Mucosal immunity against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern including Omicron following vaccination

Jinyi Tang^{1,2,3*}, Cong Zeng^{4,5*}, Thomas M. Cox³, Chaofan Li^{1,2,3}, Young Min Son^{1,2,3}, In Su Cheon^{1,2,3}, Yue Wu⁶, Supriya Behl⁷, Justin J. Taylor⁸, Rana Chakaraborty⁷, Aaron J. Johnson⁹, Dante N Shiavo³, James P. Utz³, Janani S. Reisenauer³, David E. Midthun³, John J. Mullon³, Eric S. Edell³, Mohamad G. Alameh¹⁰, Larry Borish¹¹, Mark H. Kaplan¹², Drew Weissman¹⁰, Ryan Kern³, Haitao Hu¹³, Robert Vassallo³, Shan-Lu Liu^{4,5#} and Jie Sun^{1,2,3,6#}

- 1. Carter Immunology Center, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA 22908
- 2. Division of Infectious Disease and International Health, Department of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA 22908
- 3. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Medicine. Department of Medicine. Mayo Clinic. Rochester. MN, USA 55905
- 4. Center for Retrovirus Research, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA 43210
- 5. Department of Veterinary Biosciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA 43210
- Department of Immunology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA 55905
- 7. Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA
- 8. Vaccine and Infectious Disease Division. Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center. Seattle. WA 98109. USA
- 9. Department of Immunology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA
- 10. Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
- 11. Division of Asthma, Allergy and Immunology, Department of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA 22908
- 12. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46074, USA
- 13. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA 77555
- *, # These authors contribute equally

Email: liu.6244@osu.edu, js6re@virginia.edu

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination induces robust humoral and cellular immunity in the circulation; however, it is currently unknown whether it elicits effective immune responses in the respiratory tract, particularly against variants of concern (VOCs), including Omicron. We compared the SARS-CoV-2 S-specific total and neutralizing antibody (Ab) responses, and B and T cell immunity, in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BAL) and blood of COVID-19 vaccinated individuals and hospitalized patients. Vaccinated individuals had significantly lower levels of neutralizing Ab against D614G, Delta and Omicron in the BAL compared to COVID-19 convalescents, despite robust S-specific Ab responses in the blood. Further, mRNA vaccination induced significant circulating S-specific B and T cell immunity, but in contrast to COVID-19 convalescents, these responses were absent in the BAL of vaccinated individuals. Using an animal immunization model, we demonstrate that systemic mRNA vaccination alone induced weak respiratory mucosal neutralizing Ab responses. especially against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron; however, a combination of systemic mRNA vaccination plus mucosal adenovirus-S immunization induced strong neutralizing Ab response, not only against the ancestral virus but also the Omicron variant. Together, our study supports the contention that the current COVID-19 vaccines are highly effective against severe disease development, likely through recruiting circulating B and T cell responses during re-infection, but offer limited protection against breakthrough infection, especially by Omicron. Hence, mucosal booster vaccination is needed to establish robust sterilizing immunity in the respiratory tract against SARS-CoV-2, including infection by Omicron and future variants.

Introduction

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic is a global public health crisis, and vaccination is considered the key to ending the pandemic (1, 2). It is well recognized that current SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, particularly mRNA-based vaccination, can induce robust humoral and cellular immunity and prevent severe disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 (3); however, protection against non-symptomatic to mild infection and transmission by mRNA vaccination is relatively moderate (4), and the reasons for this are poorly defined. Notably, most of the previous studies were conducted using blood to determine circulating antibodies and B and T cell immunity following vaccination (5), and characterization of respiratory mucosal immunity is lacking, which is essential for understanding vaccine- and natural infection-mediated protection against SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, the newest SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern (Omicron), has been shown to easily escape both vaccine and infection-elicited Ab neutralization in the blood (6-12). However, it is currently unclear whether efficient mucosal neutralizing Ab responses can be induced by vaccination, and/or natural infection, and to what extent this could protect against SARS-CoV-2 infection. The current study was designed to address these critical questions, and our results demonstrated that robust mucosal immunity can be elicited in the lung by natural infection and mRNA vaccination plus adenovirus-mediated vaccination, but not by the mRNA vaccination alone, and that the induced mucosal immunity is essential for protection against infection by SARS-CoV-2, including the Omicron variant.

Results

Characterization of respiratory mucosal antibody responses following vaccination or natural infection

To determine the humoral and cellular immune responses following COVID-19 vaccination, we collected blood and BAL samples from a cohort of COVID-19-vaccinated individuals (Fig.1A). Most of these individuals had received two doses of mRNA vaccination, with 3 individuals receiving the third booster and one having the J&J vaccine. The vaccine type, timing of collection, age, and sex information are included in Extended Table S1. We compared the vaccine-induced respiratory and circulating Ab, as well as cellular immune responses, to those of hospitalized COVID-19 convalescents that we have previously recruited (13). We first performed enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) to determine and compared the SARS-CoV-2 S1 or receptor binding domain (RBD)-specific IgG, IgA and IgM levels in unvaccinated control (non-SARS-CoV-2 infected), vaccinated, and convalescent groups in the plasma. Similar to what was shown before (3. 14), COVID-19 vaccination induced robust S1 or RBD-specific plasma IgG at levels comparable to severe cases of natural infection (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1A). The S1 or RBD-specific IgG levels in the BAL were also comparable between COVID-19-vaccinated and convalescent

groups (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1B). Of note, COVID-19 vaccination failed to induce significant levels of systemic S1 or RBD-specific IgA, while COVID-19 convalescents exhibited moderate but detectable S1-specific IgA responses in the blood (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C). Importantly, prior severe SARS-CoV-2 infection provoked significant levels of S1 or RBD-specific IgA in the respiratory mucosa, which was not the case for COVID-19 vaccination (Fig. 1E and Fig. S1D). We also examined IgM in the blood and BAL, and observed that, while detectable levels of IgM were present in the circulation of both COVID-19-vaccination group and prior infection cases, only prior infection elicited significantly elevated IgM responses in the BAL (Fig. S1E-H). Together, these results revealed that, in contrast to natural infection, COVID-19 vaccination does not provoke robust IgA or IgM responses in the respiratory tract.

