## Supporting information to manuscript 'The efficacy of sampling strategies for estimating scabies prevalence'

Nefel Tellioglu<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca H. Chisholm<sup>2,3</sup>, Jodie McVernon<sup>3,4</sup>, Nicholas Geard<sup>1,5</sup>, Patricia T. Campbell<sup>3,4\*</sup>

**1** School of Computing and Information Systems, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

2 Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Australia3 Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and

Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

**4** Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, The Royal Melbourne Hospital and The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

5 Department of Infectious Diseases, The University of Melbourne, Australia

\* patricia.campbell@unimelb.edu.au

**S1 Fig.** Observed scabies prevalence in samples for given sampling percentages, across each of the different scabies assignment methods. The results (median and 2.5% to 97.5% quantiles) are plotted for an exemplar input prevalence percentage between 20–30% across all population sizes where (a) random, (b) high household-specific, (c) mild household-specific, (d) age-specific, (e) age-and-household-specific scabies assignment method is used. Red dashed lines represent 20% and 30% prevalence.



**S2 Fig.** Observed scabies prevalence in samples selected using different scabies assignment methods and different input prevalence percentages. The results (median and 2.5% to 97.5% quantiles) are plotted for four exemplar input prevalence percentages of (a) 5%, (b) 10%, (c) 20%, (d) 40% across all population sizes with a sampling percentage of 20%. Red dashed lines represent the input prevalences.



S3 Fig. Observed scabies prevalence in samples selected using different sampling methods and sampling percentages across populations with (a) small ([500, 1500]), (b) medium ((1500, 2500]), and (c) large sizes ((2500,4000]). The results (median and 2.5% to 97.5% quantiles) are plotted for an exemplar input prevalence percentage between 20-30% with a sampling percentage of 20%. Red dashed lines represent 20% and 30% prevalence. In the school-based sampling strategy the highest sampling percentages could not be achieved due to insufficient population size in the school aged group.



S4 Fig. Observed scabies prevalence in samples selected using different sampling methods, sampling percentages, and input prevalence. The results (median and 2.5% to 97.5% quantiles) are plotted for four exemplar input prevalence percentages of (a) 5%, (b) 10%, (c) 20%, (d) 40% across all population sizes with a sampling percentage of 20%. Red dashed lines represent the input prevalences. In the school-based sampling strategy the highest sampling percentages could not be achieved due to insufficient population size in the school aged group.



## S5 Fig. A generic pseudo code for measuring the efficacy of sampling methods in estimating point prevalence of a given disease.

1 .	Assign population characteristics such as population size $(N)$ , household									
	distribution, and age distribution in household groups;									
2	Assign disease assignment methods based on how disease prevalence differs in									
	sub-populations such as age, gender, and household groups;									
3	Assign a range for the prevalence percentage of disease based on a priori									
	knowledge of the disease in the population;									
4	Assign a set of sampling methods and sampling percentages;									
5	Set the number of populations to be generated, $M$ ;									
6 1	for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$ do									
7	Generate a population dataset, with size of $N$ by assigning household and									
	age groups;									
8	for each disease assignment method $do$									
9	<b>for</b> each specified prevalence percentage <b>do</b>									
10	Assign scabies to selected individuals based on disease assignment									
	method and specified prevalence percentage;									
11	for each sampling method do									
12	for each sampling percentage do									
13	Sample the population using the sampling method and									
	sampling percentage;									
14	end									
15	end									
16	end									
17	end									
18 4	end									
10 0	Compare the prevalence percentage in the overall and sampled population									
19 1	compare the preductice percentage in the overall and sampled population									

S1 Table. Required sample sizes estimated from simulation results for the simple random, household, and school sampling methods to achieve a given precision, where input scabies prevalence is distributed according to the random method. Small, medium, and large population sizes represent ranges of [500, 1500], (1500, 2500], (2500, 4000]. For the scenarios with X's in school sampling, sampling all school-aged children was insufficient to have 95% confidence that prevalence within the selected precision could be obtained.

