## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Variable, mean (SD)	Males (n=149)	Females (n=131)	t	р
Birthweight (g)	3316 (470)	3191 (500)	2.159	.032
PMA at Scan (weeks)	41.74 (1.27)	41.58 (1.30)	1.074	.284
Social Advantage	.088 (1.014)	002 (.917)	.784	.434
Psych	169 (.875)	.049 (.891)	-1.135	.257

Table S1. Identification of potential covariates of interest associated with infant sex (N=280)

	Mate BN	ernal /II*	MMR	Score	Mat Canna	ernal abis Use	Mate Tobac	ernal co Use	In Birth	fant weight	Infan at s	t PMA	Infan	t Sex
Volume	r	р	r	р	F	р	F	р	r	p	r	р	t	р
TBV	067	.325	036	.548	.495	.610	7.01	.001	.432	<.001	.519	<.001	6.33	<.001
Total cGM	055	.422	057	.343	.262	.770	5.07	.007	.440	<.001	.618	<.001	5.48	<.001
Total subcortical GM	077	.261	047	.436	1.08	.341	7.12	.001	.410	<.001	.618	<.001	5.53	<.001
Total WM	075	.271	038	.531	.644	.526	7.47	.001	.373	<.001	.307	<.001	6.19	<.001
Total Cerebellum	081	.235	039	.571	.755	.471	4.30	.015	.372	<.001	.678	<.001	5.24	<.001
Total Cortical SA	067	.325	036	.548	.424	.655	2.76	.065	.432	<.001	.519	<.001	5.56	<.001

Table S2. Identification of covariates of interest associated with neonatal volumetric MRI measures at birth (N=280).

MMR = Maternal Medical Risk, PMA = postmenstrual age, TBV = total brain volume, cGM = cortical gray matter, GM = gray matter, WM = white matter, SA = surface area. \* Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI data available for N=215.

	Step 1		Ste	ep 2		Change Statistics		
					FDR-	_		
VOLUMES	β	р	β	р	adjusted q	ΔR <sup>2</sup>	р	
Cortical Gray	- 0		- 0					
Matter	$R^2 = .543$	, p < .001	$R^2 = .559$	9, p < .001				
Sex	231	<.001	235	<.001	.004			
Birthweight	.286	<.001	.247	<.001	.004			
PMA at scan	.540	<.001	.522	<.001	.004			
Tobacco Use	072	.082	034	.427	1.00			
Social								
Advantage			.129	.008	.032	.016	.008	
Psychosocial								
Distress			-0.023	0.597	1.00			
Subcortical								
Gray Matter	$R^2 = .535$	, p < .001	$R^2 = .560$	), p < .001				
Sex	232	<.001	236	<.001	.004			
Birthweight	.250	<.001	.201	<.001	.004			
PMA at scan	.545	<.001	.523	<.001	.004			
Tobacco Use	116	.006	068	.112	.448			
Social			150	002	009	025	. 001	
Ruvaniage			.152	.002	.000	.025	<.001	
Distross			- 046	303	1 00			
DISUESS			040	.505	1.00			
White Matter	R <sup>2</sup> = .298	, p < .001	$R^2 = .367$	7, p < .001				
Sex	281	< .001	290	< .001	.004			
Birthweight	.272	< .001	.188	< .001	.004			
PMA at scan	.226	< .001	.187	< .001	.004			
Tobacco Use	136	.009	056	.272	1.0			

**Table S3.** Full Results of the Hierarchical Regression Models Linking Maternal Social Advantage and Psychosocial Stress with

 Structural MRI Measures at Birth (n=280)

