual Variance Method Profile

Fixed Effects SE Method Model-Based

Degrees of Freedom Method Between-Within

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

subjectIDCO 7 10002 10003 10004 10005 20001

20002 20003

visit\_num 5 TMS1 TMS2 TMS3 TMS4 TMS5

Dimensions

Covariance Parameters 2

Columns in X 7

Columns in Z 0

Subjects 7

Max Obs per Subject 5

Number of Observations

Number of Observations Read 35

Number of Observations Used 35

Number of Observations Not Used 0

Iteration History

Iteration Evaluations -2 Res Log Like Criterion

0 1 158.93382303

1 1 157.99852770 0.00000000

Convergence criteria met.

Estimated R Correlation Matrix for subjectIDCO 10002

Row Col1 Col2 Col3 Col4 Col5

1 1.0000 0.1492 0.1492 0.1492 0.1492

2 0.1492 1.0000 0.1492 0.1492 0.1492

3 0.1492 0.1492 1.0000 0.1492 0.1492

4 0.1492 0.1492 0.1492 1.0000 0.1492

5 0.1492 0.1492 0.1492 0.1492 1.0000

Covariance Parameter Estimates

Cov Parm Subject Estimate

CS subjectIDCO 1.1891

Residual 6.7786

Fit Statistics

-2 Res Log Likelihood 158.0

AIC (Smaller is Better) 162.0

AICC (Smaller is Better) 162.5

BIC (Smaller is Better) 161.9

Null Model Likelihood Ratio Test

DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq

1 0.94 0.3335

Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects

Num Den

Effect DF DF F Value Pr > F

visit\_num 4 24 1.11 0.3745

co\_bl 1 5 141.40 <.0001