



**Is any job better than no job?
A systematic review**

Supplementary material

Contents

Search strategies	3
Studies excluded at full text	19
Table S1. Data extraction and analysis	24
Table S2: Results by objectivity of outcome measure	38
Table S3: Results by welfare state regime	42

Search strategies

Databases: Medline, Medline In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily & Medline Epub Ahead of Print, Embase, PsycInfo, HMIC, ASSIA, TRIP Database, Google Scholar and websites

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily <1946 to April 17, 2020>

Search Strategy:

-
- 1 Unemployment/ (6785)
 - 2 (unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker? or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness).ti,ab,kf. (58417)
 - 3 or/1-2 (60929)
 - 4 ("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or soletrader* or entrepreneur*).ti,ab,kf. (4478)
 - 5 Shift Work Schedule/ or Work Schedule Tolerance/ or Workload/ (26852)
 - 6 Working Poor/ (15)
 - 7 Employment/ (45606)
 - 8 work/ (19864)
 - 9 Occupations/ (22804)
 - 10 or/7-9 (85207)
 - 11 uncertainty/ (12296)
 - 12 contract services/ (10523)
 - 13 or/11-12 (22815)
 - 14 and/10,13 (577)
 - 15 ((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour?" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition?) adj1 (work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift?)).ti,ab,kf. (75179)
 - 16 (shift* adj1 (work* or job? or employ*)).ti,ab,kf. (6819)
 - 17 ((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift? or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour? or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) adj1 (salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?)).ti,ab,kf. (2598)
 - 18 or/4-6,14-17 (106233)
 - 19 and/3,18 (1610)
 - 20 exp cohort studies/ (1979919)
 - 21 (cohort? or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up?").ti,ab,kf. (2285275)
 - 22 Cross-sectional studies/ (324264)
 - 23 ("Cross sectional" or survey? or questionnaire?).ti,ab,kf. (1196220)

- 24 or/20-23 (4007926)
- 25 and/19,24 (864)
- 26 limit 25 to english language (776)
- 27 (exp africa/ or exp asia/ or exp Americas/) not (Europe/ or exp Australia/ or Austria/ or Belgium/ or exp Canada/ or Chile/ or Czech Republic/ or exp Denmark/ or Estonia/ or Finland/ or France/ or exp Germany/ or Greece/ or Hungary/ or Iceland/ or Ireland/ or Israel/ or exp Italy/ or exp Japan/ or Latvia/ or Lithuania/ or Luxembourg/ or Mexico/ or Netherlands/ or New Zealand/ or exp Norway/ or Poland/ or Portugal/ or Slovenia/ or exp Korea/ or Spain/ or Sweden/ or Switzerland/ or Turkey/ or exp United Kingdom/ or exp United States/) (943515)
- 28 26 not 27 (679)
- 29 limit 19 to "reviews (maximizes specificity)" (30)
- 30 28 or 29 (699)

Database: Embase <1974 to 2020 April 17>

Search Strategy:

-
- 1 unemployment/ (19538)
 - 2 (unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker? or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness).ti,ab,od. (75882)
 - 3 or/1-2 (75884)
 - 4 self employment/ (657)
 - 5 ("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or soletrader* or entrepreneur*).ti,ab,od. (5652)
 - 6 exp shift work/ or workload/ (45026)
 - 7 Working Poor/ (41)
 - 8 parttime employment/ or temporary employment/ (1804)
 - 9 employment/ (60495)
 - 10 occupation/ (38616)
 - 11 work/ (31492)
 - 12 or/9-10 (97389)
 - 13 uncertainty/ (28200)
 - 14 employment contract/ (2)
 - 15 or/13-14 (28202)
 - 16 and/12,15 (196)
 - 17 ((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour?" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition?) adj1 (work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift?).ti,ab,od. (127085)
 - 18 ((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift? or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour? or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth*

or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) adj1 (salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?).ti,ab,od. (3399)

19 (shift* adj1 (work* or job? or employ*).ti,ab,od. (10319)

20 or/4-8,16-19 (178385)

21 and/3,20 (2616)

22 cohort analysis/ (568188)

23 (cohort? or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up?").ti,ab,od. (3879859)

24 cross-sectional study/ (343646)

25 ("Cross sectional" or survey? or questionnaire?).ti,ab,od. (1734695)

26 or/22-25 (5298158)

27 and/21,26 (1455)

28 limit 27 to english language (1365)

29 (exp africa/ or exp asia/ or exp "South and Central America"/) not (Europe/ or exp Australia/ or Austria/ or Belgium/ or exp Canada/ or Chile/ or Czech Republic/ or exp Denmark/ or Estonia/ or Finland/ or France/ or exp Germany/ or Greece/ or Hungary/ or Iceland/ or Ireland/ or Israel/ or exp Italy/ or exp Japan/ or Latvia/ or Lithuania/ or Luxembourg/ or Mexico/ or Netherlands/ or New Zealand/ or Norway/ or Poland/ or Portugal/ or Slovenia/ or exp Korea/ or Spain/ or Sweden/ or Switzerland/ or Turkey/ or exp United Kingdom/ or exp United States/) (1156687)

30 28 not 29 (1174)

31 limit 21 to "reviews (maximizes specificity)" (51)

32 30 or 31 (1207)

Database: APA PsycInfo <1806 to April Week 2 2020>

Search Strategy:

1 unemployment/ (4226)

2 (unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker? or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness).ti,ab,id. (23459)

3 or/1-2 (23717)

4 self-employment/ (379)

5 ("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or soletrader* or entrepreneur*).ti,ab,id. (10178)

6 work scheduling/ or work load/ or Job Security/ (5249)

7 exp working conditions/ (28820)

8 Quality of Work Life/ (1552)

9 employment/ (15478)

10 occupations/ (8791)

11 or/9-10 (23966)

12 uncertainty/ or doubt/ (8539)

13 and/11-12 (46)

14 ((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour?" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa*

- or exploitat* or overtime or condition?) adj1 (work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift?)).ti,ab,id. (43927)
- 15 (shift* adj1 (work* or job? or employ*)).ti,ab,id. (2587)
- 16 ((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift? or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour? or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) adj1 (salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?)).ti,ab,id. (2234)
- 17 or/4-8,13-16 (80466)
- 18 and/3,17 (1775)
- 19 cohort analysis/ (1405)
- 20 (cohort? or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up?").ti,ab,id. (333433)
- 21 ("Cross sectional" or questionnaire? or survey?).ti,ab,id. (543668)
- 22 or/19-21 (803869)
- 23 and/18,22 (652)
- 24 limit 23 to english language (590)
- 25 limit 18 to "reviews (maximizes specificity)" (88)
- 26 24 or 25 (647)

Database: HMIC Health Management Information Consortium <1979 to March 2020>

Search Strategy:

-
- 1 exp unemployment/ (1124)
 - 2 (unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker? or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness).af. (2895)
 - 3 or/1-2 (2895)
 - 4 ("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or soletrader* or entrepreneur*).af. (586)
 - 5 exp working hours/ (1386)
 - 6 exp occupational diseases/ (4881)
 - 7 illegal employment/ or part time work/ or seasonal employment/ or temporary employment/ (153)
 - 8 exp "conditions of employment"/ (15349)
 - 9 exp Contracts of employment/ (1015)
 - 10 exp Contract conditions/ (58)
 - 11 ((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour?" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition?) adj1 (work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift?)).af. (5478)
 - 12 (shift* adj1 (work* or job? or employ*)).af. (484)

- 13 ((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift* or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour? or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) adj1 (salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?)).af. (395)
- 14 or/4-13 (25153)
- 15 and/3,14 (485)
- 16 exp cohort studies/ (1159)
- 17 (cohort? or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up?").af. (21176)
- 18 ("Cross sectional" or survey? or questionnaire?).af. (46755)
- 19 or/16-18 (61992)
- 20 and/15,19 (82)
- 21 (review or "meta analy*" or metaanaly*).ti. (13963)
- 22 (medline or pubmed or embase or cochrane or psycinfo).ab. (2855)
- 23 or/21-22 (14957)
- 24 and/15,23 (4)
- 25 or/20,24 (83)
- 26 (review or "meta analy*" or metaanaly*).ti. (13963)
- 27 (medline or pubmed or embase or cochrane or psycinfo).ab. (2855)
- 28 or/21-22 (14957)
- 29 and/15,23 (4)
- 30 or/20,24 (83)

Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

Search Strategy

S18 S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17 263

S17 S13 AND S7 1

S16 S13 AND S9 195

S15 S13 AND S8 43

S14 S13 AND S6 39

S13 S10 OR S11 OR S12 346,708

S12 ti((review or "meta analys*" or metanalys*) OR ab((review or "meta analys*" or metanalys*)) 118,989

S11 ti((cohort? OR longitudinal OR prospective OR retrospective OR "follow up" OR "followed up" or "follow ups" OR "followed ups")) OR ab((cohort? OR longitudinal OR prospective OR retrospective OR "follow up" OR "followed up" or "follow ups" OR "followed ups")) 103,802

S10 ti("Cross sectional" or survey? or questionnaire?) OR ab("Cross sectional" or survey? or questionnaire?) 161,450

S9 S1 AND S5 591

S8 S1 AND S4 136

S7 S1 AND S3 7

S6 S1 AND S2 110

S5 ti(((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or

denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hours" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition?) N/1 (work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift?))) OR ab(((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hours" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition?) N/1 (work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift?))) 18,051

S4 ti(((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift? or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour? or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) N/1 (salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?))) OR ab(((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift? or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour? or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) N/1 (salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?))) 1,545

S3 ti((shift* N/1 (work* or job? or employ))) OR ab((shift* N/1 (work* or job? or employ))) 734

S2 ti(("self employed" OR "self employment") or freelance* or ("free lance" OR "free lanced" OR "free lancer" OR "free lancers") or ("sole trader" OR "sole traders") or soletrader* or entrepreneur*) OR ab(("self employed" OR "self employment") or freelance* or ("free lance" OR "free lanced" OR "free lancer" OR "free lancers") or ("sole trader" OR "sole traders") or soletrader* or entrepreneur*) 2,722

S1 ti((unemploy* or "un employment" or "un employed" or "non employed" or "non employment" or jobseeker? or "lay off" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness)) OR ab((unemploy* or "un employment" or "un employed" or "non employed" or "non employment" or jobseeker? or "lay off" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness)) 8,201-----

TRIP Database Search

#3 #1 AND #2 50

#2 (Title:work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift? or salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?)

#1 (title:unemploy* or "un employment" or "un employed" or "non employed" or "non employment" or jobseeker? or "lay off" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness)

Google scholar searches

Singular

allintitle:Insecure job unemployment 4
allintitle:Insecure work unemployment 1
allintitle:Insecure employment unemployment 2
allintitle:Insecurity job unemployment 77
allintitle:Insecurity work unemployment 10
allintitle:Insecurity employment unemployment 11
allintitle:bad job unemployment 1
allintitle:bad work unemployment 4
allintitle:bad employment unemployment 5
allintitle:Precarious job unemployment 1
allintitle:Precarious work unemployment 19
allintitle:Precarious employment unemployment 20
allintitle:Insecure job no 3
allintitle:Insecure work no 2
allintitle:Insecure employment no 2
allintitle:bad job no 6
allintitle:bad work no 27
allintitle:bad employment no 3
allintitle:Precarious job no 0
allintitle:Precarious work no 10
allintitle:Precarious employment no 7

Plural

allintitle:Insecure jobs unemployment 6
allintitle:Insecure works unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecure employments unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecurity jobs unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecurity works unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecurity employments unemployment 0
allintitle:bad jobs unemployment 11
allintitle:bad works unemployment 0
allintitle:bad employments unemployment 0
allintitle:Precarious jobs unemployment 5
allintitle:Precarious works unemployment 0
allintitle:Precarious employments unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecure jobs no 1
allintitle:Insecure works no 0

allintitle:Insecure employments no 0
 allintitle:bad jobs no 44
 allintitle:bad works no 6
 allintitle:bad employments no 1
 allintitle:Precarious jobs no 0
 allintitle:Precarious works no 0
 allintitle:Precarious employments no 0
 allintitle:work conditions unemployment 17
 allintitle:job conditions unemployment 5
 allintitle:employment conditions unemployment 41
 allintitle:work quality unemployment 19
 allintitle:job quality unemployment 68
 allintitle:employment quality unemployment 25

Update Search 2021
 Date: 14-20 May 2021

Databases: Medline (Proquest Dialog), Embase (Proquest Dialog), PsycInfo (Proquest Dialog), HMIC (OVID), ASSIA (Proquest), TRIP Database, Google Scholar and websites

Medline (Proquest Dialog 1946-Current)

S1 MESH.EXACT("Unemployment") 7204
 S2 TI,AB,SU(unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker[*1] or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness) 66094
 S3 S2 OR S1 66094
 S4 TI,AB,SU("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or soletrader* or entrepreneur*) 6517
 S5 (MESH.EXACT("Work Schedule Tolerance")) OR MESH.EXACT("Workload") OR (MESH.EXACT("Shift Work Schedule")) 28373
 S6 (MESH.EXACT("Working Poor")) 16
 S7 MESH.EXACT("Employment") 47222
 S8 MESH.EXACT("Work") 20108
 S9 MESH.EXACT("Occupations") 23360
 S10 S9 OR S8 OR S7 87522
 S11 MESH.EXACT("uncertainty") 13793
 S12 MESH.EXACT("contract services") 10539
 S13 S12 OR S11 24328
 S14 S13 AND S10 586
 S15 TI,AB((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour[*1]" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition[*1]) Near/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift[*1])) 130723
 S16 TI,AB,SU(shift* Near/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ*)) 9037

