

# Final sizes and durations of new COVID-19 pandemic waves in Poland and Germany predicted by generalized SIR model

Igor Nesteruk

Institute of Hydromechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine.  
[inesteruk@yahoo.com](mailto:inesteruk@yahoo.com)

## ABSTRACT

New waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe, which began in the autumn of 2021, are a matter of great concern and the need to immediately predict the epidemic dynamics in order to assess the possible maximum values of new cases, the risk of infection and the number of deaths. The generalized SIR-model and corresponding parameter identification procedure was used to simulate and predict the dynamics of new epidemic waves in Poland and Germany. Results of calculations show that new cases in these countries will not stop to appear in 2022.

**Keywords:** COVID-19 pandemic, epidemic waves, epidemic dynamics in Poland, epidemic dynamics in Germany, mathematical modeling of infection diseases, SIR model, parameter identification, statistical methods.

## Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Poland was discussed in [1-9]. The pandemic spreading in Germany was investigated in [10-22]. In particular, to predict the first pandemic wave in Germany, the classical SIR model [23-25] and the statistics-based method of its parameter identification [26] were successfully used in [10, 14]. In the second SIR simulation for Germany [10, 14], the data set about the accumulated numbers of COVID-19 cases for the period: April 9–29, 2020 (according to WHO daily reports, [27]) was used. It was predicted that the first epidemic wave in Germany could stop on August 4, 2020 with the number of cases 176,952. The real accumulated number of cases was 212,022 (see [27]). It means that the accuracy of prediction was 16.5% after 95 days of pandemic monitoring (paper [10] was published in May 2020).

Changes in quarantine restrictions, social behavior, testing and vaccination levels, appearance of new strains, etc. cause the changes in the epidemic dynamics, i.e., so known new waves. To simulate new epidemic waves, a numerical method of their detection [28] was developed. In particular, thirteen epidemic waves were simulated for Ukraine and six pandemic waves for the whole world [14, 31, 34] with the use of a generalized SIR-model [29], and corresponding parameter identification procedures

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

[30]. The correct selection of all the COVID-19 pandemic waves in Poland and Germany is still ahead. Here we will consider the new waves which occurred in October-December 2021 and predict their durations and final sizes with the use of generalized SIR-model.

## Data

**Table 1. Cumulative numbers of laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 cases in Poland in the summer and autumn of 2021 according to the JHU datasets, [32].**

Day in corresponding month of 2021	Number of cases in July, $V_j$	Number of cases in August, $V_j$	Number of cases in September, $V_j$	Number of cases in October, $V_j$	Number of cases in November, $V_j$	Number of cases in December, $V_j$
1	2880010	2883029	2889036	2908432	3030151	3569137
2	2880107	2883120	2889412	2909776	3034668	3596491
3	2880215	2883284	2889773	2910866	3045102	3623452
4	2880270	2883448	2890161	2911549	3060613	3649027
5	2880308	2883624	2890484	2912876	3076518	3671421
6	2880403	2883796	2890666	2914962	3091713	3684671
7	2880503	2883976	2891071	2916969	3104220	3704040
8	2880596	2884098	2891602	2918863	3111534	3732589
9	2880670	2884162	2892113	2920874	3125179	3760048
10	2880755	2884361	2892642	2922401	3143725	3785036
11	2880821	2884557	2893173	2923304	3162804	3808798
12	2880865	2884780	2893649	2925425	3175769	
13	2880959	2884974	2893919	2928065	3190067	
14	2881046	2885185	2894455	2931064	3204515	
15	2881151	2885333	2895223	2933834	3214023	
16	2881241	2885461	2895947	2937069	3230634	
17	2881355	2885676	2896599	2939590	3254875	
18	2881424	2885883	2897395	2941126	3279787	
19	2881491	2886079	2897935	2945056	3303046	
20	2881594	2886291	2898299	2950616	3326464	
21	2881718	2886513	2899008	2956207	3345388	
22	2881840	2886698	2899888	2961923	3357763	
23	2881948	2886805	2900862	2968200	3377698	
24	2882066	2887037	2901674	2972927	3406129	
25	2882146	2887270	2902591	2975880	3434272	
26	2882220	2887485	2903234	2982143	3461066	
27	2882327	2887739	2903655	2990509	3487254	
28	2882465	2888028	2904631	2998891	3507828	
29	2882630	2888231	2905866	3008294	3520961	
30	2882786	2888385	2907071	3018100	3540061	
31	2882939	2888670	-	3025247	-	