Mucosal Ab neutralizing activity against VOCs

The humoral protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection relies on the induction of robust neutralizing Ab (*15-17*). We thus examined the plasma neutralizing Ab activity against SARS-CoV-2 D614G, Delta and Omicron spike-pseudotyped lentiviruses (*18*) (Fig. 2 A-C and Fig. S2 A-C). While COVID-19 vaccinated and convalescent individuals exhibited comparable high levels of circulating neutralizing Ab responses against all viruses, the Delta and Omicron variants exhibited more than 2- and 10-fold decrease in neutralization titer (NT₅₀), respectively, compared to D614G (Fig. 2 A-C), consistent with recent results showing that VOCs, especially Omicron, have significant immune evasion capability (Fig. 2C) (*6-12, 19-21*).

We next compared neutralizing Ab responses in BALs of COVID-19-vaccinated and convalescent groups along with healthy controls. Despite the overall lower neutralizing antibody levels in BAL compared to that in the blood, the convalescent group showed ~3-fold higher neutralizing Ab activity than the vaccinated group, especially for the ancestral D614G (p < 0.05) and the Delta variant (p < 0.01) (Fig. 2D-E). The titers for the Omicron variants were mostly below the level of detection (Fig. 2F), reflecting the stronger escape of Omicron from neutralizing antibodies. Of note, one out of three who had received a booster vaccination exhibited above-the-threshold yet low level of neutralization activity against Omicron (Fig. 2F), suggesting that booster vaccine may offer some, but limited, level of protection (Fig. 2F). Overall, these results indicate that natural infection elicits stronger humoral immunity in mucosal surface compared to mRNA vaccination, and better vaccine strategies are needed to offer protections against VOC, especially the Omicron variant.

Mucosal cellular immunity is induced in COVID-19 convalescents, but not in mRNA vaccinees

Although memory T and B cells do not confer sterilizing immunity, they are important in constraining viral dissemination and protecting against severe diseases once a virus breaches

neutralizing humoral immunity (15, 22-25). Both circulating and tissue-resident memory T and B cells are believed to provide disease protection against severe respiratory viral infection (22, 26-28). We therefore examined systemic and tissue residing memory T and B cell responses following mRNA vaccination or natural infection. Compared to unvaccinated controls, vaccinated individuals had higher RBD-specific B cells in the blood (Fig. S3 A-D). Notably, RBD-specific B cells were markedly lower in BAL compared to those of PBMCs (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3E). As reported before (22, 29-31), vaccination induced notable S-specific TNF or IFN- γ producing CD8⁺ or CD4⁺ T cells in the circulation, but failed to elicit strong S-specific cytokine-producing CD8⁺ or CD4⁺ T cell responses in the BAL (Fig. 3B, C and Fig. S4). In contrast, convalescent BAL exhibited much higher RBD-specific B cells compared to the paired blood samples (Fig. 3D). suggesting that vaccination does not induce tissue-residing memory B cell responses as effectively as natural infection. Further, BAL from COVID-19 convalescents had higher cytokineproducing CD8⁺ and CD4 T⁺ cells than those of blood (Fig. 3E, F and (13)). Within the total CD8⁺ or CD4⁺ T cell compartments, the levels of most memory T cell subsets in the blood and/or BAL were quite similar between unvaccinated or vaccinated individuals, except the blood TCM population (Fig. S4). Thus, unlike SARS-CoV-2 natural infection, mRNA vaccination does not appear to induce significant SARS-CoV-2 specific B and T cell memory in the respiratory mucosa in contrast to that in the blood.

mRNA plus mucosal Ad5-S booster induces strong neutralizing immunity against Omicron Given the suboptimal mucosal immunity induced by the current mRNA vaccination, we used an animal model to identify potential strategies that promote and/or amplify mucosal humoral and cellular immunity after mRNA vaccination. To this end, we immunized wildtype mice with PBS, two doses of mRNA-encoding codon-optimized S (mRNA-S), three doses of mRNA-S, two doses of mRNA-S plus an intranasal immunization of S protein trimer with adjuvant (STING ligand, cGAMP (32)), or two doses of mRNA-S plus an intranasal of adenovirus type 5 encoding S protein (Ad5-S) (Fig. 4A). We focused on intranasal immunization in mRNA-immunized mice, in keeping the contention that induction of mucosal immunity likely occurs in previously vaccinated individuals who will be willing to receive mucosal booster vaccines. mRNA plus Ad5-S vaccination induced greatly increased BAL RBD-specific B cells (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, mRNA plus Ad5-S vaccination induced potent mucosal CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cell responses but not in the spleen (Fig. S5). mRNA immunization, with or without mucosal booster immunization, induced strong circulating S1 or RBD-specific IgG in the blood and the BAL (Fig. S6 A, B). A third dose of mucosal immunization of S protein, with S trimer plus cGAMP or Ad5-S, resulted in significant increases of both S1 and RBD-specific IgA in the BAL (Fig. 4C), with Ad5-S booster inducing the highest RBD-specific IgA in the respiratory mucosa. Ad5-S booster also generated significantly higher levels of plasma IgA than other groups (Fig. S6C).