S17 TI,AB((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantage* or quality or shift[*1] or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour[*1] or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) Near/1 (salary or salaries or wage[*1] or earning[*1] or contract[*1])) 3953

S18 S17 OR S16 OR S15 OR S14 OR S6 OR S5 OR S4 165564

S19 S18 AND S3 2340

S20 (MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Cohort Studies")) 2127953

S21 TI,AB(cohort[*1] or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up[*1]") 2509672

S22 (MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Cross-sectional studies")) 363786

S23 TI,AB("Cross sectional" or survey[*1] or questionnaire[*1]) 1313512

S24 rtype.exact("Systematic Review") 152912

S25 TI((systematic or rapid) Near/3 (review or meta*)) 159839

S26 rtype.exact("Meta-analysis") 131422

S27 TI(meta-analys* or metaanalys*) 128737

S28 S27 OR S26 OR S25 OR S24 OR S23 OR S22 OR S21 OR S20 4573207

S29 S28 AND S19 1237

S30 (MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("africa") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("asia") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Americas")) not (MESH.EXACT("Europe") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Australia") or MESH.EXACT("Austria") or MESH.EXACT("Belgium") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Canada") or MESH.EXACT("Chile") or MESH.EXACT("Czech Republic") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Denmark") or MESH.EXACT("Estonia") or MESH.EXACT("Finland") or MESH.EXACT("France") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Germany") or MESH.EXACT("Greece") or MESH.EXACT("Hungary") or MESH.EXACT("Iceland") or MESH.EXACT("Ireland") or MESH.EXACT("Israel") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Italy") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Japan") or MESH.EXACT("Latvia") or MESH.EXACT("Lithuania") or MESH.EXACT("Luxembourg") or MESH.EXACT("Mexico") or MESH.EXACT("Netherlands") or MESH.EXACT("New Zealand") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Norway") or MESH.EXACT("Poland") or MESH.EXACT("Portugal") or MESH.EXACT("Slovenia") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("Korea") or MESH.EXACT("Spain") or MESH.EXACT("Sweden") or MESH.EXACT("Switzerland") or MESH.EXACT("Turkey") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("United Kingdom") or MESH.EXACT.EXPLODE("United States")) 1032118

S31 S29 not S30 1095

S32 (S31) and (la.exact("English")) 1003°

Embase (Proquest Dialog 1947-current)

S1 EMB.EXACT("unemployment") 23804*

S2 TI,AB,SU(unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker[*1] or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness) 85122*

S3 S2 OR S1 85122*

S4 (EMB.EXACT("self employment")) 805°

S5 TI,AB,SU("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or soletrader* or entrepreneur*) 6476*

S6 (EMB.EXACT("shift work")) OR EMB.EXACT("workload") 53461*
S7 EMB.EXACT("Working Poor") 50°
S8 EMB.EXACT("parttime employment") OR EMB.EXACT("temporary employment") 2973°
S9 EMB.EXACT("employment") 78909*
S10 EMB.EXACT("occupation")72849*
S11 EMB.EXACT("work") 49802*
S12 S11 OR S10 OR S9 190384*
S13 EMB.EXACT("uncertainty") 40028*
S14 EMB.EXACT("employment contract") 35°
S15 S14 OR S13 40063*
S16 S15 AND S12 353°
S17 TI,AB((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantage* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour[*1]" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition[*1]) Near/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift[*1])) 171255*
S18 TI,AB((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantage* or quality or shift[*1] or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour[*1] or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) Near/1 (salary or salaries or wage[*1] or earning[*1] or contract[*1])) 4757°
S19 TI,AB,SU(shift* Near/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ*)) 15015*
S20 S19 OR S18 OR S17 OR S16 OR S8 OR S7 OR S6 OR S5 OR S4 236374*
S21 S20 AND S3 3340°
S22 (EMB.EXACT("cohort analysis")) 733851*
S23 TI,AB(cohort[*1] or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up[*1]") 3956389*
S24 (EMB.EXACT("cross-sectional study")) 420674*
S25 TI,AB("Cross sectional" or survey[*1] or questionnaire[*1]) 1828153*
S26 rtype.exact("Systematic Review") 0°
S27 (EMB.EXACT("systematic review")) 319992*
S28 TI((systematic or rapid) Near/3 (review or meta*)) 190380*
S29 (EMB.EXACT("meta analysis")) 249750*
S30 TI(meta-analys* or metaanalys*) 160386*
S31 S30 OR S29 OR S28 OR S27 OR S26 OR S25 OR S24 OR S23 OR S22 5839581*
S32 S31 AND S21 1821°
S33 (S32) and (la.exact("English")) 1720°
S34 (EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("africa") or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("asia") or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("South and Central America")) not (EMB.EXACT("Europe") or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("Australia") or EMB.EXACT("Austria") or EMB.EXACT("Belgium") or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("Canada") or

EMB.EXACT("Chile") or EMB.EXACT("Czech Republic") or
 EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("Denmark") or EMB.EXACT("Estonia") or
 EMB.EXACT("Finland") or EMB.EXACT("France") or
 EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("Germany") or EMB.EXACT("Greece") or
 EMB.EXACT("Hungary") or EMB.EXACT("Iceland") or EMB.EXACT("Ireland") or
 EMB.EXACT("Israel") or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("Italy") or
 EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("Japan") or EMB.EXACT("Latvia") or
 EMB.EXACT("Lithuania") or EMB.EXACT("Luxembourg") or EMB.EXACT("Mexico")
 or EMB.EXACT("Netherlands") or EMB.EXACT("New Zealand") or
 EMB.EXACT("Norway") or EMB.EXACT("Poland") or EMB.EXACT("Portugal") or
 EMB.EXACT("Slovenia") or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("Korea") or EMB.EXACT("Spain")
 or EMB.EXACT("Sweden") or EMB.EXACT("Switzerland") or EMB.EXACT("Turkey")
 or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("United Kingdom") or EMB.EXACT.EXPLODE("United
 States")) 1416408*
 S35 S33 not S34 1481°

PsycInfo (Proquest Dialog)

Set# Searched for Results
 S1 SU.EXACT("Unemployment") 5904
 S2 TI,AB,SU(unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker[*1]
 or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness) 25471
 S3 S2 OR S1 25471
 S4 SU.EXACT("Self-Employment") 484
 S5 TI,AB,SU("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or
 soletrader* or entrepreneur*) 11392
 S6 (SU.EXACT("work scheduling")) OR (SU.EXACT("work load")) OR
 (SU.EXACT("Job security")) 5749
 S7 (SU.EXACT.EXPLODE("Working Conditions")) 30443
 S8 (SU.EXACT("Quality of Work Life")) 1651
 S9 (SU.EXACT("employment")) 13001
 S10 (SU.EXACT("occupations")) 13043
 S11 S10 OR S9 25432
 S12 SU.EXACT("uncertainty") OR SU.EXACT("doubt") 11392
 S13 S12 AND S11 63
 S14 TI,AB((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or
 negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or
 denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative
 or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand*
 or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or
 tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour[*1]" or
 unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth*
 or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition[*1]) Near/1 (work* or job[*1]
 or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift[*1]))
 66094
 S15 TI,AB(shift* Near/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ*))3322
 S16 TI,AB((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or
 bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe*
 or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or
 unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or
 disadvantag* or quality or shift[*1] or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or
 control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part

time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour[*1] or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) Near/1 (salary or salaries or wage[*1] or earning[*1] or contract[*1])) 3124
S17 S16 OR S15 OR S14 OR S13 OR S8 OR S7 OR S6 OR S5 OR S4 105168
S18 S17 AND S3 2370
S19 (SU.EXACT("Cohort Analysis")) 1546
S20 TI,AB(cohort[*1] or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up[*1]") 353216
S21 TI,AB("Cross sectional" or questionnaire[*1] or survey[*1]) 580097
S22 (SU.EXACT("Systematic Review")) 31483
S23 TI((systematic or rapid) Near/3 (review or meta*)) 25840
S24 (SU.EXACT("Meta Analysis")) 27526
S25 TI(meta-analys* or metaanalys*) 20211
S26 S25 OR S24 OR S23 OR S22 OR S21 OR S20 OR S19 900878
S27 S26 AND S18 890
S28 (S27) and (la.exact("English")) 838°

HMIC (OVID) Health Management Information Consortium <1979 to March 2021>

- 1 systematic reviews/ (3196)
- 2 meta analysis/ (778)
- 3 exp unemployment/ (1140)
- 4 (unemploy* or "un employ*" or "non employ*" or jobseeker? or "lay off*" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness).af. (2928)
- 5 or/3-4 (2928)
- 6 ("self employ*" or freelance* or "free lance*" or "sole trader*" or soletrader* or entrepreneur*).af. (598)
- 7 exp working hours/ (1394)
- 8 exp occupational diseases/ (4881)
- 9 illegal employment/ or part time work/ or seasonal employment/ or temporary employment/ (154)
- 10 exp "conditions of employment"/ (15511)
- 11 exp Contracts of employment/ (1020)
- 12 exp Contract conditions/ (58)
- 13 ((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hour?" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition?) adj1 (work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift?)).af. (5578)
- 14 (shift* adj1 (work* or job? or employ*)).af. (485)
- 15 ((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertaint* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift* or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part

time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour? or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) adj1 (salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?).af. (402)
 16 or/6-15 (25389)
 17 and/5,16 (494)
 18 exp cohort studies/ (1160)
 19 (cohort? or longitudinal or prospective or retrospective or "follow* up?").af. (21368)
 20 ("Cross sectional" or survey? or questionnaire?).af. (47267)
 21 or/18-20 (62620)
 22 and/17,21 (87)
 23 systematic reviews/ or meta analysis/ (3607)
 24 (review or "meta analy*" or metaanaly*).ti. (14168)
 25 (medline or pubmed or embase or cochrane or psycinfo).ab. (2918)
 26 or/23-25 (15819)
 27 and/17,26 (5)
 28 or/22,27 (89)

Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) (Proquest 1987-current)

S1 ti((unemploy* or "un employment" or "un employed" or "non employed" or "non employment" or jobseeker[*1] or "lay off" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness)) OR ab((unemploy* or "un employment" or "un employed" or "non employed" or "non employment" or jobseeker[*1] or "lay off" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness)) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 8546

S2 ti(("self employed" OR "self employment") or freelance* or ("free lance" OR "free lanced" OR "free lancer" OR "free lancers") or ("sole trader" OR "sole traders") or soletrader* or entrepreneur*) OR ab(("self employed" OR "self employment") or freelance* or ("free lance" OR "free lanced" OR "free lancer" OR "free lancers") or ("sole trader" OR "sole traders") or soletrader* or entrepreneur*) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 2872

S3 ti((shift* N/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ))) OR ab((shift* N/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ))) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 793

S4 ti(((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift[*1] or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour[*1] or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) N/1 (salary or salaries or wage[*1] or earning[*1] or contract[*1]))) OR ab((((low* or basic or minim* or subminim* or bad or wors* or poor* or bad or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or reduc* or depress* or detrimental* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecur* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or shift[*1] or schedul* or night or demand* or strain* or control or autonom* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or "part time" or "fixed term" or casual or hour[*1] or unreliable or elementa* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime) N/1 (salary or

salaries or wage[*1] or earning[*1] or contract[*1])) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 1607

S5 ti(((poor* or heavy* or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecure* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hours" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition[*1]) N/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift[*1])) OR ab(((poor* or heavy or bad or wors* or precari* or unfav* or hard or negative or detrimental or advers* or unsafe* or dangerous* or hazard* or denigrat* or unfair* or insecure* or unstabl* or uncertain* or instab* or negative or disadvantag* or quality or stress* or strain or night or overnight or demand* or control or autonom* or complex* or unskill* or peripheral or contingent or tempor* or seasonal or "fixed term" or casual or irregular or "long hours" or unreliable or passive or repetitive or elementary or alienat* or worr* or unhealth* or underpa* or exploitat* or overtime or condition[*1]) N/1 (work* or job[*1] or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift[*1])) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 18955

S6 S1 AND S2 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 119

S7 S1 AND S3 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 7

S8 S1 AND S4 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 140

S9 S1 AND S5 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 612

S10 ti("Cross sectional" or survey[*1] or questionnaire[*1]) OR ab("Cross sectional" or survey[*1] or questionnaire[*1]) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 173339

S11 ti((cohort[*1] OR longitudinal OR prospective OR retrospective OR "follow up" OR "followed up" or "follow ups" OR "followed ups")) OR ab((cohort[*1] OR longitudinal OR prospective OR retrospective OR "follow up" OR "followed up" or "follow ups" OR "followed ups")) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 111201

S12 ti((review or ("meta analyses" OR "meta analysis") or metanalys*)) OR ab((review or ("meta analyses" OR "meta analysis") or metanalys*)) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA) 125351

S13 S10 OR S11 OR S12 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 368983

S14 S13 AND S6 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 42

S15 S13 AND S8 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 42

S16 S13 AND S9 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 203

S17 S13 AND S7 Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)

These databases are searched for part of your query. 1

TRIP Database Search

#3 #1 AND #2 64

#2 (Title:work* or job? or employ* or labour* or labor* or occupation* or profession* or shift? or salary or salaries or wage? or earning? or contract?)

