

Development of an electronic frailty index for hospitalized geriatric patients in Sweden


Jonathan K. L. Mak¹, Sara Hägg¹, Maria Eriksdotter^{2,3}, Martin Annetorp^{2,3}, Ralf Kuja-Halkola¹, Laura Kananen^{1,4}, Anne-Marie Boström^{3,5}, Miia Kivipelto^{2,3}, Carina Metzner^{2,3}, Viktoria Bäck Jerlardtz⁶, Malin Engström⁷, Peter Johnson⁸, Lars Göran Lundberg⁹, Elisabet Åkesson^{10,11}, Carina Sühl Öberg¹², Maria Olsson^{13,14}, Tommy Cederholm^{2,3,15}, Juulia Jylhävä^{1,4}, Dorota Religa^{2,3}

- ¹ Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ² Division of Clinical Geriatrics, Department of Neurobiology, Care sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ³ Theme Inflammation and Aging, Karolinska University Hospital, Huddinge, Sweden
- ⁴ Faculty of Social Sciences (Health Sciences) and Gerontology Research Center (GEREC), University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland
- ⁵ Division of Nursing, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁶ Department of Geriatric Medicine, Jakobsbergsgeriatriken, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁷ Department of Geriatric Medicine, Sabbatsbergsgeriatriken, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁸ Department of Geriatric Medicine, Capio Geriatrik Nacka AB, Nacka, Sweden
- ⁹ Department of Geriatric Medicine, Dalengeriatriken Aleris Närsjukvård AB, Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹⁰ Research and Development Unit, Stockholms Sjukhem, Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹¹ Division of Neurogeriatrics, Department of Neurobiology, Care sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹² Department of Geriatric Medicine, Handengeriatriken, Aleris Närsjukvård AB, Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹³ Department of Geriatric Medicine, Capio Geriatrik Löwet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹⁴ Department of Geriatric Medicine, Capio Geriatrik Sollentuna, Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹⁵ Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden


Correspondence: Jonathan K. L. Mak
Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Nobels väg 12A, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden
Email: jonathan.mak@ki.se

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA


Supplementary Figure 1. Flowchart of sample selection	2
Supplementary Figure 2. Distribution of the electronic frailty index by sex (n = 13,188)	3
Supplementary Figure 3. Box plot of the electronic frailty index by levels of the Clinical Frailty Scale (n = 4,945)	4
Supplementary Table 1. List of the 48 deficit items and coding for construction of the electronic frailty index	5
Supplementary Table 2. Sample characteristics by availability of the electronic frailty index	7
Supplementary Table 3. Spearman's correlations between frailty and comorbidity measures (n = 4,945)	8
Supplementary Table 4. Logistic regression models for the associations between the electronic frailty index and in-hospital mortality stratified by the admitting clinics	9
Supplementary Table 5. Logistic regression models for the associations between frailty and comorbidity measures and 30-day readmission (n = 10,448)	10
Supplementary Table 6. Linear regression models for the associations between frailty and comorbidity measures and length of stay (n = 13,188)	11



Supplementary Figure 1. Flowchart of sample selection



Supplementary Figure 2. Distribution of the electronic frailty index by sex (n = 13,188)



Supplementary Figure 3. Box plot of the electronic frailty index by levels of the Clinical Frailty Scale (n = 4,945)

Supplementary Table 1. List of the 48 deficit items and coding for construction of the electronic frailty index