All immunized groups showed strong neutralization against D614G and the Delta variant in the plasma, although three-dose mRNA, or two-dose mRNA plus Ad5-S booster, vaccination induced higher levels of neutralizing Ab compared to two doses of mRNA immunization (Fig. S6 F, G). As would be expected, the mouse plasma neutralization activities against Omicron were also dramatically reduced relative to D614G or Delta (Fig. S6 H), indicating that Omicron is capable of escaping immunization-indued neutralizing Ab responses in the mouse blood similar to that in humans. However, we were still able to detect neutralizing Ab activities, at approximately similar levels, against the Omicron variant in all immunized groups (Fig. S6H).

The neutralizing Ab activity in the BAL of mRNA immunized mice (two doses or three doses) were generally lower than those in the blood, but clearly detectable against D614G, with ~4-fold reduction in Delta, yet were around the limit of detection for the Omicron variant (Fig. 4 D-F). Strikingly, mRNA plus Ad5-S significantly increased the neutralization titer against the ancestral D614G by approximately 3 logs compared to other vaccination groups, and more importantly, maintained the strong neutralization activity against Delta as well as the Omicron variant (Fig. 5 E-F). These data indicated that compared to systemic mRNA booster, the mucosal Ad5-S booster elicits broadened Ab neutralization in the BAL against VOC. Thus, we have here identified a promising immunization strategy that can induce potent mucosal neutralizing Ab effectively against the Omicron variant.

Discussion

mRNA vaccination elicited at least comparable neutralizing Ab levels as COVID-19 convalescents in the circulation, but generated considerably lower mucosal IgA and neutralizing Ab responses against SARS-CoV-2 D614G and Delta variants than those of convalescents, indicating that the overall magnitude of mucosal Ab responses is suboptimal following vaccination. Of note, the Omicron variant almost completely escaped the neutralization activity of BAL from either vaccinated or previously infected individuals. Additionally, we provide compelling real world evidence that mRNA vaccination does not induce notable tissue-residing S-specific memory B and T cells. Thus, despite the induction of robust circulating humoral and cellular immunity, current COVID-19 mRNA vaccines likely do not provoke sufficient levels of mucosal immunity in the human lower respiratory tract that would be needed for immediate clearance of the infectious Omicron strain to prevent the establishment of viral infection. Such a notion is consistent with the fact that Omicron continues to spread at a rapid pace in regions with high rates of vaccination and/or prior natural infection.

Our data do not dispute the notion that current vaccines are highly effective in preventing hospitalization and death. The prevention of severe disease after infection is conferred mainly by memory T and B cells (22, 33). To this end, CD8 T cell epitopes within Omicron Spike protein remain conserved to those of ancestral strains (34, 35). Thus, even though Omicron is able to breach the defense of mucosal neutralizing Ab to cause infection, the recruitment of vaccineinduced circulating memory T cells during SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infection enables protection that restrains further viral dissemination, preventing severe disease development following infection (Fig. S7). Nevertheless, these data suggest that mucosal humoral immunity is particularly vulnerable for immune escape by Omicron. It is thus quite likely that the current vaccine strategy, even with further boosters, will not achieve "herd immunity" or prevent the occurrence of new infections or re-infections with future VOCs, particularly those with immune-evasive properties like Omicron. Thus, our findings have significant public health implications.

Our data suggest that a mucosal SARS-CoV-2 booster vaccine may be necessary to achieve more robust immunity and protection from re-infection by future variants. To this end, we have provided a proof-of-principle experiment that systemic mRNA plus mucosal Ad5-S booster provoked strong cellular immunity in the respiratory tract, and compelling mucosal IgA and neutralizing activity against Omicron. Mucosal adenovirus delivery has potential concerns regarding safety and applicability on a large scale. However, if proven to be safe, such a platform would be of great translational and clinical relevance. Alternatively, a vaccine platform with viruslike nanoparticles (36), which can provide strong adjuvant activity and prolonged antigen presentation in vivo, may also be a promising approach to boost mucosal neutralizing immunity against Omicron or future VOCs.

Our study has several limitations. Due to the highly invasive nature of the BAL procedure, we were not able to recruit a large cohort of study participants. Furthermore, the study procedure made it challenging to time recruitment or perform a longitudinal analysis; rather it enabled a snapshot of vaccination or infection-induced mucosal immunity. Additionally, most of the participants were older and may not be representative of the entire vaccinated population, although this age group is considered as the primary targeting population for vaccination as they are at highest risk of infection associated with mortality and complications. These limitations need to be addressed by future studies. Nevertheless, we provide key evidence detailing mucosal humoral and cellular immunity following vaccination in the respiratory tract. Our study highlights the importance of focusing on vaccine-induced mucosal immunity (*37*) and argues for the necessity of a mucosal booster strategy in addition to the current approach of intramuscular COVID-19 vaccines.

Acknowledgements

We thank the study participants for their willingness to participate in the study. This work is partially funded by the US National Institutes of Health grants AI147394, AG047156 and AG069264 to J.S.; AI147394S1 to J.S. and R.V. This work in the Liu lab was supported by a fund provided by an anonymous private donor to The Ohio State University and NCI U54CA260582 to S.-L.L. The content is solely the authors' responsibility and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. S.-L.L. was additionally supported in part by NIH R01 AI150473. M.H.K. was supported by AI057459; H.H. was supported by AI147903 and AI157852.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: T.J., J.S. S.L.L. Acquisition of data: T.J., C.Z., T.M.C., C.L., Y.M.S., I.S.C., Y.W., S.B., D.N.S., J.P.U., J.S.R., D.E.M., J.J.M., E.S.E., R.K. Analysis and interpretation of data: T.J., C.Z., J.S., S.L.L., L.B., M.H.K., R.V. Critical reagents: J.J.T., A.J.J., M.G.A., D.W., H.H. Writing – first draft: T.J. and J.S. Funding Acquisition: J.S., S.L.L., R.V., M.H.K., H.H. and manuscript editing: S.L.L., C.Z., L.B., M.H.K., R.V.