#1 (title:unemploy* or "un employment" or "un employed" or "non employed" or "non employment" or jobseeker? or "lay off" or layoff* or redundan* or joblessness)

Google scholar Searches

Singular

allintitle:Insecure job unemployment 4
allintitle:Insecure work unemployment 2
allintitle:Insecure employment unemployment 2
allintitle:Insecurity job unemployment 85
allintitle:Insecurity work unemployment 9
allintitle:Insecurity employment unemployment 12
allintitle:bad job unemployment 1
allintitle:bad work unemployment 4
allintitle:bad employment unemployment 7
allintitle:Precarious job unemployment 1
allintitle:Precarious work unemployment 22
allintitle:Precarious employment unemployment 28
allintitle:Insecure job no 2
allintitle:Insecure work no 2
allintitle:Insecure employment no
allintitle:bad job no 1
allintitle:bad work no 26
allintitle:bad employment no 4
allintitle:Precarious job no 0
allintitle:Precarious work no 11
allintitle:Precarious employment no 8

Plural

allintitle:Insecure jobs unemployment 6
allintitle:Insecure works unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecure employments unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecurity jobs unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecurity works unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecurity employments unemployment 0
allintitle:bad jobs unemployment 12
allintitle:bad works unemployment 0
allintitle:bad employments unemployment 0
allintitle:Precarious jobs unemployment 6
allintitle:Precarious works unemployment 0

allintitle:Precarious employments unemployment 0
allintitle:Insecure jobs no 0
allintitle:Insecure works no 0
allintitle:Insecure employments no 0
allintitle:bad jobs no 48
allintitle:bad works no 7
allintitle:bad employments no 1
allintitle:Precarious jobs no 0
allintitle:Precarious works no 0
allintitle:Precarious employments no 0
allintitle:work conditions unemployment 26
allintitle:job conditions unemployment 4
allintitle:employment conditions unemployment 51
allintitle:work quality unemployment 33
allintitle:job quality unemployment 89
allintitle:employment quality unemployment 38

Websites

What Works well-being (Work) <https://whatworkswellbeing.org/product-category/work/> 2
ILO International labor organisation <https://www.ilo.org/global/lang--en/index.htm>
Labordoc (ILO digital repository) https://labordoc.ilo.org/discovery/search?vid=41ILO_INST:41ILO_V2&lang=en
Eurofound European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/publications>
IES Institute for employment studies <https://www.employment-studies.co.uk/>
IZA Institute of Labor economics <https://www.iza.org/>
OECD Employment <https://www.oecd.org/employment/job-quality.htm>
<https://www.oecd.org/health/mental-health-and-work.htm>
Work Foundation <http://www.theworkfoundation.com/>
Joseph Rowntree foundation <https://www.jrf.org.uk/>

Studies excluded at full text

Source	Reason for exclusion
Ali SM and Lindstrom, M. (2006) Psychosocial work conditions, unemployment, and leisure-time physical activity: a population-based study. <i>Scand J of Public Health</i> 34 (2):pp.209-16	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Ali SM and Lindstrom M (2008) Psychosocial work conditions, unemployment and health locus of control: a population-based study <i>Scand J Public Health</i> 36(4):pp.429-435	Outcome not relevant, does not meet inclusion criteria
Amick BC et al. (2002). Relationship between all-cause mortality and cumulative working life course psychosocial and physical exposures in the United States labor market from 1968 to 1992. <i>Psychosom Med</i> 64(3):pp.370-81	No unemployed comparison
Anderson J G (2002). Coping with long-term unemployment: Economic security, labour market integration and well-being: Results from a Danish panel study, 1994-1999. <i>International Journal of Social Welfare</i> . 11(3):pp.178-190	No unemployed comparison
Arnetz BB et al (1991) Neuroendocrine and immunologic effects of unemployment and job insecurity. <i>Psychother Psychosom</i> 55 (2-4):pp.76-80	No relevant between group comparison
Backhaus M and Zhang W. (2011) Unemployment and mental health who is (not) affected? Evaluation and equity audit of the domestic radon programme in England. <i>Eur J Public Health</i> 22(3):pp.429-433	No relevant comparison
Berth, H et al. (2008) Unemployment, job insecurity and the need for psychosocial support. <i>Arbeitslosigkeitserfahrungen, Arbeitsplatzunsicherheit und der Bedarf an psychosozialer Versorgung</i> . 70(5): pp.289-294	German, unable to translate
Berth H et al. (2006) Unemployment, job insecurity and life satisfaction: Results of a study with young adults in the new German states. <i>Sozial-und Praventivmedizin</i> 50(6):361-9	German, unable to translate
Berth H, Forster P, Brahler E. (2003) Unemployment, job insecurity and their consequences for health in a sample of young adults. <i>Bundesverband der Ärzte des Öffentlichen Gesundheitsdienstes</i> 65(10):pp.555-560	German, unable to translate
Blanquet, M. et al. (2017) Occupational status as determinant of mental health inequities in French young people: is fairness needed? Results of a cross-sectional multicentre observational survey. <i>International Journal for Equity in Health</i> 16(1):pp.142	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Borra C and Garcia FG. Job satisfaction, insecurity and the Great Recession: The effect of others' unemployment. <i>XX Encuentro Economía Pública: Estado ...</i>	Unable to obtain copy of paper
Brenner SO and Starrin B (1998) Unemployment and health in Sweden: Public issues and private trouble. <i>Journal of Social Issues</i> . 44(4):pp.125-140	No relevant comparison
Brockner J et al.(1992) Layoffs, job insecurity, and survivors' work effort: Evidence of an inverted-U relationship. <i>Academy of Management Journal</i> 35(2):pp.413-425	No unemployed comparison group
Broom D et al. (2006) The lesser evil: bad jobs or unemployment? A survey of mid-aged Australians. <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 63(3):pp.576-86	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Brydsten A, Hammarstrom, A and San Sebastian, M. (2018). Health inequalities between employed and unemployed in northern Sweden: a decomposition analysis of social determinants for mental health. <i>International Journal for Equity in Health</i> 17(7):pp.59	No relevant comparison
Burchell B. (2011) A temporal comparison of the effects of unemployment and job insecurity on wellbeing. <i>Sociological Research Online</i> 16(1):9	No unemployed comparison
Burgard SA, Brand JE. and House JS (2009) Perceived job insecurity and worker health in the United States <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 69(5):777-785	No relevant comparison

Source	Reason for exclusion
Campbell-Jamison F, Worrall L and Cooper (2001) Downsizing in Britain and its effects on survivors and their organizations <i>Anxiety, Stress & Coping: An International Journal</i> 14 (1):pp.35-58	Not a relevant study, no relevant comparison
Canivet C et al. (2016) Precarious employment is a risk factor for poor mental health in young individuals in Sweden: a cohort study with multiple follow-ups. <i>BMC Public Health</i> 16:pp. 687	No relevant comparison
Chambel MJ, Lopes S. and Batista J. (2016) The effects of temporary agency work contract transitions on well-being <i>Int Arch Occup Environ Health</i> 89(9):pp. 1215-1228	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population and no relevant comparison
Charles KK and Decicca P (2008) Local labor market fluctuations and health: is there a connection and for whom? <i>J Health Econ</i> 27(6):pp.1532-50	Not a relevant study, no relevant comparison
Clark A, Knabe A and Ratzel S (2010) Boon or bane? Others' unemployment, well-being and job insecurity <i>Labour Economics</i> 17:pp.52-61	Did not report any relevant outcomes
Costes FXB, Julia M and Benach J (2016) What is the impact of temporary employment on self-assessed health? A panel study in Catalonia 2002-2012 <i>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</i> 73(Suppl 1)pp.A39	Conference abstract, does not meet inclusion criteria for source type
Dalglis SL et al. (2015) Work characteristics and suicidal ideation in young adults in France <i>Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol</i> 50 (4):pp.613-620	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
De Witte H (1999) Job insecurity and psychological well-being: Review of the literature and exploration of some unresolved issues <i>European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology</i> 8(2):155-177	No relevant comparison
Dekker SW and Schaufeli WB (1995) The effects of job insecurity on psychological health and withdrawal: A longitudinal study <i>Aust Psychol</i> 30(1): pp.57-63	No relevant comparison
Dooley D, Fielding J and Levi L. (1996) Health and unemployment. <i>Annu Rev Public Health</i> 17:pp.449-465	Not a relevant study
Dooley D, Prause J (1998) Unemployment and alcohol misuse in the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth. <i>J Stud Alcohol</i> 59(6): pp.669-80	No relevant comparison
Estrella ML et al. (2018) The association of employment status with ideal cardiovascular health factors and behaviors among Hispanic/Latino adults: Findings from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL) <i>PLoS ONE</i> 13(11): e0207652	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Farrants K et al. (2020) Job demands and job control and future labor market situation: An 11-year prospective study of 2.2 million employees. <i>Occup. Environ. Med.</i> 62(6): pp.403-411	No relevant comparison or outcomes
Feather NT and O'Brien GE (1986) A longitudinal analysis of the effects of different patterns of employment and unemployment on school-leavers <i>British journal of psychology</i> 77:pp.4/-	No relevant comparison
Ferrie, JE (1997) Labour market status, insecurity and health. <i>J Health Psychol</i> 2(3):pp.373-397	Not a relevant study
Ferrie JE et al. (2001) Employment status and health after privatisation in white collar civil servants: Prospective cohort study <i>BMJ</i> 322(7287):pp.647-651	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Ferrie JE et al. (2001) Job insecurity in white-collar workers: toward an explanation of associations with health <i>J Occup Health Psychol</i> 6(1):pp.26-42	Not a relevant study, no relevant comparison
Ferrie JE et al. (2003) Future uncertainty and socioeconomic inequalities in health: the Whitehall II study <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 57(4):pp.637-46	Not a relevant study, no relevant comparison
Flovik L, Knardahl S and Christensen JO (2019) Organizational change and employee mental health: A prospective multilevel study	No relevant comparison

Source	Reason for exclusion
of the associations between organizational changes and clinically relevant mental distress <i>Scand J Work Environ Health</i> 45(2):pp.134-145	
Friedmann ML and Webb AA (1995) Family health and mental health six years after economic stress and unemployment. <i>Issues in Mental Health Nursing</i> 16(1):pp.51-66	Not a relevant study, no relevant comparison
Ganson KT et al. (2021) Job insecurity and symptoms of anxiety and depression among young US adults during COVID-19. <i>J Adolesc Health</i> 68(1): pp.53-56	No relevant comparison
Giudici F and Morselli D (2019) 20 Years in the world of work: A study of (nonstandard) occupational trajectories and health <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 224:pp.138-148	No relevant comparison
Graetz B (1993) Health consequences of employment and unemployment: longitudinal evidence for young men and women <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 36(6):pp.715-724	No relevant comparison
Gray BJ et al (2019) Employment status and impact on mental wellbeing in the UK working age population: a cross-sectional analysis <i>Lancet</i> 394(Suppl 2):pp.S44	Did not meet inclusion criteria for source type
Hallsten L, Grossi G, Westerlund H (1999) Unemployment, labour market policy and health in Sweden during years of crisis in the 1990's <i>Int Arch Occup Environ Health</i> 72Suppl:pp.S28-30	Unable to obtain full text copy
Hernando-Rodriguez JC et al. (2020) Sickness absence trajectories following labour market participation patterns: a cohort study in Catalonia (Spain), 2012-2014 <i>BMC Public Health</i> 20(1): pp.1306	No unemployed comparator
Hibbard, JH and Pope CR (1985) Employment status, employment characteristics, and women's health <i>Women & Health</i> 10(1):pp.59-77	No relevant comparison
Hughes A et al. (2015) Elevated inflammatory biomarkers during unemployment: modification by age and country in the UK <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 69(7):pp. 673-679	No relevant comparison
Iversen L and Sabroe S (1998) Psychological well-being among unemployed and employed people after a company closedown: a longitudinal study <i>Journal of Social Issues: 44</i> (Winter 88):pp.141-152	No relevant comparison
Izdebski ZW, Mazur J (2021) Changes in mental well-being of adult Poles in the early period of the COVID-19 pandemic with reference to their occupational activity and remote work <i>Int J Occup Med Environ Health</i> 34(2): pp.251-262	Does not meet inclusion criteria for population
Jamison CS, Wallace M and Jamison PL (2004) Contemporary work characteristics, stress, and ill health <i>Am J Human Biol</i> 16(1):pp.43-56	No relevant comparison
Khlat M et al. (2014) Mortality gradient across the labour market core-periphery structure: a 13-year mortality follow-up study in north-eastern France <i>Int Arch Occup Environ Health</i> 87(7): pp.725-733	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Kivimaki M et al. (2003) Temporary employment and risk of overall and cause-specific mortality <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 158(7):pp.663-668	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Kwon K et al (2016) Association between employment status and self-rated health: Korean working conditions survey <i>Ann Occup Environ Med</i> 28(1 43) DOI 10.1186/s40557-016-0126-z	No relevant comparison
LaMontagne AD et al. (2016) Psychosocial job quality, mental health, and subjective wellbeing: a cross-sectional analysis of the baseline wave of the Australian Longitudinal Study on Male Health <i>BMC Public Health</i> 16 (Suppl 3):pp.1049	No relevant comparison
Leach LS et al. (2010) The limitations of employment as a tool for social inclusion <i>BMC Public Health</i> 10(61):pp.621 doi 10.1186/1471-2458-10-621	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Lee ES and Park S (2019) Patterns of change in employment status and their association with self-rated health, perceived daily stress,	No relevant comparison