We will use the data sets regarding the accumulated numbers of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases in Poland and Germany reported by COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems

Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU), [32]. The corresponding numbers  $V_{j,j}$  and moments of time  $t_j$  (measured in days) are shown in Tables 1 and 2 for the period of July to December 2021. Here we use the datasets, corresponding to the period of November 22 to December 5, 2021 to simulate the 4th wave in Poland and the 5-th wave in Germany. Other  $V_j$  and  $t_j$  values will be used to control the accuracy of predictions and the pandemic dynamics.

**Table 2. Cumulative numbers of laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 cases in Germany in the summer and autumn of 2021 according to the JHU datasets, [32].**

Day in corresponding month of 2021	Number of cases in July, $V_j$	Number of cases in August, $V_j$	Number of cases in September, $V_j$	Number of cases in October, $V_j$	Number of cases in November, $V_j$	Number of cases in December, $V_j$
1	3736959	3778277	3979839	4249061	4619273	5999020
2	3737630	3779797	3993789	4255543	4649964	6072299
3	3738059	3782344	3996688	4260494	4684462	6134492
4	3738470	3786003	4005632	4265001	4722102	6177992
5	3738862	3789460	4013808	4272764	4755887	6200937
6	3739575	3792848	4020587	4284400	4779675	6219259
7	3740567	3795609	4039667	4295876	4792463	6312346
8	3741470	3797849	4044777	4305634	4816177	6339828
9	3742355	3800069	4068495	4312528	4857463	6442846
10	3743164	3803351	4071643	4318437	4908540	6496142
11	3743732	3808838	4080180	4323346	4957374	6528894
12	3744285	3814335	4087125	4331274	5002730	
13	3745312	3819871	4093412	4343591	5037039	
14	3746935	3824546	4102252	4355169	5056242	
15	3748379	3828278	4115342	4366833	5091200	
16	3749944	3831827	4127158	4375253	5144827	
17	3751253	3837218	4137062	4382019	5213193	
18	3752236	3846226	4144165	4388581	5271961	
19	3753220	3854529	4149832	4410921	5329263	
20	3754846	3863495	4155160	4429723	5374446	
21	3756497	3870095	4162437	4447231	5400687	
22	3758425	3876041	4173357	4462324	5448574	
23	3760291	3881633	4183672	4466173	5516623	
24	3761869	3898287	4192606	4476078	5595674	
25	3763018	3911562	4199029	4485437	5670253	
26	3764441	3923250	4204300	4516839	5735837	
27	3766501	3925190	4209098	4545665	5780814	
28	3769552	3933585	4216507	4553744	5804139	
29	3772326	3940212	4228774	4591264	5854884	
30	3774918	3947035	4239773	4608512	5923564	
31	3776724	3965681	-	4607958	-	

## Generalized SIR model and data smoothing procedure

The generalized SIR-model relates the number of susceptible  $S$ , infectious  $I$  and removed persons  $R$  for a particular epidemic wave  $i$ , [14, 29]. The exact solution of the set of non-linear differential equations uses the function

$$V(t) = I(t) + R(t), \quad (1)$$

corresponding to the number of victims or the cumulative laboratory-confirmed number of cases [14, 29]. Its derivative:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \alpha_i SI \quad (2)$$

yields the estimation of the average daily number of new cases. When the registered number of victims  $V_j$  is a random realization of its theoretical dependence (1), the exact solution presented in [14, 29] depends on five parameters ( $\alpha_i$  is one of them). The details of the optimization procedure for their identification can be found in [30].

Since daily numbers of new cases are random and characterized by some weekly periodicity, we will use the smoothed daily number of accumulated cases:

$$\bar{V}_i = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{j=i-3}^{j=i+3} V_j, \quad (3)$$

and its numerical derivative:

$$\left. \frac{d\bar{V}}{dt} \right|_{t=t_i} \approx \frac{1}{2} (\bar{V}_{i+1} - \bar{V}_{i-1}) \quad (4)$$

to estimate the smoothed number of new daily cases [10, 14, 28].