Social							
Advantage			.284	< .001	.004	.069	< .001
Psychosocial			004	054	4.0		
Distress			024	.651	1.0		
Cerebellum	$R^2 = .569$	, p < .001	R <sup>2</sup> = .587	′, p < .001			
Sex	228	< .001	229	< .001	.004		
Birthweight	.206	< .001	.171	< .001	.004		
PMA at scan	.618	< .001	.604	< .001	.004		
Tobacco Use	043	.288	006	.893	1.0		
Social Advantage			.093	.049	.196	.017	.004
Psychosocial Distross			079	072	266		
DISILESS			078	.072	.200		
	Ste	1 מ	Ste	ep 2	adiusted	Change	Statistics
				•			
REGIONS OF		•			-	_	
REGIONS OF INTEREST	β	р	β	р	q	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	р
REGIONS OF INTEREST Left	β 	p	<b>β</b>	p	q	<b>∆</b> R²	р
REGIONS OF INTEREST Left Hippocampus	<b>β</b> R <sup>2</sup> = .197	<b>p</b> , p < .001	<b>β</b> R² =.218	<b>p</b> , p < .001	q	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	<u>р</u>
REGIONS OF INTERESTLeft HippocampusSex	<b>β</b> R <sup>2</sup> = .197 156	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .005	<b>β</b> R <sup>2</sup> =.218 .162	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .003	<b>q</b> .012	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	p
REGIONS OF INTERESTLeft HippocampusSexBirthweight	<b>β</b> R <sup>2</sup> = .197 156 .162	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .005 .004	β R <sup>2</sup> =.218 .162 .115	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .003 .048	<b>q</b> .012 .192	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	р 
REGIONS OF INTERESTLeft HippocampusSexBirthweightPMA at scan	β R <sup>2</sup> = .197 156 .162 .316	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .005 .004 < .001	β R <sup>2</sup> =.218 .162 .115 .293	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .003 .048 < .001	<b>q</b> .012 .192 .004	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	р 
REGIONS OF INTERESTLeft HippocampusSexBirthweightPMA at scanTobacco Use	β R <sup>2</sup> = .197 156 .162 .316 069	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .005 .004 < .001 .209	β R <sup>2</sup> =.218 .162 .115 .293 026	p , p < .001 .003 .048 < .001 .643	q .012 .192 .004 1.00	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	р 
REGIONS OF INTERESTLeft HippocampusSexBirthweightPMA at scanTobacco UseSocial Advantage	β R <sup>2</sup> = .197 156 .162 .316 069	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .005 .004 < .001 .209	β R <sup>2</sup> =.218 .162 .115 .293 026 .170	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .003 .048 < .001 .643 .009	q .012 .192 .004 1.00 .036	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup> .021	р .025
REGIONS OF INTERESTLeft HippocampusSexBirthweightPMA at scanTobacco UseSocial AdvantagePsychosocial Distress	β R <sup>2</sup> = .197 156 .162 .316 069	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .005 .004 < .001 .209	β R <sup>2</sup> =.218 .162 .115 .293 026 .170 .016	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .003 .048 < .001 .643 .009 .784	q .012 .192 .004 1.00 .036 1.00	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup> .021	р .025
REGIONS OF INTERESTLeft HippocampusSexBirthweightPMA at scanTobacco UseSocial AdvantageAdvantagePsychosocial DistressRight Hippocampus	β R <sup>2</sup> = .197 156 .162 .316 069 R <sup>2</sup> =.197,	p , p < .001 .005 .004 < .001 .209	β R <sup>2</sup> =.218 .162 .115 .293 026 .170 .016 R <sup>2</sup> = .219	<b>p</b> , p < .001 .003 .048 < .001 .643 .009 .784	q .012 .192 .004 1.00 .036 1.00	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup> .021	.025