No.	Deficits	Coding
Diagnosis code-based deficits		
1	Anemia	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: D50.0, D50.8, D50.9, D51–D53
2	Asthma	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: J45
3	Atrial fibrillation	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I48
4	Cancer	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: C00–C97
5	Chronic pain	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: F45.4, M08.1, M25.5, M43.2–M43.6, M45, M46.1, M46.3, M46.4, M46.9, M47, M48.0, M48.1, M48.8, M48.9, M50.8, M50.9, M51, M53.1–M53.3, M53.8, M53.9, M54, M60.8, M60.9, M63.3, M79.0–M79.2, M79.6, M79.7, M96.1
6	Congestive heart failure	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I09.9, I11.0, I13.0, I13.2, I25.5, I42.0, I42.5–I42.9, I43, I50, P29.0
7	Coronary atherosclerosis and other heart disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I20, I24, I25, Z95.1
8*	Dementia	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: F00–F03, F05.1, G30, G31.1
9	Depression	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: F20.4, F31.3–F31.5, F32, F33, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2
10	Diabetes	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: E10–E14
11	Dizziness or vertigo	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: H81.0, H81.1, H81.2, H81.3, H81.4, H81.8, H81.9, H82.9, H83.0, H83.1, H83.2, H83.8, H83.9, R42
12	Dyspnea	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: R06.0, R06.2–R06.4, R06.8, R06.9
13	Fragility fracture	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: M48.5, M80.0, M80.8, M84.3–M84.7, S02, S12, S22, S32, S42, S52, S62, S72, S82, S92
14*	Hypertension	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I10–I13, I15
15	Hypotension/syncope	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I95, R55
16	Liver disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: B18, I85, I86.4, I98.2, K70, K71.1, K71.3–K71.5, K71.7, K72–K74, K76.0, K76.2–K76.9, Z94.4
17	Myocardial infarction	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I21, I22, I25.2
18	Osteoporosis	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: M80, M81
19	Parkinsonism and tremor	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: G20, G21, G22, G25
20	Peptic ulcer	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: K25–K28
21	Peripheral vascular disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I70, I71, I73.1, I73.8, I73.9, I77.1, I79.0, I79.2, K55.1, K55.8, K55.9, Z95.8, Z95.9
22	Pulmonary disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I27.8, I27.9, J40–J47, J60–J67, J68.4, J70.1, J70.3
23	Renal disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: I12.0, I13.1, N03.2–N03.7, N05.2–N05.7, N18, N19, N25.0, Z49.0–Z49.2, Z94.0, Z99.2
24	Rheumatoid arthritis or osteoarthritis	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: M05, M06, M15–M19, M31.5, M32–M34, M35.1, M35.3, M36.0
25	Skin ulcer	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: L89, L97, L98.4
26	Stroke or transient ischemic attack	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: G45.0–G45.3, G45.8–G45.9, H34.1, I60, I61, I63, I64
27	Thyroid disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: E00–E07, E89.0, R94.6
28	Urinary system disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: F52.9, N13, N20, N21, N23, N25, N27, N28, N31, N32, N35, N36, N39, R30, R31, R33, R33.9, R34, R35, R36.0, R36.9, R39, R80, R82.0–R82.3, R82.5, R93.4, R94.4, Z43.5, Z43.6, Z46.6, Z87.4, Z93.5, Z93.6, Z96.0
29	Valvular disease	1 = Any of the ICD-10 codes: A52.0, I05–I08, I09.1, I09.8, I34–I39, Q23.0–Q23.3, Z95.2–Z95.4
Functioning and other health indicators		
30	Activity limitation	Norton: 0 = Ambulant; 1/3 = Walks with help; 2/3 = Chairbound; 1 = Bedfast MNA: 0 = Goes out; 1/3 = Able to get out of bed/chair but does not go out; 1 = Bed or chair bound (Take the maximum of either one)
31	Cognitive impairment	Downton: 0 = Oriented; 1 = Confused Norton: 0 = Alert or Apathetic; 1 = Confused or Stuporous (Take the maximum of either one)
32	Falls	Downton: 0 = No; 1 = Yes
33	Food intake status	MNA: 0 = No decrease in food intake; 0.5 = Moderate decrease in food intake; 1 = Severe decrease in food intake Norton: 0 = Normal portion; 1/3 = 3/4 portion; 2/3 = 1/2 portion; 1 = 1/2 portion (Take the maximum of either one)
34	General condition	Norton: 0 = Good; 1/3 = Fair; 2/3 = Poor; 1 = Very Bad
35	Incontinence	Norton: 0 = None; 1/3 = Occasional; 2/3 = Urinary or fecal; 1 = Urinary and fecal Barthel: 0 = Continent in both bowel and bladder; 1/3 = Occasional accident in bowel or bladder; 2/3 = Incontinent in bowel or bladder; 1 = Incontinent in both bowel and bladder (Take the maximum of either one)
36	Mobility	Norton: 0 = No; 1/3 = Slightly Impaired; 2/3 = Very Limited; 1 = Immobile
8*	Neuropsychological problems	MNA: 0 = No neuropsychological problems; 1 = Mild/ severe dementia or depression
37	Oral health	ROAG: 0 = none of the nine items is 2 or above; 0.5 = any of the nine items equals 2; 1 = any of the nine items equals 3
38	Sensory impairment	Downton: 0 = No sensory impairment; 1/3 = One impairment; 2/3 = Two impairments; 1 = Three impairments
39	Weight loss	MNA: 0 = No weight loss/don't know; 0.5 = 1-3 kg during last 3 months; 1 = >3 kg during last 3 months

Supplementary Table 1 (continued).