## Results and discussion

The optimal values of the general SIR model and other characteristics of the 4th epidemic wave for Poland and the 5th one in Germany are calculated and listed in Table 3. For comparison, the results for the 13th pandemic wave in Ukraine (calculated in [31]) are also presented in the last column. The corresponding SIR curves are shown in the figure by red lines for Poland and black lines for Germany. The pandemic dynamics in both countries is rather irregular. In particular, the smoothed numbers of new daily cases (4) have multiple minima after August 15, 2021 (see red and black “crosses” in the


figure). It means that pandemic dynamics changed very rapid and many short epidemic waves occurred. In particular, a distinct epidemic wave in Germany in August – September 2021 is visible (see black “crosses”). That is why we use different numbers for autumn epidemic waves in Poland and Germany.

**Table 3. Optimal values of parameters and other characteristics the COVID-19 pandemic waves in Poland, Germany and Ukraine**

<b>Characteristics</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> epidemic wave in Poland, $i=4$	5 <sup>th</sup> epidemic wave in Germany, $i=5$	13 <sup>th</sup> epidemic wave in Ukraine, $i=13$ , [31]
Time period taken for calculations $T_{ci}$	November 22 – December 5, 2021	November 22 – December 5, 2021	October 28 – November 10, 2021
$I_i$	182,880.050730977	175,036.042911984	73,492.9652436053
$R_i$	3,181,514.23498331	5,301,466.81423087	2,801,190.17761354
$N_i$	5,410,976	10,300,000	7,237,600
$\nu_i$	1,574,583.94143908	4,370,737.14176735	4,252,588.61675970
$\alpha_i$	5.96394337877141e-08	6.8098348012594e-08	6.7868529452959e-08
$\rho_i$	0.0939072947186539	0.297639978951643	0.288616935787874
$1/\rho_i$	10.6488	3.35976371024561	3.46480014164856
$r_i$	0.998395644300319	0.996581480894305	0.998339758268927
$S_{i\infty}$	854,850	3,186,419	3,503,575
$V_{i\infty}$	4,556,126	7,113,581	3,734,025
Final day of the epidemic wave	September 13, 2025	April 13, 2023	Nov 13, 2022

For correct SIR simulations, we need to separate periods with more or less stable dynamics first (see [14, 34]). Unfortunately, for the period taken for SIR simulations of pandemic waves in Poland and Germany (November 22 – December 5, 2021), some local minima of the smoothed numbers of new daily cases (4) are visible (see red and black “crosses” in the figure). This fact reduces the accuracy of SIR simulations and predictions. In particular, some problems with the identifications of the optimal values of model parameters occurred.

Table 3 demonstrates that the optimal values of SIR parameters are very different. Close values were obtained only for the average times of spreading the infection  $1/\rho_i$  for Germany and Ukraine. The assessments of the pandemic wave durations (corresponding the moment when the number of infectious persons becomes less than unit) are very pessimistic (April, 2023 for Germany and September 2025 for Poland). Similar long epidemic waves were predicted for India [33] and the whole world [31] with the use of the same generalized SIR model. In particular, if the global situation with vaccination, testing and treatment will not change, the COVID-19 pandemic could continue for another ten years [31].



**Figure. The COVID-19 pandemic waves in Poland (red) and Germany (black) in the autumn of 2021.**

The results of SIR simulations of the 4th wave in Poland and the 5th wave in Germany are shown by red and black lines, respectively. Numbers of victims  $V(t)=I(t)+R(t)$  – solid lines; numbers of infected and spreading  $I(t)$  multiplied by 10 – dashed; derivatives  $dV/dt$  (eq. (2), multiplied by 100) – dotted. “Circles” correspond to the accumulated numbers of cases registered during the period of time taken for SIR simulations. “Stars” correspond to  $V_j$  values beyond this time period. “Crosses” show the first derivative (4) multiplied by 100.