Source	Reason for exclusion
and sleep among young adults in South Korea <i>Int J Environ Res Public Health</i> 16(4491):doi:10.3390/ijerph16224491	
Levi L et al. (1984) The psychological, social, and biochemical impacts of unemployment in Sweden: Description of a research project <i>Int J Mental Health</i> 13(1-2):pp.18-34	No relevant comparison
Lindstrom M (2004) Psychosocial work conditions, social capital, and daily smoking: a population based study <i>Tob Control</i> 13(3):pp.289-295	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Lindstrom M (2005) Psychosocial work conditions, unemployment and self-reported psychological health: a population-based study <i>Occup Med (Oxf)</i> 55(7):pp.568-71	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Lindstrom M, Ali SM and Rosvall M (2012) Socioeconomic status, labour market connection, and self-rated psychological health: the role of social capital and economic stress <i>Scand J Public Health</i> 40(1):pp.51-60	No relevant comparison, study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Livanos I and Zangelidis A (2013) Unemployment, labor market flexibility, and absenteeism: A pan-European study <i>Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy & Society</i> 52(2):pp.492-515	No relevant comparison
Lopez Gomez MA et al. (2017) Employment history indicators and mortality in a nested case-control study from the Spanish WORKing life social security (WORKss) cohort <i>PLoS ONE</i> 16(6):pp.e0178486	No relevant comparison
Lopez MA et al. (2016) Labour market trajectories and mortality <i>Occup Environ Med</i> 73(suppl1)	Conference abstract does not meet inclusion criteria for source type
Makikangas A et al. (2011) A person-centred approach to investigate the development trajectories of job-related affective well-being: A 10-year follow-up study <i>J Occup Org Psychol</i> 84(2):pp.327-346	No relevant comparison
Marchand A, Drapeau A and Beaulieu-Prevost D 2011 Employment, non-employment and psychological distress in Canada <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 11:pp.S155	Conference abstract does not meet inclusion criteria for source type
Marchand A, Drapeau A and Beaulieu-Prevost D (2012) Psychological distress in Canada: the role of employment and reasons of non-employment <i>Int J Soc Psychiatry</i> 58(6):pp.596-604	No relevant comparison
Mencia PM, Prieto DC (2021) Job status and depressive symptoms in older employees: An empirical analysis with SHARE (survey of health, aging and retirement in Europe) data <i>Eur J Ment Health</i> 15(2): pp.168-177	No relevant poor job comparison
Montfort SS et al. (2015) A longitudinal examination of re-employment quality on internalizing symptoms and job-search intentions <i>J Occup Health Psychol</i> 20(1):pp.50-61	No relevant comparison
Morrell S et al. (1994) A cohort study of unemployment as a cause of psychological disturbance in Australian youth <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 38(11):pp.1553-64	No relevant comparison
O'Brien GE and Feather NT (1990) The relative effects of unemployment and quality of employment on the affect, work values and personal control of adolescents <i>J Occup Psychol</i> 63:pp.51-165	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Otto K and Dalbert C (2013) Are insecure jobs as bad for mental health and occupational commitment as unemployment? Equal threat or downward spiral <i>Horizons of Psychology</i> 22:pp.27-38A et al	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population
Page A et al (2013) The role of under-employment and unemployment in recent birth cohort effects in Australian suicide <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 93:pp.155-162	No relevant comparison
Park S et al. (2020) Precarious employment as compared with unemployment reduces the risk of depression in the elderly in Korea. <i>J Occup Environ Med</i> 62(10): ppe559-e566	Does not meet inclusion criteria for population
Perreault M et al (2020) Transitional Employment and Psychological Distress: a Longitudinal Study <i>Psychiatr Q</i> 25:pp.25	No relevant comparison

Source	Reason for exclusion
Raymo JM and Shibata A (2017) Unemployment, non-standard employment, and fertility: Insights from Japans "Lost 20 Years" <i>Demography</i> 54(6):pp.2301-2329	Outcome not relevant, did not meet inclusion criteria
Robert G et al (2014) From the boom to the crisis: changes in employment conditions of immigrants in Spain and their effects on mental health <i>Eur J Public Health</i> 24(3):pp.404-409	Study sample not drawn from a nationally representative population (Nb. this should have been excluded at title)
Rugulies R et al. (2010) Occupational position and its relation to mental distress in a random sample of Danish residents <i>Int Arch of Occup Environ Health</i> 83(6):pp.625-629	No relevant comparison
Rugulies R et al (2010) Job insecurity and the use of antidepressant medication among Danish employees with and without a history of prolonged unemployment: a 3.5-year follow-up study <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 64(1):pp.75-81	No relevant comparison
Russo C, Terraneo M (2020) Mental well-being among workers: A cross national analysis of job insecurity impact on the workforce. <i>Soc Indic Res</i> 152: pp.421-442	No unemployed comparator
Scheuring S (2020) The effect of fixed-term employment on well-being: Disentangling the micro-mechanisms and the moderating role of social cohesion. <i>Soc Indic Res</i> 152: pp.91-115	No relevant comparison – comparison is at country level
Stokes G and Cochrane R (1984) A study of the psychological effects of redundancy and unemployment <i>J Occup Psychol</i> 57(4):pp.309-322	No relevant comparison
Strandh M (2000) Different exit routes from unemployment and their impact on mental well-being: The role of the economic situation and the predictability of the life course <i>Work, Employment and Society</i> 14(3):pp.459-479	No relevant comparison
Vanthomme K et al (2017) Site-specific cancer mortality inequalities by employment and occupational groups: a cohort study among Belgian adults, 2001-2011 <i>BMJ Open</i> 7(11):pp.e015216	No relevant comparison
Virtanen P et al (2008) Employment trajectory as determinant of change in health-related lifestyle: the prospective HeSSup study <i>Eur J Public Health</i> 18(5)pp.504-508	No relevant comparison
Wanberg R (1995) A longitudinal study of the effects of unemployment and quality of reemployment <i>Journal of Vocational Behavior</i> 46(1):pp.40-54	No relevant comparison
Wege N, Angerer P and Li J(2017) Effects of Lifetime Unemployment Experience and Job Insecurity on Two-Year Risk of Physician-Diagnosed Incident Depression in the German Working Population <i>Int J Environ Res Public Health</i> 14(8):pp.11	No relevant comparison
Wolinska W et al. (2020) Analysis of the relationship between insomnia and adult chronic diseases with regard to working conditions. <i>Fam Med Prim</i> 22(3): pp.228-234	No relevant poor job comparator

Table S1. Data extraction and analysis

Full results of all included studies

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																				
Bentley R et al. 2020 Cross sectional	Household Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) 2018 25 to 64 years	Insecure employment, included self-employment, casual or labour hire but not fixed term contracts Alcohol and tobacco use	Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals. The effect of economic security on tobacco and alcohol consumption (table 4, page 1888) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Smoking status (yes/no)</th> <th>Drinking status (yes/no)</th> <th>Risky drinking (yes/no)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Secure employment</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>Ref</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Insecure employment</td> <td>1.01 (0.88 to 1.14)</td> <td>0.87 (0.77 to 0.98)</td> <td>0.98 (0.89 to 1.08)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>1.32 (1.07 to 1.62)</td> <td>0.66 (0.55 to 0.80)</td> <td>0.82 (0.69 to 0.98)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Model adjusted for separation, long-term health condition, education, age, household structure.		Smoking status (yes/no)	Drinking status (yes/no)	Risky drinking (yes/no)	Secure employment	Ref	Ref	Ref	Insecure employment	1.01 (0.88 to 1.14)	0.87 (0.77 to 0.98)	0.98 (0.89 to 1.08)	Unemployed	1.32 (1.07 to 1.62)	0.66 (0.55 to 0.80)	0.82 (0.69 to 0.98)	No: compared with those in insecure employment those who are unemployed are more likely to report that they smoke however, this difference is not statistically significant. No: Compared with those in insecure employment those who are unemployed are less likely to report that they drink but this difference is not statistically significant No: Compared with those in insecure employment those who are unemployed are less likely to report risky drinking but this difference is not statistically significant																				
	Smoking status (yes/no)	Drinking status (yes/no)	Risky drinking (yes/no)																																					
Secure employment	Ref	Ref	Ref																																					
Insecure employment	1.01 (0.88 to 1.14)	0.87 (0.77 to 0.98)	0.98 (0.89 to 1.08)																																					
Unemployed	1.32 (1.07 to 1.62)	0.66 (0.55 to 0.80)	0.82 (0.69 to 0.98)																																					
Butterworth P et al. 2013 Cross sectional	English Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey, 2007 21 to 54 years	Psychosocial job quality; demands, security, esteem from colleagues, clients, customers and managers, job control Common mental disorders	Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Relationship between employment circumstances and common mental disorders (table 3, page 810) <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Employed (optimal)</td> <td>0.16 (0.10 to 0.26) p<0.001</td> </tr> <tr> <td>One adversity</td> <td>0.28 (0.18 to 0.43) p<0.001</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Two adversities</td> <td>0.58 (0.36 to 0.92) p=0.022</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Poorest quality jobs</td> <td>1.00 reference</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>0.79 (0.41 to 1.54) p=0.492</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Model adjusted for demographic and socioeconomic measures (age, sex, partner status, debt and housing tenure)	Employed (optimal)	0.16 (0.10 to 0.26) p<0.001	One adversity	0.28 (0.18 to 0.43) p<0.001	Two adversities	0.58 (0.36 to 0.92) p=0.022	Poorest quality jobs	1.00 reference	Unemployed	0.79 (0.41 to 1.54) p=0.492	No: compared to those in the poorest quality jobs those who were unemployed were less likely to report common mental disorders but this difference is not statistically significant																										
Employed (optimal)	0.16 (0.10 to 0.26) p<0.001																																							
One adversity	0.28 (0.18 to 0.43) p<0.001																																							
Two adversities	0.58 (0.36 to 0.92) p=0.022																																							
Poorest quality jobs	1.00 reference																																							
Unemployed	0.79 (0.41 to 1.54) p=0.492																																							
Butterworth P et al. 2011 Cohort	Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia 2001 to 2007 20 to 55 years at wave 1	Psychosocial job quality; demand, complexity, control, security, hours and shift work Mental health	Coefficients (and SEs) from a series of longitudinal random-intercept regression models assessing the relationship between employment circumstances and mental health (table 3, page 810) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Employment continuum</th> <th>Model A</th> <th>Model B Add covariates</th> <th>Model C Add Neuroticism</th> <th>Model D Add between-person</th> <th>Model E Lagged predictors of change in MH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Optimal jobs</td> <td>5.95 (0.33)***</td> <td>5.77 (0.33)***</td> <td>5.40 (0.35)***</td> <td>5.04 (0.34)***</td> <td>6.27 (0.79)***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 Adversity</td> <td>4.10 (0.32)***</td> <td>4.00 (0.32)***</td> <td>3.84 (0.34)***</td> <td>3.38 (0.33)***</td> <td>4.26 (0.78)***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Adversities</td> <td>2.42 (0.33)***</td> <td>2.32 (0.33)***</td> <td>2.40 (0.35)***</td> <td>1.85 (0.33)***</td> <td>2.89 (0.83)***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Poorest jobs</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>Ref</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment</td> <td>-0.07 (0.55)</td> <td>1.36 (0.55)*</td> <td>1.61 (0.58)**</td> <td>1.3 (0.56)*</td> <td>3.09 (1.32)*</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> *p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001 Model B covariates included age, sex, partnered status, physical disability, % of post education life in paid employment, educational qualifications, experience of financial hardship and residence in a socially disadvantaged area Model C incorporated neuroticism because negative affect may influence reports of job quality and mental health; this measure was only collected on one occasion Model D incorporated experience of poorest job quality, unemployment or not in labour force Model E looked at the effect of employment conditions on mental health on lagged employed circumstances and covariates - data from 12 months previous was used to predict change in mental health over 12 months - it only included those who did not change employment circumstance between adjacent waves	Employment continuum	Model A	Model B Add covariates	Model C Add Neuroticism	Model D Add between-person	Model E Lagged predictors of change in MH	Optimal jobs	5.95 (0.33)***	5.77 (0.33)***	5.40 (0.35)***	5.04 (0.34)***	6.27 (0.79)***	1 Adversity	4.10 (0.32)***	4.00 (0.32)***	3.84 (0.34)***	3.38 (0.33)***	4.26 (0.78)***	2 Adversities	2.42 (0.33)***	2.32 (0.33)***	2.40 (0.35)***	1.85 (0.33)***	2.89 (0.83)***	Poorest jobs	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Unemployment	-0.07 (0.55)	1.36 (0.55)*	1.61 (0.58)**	1.3 (0.56)*	3.09 (1.32)*	No: Those in the poorest quality jobs showed significantly greater decline in their mental health overtime than those who were unemployed
Employment continuum	Model A	Model B Add covariates	Model C Add Neuroticism	Model D Add between-person	Model E Lagged predictors of change in MH																																			
Optimal jobs	5.95 (0.33)***	5.77 (0.33)***	5.40 (0.35)***	5.04 (0.34)***	6.27 (0.79)***																																			
1 Adversity	4.10 (0.32)***	4.00 (0.32)***	3.84 (0.34)***	3.38 (0.33)***	4.26 (0.78)***																																			
2 Adversities	2.42 (0.33)***	2.32 (0.33)***	2.40 (0.35)***	1.85 (0.33)***	2.89 (0.83)***																																			
Poorest jobs	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref																																			
Unemployment	-0.07 (0.55)	1.36 (0.55)*	1.61 (0.58)**	1.3 (0.56)*	3.09 (1.32)*																																			

