SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Detecting eczema areas in digital images: an impossible task?

Guillem Hurault[1], Kevin Pan[1], Ricardo Mokhtari[1],
Bayanne Olabi[2], Eleanor Earp[3], Lloyd Steele[4], Hywel C. Williams[2], Reiko J. Tanaka[1]



Figure S1: Illustration of area-level segmentation compared to pixel-level segmentation, for the same images as in Figure 1, for the segmentation for rater 1. Rows correspond to images and columns to pixel- and area-level segmentation for different image resolutions (d).



Figure S2: Distribution of out-of-focus assessments by the four raters (x-axis) for each image (y-axis). The orange represents that the rater deemed the image out-of-focus. 17 images were deemed out-of-focus by only one rater, 8 images by two raters, 6 images by three raters and 4 images by all the four raters.



Figure S3: Estimated coefficients (and 95% CI) for variables in a linear model that predicts the mean image quality score across raters. The coefficients for the regions quantify the difference in the intercept from that of the default region (legs).



Figure S4: Comparison between the IRR metrics considered in this study (scatter plots, density plots and Pearson correlations).



Figure S5: Estimated coefficients (and 95% CI) for the variables in a linear model that predicts IRR metrics. The variables were normalised to a sensible scale for a fair interpretation of the effect sizes. The coefficients for the regions quantify the difference in intercept from that of the default region (legs).



Figure S6: Leave-one-rater out sensitivity analysis of the average pixel-level ICC measure.