“Stars” and “crosses” in the figure illustrate the accuracy of simulations for the accumulated number of cases and the averaged daily numbers of new cases (eq. (4)). Comparisons with corresponding solid and dotted lines show that the theoretical estimations are consistent with observations for Poland. In the case of Germany the theoretical simulation are close to observations after November 1, 2021. The theory predicts the decrease of the daily numbers of new cases after December 1, 2021 in both countries (see black and red dotted lines). The rate of this decreasing will be higher in Germany and by the end of 2021, the daily number of new cases in Germany is expected to be lower than in Poland. A significant increase in contacts during the New Year and Christmas

holidays or/and the wide spread of new coronavirus strains (e.g., omicron) could disrupt these positive trends.

SIR simulations allows us to estimate the numbers of infectious persons  $I(t)$  and the probabilities to meet such a person in a population. Dashed lines show that the numbers of infectious in Poland are higher than in Germany and are expected to be much higher by the end of 2021. Taking into account the difference in populations of these countries, we can conclude, that contacts during the holidays in Poland are much more dangerous.

Unfortunately, the general SIR model cannot predict the emergence of new epidemic waves. It simulates the dynamics for only the period with constant epidemic conditions. Therefore, permanent monitoring of the number of new cases is needed to determine changes in the epidemic dynamics. After that it will be possible to perform new simulations by means of the generalized SIR model with calculation and use of new values of its parameters.

## Conclusions

The generalized SIR-model and corresponding parameter identification procedure was used to simulate and predict the dynamics of two new epidemic waves in Poland and Germany. If the situation with vaccination, testing and treatment will not change, the new COVID-19 will not stop to appear in 2022.

## Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Oleksii Rodionov for his help in collecting and processing data.

## References

1. Raciborski F, Pinkas J, Jankowski M, et al. Dynamics of the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in Poland: an epidemiological analysis of the first 2 months of the epidemic. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2020; 130: 615-621. doi:10.20452/pamw.15430
2. M Wielechowski, K Czech, Ł Grzęda. Decline in Mobility: Public Transport in Poland in the time of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Economies* 2020, 8(4), 78; <https://doi.org/390/economies8040078> 10.3
3. Gujski M. et al. Epidemiological Analysis of the First 1389 Cases of COVID-19 in Poland: A Preliminary Report *J. Clin. Med.* 2020, 9(10), 3245; <https://doi.org/10.3390/9103245> jcm
4. Raciborski F. et al. Dynamics of the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in Poland: an epidemiological analysis of the first 2 months of the epidemic. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2020 Aug 27;130(7-8):615-621. doi: 10.20452/pamw.15430.
5. Pinkas J. et al. Public Health Interventions to Mitigate Early Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Poland. *Med Sci Monit.* 2020 Apr 13; 26:e924730. doi: 10.12659/MSM.924730.
6. Nesteruk, I., Kydybyn, I., Demelmair, G. GLOBAL STABILIZATION TRENDS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC. *KPI Science News*, 2020, No. 2, pp. 55-62. DOI:10.20535/kpi-sn.2020.2.205124
7. Piasecki T, Mucha PB, Rosińska M. A new SEIR type model including quarantine effects and its application to analysis of Covid-19 pandemia in Poland in March-April 2020. *Research gate*, May 2020. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341785478\\_A\\_new\\_SEIR\\_type\\_model\\_including\\_quarantine\\_effects\\_and\\_its\\_application\\_to\\_analysis\\_of\\_Covid-19\\_pandemia\\_in\\_Poland\\_in\\_March-April\\_2020](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341785478_A_new_SEIR_type_model_including_quarantine_effects_and_its_application_to_analysis_of_Covid-19_pandemia_in_Poland_in_March-April_2020)