Competing interests

J.S. is a consultant for the Teneofour company, which does not directly involve with this project.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Systemic and respiratory antibody responses in COVID-19 convalescents and vaccinated individuals.

(A) Schematic of recruited cohorts (n=5 for unvaccinated donor, n=19 for vaccinated, and n=10 for COVID-19 hospitalized convalescent) and experimental procedures. Figures were created with BioRender. B to E, Levels of SARS-CoV-2 S1 or RBD binding IgG (B and C) or IgA (D and E) in plasma and bronchoalveolar fluid (BAL) of unvaccinated donors, COVID-19 vaccinated or convalescents. Three individuals who received the booster (BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273) were indicated as orange in the vaccinated group. Enrolled donors' demographics were provided in Extended Data Table 1. and a previous publication (13). Data are means ± SEM. Statistical differences were determined by one-way ANOVA and p values were indicated by ns, not significant (P > 0.05), * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), and **** (p < 0.0001).

Fig. 2. COVID-19-vaccinated individuals exhibit lower respiratory neutralizing antibody responses compared to convalescents.

Plasma and BAL neutralizing activity in unvaccinated donors, vaccinated and convalescent individuals. (A to C) Neutralizing antibody titers (NT₅₀) in plasma against SARS-CoV-2 S D614G (A) Delta (B) and Omicron (C) pseudotyped virus in unvaccinated donors (n=5), vaccinated (n=19) and convalescent (n=10) individuals. HEK293T-ACE2 cells were used as targeted cells for infection. (D to F) Neutralizing antibody titers (NT₅₀) in BAL against SARS-CoV-2 S D614G (D). Delta (E) and Omicron (F) pseudotyped virus in unvaccinated donor, vaccinated and convalescent individuals. Three individuals receiving a booster shot (BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273) were indicated as orange in the vaccinated group. nAb, neutralizing antibody. Data are means ± SEM. Statistical differences were determined by one-way ANOVA and p values were indicated by * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), and **** (p < 0.0001).

Fig. 3. COVID-19-vaccinated individuals exhibit systemic cellular immunity not evident in the respiratory tract.

(A) Frequency of SARS-CoV-2 RBD-specific B cells in the blood (PBMC) and the BAL of vaccinated. (B and C) Frequencies of TNF- and IFN-y- producing CD8⁺ (B) or CD4⁺ (C) T cells in the blood (PBMC) and the BAL of vaccinated after S peptide pools stimulation. (D) Frequency of SARS-CoV-2 RBD- specific B cells in the blood (PBMC) and the BAL of convalescent individuals. (E and F) Frequencies of TNF- and IFN-γ- producing CD8⁺ (E) or CD4⁺ (F) T cells in the blood (PBMC) and the BAL of convalescents after S peptide pools stimulation. Data are means ± SEM. Statistical differences were determined by paired t-test in a-d and independent t-test in e-f. P values were indicated by ns, not significant (P > 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), and *** (p < 0.001).

Fig. 4. Combination of mRNA plus mucosal adenovirus immunization induces high levels of mucosal neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron.

C57BL/6 mice were immunized as indicated. (A) Schematic of vaccination strategy and experimental parameters; n=10 for control (mock), n=7 for two doses of RNA (RNA*2), n=7 for three doses of RNA (RNA*3), n=8 for two doses of RNA plus S-trimer with cGAMP booster (RNA*2+ S-trimer with cGAMP (i.n.), and n=8 for two doses of RNA plus Ad5-S booster (RNA*2+ Ad5-S (i.n.)). (B) Cell numbers of RBD⁺ B in the BAL following immunization. (C) Levels of S1- and RBD- specific IgA were measured from BAL. (D to F) NT₅₀ of BAL against SARS-CoV-2 S D614G (D), Delta (E) and Omicron (F) pseudotyped virus were measured. nAb, neutralizing antibody. Data are means ± SEM. Statistical differences were determined by one-way ANOVA and p values were indicated by * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), *** (p < 0.001) and **** (p < 0.0001).

Methods

Study cohorts

BAL or blood samples were collected from unvaccinated donors, vaccinated individuals, or SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals at Mayo Clinic under protocols approved by Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Boards (protocol ID 19-012187). Study participants included non-pregnant adults who were undergoing flexible bronchoscopy as part of their clinical management. However, participants who had presence of hereditary respiratory diseases (such as cystic fibrosis), clinical history of primary aspiration, neuromuscular problems, primary or secondary immune deficiencies, invasive viral or bacterial infections or a cancer diagnosis were excluded in the study. Informed consent for the use of BAL, blood and their derivatives for research was obtained from all enrolled individuals. For COVID-19 convalescents, three unvaccinated and three vaccinated samples were from a cohort that were previously recruited (13). Most of the vaccinated subjects received two doses of Pfizer/bioNTech (BNT162b2) or Moderna (mRNA-1273) mRNA vaccination, with three individuals receiving the third booster vaccination and one individual having the J&J (Ad26.COV2.S) vaccination. All vaccinated samples were obtained within 8 months post vaccination. Full cohort and demographic information are provided in **Extended table.1**. or have been described previously (13).

BAL collection

Fiberoptic bronchoscopy and BAL were performed using moderate conscious sedation using standard clinical procedural guidelines in an outpatient bronchoscopy suite. Conscious sedation was administered in accordance with hospital policies, and a suitably trained registered nurse provided monitoring throughout the procedure. The scope was wedged into an affected segment predetermined by review of CT scan. About 100 to 200 ml of saline were instilled in 20-ml aliguots until 60 ml of lavage fluid was obtained. The specimen was placed on ice and immediately hand carried to laboratory for analysis. The fluid collected was placed on ice and transferred immediately to the laboratory for processing.