		Precision									
		Simple Random			H	ouseho	ld	School			
		S	amplin	g	S	amplin	g	Sampling			
Population	a priori	2%	5%	10%	2%	50%	10%	2%	5%	1007	
Size	prevalence (%)	270	570	1070	270	570	1070	270	570	1070	
Small	5-10	50%	15%	5%	50%	15%	5%	Х	15%	5%	
Small	>10-20	60%	25%	10%	70%	25%	10%	Х	20%	10%	
Small	>20-30	80%	30%	10%	80%	30%	10%	Х	Х	10%	
Small	>30-40	80%	30%	15%	80%	40%	10%	Х	Х	15%	
Medium	5-10	30%	10%	3%	25%	10%	3%	X	10%	3%	
Medium	>10-20	50%	10%	5%	50%	15%	5%	X	15%	3%	
Medium	>20-30	50%	20%	5%	60%	15%	5%	X	20%	5%	
Medium	>30-40	60%	20%	5%	60%	20%	10%	X	20%	5%	
Large	5-10	20%	5%	3%	25%	3%	1%	20%	5%	3%	
Large	>10-20	40%	10%	3%	40%	10%	3%	X	10%	3%	
Large	>20-30	40%	10%	3%	50%	10%	3%	Х	15%	3%	
Large	>30-40	50%	15%	3%	50%	10%	3%	Х	15%	3%	

S2 Table. Required sample sizes estimated from simulation results for the simple random, household, and school sampling methods to achieve a given precision, where input scabies prevalence is distributed according to the high household-specific method. Small, medium, and large population sizes represent ranges of [500, 1500], (1500, 2500], (2500, 4000]. For the scenarios with X's in school sampling, sampling all school-aged children was insufficient to have 95% confidence that prevalence within the selected precision could be obtained.

		Precision									
		Simple Random			Household			School			
		S	amplin	g	Sampling			Sampling			
Population	a priori	20%	50%	10%	20%	50%	10%	20%	50%	10%	
Size	prevalence (%)	270	J70	1070	270	370	1070	270	J70	1070	
Small	5-10	50%	15%	5%	>90%	50%	20%	Х	15%	5%	
Small	>10-20	70%	25%	10%	>90%	70%	40%	Х	X	15%	
Small	>20-30	70%	40%	10%	>90%	80%	50%	Х	Х	10%	
Small	>30-40	90%	30%	10%	>90%	80%	50%	Х	Х	10%	
Medium	5-10	40%	10%	3%	80%	25%	15%	Х	10%	3%	
Medium	>10-20	60%	15%	3%	90%	60%	20%	Х	15%	3%	
Medium	>20-30	70%	20%	5%	>90%	60%	25%	Х	20%	5%	
Medium	>30-40	80%	20%	10%	>90%	70%	25%	Х	Х	10%	
Large	5-10	30%	5%	1%	70%	25%	10%	Х	5%	3%	
Large	>10-20	40%	10%	3%	90%	40%	15%	Х	10%	3%	
Large	>20-30	50%	10%	3%	90%	50%	15%	Х	15%	5%	
Large	>30-40	50%	15%	3%	90%	50%	20%	Х	15%	3%	

S3 Table. Required sample sizes estimated from simulation results for the simple random, household, and school sampling methods to achieve a given precision, where input scabies prevalence is distributed according to the mild household-specific method. Small, medium, and large population sizes represent ranges of [500, 1500], (1500, 2500], (2500, 4000]. For the scenarios with X's in school sampling, sampling all school-aged children was insufficient to have 95% confidence that prevalence within the selected precision could be obtained.