No.	Deficits	Coding
Laboratory/anthropometric measures		
40	C-reactive protein	0 = <3 mg/L; 0.5 = 3–10 mg/L; 1 = >10 mg/L
41	Creatinine	0 = 60–110 µmol/L for men and 45–90 µmol/L for women; 1 = <60 µmol/L or >110 µmol/L for men, and <45 µmol/L or >90 µmol/L for women
42	Glucose	0 = 4.4–11.1 mmol/L; 1 = <4.4 or >11.1 mmol/L
43	Hemoglobin	0 = 135–180 g/L for men and 120–160 g/L for women; 1 = <135 or >180 g/L for men, and <120 or >160 g/L for women
14*	High systolic/diastolic blood pressure	0 = systolic<140 or diastolic<90; 0.5 = 140≤systolic<180 or 90≤diastolic<100; 1 = systolic≥180 or diastolic≥100
44	Obesity	0 = BMI<30; 1 = BMI≥30
45	Potassium	0 = 3.5–6 mmol/L; 1 = <3.5 or >6 mmol/L
46	Pulse	0 = 60–99 bpm; 1 = <60 or >99 bpm
47	Sodium	0 = 136–142 mmol/L; 1 = <136 or >142 mmol/L
48	Underweight	0 = BMI ≥18.5; 1 = BMI <18.5

Note: The eFI was calculated only if a patient had (i) ≥30 non-missing deficit items and (ii) at least half of the functional and/or laboratory items being non-missing. Norton = Norton scale for pressure ulcer risk assessment; Downton = Downton fall risk assessment scale; MNA = Mini-Nutritional Assessment Scale; Barthel = Barthel scale for activities of daily living; ROAG = Revised Oral Assessment Guide

* Overall deficit score=maximum of diagnosis code-based deficit and functioning/ lab deficits

Supplementary Table 2. Sample characteristics by availability of the electronic frailty index

Characteristic	All patients (n = 18,225)	eFI available (n = 13,188)	Insufficient data for eFI ^a (n = 5,037)	<i>p</i> ^b
Age, year, mean ± SD	83.1 ± 8.4	83.1 ± 8.4	82.9 ± 8.3	0.19
Age category, n (%)				0.39
<65 years	287 (1.6)	215 (1.6)	72 (1.4)	
65–74 years	2,665 (14.6)	1,917 (14.5)	748 (14.9)	
75–84 years	6,827 (37.5)	4,909 (37.2)	1,918 (38.1)	
85–94 years	7,037 (38.6)	5,103 (38.7)	1,934 (38.4)	
≥95 years	1,409 (7.7)	1,044 (7.9)	365 (7.2)	
Men, n (%)	7,309 (40.1)	5,245 (39.8)	2,064 (41.0)	0.14
CFS score, median [IQR]	5 [4, 6]	5 [4, 6]	5 [4, 6]	0.73
CFS category, n (%)				0.38
1–3	957 (16.6)	830 (16.8)	127 (15.8)	
4–5	2,196 (38.2)	1,869 (37.8)	327 (40.6)	
6–9	2,598 (45.2)	2,246 (45.4)	352 (43.7)	
Missing	12,474	8,243	4,231	
HFRS, median [IQR]	2.8 [1.4, 5.0]	2.8 [1.4, 5.0]	2.8 [1.4, 5.0]	0.91
HFRS category, n (%)				0.98
Low risk (<5)	13,552 (74.4)	9,811 (74.4)	3,741 (74.3)	
Intermediate risk (5–15)	4,589 (25.2)	3,316 (25.1)	1,273 (25.3)	
High risk (>15)	84 (0.5)	61 (0.5)	23 (0.5)	
CCI, median [IQR]	1 [0, 2]	1 [0, 2]	1 [0, 2]	<0.001
In-hospital mortality, n (%)	266 (1.5)	183 (1.4)	83 (1.6)	0.22
Discharged to home, n (%)	14,407 (79.1)	10,448 (79.2)	3,959 (78.6)	0.38
30-day readmission ^c , n (%)	1,521 (10.6)	1,114 (10.7)	407 (10.3)	0.53
Length of stay, day, median [IQR]	6.6 [4.6, 8.8]	6.7 [4.7, 8.9]	6.4 [4.4, 8.7]	<0.001

Note: CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CFS, Clinical Frailty Scale; eFI, electronic frailty index; HFRS, Hospital Frailty Risk Score; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation

^a Patients with insufficient data for eFI had <30 non-missing deficit items, and/or had <9 (out of 18) non-missing functional and laboratory items

^b *P*-values for comparison between patients with and without sufficient data for eFI, based on *t*-tests or Mann-Whitney *U* tests for continuous variables, and χ^2 tests for categorical variables

^c Only patients discharged to home after the first admission were considered for 30-day readmission

Supplementary Table 3. Spearman's correlations between frailty and comorbidity measures (n = 4,945)

ρ	CFS	HFRS	CCI	eFI
CFS	1			
HFRS	0.244	1		
CCI	0.096	0.140	1	
eFI	0.420	0.289	0.368	1

Note: CFS, Clinical Frailty Scale; HFRS, Hospital Frailty Risk Score; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; eFI, electronic frailty index