Human single-cell purification

Plasma was isolated from whole blood by centrifuging at 1,600 rpm, room temperature (RT), for 10 min. Plasma was collected and inactivated for 30 min at 56°C, then stored at -80°C for ELISA and neutralization assay. After plasma isolation, leftover blood was mixed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then gently put over on Ficoll-Paque (Cytiva, 17144002) in a 15 mL tube. Buffy coat generated by centrifuging at 400 g for 40 min at RT was collected. For single-cell purification from BAL, BAL was filtered with a 70-um cell strainer (Falcon) and then centrifuged at 350 g for 6 min at 4°C. Supernatant was collected and aliquots were stored at -80°C for ELISA and neutralization assay. Supernatant of BAL was further concentrated for 20x using 3 kDa Amicon

Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Unit (Millpore Sigma, UFC900324) before use. The cells were collected for flow cytometry analysis.

Mice vaccination and sample collection

Antigens encoded by the mRNA vaccines were derived from SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 (GenBank MN908947.3). Nucleoside modified mRNAs expressing SARS-CoV-2 full-length spike with two proline mutations (mRNA-S) were synthesized by in vitro transcription using T7 RNA polymerase (MegaScript, Ambion) as previously reported (38). mRNAs were formulated into lipid nanoparticles (LNP) using an ethanolic lipid mixture of ionizable cationic lipid and an aqueous buffer system as previously reported (39). Formulated mRNA-LNPs were prepared according to RNA concentrations ($\sim 1\mu g/\mu l$) and were stored at -80°C for animal immunizations. 8- to 10-weekold female mice were vaccinated with two doses of 1 µg mRNA-S with a 21-day interval. Another 21 days later, mice were boosted with PBS, 1 µg mRNA-S intramuscularly, 2 µg S-trimer (Sino Biological, 40589-V08H9) adjuvanted with 10 µg 2'3'-cGAMP (Invivogen, tlrl-nacga23) intranasally, or 10⁹ adenovirus type 5 encoding S protein (Ad5-S) (University of Iowa Viral Vector Core) intranasally after anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and xylazine. Three doses of PBS administered mice were used as control. 14 days later, mice were euthanatized. BAL, blood and splenocytes were collected for analysis. Isolated plasma inactivated for 30 min at 56°C and supernatant of the first 600 µL BAL collected were stored at -80°C for ELISA and neutralization assay. The cells were collected for flow cytometry analysis.

Binding antibody response against SARS-CoV-2

General ELISA method has been previously described (13). Briefly, recombinant SARS-CoV-2 proteins including RBD (Sino Biological, 40592-V08H), spike S1 D614G (S1, 40591-V08H3) (Sino Biological), were precoated to 96-well plates overnight at 4°C. The following day, plates were washed with wash buffer (0.05% Tween 20 in PBS) and then blocked with Assay dilution buffer (Biolegend, 421203) for 1 hour at RT. Plasma or 20x concentrated BAL from unvaccinated donors, vaccinated and convalescents were diluted in "Assay dilution buffer" starting at a 1:5 or 1:1 dilution, respectively, and then serially diluted by 5. Plasma from mice were diluted starting at 1:100 dilution, and then serially diluted by 5. BAL from mice was not concentrated or diluted. Samples were added to the plate and incubated for 2 hours at RT. After washing three times with wash buffer, secondary antibodies diluted with "Assay dilution buffer" were added to the plate and then incubated for 1 hour at RT. Secondary antibodies including anti-human IgG (Sigma-Aldrich, A6029), anti-human IgA (Hybridoma Reagent Laboratory, HP6123), anti-human IgM (Sigma Aldrich, A6907), anti-mouse IgG (SouthernBiotech, 1030-05), anti-mouse IgA (SouthernBiotech, 1040-05), anti-mouse IgM (SouthernBiotech, 1020-05) were diluted as indicated respectively. Plates were washed three times and then developed with 3,3',5,5' tetramethyl benzidine (TMB) buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 00-4201-56) for 10 min at RT. Sulfuric acid (2 M) was used as

STOP buffer. Plates were read at about 5 minutes on a microplate reader (Molecular Devices) at 450 nm with SoftMax Pro Software. The optical density (OD) value at 1:5 dilution for human plasma, 1:1 dilution for human BAL, 1:100 for mice plasma (1:500 for IgG), or original mice BAL were displayed, respectively; one dot represents each individual.

Neutralizing antibody response against SARS-CoV-2

Virus neutralization assays were performed as previously reported (*18*). Briefly, in a 96-well format, plasma or BAL were diluted starting at a 1:40 or 1:20 dilution, respectively, and then serially diluted by a factor of 4. The viruses were incubated with plasma or BAL for 1 hour at 37° C, followed by infection of 2×10^{4} pre-seeded HEK293T-ACE2 cells on a 96-well polystyrene tissue culture plate. Gaussia luciferase activity in cell culture media was assayed 48 hours and 72 hours after infection. Note that, to ensure valid comparisons between SARS-CoV-2 variants, equivalent amounts of infectious virus were used based on the pre-determined virus titers and samples of different variants were loaded side by side in each plate. Neutralizing titer 50% (NT₅₀) for each sample was determined by non-linear regression with least squares fit in GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software).