		Precision									
		Simple Random			Household			School			
		S	amplin	g	Sampling			Sampling			
Population	a priori	2%	5%	10%	2%	5%	10%	2%	5%	10%	
Size	prevalence (%)	270	570	1070	270	570	1070	270	J70	1070	
Small	5-10	60%	15%	5%	80%	40%	10%	Х	15%	5%	
Small	>10-20	70%	25%	10%	>90%	50%	20%	Х	20%	10%	
Small	>20-30	80%	30%	10%	>90%	60%	20%	Х	20%	10%	
Small	>30-40	90%	40%	15%	90%	50%	20%	Х	Х	10%	
Medium	5-10	30%	10%	3%	70%	25%	10%	Х	10%	3%	
Medium	>10-20	60%	15%	3%	80%	30%	15%	Х	15%	5%	
Medium	>20-30	70%	15%	5%	80%	40%	15%	Х	15%	5%	
Medium	>30-40	60%	20%	5%	80%	40%	15%	Х	Х	5%	
Large	5-10	20%	5%	1%	60%	20%	5%	Х	5%	3%	
Large	>10-20	40%	10%	3%	70%	25%	10%	Х	10%	3%	
Large	>20-30	60%	15%	3%	80%	25%	10%	Х	10%	3%	
Large	>30-40	50%	15%	3%	70%	25%	10%	Х	15%	3%	

S4 Table. Required sample sizes estimated from simulation results for the simple random, household, and school sampling methods to achieve a given precision, where input scabies prevalence is distributed according to the age-specific method. Small, medium, and large population sizes represent ranges of [500, 1500], (1500, 2500], (2500, 4000]. For the scenarios with X's in school sampling, sampling all school-aged children was insufficient to have 95% confidence that prevalence within the selected precision could be obtained.

		Precision									
		Simple Random			Ho	School					
		Sa	ampling	5	Sa	Sampling					
Population	a priori	20%	50%	10%	20%	50%	10%	20%	50%	10%	
Size	prevalence (%)	270	570	1070	270	570	1070	270	370	1070	
Small	5-10	50%	20%	3%	50%	10%	5%	Х	Х	X	
Small	>10-20	70%	20%	10%	80%	25%	10%	Х	Х	X	
Small	>20-30	80%	30%	10%	90%	40%	15%	Х	Х	Х	
Small	>30-40	>90%	70%	10%	>90%	70%	15%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	5-10	40%	5%	3%	30%	10%	3%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	>10-20	50%	15%	5%	50%	15%	5%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	>20-30	70%	15%	5%	80%	20%	10%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	>30-40	>90%	25%	5%	>90%	30%	10%	Х	Х	Х	
Large	5-10	20%	5%	3%	20%	5%	3%	Х	Х	Х	
Large	>10-20	50%	10%	3%	40%	10%	3%	Х	Х	Х	
Large	>20-30	50%	15%	3%	70%	15%	3%	Х	Х	X	
Large	>30-40	>90%	20%	5%	>90%	30%	10%	Х	Х	X	

S5 Table. Required sample sizes estimated from simulation results for the simple random, household, and school sampling methods to achieve a given precision, where input scabies prevalence is distributed according to the age-and-household-specific method. Small, medium, and large population sizes represent ranges of [500, 1500], (1500, 2500], (2500, 4000]. For the scenarios with X's in school sampling, sampling all school-aged children was insufficient to have 95% confidence that prevalence within the selected precision could be obtained.

		Precision									
		Simple Random			Household			School			
		S	Sampling Sampling				S	Sampling			
Population	a priori	20%	5%	10%	2%	5%	10%	2%	5%	10%	
Size	prevalence (%)	270	370	1070	270	070	1070	270	070	1070	
Small	5-10	60%	15%	5%	90%	50%	20%	Х	Х	Х	
Small	>10-20	70%	25%	10%	>90%	50%	20%	Х	Х	Х	
Small	>20-30	90%	25%	10%	>90%	60%	25%	Х	Х	Х	
Small	>30-40	90%	30%	15%	>90%	60%	20%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	5-10	30%	10%	3%	70%	25%	10%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	>10-20	50%	10%	3%	90%	40%	15%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	>20-30	60%	15%	5%	90%	40%	15%	Х	Х	Х	
Medium	>30-40	60%	15%	5%	80%	40%	15%	Х	Х	Х	
Large	5-10	30%	5%	3%	60%	15%	5%	Х	Х	Х	
Large	>10-20	40%	10%	3%	70%	25%	10%	Х	Х	Х	
Large	>20-30	50%	15%	3%	80%	25%	10%	Х	Х	X	
Large	>30-40	50%	15%	3%	80%	25%	10%	Х	Х	Х	