8. Arti M.K., Antoni Wilinski. Mathematical modeling and estimation for next wave of COVID-19 in Poland. November 2021. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment. DOI: 10.1007/s00477-021-02119-5
9. Borucka A, Kozłowski E, Piotr Oleszczuk P, Mazurkiewicz D. The Use of Mathematical Models Describing the Spread of Covid-19 in Strategic State Security Management. European Research Studies Journal 2020(special issue 3):82-98. DOI: 10.35808/ersj/1855
10. Nesteruk I. Simulations and predictions of COVID-19 pandemic with the use of SIR model. Innov Biosyst Bioeng. 2020. vol. 4. no. 2. 110–121. doi: 10.20535/ibb.2020.4.2.204274. <http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/204274>
11. Maria Vittoria Barbarossa et al. Modeling the spread of COVID-19 in Germany: Early assessment and possible scenarios. September 2020. PLoS ONE 15(9):e0238559 DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0238559
12. Kai Wang et al. Modelling the initial epidemic trends of COVID-19 in Italy, Spain, Germany, and France. November 2020. PLoS ONE 15(11):e0241743. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0241743
13. Goetz Th, Heidrich P. Early stage COVID-19 disease dynamics in Germany: models and parameter identification. December 2020. Journal of Mathematics in Industry 10(1). DOI: 10.1186/s13362-020-00088-y
14. Nesteruk I. COVID-19 pandemic dynamics. Springer Nature. 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5>
15. Pardhan S., Drydakis N. Associating the Change in New COVID-19 Cases to GDP per Capita in 38 European Countries in the First Wave of the Pandemic. Front Public Health. 2021 Jan 20;8:582140. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.582140.
16. Andreas Schuppert et al. Effectiveness of extended shutdown measures during the 'Bundesnotbremse' introduced in the third SARS-CoV-2 wave in Germany. December 2021. Infection 49(6):1-5. DOI: 10.1007/s15010-021-01713-7
17. Schüler L, Calabrese JM, Attinger S. Data driven high resolution modeling and spatial analyses of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. August 2021. PLoS ONE 16(8):e0254660. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0254660
18. Radev ST et al. OutbreakFlow: Model-based Bayesian inference of disease outbreak dynamics with invertible neural networks and its application to the COVID-19 pandemics in Germany. October 2021. PLoS Computational Biology 17(10):e1009472. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009472
19. Berit Lange. Assessment of the infection dynamics and the disease burden of COVID-19 in Germany. March 2021. Public Health Forum 29(1):57-60. DOI: 10.1515/pubhef-2020-0122
20. Tomori V. et al. Individual social contact data and population mobility data as early markers of SARS-CoV-2 transmission dynamics during the first wave in Germany—an analysis based on the COVIMOD study. October 2021. BMC Medicine 19(1). DOI: 10.1186/s12916-021-02139-6
21. Nakajima E. et al. Estimating Parameters of a SEIRD Model Applied to SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Germany based on the Particle Swarm Optimization Method. July 2021. Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences 15(4):423-428. DOI: 10.18576/amis/150403
22. Maria Teresa Signes Pont MTS, Cortes JJ, Mora HM. An Epidemic Grid Model to Address the Spread of Covid-19: A Comparison Between Italy, Germany and France. February 2021. Mathematical and Computational Applications 26(1):14. DOI: 10.3390/mca26010014
23. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. A Contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. J Royal Stat Soc Ser A. 1927;115:700-21.
24. Murray JD. Mathematical Biology I/II. New York: Springer; 2002.
25. Langemann D, Nesteruk I, Prestin J. Comparison of mathematical models for the dynamics of the Chernivtsi children disease. Mathematics in Computers and Simulation. 2016;123:68-79. DOI: 10.1016/j.matcom.2016.01.003
26. Nesteruk I. Statistics based models for the dynamics of Chernivtsi children disease. Naukovi Visti NTUU KPI. 2017;5:26-34. DOI: 10.20535/1810-0546.2017.5.108577
27. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Situation Reports [Internet]. Who.int. 2020 [cited 2020 May 9]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/>



28. Nesteruk I. Identification of the New Waves of the COVID-19 Pandemic. In book: COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5\_8. [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5\\_8](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_8)
29. Nesteruk I. General SIR Model and Its Exact Solution. In book: COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5\_9. [https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5\\_9.pdf](https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5_9.pdf)
30. Nesteruk I. Procedures of Parameter Identification for the Waves of Epidemics. In book: COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5\_10. [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5\\_10](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5_10)
31. Nesteruk I. Final sizes and durations of new COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine and around the world predicted by generalized SIR model. MedRxiv. November 2021. DOI: 10.1101/2021.11.22.21266683
32. COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU). <https://github.com/owid/covid-19-data/tree/master/public/data>
33. Nesteruk I. The COVID-19 pandemic dynamic in India in the spring and summer of 2021. J Bio Med Open Access. 2021;2(2):123.
34. Nesteruk I. Detections and SIR simulations of the COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine. Comput. Math. Biophys. 2021;9:46–65. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cmb-2020-0117>