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																																		
Chandola and Zhang 2018 Cohort	Understanding Society, UK Household Longitudinal Survey 2009 to 2012 35 to 75 years	Job quality; earnings, security, quality of working environment Allostatic load – biomarkers related to chronic stress. Physical and mental wellbeing	Associations between job adversity, allostatic load and self-reported health, multiple regression coefficients at wave 3. Reference remained unemployed β coefficients and 95% confidence interval (table 3, page 54) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Good quality job</th> <th>One adverse measure</th> <th>At least two adverse measures</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Overall p-value</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Allostatic load</td> <td>-0.387 (-1.003 to 0.230)</td> <td>-0.262 (-0.476 to -0.047)</td> <td>0.512 (0.320 to 0.706)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SF-12 PCS</td> <td>-0.701 (-4.611 to 3.209)</td> <td>-0.490 (-1.671 to 0.691)</td> <td>1.914 (-3.599 to 7.426)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.784</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SF-12 MCS</td> <td>5.541 (-2.841 to 13.923)</td> <td>3.103 (0.966 to 5.240)</td> <td>2.299 (-2.406 to 7.005)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.035</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Adjusted for age, gender, highest qualification, housing tenure, marital status, BMI has CVD/diabetes or not SF 12 PHS and MHS composite scores, GHQ-12, number medicines prescribed, log transformation of household income, race/ethnicity, number of children in household, number of people in household and year of latest employment		Good quality job	One adverse measure	At least two adverse measures	Overall p-value				Allostatic load	-0.387 (-1.003 to 0.230)	-0.262 (-0.476 to -0.047)	0.512 (0.320 to 0.706)	SF-12 PCS	-0.701 (-4.611 to 3.209)	-0.490 (-1.671 to 0.691)	1.914 (-3.599 to 7.426)	0.784				SF-12 MCS	5.541 (-2.841 to 13.923)	3.103 (0.966 to 5.240)	2.299 (-2.406 to 7.005)	0.035				No: those who transitioned into a poor quality job had overall significantly higher levels of allostatic load than those who remained unemployed. No: there was no difference in mental component score between those who transitioned into poor quality work and those who remained unemployed. No: those who transitioned into a poor quality jobs (at least two adverse measures) were more likely to have a GHQ 12 score indicating distress than those who remained unemployed but this difference is not statistically significant <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>GHQ 12 score distressed</th> <th>GHQ 12 score not-distressed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Job with at least 2 adverse measures</td> <td>47</td> <td>79</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Remained unemployed</td> <td>19</td> <td>45</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Relative risk 1.25 95% CI 0.80 to 1.96, p=0.3089		GHQ 12 score distressed	GHQ 12 score not-distressed	Job with at least 2 adverse measures	47	79	Remained unemployed	19	45																													
	Good quality job	One adverse measure	At least two adverse measures																																																																			
Overall p-value																																																																						
Allostatic load	-0.387 (-1.003 to 0.230)	-0.262 (-0.476 to -0.047)	0.512 (0.320 to 0.706)																																																																			
SF-12 PCS	-0.701 (-4.611 to 3.209)	-0.490 (-1.671 to 0.691)	1.914 (-3.599 to 7.426)																																																																			
0.784																																																																						
SF-12 MCS	5.541 (-2.841 to 13.923)	3.103 (0.966 to 5.240)	2.299 (-2.406 to 7.005)																																																																			
0.035																																																																						
	GHQ 12 score distressed	GHQ 12 score not-distressed																																																																				
Job with at least 2 adverse measures	47	79																																																																				
Remained unemployed	19	45																																																																				
Cortes-Franch T et al. 2019 Cross sectional	European Social Survey 2010 16 to 64 years	Low quality job; earnings, prospects, intrinsic job quality, working time quality, participation and representation Mental wellbeing	Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the association of employment situation with poor mental wellbeing by sex and country group. UK and Ireland are liberal countries. Unemployed non-active is those who would like a job but are not actively looking for one. (table 4, page 8) Men <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Conservative (n=3543)</th> <th>Liberal (n=1343)</th> <th>Eastern European (n=1690)</th> <th>Southern European (n=1354)</th> <th>Social-Democratic (n=394)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unemployed - non-active</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed-active</td> <td>0.60 (0.32 to 1.15)</td> <td>0.51 (0.23 to 1.12)</td> <td>1.07 (0.57 to 2.01)</td> <td>1.57 (0.75 to 3.25)</td> <td>0.82 (0.13 to 5.22)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Low quality job</td> <td>0.46 (0.25 to 0.83)*</td> <td>0.53 (0.26 to 1.10)</td> <td>0.73 (0.41 to 1.29)</td> <td>0.68 (0.33 to 1.43)</td> <td>1.26 (0.24 to 6.59)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Women <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Conservative (n=3543)</th> <th>Liberal (n=1343)</th> <th>Eastern European (n=1690)</th> <th>Southern European (n=1354)</th> <th>Social-Democratic (n=394)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unemployed - non-active</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed - active</td> <td>1.43 (0.74 to 2.75)</td> <td>1.58 (0.59 to 4.27)</td> <td>0.97 (0.49 to 1.92)</td> <td>0.85 (0.42 to 1.72)</td> <td>0.79 (0.07 to 9.69)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Low quality job</td> <td>1.18 (0.66 to 2.13)</td> <td>0.79 (0.32 to 1.92)</td> <td>0.60 (0.33 to 1.09)</td> <td>0.80 (0.42 to 1.54)</td> <td>0.64 (0.06 to 6.59)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> *p<0.05 Adjusted for age, marital status, job category and negative affectivity		Conservative (n=3543)	Liberal (n=1343)	Eastern European (n=1690)	Southern European (n=1354)	Social-Democratic (n=394)	Unemployed - non-active	1	1	1	1	1	Unemployed-active	0.60 (0.32 to 1.15)	0.51 (0.23 to 1.12)	1.07 (0.57 to 2.01)	1.57 (0.75 to 3.25)	0.82 (0.13 to 5.22)	Low quality job	0.46 (0.25 to 0.83)*	0.53 (0.26 to 1.10)	0.73 (0.41 to 1.29)	0.68 (0.33 to 1.43)	1.26 (0.24 to 6.59)		Conservative (n=3543)	Liberal (n=1343)	Eastern European (n=1690)	Southern European (n=1354)	Social-Democratic (n=394)	Unemployed - non-active	1	1	1	1	1	Unemployed - active	1.43 (0.74 to 2.75)	1.58 (0.59 to 4.27)	0.97 (0.49 to 1.92)	0.85 (0.42 to 1.72)	0.79 (0.07 to 9.69)	Low quality job	1.18 (0.66 to 2.13)	0.79 (0.32 to 1.92)	0.60 (0.33 to 1.09)	0.80 (0.42 to 1.54)	0.64 (0.06 to 6.59)	For liberal countries (UK and Ireland) No: Men in low quality jobs were slightly more likely to report poor mental wellbeing than men who were unemployed but the difference is not statistically significant Yes: Women who were unemployed were significantly more likely to report poor mental wellbeing than those in low quality jobs Odds ratio calculated using data from table 3, page 7 and table 4 page 8. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Males (n=1343)</th> <th>Poor mental wellbeing</th> <th>No poor mental wellbeing</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Low quality job (33.3% n=447)</td> <td>(23.6%) 105</td> <td>342</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed active (10.7% n=143)</td> <td>(21.5%) 31</td> <td>112</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Odds ratio 1.11, 95% CI 0.70 to 1.75 p=0.6544 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Females (n=1198)</th> <th>Poor mental wellbeing</th> <th>No poor mental wellbeing</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Low quality job (31.6% n=379)</td> <td>(34.7%) 132</td> <td>247</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed active (6.3% n=75)</td> <td>(53.8%) 40</td> <td>35</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Odds ratio 0.47 95% CI 0.28 to 0.77 p=0.0029	Males (n=1343)	Poor mental wellbeing	No poor mental wellbeing	Low quality job (33.3% n=447)	(23.6%) 105	342	Unemployed active (10.7% n=143)	(21.5%) 31	112	Females (n=1198)	Poor mental wellbeing	No poor mental wellbeing	Low quality job (31.6% n=379)	(34.7%) 132	247	Unemployed active (6.3% n=75)	(53.8%) 40	35
	Conservative (n=3543)	Liberal (n=1343)	Eastern European (n=1690)	Southern European (n=1354)	Social-Democratic (n=394)																																																																	
Unemployed - non-active	1	1	1	1	1																																																																	
Unemployed-active	0.60 (0.32 to 1.15)	0.51 (0.23 to 1.12)	1.07 (0.57 to 2.01)	1.57 (0.75 to 3.25)	0.82 (0.13 to 5.22)																																																																	
Low quality job	0.46 (0.25 to 0.83)*	0.53 (0.26 to 1.10)	0.73 (0.41 to 1.29)	0.68 (0.33 to 1.43)	1.26 (0.24 to 6.59)																																																																	
	Conservative (n=3543)	Liberal (n=1343)	Eastern European (n=1690)	Southern European (n=1354)	Social-Democratic (n=394)																																																																	
Unemployed - non-active	1	1	1	1	1																																																																	
Unemployed - active	1.43 (0.74 to 2.75)	1.58 (0.59 to 4.27)	0.97 (0.49 to 1.92)	0.85 (0.42 to 1.72)	0.79 (0.07 to 9.69)																																																																	
Low quality job	1.18 (0.66 to 2.13)	0.79 (0.32 to 1.92)	0.60 (0.33 to 1.09)	0.80 (0.42 to 1.54)	0.64 (0.06 to 6.59)																																																																	
Males (n=1343)	Poor mental wellbeing	No poor mental wellbeing																																																																				
Low quality job (33.3% n=447)	(23.6%) 105	342																																																																				
Unemployed active (10.7% n=143)	(21.5%) 31	112																																																																				
Females (n=1198)	Poor mental wellbeing	No poor mental wellbeing																																																																				
Low quality job (31.6% n=379)	(34.7%) 132	247																																																																				
Unemployed active (6.3% n=75)	(53.8%) 40	35																																																																				

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																																														
Cortes-Franch T et al. 2018 Cross sectional	Spanish National Health Survey 2006 to 2007 25 to 64 years	Insecure employment, temporary or no contract Mental health	Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Association between mental health status and employment stability by sex. (table 2, page 4) <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Men (n=6972)</th> <th>Women (n=5307)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Permanent civil servant</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Temporary contract</td> <td>1.63 (1.17 to 2.26)**</td> <td>1.36 (1.0 to 1.85)*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No contract</td> <td>2.10 (1.13 to 3.90)*</td> <td>1.85 (1.28 to 2.67)**</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment = or < 2 years</td> <td>3.76 (2.68 to 5.29)***</td> <td>1.98 (1.44 to 2.74)***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment > 2 years</td> <td>6.76 (4.08 to 11.19)***</td> <td>1.79 (1.20 to 2.68)**</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001</p> <p>Adjusted for age and social class</p> <p>Note that there are inconsistencies in the number of reported participants across the tables in this paper – all tables give different totals, this is not explained in the text. This may be an error but there is no correction or comment on this in the online journal</p>		Men (n=6972)	Women (n=5307)	Permanent civil servant	1	1	Temporary contract	1.63 (1.17 to 2.26)**	1.36 (1.0 to 1.85)*	No contract	2.10 (1.13 to 3.90)*	1.85 (1.28 to 2.67)**	Unemployment = or < 2 years	3.76 (2.68 to 5.29)***	1.98 (1.44 to 2.74)***	Unemployment > 2 years	6.76 (4.08 to 11.19)***	1.79 (1.20 to 2.68)**	Yes: Men who were employed in a temporary contract were less likely to report poor mental health status than those who were unemployed (for any length of time). This is likely to be significant. Yes: Men who were employed with no contract were less likely to report poor mental health than those who had been unemployed for two years or less. This is likely to be significant. No: Men who were employed with no contract were less likely to report poor mental health than those who had been unemployed for more than two years. This is unlikely to be significant. No: Women who were employed with no, or a temporary contract were less likely to report poor mental health than women who had been unemployed for any length of time. This difference is unlikely to be statistically significant.																																																												
	Men (n=6972)	Women (n=5307)																																																																																
Permanent civil servant	1	1																																																																																
Temporary contract	1.63 (1.17 to 2.26)**	1.36 (1.0 to 1.85)*																																																																																
No contract	2.10 (1.13 to 3.90)*	1.85 (1.28 to 2.67)**																																																																																
Unemployment = or < 2 years	3.76 (2.68 to 5.29)***	1.98 (1.44 to 2.74)***																																																																																
Unemployment > 2 years	6.76 (4.08 to 11.19)***	1.79 (1.20 to 2.68)**																																																																																
Fiori et al. 2016 Cross sectional	Health Conditions and Access to Health Services Survey, Italy, 2005 and 2013 18 to 39 years	Insecure employment Mental health	Effects of status in the labour market on mental health score, 2013 unstandardised β coefficients (table 1, page 93) <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Model 1a status in labour market</th> <th>Men</th> <th>Women</th> <th>Men/women</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Permanent employment</td> <td>ref</td> <td>ref</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed term employment</td> <td>1.684**</td> <td>2.547***</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Atypical employment</td> <td>2.763*</td> <td>2.614*</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>In search of new job</td> <td>7.798***</td> <td>4.765***</td> <td>***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>In search of first job</td> <td>5.053***</td> <td>2.392**</td> <td>**</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Model 1b status in the labour market + occupational status</th> <th>Men</th> <th>Women</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Permanent employment</td> <td>ref</td> <td>ref</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>medium-high</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed term employment</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>medium high</td> <td>2.193**</td> <td>1.833*</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed term low</td> <td>2.278**</td> <td>3.747***</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Atypical contract</td> <td>3.473**</td> <td>2.806*</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>In search of a new job</td> <td>8.590***</td> <td>5.047***</td> <td>***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>In search of first job</td> <td>5.830***</td> <td>2.675***</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Models 1a and b adjusted for age, area of residence, living arrangement and educational qualification</p> <p>Effects of status in labour market and survey year on mental health score by gender, pooled data for the years 2005 and 2013 unstandardised β coefficients (table 5, page 95)</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Men</th> <th>Women</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Permanent employment</td> <td>ref</td> <td>ref</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed term employment</td> <td>2.197***</td> <td>1.947***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Atypical contract</td> <td>2.541***</td> <td>2.323***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>In search of a new job</td> <td>7.543***</td> <td>3.960***</td> </tr> <tr> <td>In search of a first job</td> <td>4.535***</td> <td>1.284**</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adjusted for age, area of residence, living arrangement and educational qualifications</p> <p>*p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001</p>	Model 1a status in labour market	Men	Women	Men/women	Permanent employment	ref	ref		Fixed term employment	1.684**	2.547***		Atypical employment	2.763*	2.614*		In search of new job	7.798***	4.765***	***	In search of first job	5.053***	2.392**	**	Model 1b status in the labour market + occupational status	Men	Women		Permanent employment	ref	ref		medium-high				Fixed term employment				medium high	2.193**	1.833*		Fixed term low	2.278**	3.747***		Atypical contract	3.473**	2.806*		In search of a new job	8.590***	5.047***	***	In search of first job	5.830***	2.675***			Men	Women	Permanent employment	ref	ref	Fixed term employment	2.197***	1.947***	Atypical contract	2.541***	2.323***	In search of a new job	7.543***	3.960***	In search of a first job	4.535***	1.284**	Yes: Those in fixed term employment and those with an atypical contract were less likely to report poor mental health than those who were looking for a new job. Is isn't possible to tell if these differences are likely to be statistically significant
Model 1a status in labour market	Men	Women	Men/women																																																																															
Permanent employment	ref	ref																																																																																
Fixed term employment	1.684**	2.547***																																																																																
Atypical employment	2.763*	2.614*																																																																																
In search of new job	7.798***	4.765***	***																																																																															
In search of first job	5.053***	2.392**	**																																																																															
Model 1b status in the labour market + occupational status	Men	Women																																																																																
Permanent employment	ref	ref																																																																																
medium-high																																																																																		
Fixed term employment																																																																																		
medium high	2.193**	1.833*																																																																																
Fixed term low	2.278**	3.747***																																																																																
Atypical contract	3.473**	2.806*																																																																																
In search of a new job	8.590***	5.047***	***																																																																															
In search of first job	5.830***	2.675***																																																																																
	Men	Women																																																																																
Permanent employment	ref	ref																																																																																
Fixed term employment	2.197***	1.947***																																																																																
Atypical contract	2.541***	2.323***																																																																																
In search of a new job	7.543***	3.960***																																																																																
In search of a first job	4.535***	1.284**																																																																																

