

## Computed Tomography Radiomics Signatures: Sensitive biomarkers for clinical decision support in pancreatic cancer- a pilot study

Abbas Habibalahi<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel Moses<sup>2,3</sup>, Jared Campbell<sup>1,2</sup>, Saabah Mahbub<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew P Barbour<sup>4,5</sup>, Jaswinder S Samra<sup>6</sup>, Koroush S Haghighi<sup>7</sup>, Val J GebSKI<sup>8</sup>, David Goldstein<sup>7,9</sup>, Ewa Goldys<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence Centre for Nanoscale Biophotonics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, 2052, NSW, Australia

<sup>2</sup>The Graduate School of Biomedical Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, 2052, NSW, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Imaging, Randwick Campus Hospitals, Sydney, 2052, NSW, Australia

<sup>4</sup>The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, 4102, Queensland, Australia

<sup>5</sup>Queensland Melanoma Project, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, 4102, Queensland, Australia

<sup>6</sup>The University of Sydney, Northern Clinical School, Sydney, 2006, NSW, Australia

<sup>7</sup>Prince of Wales Hospital, Prince of Wales Clinical School, University of New South Wales, 2052, NSW, Australia.

<sup>8</sup>NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, 2006, NSW, Australia

<sup>9</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, 2052, NSW, Australia


### Supplementary materials

**Supplementary table 1. Patient characterization**

PID	Inclusion/Exclusion	Reason for exclusion	age	cea	ca19	bmi
GAP0001	Excluded	PET Scan	64.7	4.2	5	28.8
GAP0002	Excluded	PET Scan	62.0	2.7	66	30.3
GAP0003	Included	NA	63.4	4	70	24.4
GAP0004	Included	NA	66.6	5.5	5	23.4
GAP0005	Excluded	PET Scan	60.3	2.6	30	23.7
GAP0006	Included	NA	64.5	2.1	13	34.0
GAP0007	Included	NA	63.2	5.8	44	36.7
GAP0008	Excluded	Arterial phase only	78.1	26	5441	21.1
GAP0009	Included	NA	70.1	3	740	37.1
GAP0010	Included	NA	49.1	2	221	30.4
GAP0011	Excluded	PET Scan	59.9	1.6	1	29.9
GAP0012	Included	NA	68.1	2	19	28.9
GAP0013	Included	NA	53.6	25	296	26.2
GAP0014	Excluded	Technical problem	65.9	6.2	360	29.0
GAP0015	Included	NA	47.7	31	81	25.4
GAP0016	Included	NA	54.3	5	1	25.2
GAP0017	Included	NA	47.8	32	4359	28.7

GAP0018	Included	NA	71.0	2.7	1109	23.5
GAP0019	Included	NA	66.8	2.5	3300	21.6
GAP0020	Included	NA	66.3	0.7	133	26.6
GAP0021	Included	NA	50.2	1	21	20.4
GAP0022	Excluded	PET Scan	67.0	6.1	128	34.4
GAP0023	Included	NA	77.6	5.3	440	25.4
GAP0024	Included	NA	71.1	0.5	58	24.2
GAP0025	Included	NA	58.3	3	2	19.0
GAP0026	Excluded	Empty folder	69.7	1	37	25.4
GAP0027	Excluded	MRI Scan	66.7	1.3	86	47.4
GAP0028	Excluded	Technical problem	76.4	0.6	7	18.5
GAP0029	Included	NA	68.3	3	470	21.3
GAP0030	Included	NA	76.1	2	7305	26.4
GAP0031	Included	NA	59.3	3	16	37.1
GAP0032	Included	NA	62.4	1	80	34.1
GAP0033	Included	NA	78.6	5.2	65	21.6
GAP0034	Included	NA	66.8	1.3	562	27.0
GAP0035	Included	NA	42.8		47	19.5
GAP0036	Included	Technical problem	67.6	6.5	1200	26.0
GAP0037	Excluded	PET Scan	60.7	4.8	954	27.4
GAP0038	Excluded	PET Scan	69.1	2.3	47	25.7
GAP0039	Included	NA	75.7	5	21	25.0
GAP0040	Excluded	PET Scan	63.7	1.3	1279	30.4
GAP0041	Excluded	PET Scan	60.3	1.4	116	27.0
GAP0042	Included	NA	58.0	1	274	20.7

**Supplementary figure 1. Consort flow diagram**



Supplementary figure 1. Consort flow diagram representing the number of patients used in each radiomic signature.