Supplementary Table 4. Logistic regression models for the associations between the electronic frailty index and in-hospital mortality stratified by the admitting clinics

Admitting clinics	In-hospital deaths / No. of patients (%)	Adjusted OR per 10% increase in eFI (95% CI) ^a	AUC
Clinic 1	30/1,916 (1.6)	7.42 (3.79, 15.0)*	0.861
Clinic 2	8/1,052 (0.8)	6.37 (2.03, 20.6)*	0.837
Clinic 3	25/1,978 (1.3)	3.53 (1.80, 6.93)*	0.792
Clinic 4	16/1,137 (1.4)	4.87 (2.08, 11.8)*	0.745
Clinic 5	8/999 (0.8)	3.39 (1.04, 10.8)*	0.827
Clinic 6	13/1,904 (0.7)	7.02 (2.81, 18.1)*	0.871
Clinic 7	1/234 (0.4)	Not estimable	-
Clinic 8	26/2,542 (1.0)	4.41 (2.37, 8.28)*	0.848
Clinic 9	56/1,426 (3.9)	5.31 (3.41, 8.41)*	0.808

Note: AUC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CI, confidence interval; eFI, electronic frailty index; OR, odds ratio

^a All the listed models were multivariate logistic regression models adjusted for age and sex.

* $p < 0.05$

Supplementary Table 5. Logistic regression models for the associations between frailty and comorbidity measures and 30-day readmission (n = 10,448)^a

Model	30-day readmission	
	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	AUC
eFI		
Continuous (per 10% increase)	1.50 (1.35, 1.68)*	0.592
Categorical		
Fit (≤ 0.15)	1 (Ref.)	0.591
Mild frailty ($>0.15-0.2$)	1.29 (1.10, 1.53)*	
Moderate frailty ($>0.2-0.25$)	1.85 (1.56, 2.20)*	
Severe frailty (>0.25)	1.82 (1.46, 2.25)*	
CFS (n = 4,945)^b		
Continuous (per point increase)	1.14 (1.06, 1.23)*	0.586
Categorical		
1-3	1 (Ref.)	0.585
4-5	1.20 (0.88, 1.67)	
6-9	1.58 (1.17, 2.17)*	
HFRS		
Continuous (per point increase)	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)*	0.564
Categorical		
Low risk (<5)	1 (Ref.)	0.561
Intermediate risk (5-15)	1.16 (1.01, 1.34)*	
High risk (>15)	0.79 (0.19, 2.23)	
CCI		
Continuous (per point increase)	1.12 (1.08, 1.17)*	0.578

Note: AUC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CFS, Clinical Frailty Scale; CI, confidence interval; eFI, electronic frailty index; HFRS, Hospital Frailty Risk Score; OR, odds ratio

^a All the listed models were multivariate logistic regression models adjusted for age and sex. Only patients discharged to home after the first admission were included in the 30-day readmission analysis. eFI, CFS, and HFRS were used as both continuous and categorical variables in separate models, while CCI was used as continuous variable only.

^b Sample size was smaller in analysis of CFS due to missing data

* $p < 0.05$

Supplementary Table 6. Linear regression models for the associations between frailty and comorbidity measures and length of stay (n = 13,188)^a

Model	Length of stay	
	Adjusted β (95% CI)	R^2
eFI		
Continuous (per 10% increase)	2.00 (1.88, 2.13)*	7.21%
Categorical		
Fit (≤ 0.15)	0 (Ref.)	6.05%
Mild frailty ($>0.15-0.2$)	1.18 (1.00, 1.36)*	
Moderate frailty ($>0.2-0.25$)	2.13 (1.93, 2.33)*	
Severe frailty (>0.25)	3.22 (2.98, 3.47)*	
CFS (n = 4,945)^b		
Continuous (per point increase)	0.54 (0.46, 0.62)*	3.50%
Categorical		
1-3	0 (Ref.)	3.06%
4-5	0.93 (0.57, 1.29)*	
6-9	2.03 (1.68, 2.38)*	
HFRS		
Continuous (per point increase)	0.21 (0.19, 0.23)*	2.39%
Categorical		
Low risk (<5)	0 (Ref.)	1.61%
Intermediate risk (5-15)	1.21 (1.04, 1.38)*	
High risk (>15)	1.95 (0.86, 3.04)*	
CCI		
Continuous (per point increase)	0.21 (0.16, 0.25)*	0.64%

Note: CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CFS, Clinical Frailty Scale; CI, confidence interval; eFI, electronic frailty index; HFRS, Hospital Frailty Risk Score

^a All the listed models were multivariate linear regression models adjusted for age and sex. eFI, CFS, and HFRS were used as both continuous and categorical variables in separate models, while CCI was used as continuous variable only.

^b Sample size was smaller in analysis of CFS due to missing data

* $p < 0.05$