Birthweight	.198	<.001	.151	.010	.040		
PMA at scan	.285	<.001	.262	<.001	.004		
Tobacco Use	101	.067	058	.312	1.00		
Social Advantage			.170	.009	.036	.022	.023
Psychosocial Distress			.012	.837	1.00		
Left Amygdala	R <sup>2</sup> = .381	, p < .001	R <sup>2</sup> = .411	, p < .001			
Sex	290	< .001	297	<.001	.004		
Birthweight	.186	<.001	.130	.010	.040		
PMA at scan	.397	<.001	.370	<.001	.004		
Tobacco Use	147	.003	095	.054	.216		
Social Advantage			.198	<.001	.004	.030	.001
Psychosocial Distress			.007	.893	1.00		
Right Amygdala	R <sup>2</sup> = .387	, p < .001	R <sup>2</sup> = .416	5, p < .001			
Sex	275	<.001	282	<.001	.004		
Birthweight	.230	<.001	.175	.001	.004		
PMA at scan	.401	<.001	.374	<.001	.004		
Tobacco Use	103	.033	052	.292	1.00		
Social Advantage			.195	.001	.004	.030	.001
Psychosocial Distress			.005	.928	1.00		
Relative Left							
a	R <sup>2</sup> .010,	p = .405	R <sup>2</sup> = .015	5, p = .543			

	1	1			1		1
Sex	.074	.224	.072	.239	.096		
PMA at scan	055	.358	049	.430	1.00		
Tobacco Use	.028	.642	.012	.851	1.00		
Social Advantage			024	.731	1.00	.004	.568
Psychosocial Distress			.053	.427	1.00		
Relative Right							
a	R <sup>2</sup> = .024	, p = .085	R <sup>2</sup> = .027	′, p = .189			
Sex	.112	.064	.110	.069	.276		
PMA at scan	100	.096	094	.123	.482		
Tobacco Use	010	.069	018	.793	1.00		
Social Advantage			018	.793	1.00	.003	.649
Psychosocial Distress			.047	.472	1.00		
Relative Left Amygdala <sup>a</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> = .012	, p = .344	R <sup>2</sup> = .022	2, p = .297			
Sex	039	.520	041	.494	1.0		
PMA at scan	076	.206	062	.312	1.0		
Tobacco Use	069	.254	098	.124	.496		
Social Advantage			059	.398	1.0	.010	.252
Psychosocial Distress			.065	.322	1.0		
Relative Right Amygdala <sup>a</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> = .004	, p = .611	R <sup>2</sup> 011	, p = .555			
Sex	013	.826	016	.791	1.00		
PMA at scan	059	.329	049	.427	1.00		

						1	
Tobacco Use	.000	.997	023	.719	1.00		
Social Advantage			038	.591	1.00	.008	.341
Psychosocial							
Distress			.070	.294	1.00		
	Ste	ep 1	Ste	ep 2	FDR- adjusted	Change Statistics	
SURFACE AREA	β	р	β	р	q	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	р
Total Surface Area	R <sup>2</sup> = .498	, p < .001	R <sup>2</sup> = .539	9, p < .001			
Sex	246	<.001	253	<.001	.001		
Birthweight	.267	<.001	.202	<.001	.001		
PMA at scan	.520	<.001	.490	<.001	.001		
Tobacco Use	019	.661	.043	.329	.392		
Social Advantage			.219	<.001	.001	0.041	<.001
Psychosocial Distress			20	.658	.695		

<sup>a</sup> Birthweight was not included as an independent variable for relative region of interest volumes adjusted for total brain volume to avoid overfitting the regression models. Relative region of interest volumes were computed as the volume of the region divided by total brain volume.

Total Brain Volume	Ste	ep 1	St	ер 2	Change Statistics	
	β	р	β	р	<b>∆</b> R <sup>2</sup>	р
	R <sup>2</sup> = .470	R <sup>2</sup> = .470, p < .001		R <sup>2</sup> = .512, p < .001		
Sex	275	< .001	281	< .001		
Birthweight	.290	< .001	.405	< .001		
PMA at scan	.435	< .001	.225	< .001		
Tobacco Use	109	.015	046	.302		
Social Advantage			.214	< .001	.042	< .001
Psychosocial Distress			034	.474		

**Table S4.** Hierarchical Regression Model Linking Maternal Social Advantage and Psychosocial Stress with Total Brain Volumes (TBV) at Birth (*n*=280)

## SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

Figure S1. Participant flow from study enrollment to inclusion in current analysis.