Supplementary Table 2. Tumor radiomic signature

Feature No	Feature name	Coefficient (PC1)
1	DependenceNonUniformityNormalized	-0.21
2	DependenceVariance	0.19
3	LargeDependenceEmphasis	0.19

4	DependenceEntropy	0.22
5	SmallDependenceEmphasis	-0.15
6	ZonePercentage	-0.14
7	GrayLevelNonUniformity	0.21
8	SmallDependenceLowGrayLevelEmphasis	-0.20
9	GrayLevelNonUniformity	0.20
10	ZoneVariance	0.19
11	LargeAreaLowGrayLevelEmphasis	0.15
12	LargeAreaEmphasis	0.19
13	ZoneEntropy	0.19
14	LargeDependenceHighGrayLevelEmphasis	0.19
15	Idmn	0.17
16	Busyness	0.13
17	Coarseness	-0.15
18	RunLengthNonUniformity	0.18
19	Idn	0.14
20	DependenceNonUniformity	0.18
21	ShortRunLowGrayLevelEmphasis	-0.18
22	TotalEnergy	0.13
23	LowGrayLevelRunEmphasis	-0.16
24	LowGrayLevelEmphasis	-0.16
25	GrayLevelNonUniformity	0.16
26	LargeAreaHighGrayLevelEmphasis	0.17
27	Median	-0.11
28	Minimum	-0.17
29	90Percentile	-0.09
30	LowGrayLevelZoneEmphasis	-0.15
31	Mean	-0.11
32	Contrast	-0.09
33	GrayLevelNonUniformityNormalized	-0.15
34	SmallAreaLowGrayLevelEmphasis	-0.14

**Supplementary Table 3. Treatment radiomic signature**

Feature no	Feature name	Coefficient (PC1)
1	DependenceNonUniformityNormalized"	-0.21
2	"DependenceEntropy"	0.22
3	"Flatness"	0.20
4	"DependenceVariance"	0.17
5	"Coarseness"	-0.20
6	"LeastAxisLength"	0.21
7	"Maximum2DDiameterColumn"	0.20
8	"LargeDependenceEmphasis"	0.16
9	"SmallDependenceLowGrayLevelEmphasis"	-0.20
10	"ZoneEntropy"	0.20
11	"RunLengthNonUniformityNormalized"	0.16

12	"SurfaceVolumeRatio"	-0.19
13	"Maximum2DDiameterRow"	0.18
14	"Idmn"	0.16
15	"Maximum3DDiameter"	0.18
16	"ShortRunEmphasis"	0.16
17	"RunPercentage"	0.16
18	"Idn"	0.12
19	"SmallDependenceEmphasis"	-0.10
20	"GrayLevelNonUniformityNormalized"	-0.17
21	"LargeDependenceHighGrayLevelEmphasis"	0.18
22	"LowGrayLevelRunEmphasis"	-0.19
23	"ZonePercentage"	-0.10
24	"MinorAxisLength"	0.20
25	"LowGrayLevelEmphasis"	-0.18
26	"SurfaceArea"	0.19
27	"MajorAxisLength"	0.14
28	"LowGrayLevelZoneEmphasis"	-0.18
29	"SmallAreaLowGrayLevelEmphasis"	-0.17
30	"ShortRunLowGrayLevelEmphasis"	-0.18

**Supplementary Table 4. DFS radiomic signature**


Feature No	Feature name	Coefficient
1	ZoneEntropy	-1.19
2	"GrayLevelNonUniformityNormalized"	-0.82
3	"LeastAxisLength"	-0.85
4	"Maximum2DDiameterRow"	1.14
5	"SmallDependenceLowGrayLevelEmphasis"	-0.21

**Supplementary Table 5. OS radiomic signature**

Feature No	Feature name	Coefficient
1	ZoneEntropy	-0.99
2	ClusterTendency	-9.05
3	Correlation	1.21
4	DifferenceVariance	8.40
5	RootMeanSquared	0.50

**Supplementary figure 2: DFS and OS classification**

**Description:** The DFS and OS radiomic signatures were fed to a linear classifier to predict patient group labels. The ROC corresponding to DFS and OS was cross validated with AUC found to be  $0.94\pm 0.07$  and  $0.87\pm 0.17$ , respectively.



*Supplementary figure2. (a) ROC corresponding to DFS to classify long vs. short survival time with  $AUC=0.94\pm 0.07$ . 95% confidence interval shaded in blue (b) ROC corresponding to OS to classify long vs. short survival time with  $AUC=0.87\pm 0.17$ . 95% confidence interval shaded in blue.*