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																								
			Positive estimated β coefficients indicate worse mental health compared with respondents in the reference category																																																									
Flint et al. 2013 Cohort	British Household Panel Survey 1991 to 2007 16-65 years	Insecure employment Psychological wellbeing	Association between labour market status categories and GHQ-12 from multivariate fixed effects model beta coefficient and 95% confidence interval (table 2, page 799) Securely employed 0 Insecurely employed 1.11 (1.00 to 1.21) Unemployed 2.21 (1.99 to 2.43) Adjusted for age, educational attainment, physical health problems, spousal joblessness, spousal GHQ-12 caseness, marital status, unemployed spells in the last 12 month, residence in social housing, substance abuse and equivalised household income.	Yes: Compared to when they were securely employed an individual experiencing a spell of insecure employment had a GHQ-12 score elevated by 1.2 units. An unemployed spell was associated with an increase of 2.2 units. Higher scores indicate lower levels of psychological wellbeing. This difference is probably significant																																																								
Fornell B et al. 2018 Cross sectional	Survey of Living Conditions, Spain, 2007 to 2011 16 to 65 years	Insecure employment General health	Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals association between perceived health, unemployment, and employment precariousness. Does not state but implies reference is permanent employment Unemployed 1.7484 (1.5274 to 2.0013) $p < 0.001$ Precariousness 1.3755 (1.1902 to 1.5903) $p < 0.001$ Unclear but analysis appears to be unadjusted	No: Those who were unemployed were more likely to report that their health was bad than those in precarious employment. This difference is unlikely to be statistically significant																																																								
Gebel and Vosemer 2014 Case control	German Socio- Economic Panel 1995 to 2010 16 to 54 years	Insecure employment Psychological and physical health	Conditional average treatment effects on the treated. ATT is average treatment effect on the treated (health effect of making the transition) and standard error (table 3, page 134) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="3">Psychological health</th> <th colspan="3">Physical health</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>ATT</th> <th>S.E</th> <th>N_t/N_c</th> <th>ATT</th> <th>S.E</th> <th>N_t/N_c</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Transition into unemployment</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed term contract at <i>t</i></td> <td>-0.72</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>750/2875</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>772/2875</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent contract at <i>t</i></td> <td>-0.81</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>1871/73,125</td> <td>-0.05</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>1816/73,125</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transition out of unemployment</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed term contract at <i>t</i>+1</td> <td>0.96</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>990/4573</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>990/4573</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent contract at <i>t</i>+1</td> <td>1.05</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>1466/4573</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>1465/4573</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Psychological health			Physical health				ATT	S.E	N _t /N _c	ATT	S.E	N _t /N _c	Transition into unemployment							Fixed term contract at <i>t</i>	-0.72	0.11	750/2875	0.09	0.12	772/2875	Permanent contract at <i>t</i>	-0.81	0.06	1871/73,125	-0.05	0.06	1816/73,125	Transition out of unemployment							Fixed term contract at <i>t</i> +1	0.96	0.09	990/4573	0.09	0.09	990/4573	Permanent contract at <i>t</i> +1	1.05	0.09	1466/4573	0.02	0.09	1465/4573	No: There was no significant impact on the physical health of temporary workers who became unemployed Yes: There was a significant negative impact on the psychological health of temporary workers who became unemployed
	Psychological health			Physical health																																																								
	ATT	S.E	N _t /N _c	ATT	S.E	N _t /N _c																																																						
Transition into unemployment																																																												
Fixed term contract at <i>t</i>	-0.72	0.11	750/2875	0.09	0.12	772/2875																																																						
Permanent contract at <i>t</i>	-0.81	0.06	1871/73,125	-0.05	0.06	1816/73,125																																																						
Transition out of unemployment																																																												
Fixed term contract at <i>t</i> +1	0.96	0.09	990/4573	0.09	0.09	990/4573																																																						
Permanent contract at <i>t</i> +1	1.05	0.09	1466/4573	0.02	0.09	1465/4573																																																						
Griep Y et al. 2016 Cross sectional	Living Conditions Survey, Finland, 1994 18 to 64 years	Perceived job insecurity Psychological complaints, self-rated health, life satisfaction	Estimated means (with standard errors) for outcome variables for the employment status groups - paired comparisons with Bonferroni test <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Secure permanent (n=2257)</th> <th>Insecure permanent (n=713)</th> <th>Short-term unemployed (n=662)</th> <th>Long-term unemployed (n=345)</th> <th>F</th> <th>Partial eta squared</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Psychological complaints</td> <td>1.33 (0.01) ^{<2}</td> <td>1.42 (0.01) ^{<1,3}</td> <td>1.35 (0.02) ^{<2,4}</td> <td>1.42 (0.02) ^{>3}</td> <td>(3, 3961) = 18.95***</td> <td>0.014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Subjective complaints</td> <td>1.23 (0.01) ^{<2,4}</td> <td>1.27 (0.01) ^{>1}</td> <td>1.25 (0.01)</td> <td>1.28 (0.01) ^{>1}</td> <td>(3, 3962) = 10.75***</td> <td>0.008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Self-rated health</td> <td>3.98 (0.03) ^{<2,4}</td> <td>3.89 (0.03) ^{<1}</td> <td>3.94 (0.03) ^{>4}</td> <td>3.74 (0.04) ^{<1,3}</td> <td>(3, 3959) = 7.72***</td> <td>0.006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Life satisfaction</td> <td>3.24 (0.02) ^{>2,3,4}</td> <td>3.13 (0.02) ^{<1, >3,4}</td> <td>3.00 (0.03) ^{>4, <1,2}</td> <td>2.86 (0.03) ^{<1,2,3}</td> <td>(3, 3956) = 61.98***</td> <td>0.045</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Adjusted for gender, age, education, marital status, children less than 18 years old living at home, income, type of living area and long-term illness/injury. In the pairwise comparisons for the		Secure permanent (n=2257)	Insecure permanent (n=713)	Short-term unemployed (n=662)	Long-term unemployed (n=345)	F	Partial eta squared	Psychological complaints	1.33 (0.01) ^{<2}	1.42 (0.01) ^{<1,3}	1.35 (0.02) ^{<2,4}	1.42 (0.02) ^{>3}	(3, 3961) = 18.95***	0.014	Subjective complaints	1.23 (0.01) ^{<2,4}	1.27 (0.01) ^{>1}	1.25 (0.01)	1.28 (0.01) ^{>1}	(3, 3962) = 10.75***	0.008	Self-rated health	3.98 (0.03) ^{<2,4}	3.89 (0.03) ^{<1}	3.94 (0.03) ^{>4}	3.74 (0.04) ^{<1,3}	(3, 3959) = 7.72***	0.006	Life satisfaction	3.24 (0.02) ^{>2,3,4}	3.13 (0.02) ^{<1, >3,4}	3.00 (0.03) ^{>4, <1,2}	2.86 (0.03) ^{<1,2,3}	(3, 3956) = 61.98***	0.045	No: Insecure permanent employees reported significantly more psychological complaints than short term unemployed individuals; there was no difference between insecure permanent employees and those who were long-term unemployed Insecure permanent employees reported more subjective complaints than the long-term unemployed but fewer than the short-term unemployed Insecure permanent employees rated their health as better than the long-term unemployed but worse than the short-term unemployed Yes: Insecure permanent employees reported significantly higher life satisfaction than both the short and long-term unemployed																					
	Secure permanent (n=2257)	Insecure permanent (n=713)	Short-term unemployed (n=662)	Long-term unemployed (n=345)	F	Partial eta squared																																																						
Psychological complaints	1.33 (0.01) ^{<2}	1.42 (0.01) ^{<1,3}	1.35 (0.02) ^{<2,4}	1.42 (0.02) ^{>3}	(3, 3961) = 18.95***	0.014																																																						
Subjective complaints	1.23 (0.01) ^{<2,4}	1.27 (0.01) ^{>1}	1.25 (0.01)	1.28 (0.01) ^{>1}	(3, 3962) = 10.75***	0.008																																																						
Self-rated health	3.98 (0.03) ^{<2,4}	3.89 (0.03) ^{<1}	3.94 (0.03) ^{>4}	3.74 (0.04) ^{<1,3}	(3, 3959) = 7.72***	0.006																																																						
Life satisfaction	3.24 (0.02) ^{>2,3,4}	3.13 (0.02) ^{<1, >3,4}	3.00 (0.03) ^{>4, <1,2}	2.86 (0.03) ^{<1,2,3}	(3, 3956) = 61.98***	0.045																																																						