Flow Cytometry analysis

General ELISA method has been previously described (13). Fresh mice and human cells or frozen human PBMC or BAL cells recovered and rested overnight in 37°C, 5% CO₂ incubator, were washed with FACS buffer (1% FBS and 0.5 M EDTA in PBS), then stained with antibodies as listed in Table. S2 for human and Table. S3 for mice. Intracellular Cytokine Staining (ICS) was performed to detect vaccine-specific T cell response. Briefly, Cells were washed with FACS buffer and resuspended with complete RPMI with 10 mM HEPES supplemented with 10% FBS, 2-Mercaptoethanol, Sodium Pyruvate, Non-Essential Amino Acids, Pen-Strep, and L-Glutamine. Cells were then stimulated with 1 µg/ml S peptide pool (JPT, PM-WCPV-S) for stimulation for 6 hours (PBMC for 16 hours). In the last 4 hours of incubation, protein transport inhibitor Brefeldin-A was added. Cells stimulated with PMA/Ionomycin or DMSO only were included as positive control and negative control, respectively. Following stimulation, cells were first stained for surface markers on ice for 30 min. After washing with PBS, cells were resuspended with Zombie-dye for viability staining and incubated at room temperature (RT) for 15 min. Following surface and viability staining, cells were fixed with fixation buffer (Biolegend, 420801) and permeabilized with perm/wash buffer (Biolegend, 421002), followed by intracellular cytokine staining on ice for 30 min. Cells were then washed with perm/wash buffer and resuspended with FACS buffer. To detect RBD-specific B cells, recombinant RBD proteins, which were generated in the laboratory of Taylor lab, were incubated with the cells for 30 min at 4°C. RBD-PE and RBD-APC double-positive B cells were identified as RBD⁺ B cells. To detect S₅₃₉₋₅₄₆ epitope specific CD8⁺ T cells, SARS-CoV-2 $S_{539-546}$ MHC class I tetramer (H-2K_b) (NIH Tetramer Core) was incubated with the cells for 30 min

at 4°C. CD44⁺ Tetramer⁺ positive CD8⁺ T cells were identified as S₅₃₉₋₅₄₆ epitope specific CD8⁺ T cells. Cell population data were acquired on a multi-spectral flow cytometer Cytek Aurora (Cytek Biosciences) or Attune NxT (Thermo Fisher Science) and analyzed using FlowJo Software (10.8.1, Tree Star). All human data from cytokines production assay were background-subtracted using paired DMSO treated control samples.

Statistical analysis

Statistical tests are indicated in the corresponding figure legends. One way ANOVA was used in multi group comparison. Paired t test was used in PBMC and BAL paired comparison. Others were analyzed using independent t test. All tests were performed with a nominal significance threshold of P < 0.05, which displayed by a single asterisk. P > 0.05 was displayed by ns, means not significant. Two asterisks indicate P < 0.01, Three asterisks indicate P < 0.001, four asterisks indicate P < 0.001.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.26.22269659; this version posted January 27, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References:

- 1. F. Krammer, SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. Nature 586, 516-527 (2020).
- T. Carvalho, F. Krammer, A. Iwasaki, The first 12 months of COVID-19: a timeline of 2. immunological insights. Nat Rev Immunol 21, 245-256 (2021).
- 3. M. Sadarangani, A. Marchant, T. R. Kollmann, Immunological mechanisms of vaccineinduced protection against COVID-19 in humans. Nat Rev Immunol 21, 475-484 (2021).
- 4. R. K. Gupta, E. J. Topol, COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections. Science 374, 1561-1562 (2021).
- 5. J. S. Tregoning, K. E. Flight, S. L. Higham, Z. Wang, B. F. Pierce, Progress of the COVID-19 vaccine effort: viruses, vaccines and variants versus efficacy, effectiveness and escape. Nat Rev Immunol 21, 626-636 (2021).
- 6. L. Liu et al., Striking Antibody Evasion Manifested by the Omicron Variant of SARS-CoV-2. Nature, (2021).
- 7. J. M. Carreño et al., Activity of convalescent and vaccine serum against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron. Nature, (2021).
- 8. D. Planas et al., Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization. Nature, (2021).
- 9. L. G. Thorne et al., Evolution of enhanced innate immune evasion by SARS-CoV-2. Nature, (2021).
- 10. A. Muik et al., Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron by BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine– elicited human sera. Science 0, eabn7591.
- 11. S. Cele et al., Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. Nature, (2021).
- 12. Y. Cao et al., Omicron escapes the majority of existing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Nature, (2021).
- 13. I. S. Cheon et al., Immune signatures underlying post-acute COVID-19 lung seguelae. Sci Immunol 6, eabk1741 (2021).
- 14. Z. Wang et al., mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. Nature 592, 616-622 (2021).
- 15. B. Israelow et al., Adaptive immune determinants of viral clearance and protection in mouse models of SARS-CoV-2. Sci Immunol 6, eabl4509 (2021).
- 16. D. Cromer et al., Neutralising antibody titres as predictors of protection against SARS-CoV-2 variants and the impact of boosting: a meta-analysis. Lancet Microbe 3, e52-e61 (2022).
- 17. D. S. Khoury et al., Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Med 27, 1205-1211 (2021).
- 18. C. Zeng et al., Neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2 spike in COVID-19 patients, health care workers, and convalescent plasma donors. JCI Insight 5, (2020).
- 19. C. Liu et al., Reduced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 by vaccine and convalescent serum. Cell 184, 4220-4236 e4213 (2021).
- 20. D. Planas et al., Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta to antibody neutralization. Nature 596, 276-280 (2021).
- 21. C. Zeng et al., Neutralization and Stability of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant. bioRxiv, (2021).
- 22. A. Sette, S. Crotty, Adaptive immunity to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Cell 184, 861-880 (2021).
- 23. P. C. Rosato, L. K. Beura, D. Masopust, Tissue resident memory T cells and viral immunity. Curr Opin Virol 22, 44-50 (2017).
- 24. Y. M. Son et al., Tissue-resident CD4(+) T helper cells assist the development of protective respiratory B and CD8(+) T cell memory responses. Sci Immunol 6, (2021).
- 25. K. B. Pruner, M. Pepper, Local memory CD4 T cell niches in respiratory viral infection. J Exp Med 218, (2021).
- 26. Y. M. Son, J. Sun, Co-Ordination of Mucosal B Cell and CD8 T Cell Memory by Tissue-Resident CD4 Helper T Cells. Cells 10, (2021).
- 27. J. E. Kohlmeier, D. L. Woodland, Immunity to respiratory viruses. Annu Rev Immunol 27, 61-82 (2009).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.26.22269659; this version posted January 27, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

