- 450 Supplementary Information for
- 451 Comparison of Saliva and Mid-Turbinate Swabs for Detection of COVID-19 452
- 453 Jianyu Lai, B.Med., M.P.H.^{a,b}, Jennifer German, Ph.D.^b, Filbert Hong, Ph.D.^b, S.-H. Sheldon Tai,
- 454 Ph.D.^b, Kathleen M. McPhaul, Ph.D., M.P.H.^b, Donald K. Milton, M.D., Dr.P.H.^b for the
- 455 University of Maryland StopCOVID Research Group*
- 456
- ^a Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Maryland School of Public
 Health, College Park, Maryland, USA
- ^b Public Health Aerobiology and Biomarker Laboratory, Institute for Applied Environmental
- 460 Health, University of Maryland School of Public Health, College Park, Maryland, USA
- 461

462 Supplemental Tables

463

464 Table S1. Association between detection frequency and sample types (MTS vs. saliva) ^a
465

Sample	Samp	Tadal		
Positive	MTS	Saliva	10141	
No	171	168	339	
Yes	29	32	61	
Total	200	200	400	
^a χ^2 =0.077, df=1, p=0.781				

466

467	Table S2. MTS and saliva for positive participants (N=14)
468	

	Number of subjects	Number of samples	Number of positive samples, N (%)	Ct value of positive samples, median (range) ^a	GM (95% CI) ^b of all samples	GM (95% CI) ^c of positive samples
MTS	13	41	29 (71)	20 (12, 33)	2.2 x10 ⁵ (1.0 x10 ⁵ , 4.9 x10 ⁵)	4.5 x10 ⁶ (3.5 x10 ⁶ , 5.9 x10 ⁶)
Saliva	12	41	32 (78)	27 (16, 35)	$2.3 x10^{3} (1.2 x10^{3}, 4.3 x10^{3})$	4.5 x10 ⁵ (4.0 x10 ⁵ , 5.0 x10 ⁵)

^a A positive sample was defined as having Ct < 40 in PCR detection for at least two out of three

470 SARS-CoV-2 genes (N, S, ORF1ab)

^{b.} GM = geometric mean. The GMs were computed, accounting for samples below the LOD,

472 using a linear mixed-effects model for censored responses (R Project LMEC package) using data

473 for all samples of each sample type with nested random effects of samples within study

474 participant.

475 ^{c.} GM were calculated using data from only positive samples of each sample type with nested

476 random effects of samples within study participant.

477 Table S3a. Viral RNA detection in paired saliva and MTS samples from participants with one or

478 more positive samples (N=14)^a

	MTS I			
Saliva Positive	No	Yes	Total	
No	6	3	9	
Yes	6	26	32	
Total	12	29	41	

479 ^a Cohen's Kappa between the two sample types was calculated as κ =0.43

480 481

482 Table S3b. Viral RNA detection in paired saliva and MTS samples from symptomatic

483 participants with one or more positive samples (N=13)^a
484

Culium Danitium	MTS I	Tatal		
Saliva Posilive	No	Yes	s Total	
No	6	3	9	
Yes	6	25	31	
Total	12	28	40	

485 ^a Cohen's Kappa between the two sample types was calculated as κ =0.42

	Ngene Ct	Orflab Ct	Sgene Ct	Average Ct
MTS	26.7	25.2	25.6	25.8
Saliva	33.4	36.3	34.5	34.7

Table S4. Saliva and MTS testing results for the asymptomatic positive participant (N=1)



491 Sample Types
492 Figure S1. Distribution of Ct values by sample types among 58 participants and 400 samples.



495 Figure S2. Distribution of samples from 13 symptomatic positive cases by days since symptom

496 onset. A) Days -3 through 2, B) Days 3 through 8, C) Days 8 through 24.

494