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																																												
			<p>significance level test used Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Only significant pairwise comparisons are reported ($p < 0.05$). The superscript numbers indicate for which between-classes comparisons the means differ significantly ($p < 0.05$). The < or > preceding the superscript numbers indicate the direction of the significance difference in means. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$</p>																																																																													
Grzywacz JG and Dooley D 2003 Cross sectional	National Survey of Midlife Development, USA, 1995 25 to 74 years	Inadequate employment; low pay and psychological aspects Physical health, depression	<p>Logistic regression results estimating the association between employment status and poor/fair physical health (unweighted data).</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Employment status</th> <th>B</th> <th>SE (B)</th> <th>odds ratio</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Optimal employment</td> <td>Reference</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Barely adequate</td> <td>0.45*</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>1.57</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inadequate</td> <td>0.59**</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>1.80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>1.81****</td> <td>0.31</td> <td>6.14</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* $p \leq 0.10$ ** $p \leq 0.05$ *** $p \leq 0.01$ **** $p \leq 0.001$ (two tailed)</p> <p>Adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics</p> <p>Logistic regression estimating the association between employment status and depression</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Employment status</th> <th>B</th> <th>SE (B)</th> <th>odds ratio</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Optimal employment</td> <td>Reference</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Barely adequate</td> <td>0.21</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>1.24</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inadequate</td> <td>0.72***</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>2.06</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>1.22****</td> <td>0.30</td> <td>3.37</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status</p> <p>We did not include data from the California Work and Health Survey because the study population was not nationally representative</p>	Employment status	B	SE (B)	odds ratio	Optimal employment	Reference			Barely adequate	0.45*	0.26	1.57	Inadequate	0.59**	0.26	1.80	Unemployed	1.81****	0.31	6.14	Employment status	B	SE (B)	odds ratio	Optimal employment	Reference			Barely adequate	0.21	0.23	1.24	Inadequate	0.72***	0.23	2.06	Unemployed	1.22****	0.30	3.37	<p>Yes: those who were in inadequate employment were significantly less likely to report poor/fair health than those who were unemployed.</p> <p>No: those who were in inadequate employment were less likely to meet the criteria for depression than those who were unemployed. However, this difference is not statistically significant</p> <p>Text states that there were 3032 participants in MIDUS. Footnotes from table 1 say 21 participants excluded from MIDUS so assume overall participants 3011. Table 2b gives % from MIDUS sample in the different employment categories.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total MIDUS</th> <th>3011</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Inadequate employment</td> <td>(15.4%) 464</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>(4.1%) 123</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>From Fig 1 page 1756</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Fair/poor health</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Inadequate employment</td> <td>(17%) 79</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>(31.5%) 39</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Fair/poor health</th> <th>Good health</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Inadequate employment</td> <td>79</td> <td>385</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>39</td> <td>84</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 0.44, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.69 $p = 0.0004$</p> <p>From fig 2 page 1756</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Depression</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Inadequate employment</td> <td>(18.6%) 86</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>(23.9%) 29</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Depression</th> <th>No depression</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Inadequate employment</td> <td>86</td> <td>378</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>29</td> <td>94</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 0.73, 95% CI 0.46 to 1.19 $p = 0.2114$</p>	Total MIDUS	3011	Inadequate employment	(15.4%) 464	Unemployed	(4.1%) 123	Fair/poor health		Inadequate employment	(17%) 79	Unemployed	(31.5%) 39		Fair/poor health	Good health	Inadequate employment	79	385	Unemployed	39	84	Depression		Inadequate employment	(18.6%) 86	Unemployed	(23.9%) 29		Depression	No depression	Inadequate employment	86	378	Unemployed	29	94
Employment status	B	SE (B)	odds ratio																																																																													
Optimal employment	Reference																																																																															
Barely adequate	0.45*	0.26	1.57																																																																													
Inadequate	0.59**	0.26	1.80																																																																													
Unemployed	1.81****	0.31	6.14																																																																													
Employment status	B	SE (B)	odds ratio																																																																													
Optimal employment	Reference																																																																															
Barely adequate	0.21	0.23	1.24																																																																													
Inadequate	0.72***	0.23	2.06																																																																													
Unemployed	1.22****	0.30	3.37																																																																													
Total MIDUS	3011																																																																															
Inadequate employment	(15.4%) 464																																																																															
Unemployed	(4.1%) 123																																																																															
Fair/poor health																																																																																
Inadequate employment	(17%) 79																																																																															
Unemployed	(31.5%) 39																																																																															
	Fair/poor health	Good health																																																																														
Inadequate employment	79	385																																																																														
Unemployed	39	84																																																																														
Depression																																																																																
Inadequate employment	(18.6%) 86																																																																															
Unemployed	(23.9%) 29																																																																															
	Depression	No depression																																																																														
Inadequate employment	86	378																																																																														
Unemployed	29	94																																																																														
Guseva Canu et al. 2019 Cohort	Swiss census data 1990 to 2004 18 to 65 years	Occupational status lowest skilled and unskilled employees Suicide	<p>Mortality from suicide in Swiss working-age population (1990-2014) by selected socio-economic factors and by sex: Swiss National Cohort (1990-2014) (table 2)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="2">Men</th> <th colspan="2">Women</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>SMR</th> <th>DSR</th> <th>SMR</th> <th>DSR</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unskilled employees and workers</td> <td>0.90 (0.85 to 0.95) (1450 deaths)</td> <td>26.25 (24.73 to 27.77)</td> <td>0.88 (0.81 to 0.96) (559 deaths)</td> <td>9.57 (8.59 to 10.56)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed /job seeking</td> <td>1.95 (1.80 to 2.12)</td> <td>52.94 (46.32 to 59.56)</td> <td>2.11 (1.87 to 2.37)</td> <td>21.84 (16.85 to 26.84)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Men		Women			SMR	DSR	SMR	DSR	Unskilled employees and workers	0.90 (0.85 to 0.95) (1450 deaths)	26.25 (24.73 to 27.77)	0.88 (0.81 to 0.96) (559 deaths)	9.57 (8.59 to 10.56)	Unemployed /job seeking	1.95 (1.80 to 2.12)	52.94 (46.32 to 59.56)	2.11 (1.87 to 2.37)	21.84 (16.85 to 26.84)	<p>Yes: Unskilled employees and workers were at significantly lower risk of dying by suicide than those who were unemployed.</p> <p>Data from table 1, page 1486</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Men</th> <th>Suicide</th> <th>No suicide</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unskilled employees and</td> <td>1450</td> <td>281,718</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Men	Suicide	No suicide	Unskilled employees and	1450	281,718																																																		
	Men		Women																																																																													
	SMR	DSR	SMR	DSR																																																																												
Unskilled employees and workers	0.90 (0.85 to 0.95) (1450 deaths)	26.25 (24.73 to 27.77)	0.88 (0.81 to 0.96) (559 deaths)	9.57 (8.59 to 10.56)																																																																												
Unemployed /job seeking	1.95 (1.80 to 2.12)	52.94 (46.32 to 59.56)	2.11 (1.87 to 2.37)	21.84 (16.85 to 26.84)																																																																												
Men	Suicide	No suicide																																																																														
Unskilled employees and	1450	281,718																																																																														

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																								
			(568 deaths) (281 deaths)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>workers (n=283,168)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed/job seeking (n=77,181)</td> <td>568</td> <td>76,613</td> </tr> </table> <p>Relative risk 0.69, 95% CI 0.63 to 0.77, p<0.0001</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Women</td> <td>Suicide</td> <td>No suicide</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unskilled employees and workers (n=264,046)</td> <td>559</td> <td>263,487</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed/job seeking (n=90,812)</td> <td>281</td> <td>90,531</td> </tr> </table> <p>Relative risk 0.68, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.79, p<0.0001</p>	workers (n=283,168)			Unemployed/job seeking (n=77,181)	568	76,613	Women	Suicide	No suicide	Unskilled employees and workers (n=264,046)	559	263,487	Unemployed/job seeking (n=90,812)	281	90,531									
workers (n=283,168)																												
Unemployed/job seeking (n=77,181)	568	76,613																										
Women	Suicide	No suicide																										
Unskilled employees and workers (n=264,046)	559	263,487																										
Unemployed/job seeking (n=90,812)	281	90,531																										
Inanc H 2018 (see also Inanc H 2016) Cross sectional	British Household Panel Survey, 8 waves 1991 onwards 20 to 65 years	Insecure employment Psychological wellbeing and life satisfaction	<p>Wives subjective wellbeing as a function of husbands' labour market insecurity, fixed effects regressions. Coefficients and assume standard error – tables in paper not labelled</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Husband Temporary vs unemployed</td> <td>Psychological wellbeing (n=7420) -0.006 (0.005)</td> <td>Life satisfaction (n=6486) -0.002 (0.007)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Self</td> <td>0.043 (0.005)***</td> <td>0.026 (0.008)***</td> </tr> </table> <p>Reference is unemployed ***p<0.001</p> <p>Husbands' subjective well-being as a function of wives labour market insecurity. Fixed effects regressions. Coefficients and assume standard error – tables in paper not labelled</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Wife Temporary vs unemployed</td> <td>(n=7175) -0.010 (0.005)**</td> <td>(n=6240) -0.009 (0.007)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Self</td> <td>0.047 (0.004)***</td> <td>0.030 (0.007)***</td> </tr> </table> <p>**p<0.01 ***p<0.001</p> <p>Adjusted for partners respective wellbeing and life satisfaction, regional unemployment rate, current duration of partnership, number and presence of children, married or cohabiting, age, year of interview</p>	Husband Temporary vs unemployed	Psychological wellbeing (n=7420) -0.006 (0.005)	Life satisfaction (n=6486) -0.002 (0.007)	Self	0.043 (0.005)***	0.026 (0.008)***	Wife Temporary vs unemployed	(n=7175) -0.010 (0.005)**	(n=6240) -0.009 (0.007)	Self	0.047 (0.004)***	0.030 (0.007)***	<p>No: A husbands temporary employment had a greater negative effect on their wife's psychological wellbeing and life satisfaction than if he were unemployed.</p> <p>Yes: However, a husband's own psychological wellbeing and life satisfaction was significantly greater when they were in temporary employment, compared to being unemployed.</p> <p>No: A wife's temporary employment had a significantly greater negative effect on their husband's psychological wellbeing and but not on their life satisfaction than if she were unemployed.</p> <p>Yes: However, a wife's own psychological wellbeing and life satisfaction was greater when they were in temporary employment, compared to being unemployed.</p>												
Husband Temporary vs unemployed	Psychological wellbeing (n=7420) -0.006 (0.005)	Life satisfaction (n=6486) -0.002 (0.007)																										
Self	0.043 (0.005)***	0.026 (0.008)***																										
Wife Temporary vs unemployed	(n=7175) -0.010 (0.005)**	(n=6240) -0.009 (0.007)																										
Self	0.047 (0.004)***	0.030 (0.007)***																										
Jang et al. 2015 Cohort	Korean Welfare Panel Study 2007 to 2013 19 to 65 years	Insecure employment Severe depressive symptoms	<p>Generalised estimating equation analysing the effect of yearly employment status on new-onset of severe depressive symptoms during the 6-year follow-up period, among individuals who were waged workers at baseline in 2007. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Reference is full time permanent (table 2, page 334)</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Males</td> <td>Females</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment status each year from 2008</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>2.90 (1.85 to 4.57) (33 cases)</td> <td>1.85 (1.17 to 2.90) (46 cases)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precarious</td> <td>1.59 (1.10 to 2.30) (63 cases)</td> <td>1.41 (0.94 to 2.12) (70 cases)</td> </tr> </table>		Males	Females	Employment status each year from 2008			Unemployed	2.90 (1.85 to 4.57) (33 cases)	1.85 (1.17 to 2.90) (46 cases)	Precarious	1.59 (1.10 to 2.30) (63 cases)	1.41 (0.94 to 2.12) (70 cases)	<p>Yes: in workers who were employed at baseline, those who moved into precarious employment were at significantly lower risk of developing severe depressive symptoms than those who became unemployed. From table 1, page 333</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Precarious</td> <td>Unemployed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Males (n=2214)</td> <td>(18.7%) 414</td> <td>(3.3%) 73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Females (n=1276)</td> <td>(28.5%) 364</td> <td>(13.1%) 167</td> </tr> </table> <p>Table 2 for number of cases with depressive symptoms</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Males</td> <td>Depressive symptoms</td> <td>No symptoms</td> </tr> </table>		Precarious	Unemployed	Males (n=2214)	(18.7%) 414	(3.3%) 73	Females (n=1276)	(28.5%) 364	(13.1%) 167	Males	Depressive symptoms	No symptoms
	Males	Females																										
Employment status each year from 2008																												
Unemployed	2.90 (1.85 to 4.57) (33 cases)	1.85 (1.17 to 2.90) (46 cases)																										
Precarious	1.59 (1.10 to 2.30) (63 cases)	1.41 (0.94 to 2.12) (70 cases)																										
	Precarious	Unemployed																										
Males (n=2214)	(18.7%) 414	(3.3%) 73																										
Females (n=1276)	(28.5%) 364	(13.1%) 167																										
Males	Depressive symptoms	No symptoms																										