- 28. S. P. Weisberg, B. B. Ural, D. L. Farber, Tissue-specific immunity for a changing world. *Cell* **184**, 1517-1529 (2021).
- 29. M. M. Painter et al., Rapid induction of antigen-specific CD4(+) T cells is associated with coordinated humoral and cellular immunity to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination. Immunity 54, 2133-2142 e2133 (2021).
- 30. A. Bertoletti, N. Le Bert, M. Qui, A. T. Tan, SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells in infection and vaccination. Cell Mol Immunol 18, 2307-2312 (2021).
- 31. M. Gagne et al., Protection from SARS-CoV-2 Delta one year after mRNA-1273 vaccination in rhesus macagues coincides with anamnestic antibody response in the lung. *Cell* **185**, 113-130 e115 (2022).
- 32. A. Ablasser, Z. J. Chen, cGAS in action: Expanding roles in immunity and inflammation. Science 363, (2019).
- 33. J. Sun, T. J. Braciale, Role of T cell immunity in recovery from influenza virus infection. Curr Opin Virol 3, 425-429 (2013).
- A. D. Redd et al., Minimal cross-over between mutations associated with Omicron variant 34. of SARS-CoV-2 and CD8+ T cell epitopes identified in COVID-19 convalescent individuals. *bioRxiv*, (2021).
- 35. S. J. Choi et al., T cell epitopes in SARS-CoV-2 proteins are substantially conserved in the Omicron variant. Cellular & Molecular Immunology, (2022).
- 36. M. Perotti, L. Perez, Virus-Like Particles and Nanoparticles for Vaccine Development against HCMV. Viruses 12, (2019).
- 37. D. L. Farber, Tissues, not blood, are where immune cells function. Nature 593, 506-509 (2021).
- N. Pardi, H. Muramatsu, D. Weissman, K. Kariko, In vitro transcription of long RNA 38. containing modified nucleosides. Methods Mol Biol 969, 29-42 (2013).
- 39. M. A. Maier et al., Biodegradable lipids enabling rapidly eliminated lipid nanoparticles for systemic delivery of RNAi therapeutics. Mol Ther 21, 1570-1578 (2013).







Convalescent RBD⁺ B cells

Convalescent Spike-specific CD8⁺ T cells

Convalescent Spike-specific CD4⁺ T cells







Fig. S1. SARS-CoV-2 spike binding IgG, IgA and IgM responses in human plasma and BAL. (A and B) Levels of SARS-CoV-2 S1 or RBD binding IgG in the plasma (A) and bronchoalveolar fluid (BAL) (B) from unvaccinated donors, COVID-19 vaccinated or convalescents. (C and D) Levels of SARS-CoV-2 S1 or RBD binding IgA in the plasma (C) and bronchoalveolar fluid (BAL) (D) from unvaccinated donors, COVID-19 vaccinated or convalescents. (E and F) Levels of SARS-CoV-2 S1 or RBD binding IgA in the plasma from unvaccinated donors, COVID-19 vaccinated or convalescents. (E and F) Levels of SARS-CoV-2 S1 or RBD binding IgA in the plasma from unvaccinated donors, COVID-19 vaccinated or convalescents. (G and H) Levels of SARS-CoV-2 S1 or RBD binding IgA in the BAL from unvaccinated donors, COVID-19 vaccinated or convalescents. Three individuals who received the booster (BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273) were indicated as orange in vaccinated group. Enrolled donors' demographics provided in Table S1. Data in f and h are means \pm SEM. Statistical differences were determined by one-way ANOVA and p values were indicated by ns, not significant (P > 0.05), * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), ***(p < 0.001) and **** (p < 0.0001).



Fig. S2. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody activities in human plasma and BAL against D614G, Delta, and Omicron. (**A to C**) Relative infection of SARS-CoV-2 S D614G (A), Delta (B) and Omicron (C) pseudotyped virus with incubation of serially diluted plasma from unvaccinated donors, vaccinated and convalescent individuals. HEK293T-ACE2 cells used as targeted cells. (**D to F**) Relative infection of SARS-CoV-2 S D614G (D), Delta (E) and Omicron (F) pseudotyped virus with incubation of serially diluted BAL from unvaccinated donors, vaccinated and convalescent individuals. HEK293T-ACE2 cells were used as targeted cells for infection. Three individuals who received the booster (BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273) were indicated as orange in vaccinated group. nAb, neutralizing antibody.



Fig. S3. **B cell response in human PBMC and BAL**. **(A and B)** Gating strategy of B cells and T cells in human PBMC (A) and BAL (B). **(C)** Representative flow cytometry plots of RBD- specific B cells in the PBMC and BAL from unvaccinated donors and vaccinated. **(D)** Frequencies of RBD- specific B cells and antibody- secreting cells (ASCs) in the PBMC from unvaccinated donors and vaccinated. **(E)** Frequencies of RBD- specific B cells in the BAL from unvaccinated donors and vaccinated. Data in (D) and (E) are means \pm SEM. Statistical differences were determined by independent t test and p values were indicated by ns (not significant), * (p < 0.05), and *** (p < 0.001).



Fig. S4. T cell response in human PBMC and BAL. (A and B) Frequencies of CD8⁺ (A) or CD4⁺ (B) T cell subsets in the PBMC from unvaccinated donors and vaccinated. (C and D) Frequencies of CD8⁺ (C) or CD4⁺ (D) T cell subsets in the BAL from unvaccinated donors and vaccinated. (E) Representative flow cytometry plots of TNF- and IFN- γ - producing CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells in the PBMC and BAL from unvaccinated donors and vaccinated after S peptide pools stimulation. TCM, central memory T cell. TEM, effector memory T cell, TEMRA, effector memory T cell re-expressing CD45RA. Data in a is means ± SEM. Statistical differences were determined by independent t test and p values were indicated by *** (p < 0.001).



Fig. S5. T cell responses in mouse BAL and spleen. C57BL/6 mice were immunized as indicated immunization strategies; 14 days later, T cell responses were measured from BAL and spleen. **(A)** Gating strategy of B cells and T cells in the BAL. **(B and C)** Cell numbers of cytokines producing CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells in the BAL (b) and spleen (c) after S peptide pools stimulation from different groups. **(D)** Representative flow cytometry plots of CD44⁺ S₅₃₉₋₅₄₆⁺ cells in CD8⁺ T cells were presented as S₅₃₉₋₅₄₆ specific CD8⁺ T cells (left panel); cell numbers of S₅₃₉₋₅₄₆ specific CD8⁺ T cells in the BAL and spleen from two doses of RNA plus Ad5-S booster group (right panel). Data in b-d are means \pm SEM. Statistical differences were determined by one-way ANOVA and p values were indicated by * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), and **** (p < 0.0001).

A



Fig. S6. Antibody responses in mouse plasma and BAL. C57BL/6 mice were immunized as indicated immunization strategies. 14 days later, binding antibody and neutralizing antibody responses were measured from plasma and BAL. (A and B) Levels of S1- and RBD- specific IgG were measured from plasma (A) and BAL (B). (C) Levels of S1- and RBD- specific IgA were measured from plasma. (D and E) Levels of S1- and RBD- specific IgG were measured from plasma (D) and BAL (E). (F to H) NT₅₀ of plasma against SARS-CoV-2 S D614G (F), Delta (G) and Omicron (H) pseudotyped virus were measured. nAb, neutralizing antibody. Data in B-D are means \pm SEM. Statistical differences were determined by one-way ANOVA and p values were indicated by * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), and **** (p < 0.0001).



Created with BioRender.com

Fig. S7. Model on the mechanism of protection by intramuscular mRNA vaccineinduced adaptive immunity against COVID-19 severe diseases but not SARS-CoV-2 infection. In this model, robust neutralizing Ab, specific T cell and B cell responses are present in circulating blood but limited in the respiratory tract post intramuscular mRNA vaccination. Adaptive immunity in local site fails to prevent respiratory infection by escape variants, but potently protects against severe diseases with the recruitment of systemic humoral and cellular immunity into the infection site upon SARS-CoV-2 escape variant infection.

Table S1. Enrolled donors

	i				
ID NUMBER	GENDFER	AGE	VACCINE RECEIVED	BOOSTER RECEIVED	DAY POST LAST VACCINATI ON
1	М	61-70	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	N	51
2	М	61-70	MODERNA	Ν	71
3	М	71-80	MODERNA	Ν	92
4	F	61-70	NONE	N/A	N/A
5	F	71-80	NONE	N/A	N/A
6	F	71-80	MODERNA	Ν	87
7	F	61-70	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	Ν	124
8	М	71-80	JANSSEN (J&J)	Ν	107
9	М	31-40	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	Ν	95
10	М	61-70	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	Ν	104
11	F	81-90	MODERNA	Ν	187
12	F	71-80	MODERNA	Ν	206
13	F	71-80	MODERNA	Ν	165
14	М	61-70	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	Ν	171
15	71-80	71-80	MODERNA	Ν	235
16	F	41-50	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	Ν	206
17	М	71-80	MODERNA	Y	34
18	М	61-70	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	Y	57
19	М	61-70	PFIZER/ BIONTECH	Not sure	< 165
20	М	61-70	MODERNA	Y	51

ANTIGEN	FLUORESCENCE	CLONE	COMPANY
CD103	APC/Fire750	Ber-ACT8	Biolegend
CD19	BV786	SJ25C1	BD Biosciences
CD27	Pacific Blue	M-T271	Biolegend
CD38	BV480	HIT2	BD Biosciences
CD4	AF532	SK3	ThermoFisher Scientifics
CD4	BV421	SK3	ThermoFisher Scientifics
CD45RA	BV650	HI100	Biolegend
CD69	APC-Cy7	FN50	Biolegend
CD69	PE-eFluor610	FN50	ThermoFisher Scientifics
CD8	PerCP-eFluor710	OKT8	ThermoFisher Scientifics
CD8	FITC	OKT8	ThermoFisher Scientifics
CCR7	BV711	G043H7	Biolegend
IFN-γ	PE	B27	BD Biosciences
lgD	BV510	IA6-2	Biolegend
ΤϹℝγδ	BV750	11F2	BD Biosciences
TNF-α	AF700	MAb11	ThermoFisher Scientifics
Viability	Zombie Aqua		Biolegend
Viability	Zombie NIR		Biolegend

Table S2. List of human antibody used for flow cytometry

ANTIGEN	ANTIGEN FLUORESCENCE		COMPANY
CD4	AF700	GK1.5	Biolegend
CD44	BV421	IM7	Biolegend
CD44	BV510	IM7	Biolegend
CD8a	BV711	53-6.7	Biolegend
B220	BV711	RA3-6B2	Biolegend
IFN-γ	BV605	XMG1.2	Biolegend
TNF-α	PE-Cy7	MP6-XT22	Biolegend
Viability	Zombie Aqua		Biolegend
Viability	Zombie NIR		Biolegend

Table S3. List of mouse antibody used for flow cytometry