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																
			<p>Generalised estimating equation analysing the effect of yearly employment and new-onset of severe depressive symptoms during 6 year follow up period among individuals who were waged workers at baseline in 2007. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Reference is full time permanent</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Employment status each year</th> <th colspan="2">Head of household</th> <th colspan="2">Non-head of household</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Males</th> <th>Females</th> <th>Males</th> <th>Females</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>3.10 (1.88 to 5.13) (36 cases)</td> <td>6.10 (2.17 to 17.12)</td> <td>2.69 (0.93 to 7.76) (43 cases)</td> <td>1.31 (0.78 to 2.18)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precarious</td> <td>1.52 (1.02 to 2.25) (85 cases)</td> <td>4.19 (1.70 to 10.32)</td> <td>2.13 (0.71 to 6.34) (48 cases)</td> <td>1.03 (0.63 to 1.68)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adjusted for previous year's CES-D 11 score, age in years, equalized household income, education level, head of household status, marital status, residential area, occupation at baseline, company size at baseline, exposure to hazardous environment in workplace, chronic disease, perceived health status, smoking status, alcohol use, and observation year</p>	Employment status each year	Head of household		Non-head of household		Males	Females	Males	Females	Unemployed	3.10 (1.88 to 5.13) (36 cases)	6.10 (2.17 to 17.12)	2.69 (0.93 to 7.76) (43 cases)	1.31 (0.78 to 2.18)	Precarious	1.52 (1.02 to 2.25) (85 cases)	4.19 (1.70 to 10.32)	2.13 (0.71 to 6.34) (48 cases)	1.03 (0.63 to 1.68)	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Precarious</td> <td>63</td> <td>351</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>33</td> <td>40</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Relative risk 0.34, 95% CI 0.24 to 0.47, p<0.001</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Females</th> <th>Depressive symptoms</th> <th>No symptoms</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Precarious</td> <td>70</td> <td>294</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>46</td> <td>121</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Relative risk 0.70, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.97, p=0.0296</p>	Precarious	63	351	Unemployed	33	40	Females	Depressive symptoms	No symptoms	Precarious	70	294	Unemployed	46	121														
Employment status each year	Head of household		Non-head of household																																																	
	Males	Females	Males	Females																																																
Unemployed	3.10 (1.88 to 5.13) (36 cases)	6.10 (2.17 to 17.12)	2.69 (0.93 to 7.76) (43 cases)	1.31 (0.78 to 2.18)																																																
Precarious	1.52 (1.02 to 2.25) (85 cases)	4.19 (1.70 to 10.32)	2.13 (0.71 to 6.34) (48 cases)	1.03 (0.63 to 1.68)																																																
Precarious	63	351																																																		
Unemployed	33	40																																																		
Females	Depressive symptoms	No symptoms																																																		
Precarious	70	294																																																		
Unemployed	46	121																																																		
Kim HD, Park SG 2021 Cohort This study not included in synthesis	Korean Welfare Panel Study 2017 to 2018 19 to 59 years	Precarious employment New onset depressive symptoms	<p>Association between employment status change and new-onset depressive symptoms in permanent waged workers at baseline (2017), follow up 2018. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (table 2, page 111)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Males</th> <th>Females</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Permanent</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>Ref</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precarious</td> <td>3.15 (1.30 to 7.65)</td> <td>1.99 (0.75 to 5.25)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td>4.50 (1.19 to 17.06)</td> <td>5.12 (0.88 to 29.75)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adjusted for age, religion, education, marital status, head of household, self-rated health</p>		Males	Females	Permanent	Ref	Ref	Precarious	3.15 (1.30 to 7.65)	1.99 (0.75 to 5.25)	Unemployed	4.50 (1.19 to 17.06)	5.12 (0.88 to 29.75)	No: Those who transitioned from permanent to precarious employment were less likely to report new-onset depressive symptoms than those who transitioned from permanent employment to unemployment but the difference is not statistically significant																																				
	Males	Females																																																		
Permanent	Ref	Ref																																																		
Precarious	3.15 (1.30 to 7.65)	1.99 (0.75 to 5.25)																																																		
Unemployed	4.50 (1.19 to 17.06)	5.12 (0.88 to 29.75)																																																		
Kim SS et al. 2012 Cohort This study not included in synthesis	Korean Welfare Panel Study 2007 to 2009 18 years and above	Insecure employment New onset depressive symptoms	<p>Association between change in employment status and new-onset depressive symptoms (2008). Results for sub population - this excludes those with a disability or chronic disease and without depressive symptoms in 2006 and 2007. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Employment status baseline 2007, follow up 2008 (n= 2021) (table 3, page 541)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Baseline</th> <th>Follow up</th> <th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>permanent</td> <td>permanent</td> <td>1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>permanent</td> <td>precarious</td> <td>1.66 (0.97 to 2.84)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>permanent</td> <td>unemployed</td> <td>0.57 (0.80 to 4.05)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>precarious</td> <td>precarious</td> <td>1.44 (0.94 to 2.23)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>precarious</td> <td>permanent</td> <td>1.22 (0.75 to 1.96)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>precarious</td> <td>unemployed</td> <td>2.67 (0.84 to 8.40)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Gender-specific association between change in employment status and new-onset depressive symptoms (2008) fully adjusted model. Baseline 2007, follow up 2008. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (table 4, page 541)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Male (n= 1296)</th> <th>Female (n=725)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Baseline permanent</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Follow up permanent</td> <td>1.19 (0.57 to 2.50)</td> <td>2.88 (1.24 - 6.66) p<0.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Follow up precarious</td> <td>1.54 (0.21 to 10.98)</td> <td>None became depressed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Follow up unemployed</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline precarious</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Follow up precarious</td> <td>1.59 (0.90 to 2.81)</td> <td>1.50 (0.69 to 3.25)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Follow up permanent</td> <td>0.76 (0.39 to 1.46)</td> <td>2.57 (1.20 to 5.52) p<0.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Follow up unemployed</td> <td>0.84 (0.10 to 7.0)</td> <td>8.01 (1.99 to 32.26) p<0.01</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, educational level, household equalised income, residential area and smoking status at baseline</p>	Baseline	Follow up	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	permanent	permanent	1.0	permanent	precarious	1.66 (0.97 to 2.84)	permanent	unemployed	0.57 (0.80 to 4.05)	precarious	precarious	1.44 (0.94 to 2.23)	precarious	permanent	1.22 (0.75 to 1.96)	precarious	unemployed	2.67 (0.84 to 8.40)		Male (n= 1296)	Female (n=725)	Baseline permanent	1.0	1.0	Follow up permanent	1.19 (0.57 to 2.50)	2.88 (1.24 - 6.66) p<0.05	Follow up precarious	1.54 (0.21 to 10.98)	None became depressed	Follow up unemployed			Baseline precarious			Follow up precarious	1.59 (0.90 to 2.81)	1.50 (0.69 to 3.25)	Follow up permanent	0.76 (0.39 to 1.46)	2.57 (1.20 to 5.52) p<0.05	Follow up unemployed	0.84 (0.10 to 7.0)	8.01 (1.99 to 32.26) p<0.01	<p>No: In workers in precarious employment at baseline those who were unemployed at follow up were more likely to report new onset of depressive symptoms than those who remained in precarious employment but the differences were not statistically significant.</p> <p>Males in precarious employment at baseline and at follow up were more likely to report new onset depressive symptoms than those who were in precarious employment at baseline but unemployed at follow up but the difference is unlikely to be statistically significant.</p> <p>Females in precarious employment at baseline and follow up were less likely to report new onset depressive symptoms at follow up than those who were in precarious employment at baseline and unemployed at follow up, but the difference is unlikely to be statistically significant.</p>
Baseline	Follow up	Odds Ratio (95% CI)																																																		
permanent	permanent	1.0																																																		
permanent	precarious	1.66 (0.97 to 2.84)																																																		
permanent	unemployed	0.57 (0.80 to 4.05)																																																		
precarious	precarious	1.44 (0.94 to 2.23)																																																		
precarious	permanent	1.22 (0.75 to 1.96)																																																		
precarious	unemployed	2.67 (0.84 to 8.40)																																																		
	Male (n= 1296)	Female (n=725)																																																		
Baseline permanent	1.0	1.0																																																		
Follow up permanent	1.19 (0.57 to 2.50)	2.88 (1.24 - 6.66) p<0.05																																																		
Follow up precarious	1.54 (0.21 to 10.98)	None became depressed																																																		
Follow up unemployed																																																				
Baseline precarious																																																				
Follow up precarious	1.59 (0.90 to 2.81)	1.50 (0.69 to 3.25)																																																		
Follow up permanent	0.76 (0.39 to 1.46)	2.57 (1.20 to 5.52) p<0.05																																																		
Follow up unemployed	0.84 (0.10 to 7.0)	8.01 (1.99 to 32.26) p<0.01																																																		

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																															
Kim SS et al. 2013 Cohort This study not included in synthesis	Korean Welfare Panel Study 2007 to 2010 18 years and above	Insecure employment New onset depressive symptoms	Association between gain of employment and depressive symptoms at follow up (2008 or 2010) among workers who were unemployed at baseline (2007 or 2009). Full population excluded respondents who had depressive symptoms at baseline (2007 or 2009); subpopulation excluded respondents who depressive symptoms in any of the two prior years (2006/2007 or 2008/2009). Rate ratios and 95% confidence intervals (table 2) <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Full population (n=308)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Subpopulation (n=249)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 88 1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 66 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part-time precarious</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 26 0.51 (0.15 to 1.76)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 20 0.87 (0.21 to 3.60)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Full time precarious</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 105 0.38 (0.18 to 0.83) p<0.05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 91 0.49 (0.19 to 1.25)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Full time permanent</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 89 0.26 (0.11 to 0.63) p<0.001</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n= 72 0.27 (0.08 to 0.86) p<0.05</td> </tr> </table> Adjusted for age, sex, education, marital status, residential area, household income, having a chronic disease, having a disability, smoking at baseline (2007 or 2009)		Full population (n=308)	Subpopulation (n=249)	Unemployed	n= 88 1	n= 66 1	Part-time precarious	n= 26 0.51 (0.15 to 1.76)	n= 20 0.87 (0.21 to 3.60)	Full time precarious	n= 105 0.38 (0.18 to 0.83) p<0.05	n= 91 0.49 (0.19 to 1.25)	Full time permanent	n= 89 0.26 (0.11 to 0.63) p<0.001	n= 72 0.27 (0.08 to 0.86) p<0.05	No: in workers in permanent employment at baseline those who were in precarious employment at follow up were more likely to report new onset of depressive symptoms than those who were unemployed but the difference was not significant. In workers in precarious employment at baseline those who were unemployed at follow up were more likely to report new onset of depressive symptoms than those who remained in precarious employment but the differences were not significant																
	Full population (n=308)	Subpopulation (n=249)																																	
Unemployed	n= 88 1	n= 66 1																																	
Part-time precarious	n= 26 0.51 (0.15 to 1.76)	n= 20 0.87 (0.21 to 3.60)																																	
Full time precarious	n= 105 0.38 (0.18 to 0.83) p<0.05	n= 91 0.49 (0.19 to 1.25)																																	
Full time permanent	n= 89 0.26 (0.11 to 0.63) p<0.001	n= 72 0.27 (0.08 to 0.86) p<0.05																																	
Kim W et al. 2019 Cohort Same as Yoon	Korean Welfare Panel Study 2012 to 2017 25 to 59 years	Insecure employment Suicidal ideation in the past year	Employment factors associated with suicide ideation. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Reference is remaining in permanent employment <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Employment transition</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precarious to precarious</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.86 (1.21 to 2.85)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precarious to unemployment</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.43 (1.05 to 1.95)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment to precarious</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.11 (0.49 to 2.50)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment to unemployment</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.57 (0.86 to 2.87)</td> </tr> </table> Adjusted for sex, age, region, education level, marital status, income, disability, chronic disease, depression and year	Employment transition		Precarious to precarious	1.86 (1.21 to 2.85)	Precarious to unemployment	1.43 (1.05 to 1.95)	Unemployment to precarious	1.11 (0.49 to 2.50)	Unemployment to unemployment	1.57 (0.86 to 2.87)	Yes: Those who remained in precarious employment were significantly less likely to report suicide ideation than those who remained unemployed. Yes: Those who remained in precarious employment were significantly less likely to report suicide ideation than those who moved from precarious employment to unemployment. No: Those who transitioned from unemployment to precarious employment were slightly less likely to report suicide ideation than those who transitioned from precarious employment to unemployment but the difference is not statistically significant No: Those who transitioned from unemployment to precarious employment were more likely to report suicide ideation than those who remained unemployed. However, this difference is not statistically significant From table 1, page 5 <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">Suicide ideation</th> <th style="text-align: center;">No suicide ideation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Precarious to precarious (n=5166)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">148</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5018</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precarious to unemployment (n=953)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">42</td> <td style="text-align: center;">911</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment to precarious (n=1161)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">47</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1114</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment to unemployment (n=6796)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">266</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6503</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">Suicide ideation</th> <th style="text-align: center;">No suicide ideation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Precarious to precarious</td> <td style="text-align: center;">148</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5018</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Suicide ideation	No suicide ideation	Precarious to precarious (n=5166)	148	5018	Precarious to unemployment (n=953)	42	911	Unemployment to precarious (n=1161)	47	1114	Unemployment to unemployment (n=6796)	266	6503		Suicide ideation	No suicide ideation	Precarious to precarious	148	5018
Employment transition																																			
Precarious to precarious	1.86 (1.21 to 2.85)																																		
Precarious to unemployment	1.43 (1.05 to 1.95)																																		
Unemployment to precarious	1.11 (0.49 to 2.50)																																		
Unemployment to unemployment	1.57 (0.86 to 2.87)																																		
	Suicide ideation	No suicide ideation																																	
Precarious to precarious (n=5166)	148	5018																																	
Precarious to unemployment (n=953)	42	911																																	
Unemployment to precarious (n=1161)	47	1114																																	
Unemployment to unemployment (n=6796)	266	6503																																	
	Suicide ideation	No suicide ideation																																	
Precarious to precarious	148	5018																																	

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																																																
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=3483)</td> <td>148</td> <td>3335</td> </tr> </table> <p>Odds ratio 0.56, 95% CI 0.44 to 0.70, p <0.001</p>	Unemployed (n=3483)	148	3335																																																																													
Unemployed (n=3483)	148	3335																																																																																		
Matilla-Santander N et al. 2020 Cross sectional	European Working Conditions Survey, 6 th wave, 2015 15 years and over	Precarious employment; temporariness, not being able to exercise rights, vulnerability, disempowerment and wages Bad health, health problems	Prevalence of health-related outcomes among highly precariously, not precariously employed and unemployed individuals in Europe in 2015 (overall quartiles % and 95% confidence intervals, unadjusted prevalence)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Non-precious n=13,663</th> <th>Highly precarious 4th quartile n=4769</th> <th>Unemployed n=253</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Bad health status</td> <td>20.8 (16.79 to 23.82)</td> <td>24.08 (20.06 to 28.61)</td> <td>33.3 (24.4 to 43.5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hearing problems</td> <td>4.10 (3.03 to 5.54)</td> <td>5.80 (4.82 to 6.96)</td> <td>4.09 (1.90 to 8.55)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Skin problems</td> <td>6.58 (5.28 to 8.18)</td> <td>10.91 (9.07 to 13.07)</td> <td>9.13 (5.21 to 15.53)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Headaches, eyestrain</td> <td>37.18 (30.13 to 44.83)</td> <td>44.89 (37.08 to 52.96)</td> <td>36.23 (29.20 to 43.89)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Anxiety</td> <td>14.21 (10.29 to 19.29)</td> <td>22.19 (14.47 to 32.46)</td> <td>21.14 (14.63 to 29.54)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fatigue</td> <td>35.91 (27.98 to 44.69)</td> <td>48.27 (36.49 to 60.25)</td> <td>38.95 (30.43 to 48.20)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Backache</td> <td>41.63 (37.54 to 45.84)</td> <td>52.56 (47.22 to 57.85)</td> <td>47.20 (39.32 to 55.22)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Muscular pain in upper limbs</td> <td>39.46 (35.40 to 44.65)</td> <td>50.75 (45.12 to 56.36)</td> <td>40.28 (33.07 to 47.93)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Muscular pain in lower limbs</td> <td>28.92 (23.90 to 34.51)</td> <td>37.46 (32.86 to 42.30)</td> <td>35.54 (28.94 to 42.74)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Injuries</td> <td>6.58 (5.29 to 8.17)</td> <td>10.83 (8.63 to 13.51)</td> <td>6.18 (3.59 to 10.4)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Yes: Those in highly precarious employment were significantly less likely to report bad health status than those who were unemployed.</p> <p>No: Those in highly precarious employment were more likely to report hearing problems, skin problems, anxiety, backache and muscular pain in lower limbs. These differences are not statistically significant</p> <p>No: Those in highly precarious employment were significantly more likely to report headaches/eyestrain, fatigue, muscular pain in upper limbs and injuries.</p> <p>Table 2, page 7</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Bad health status</th> <th>Not bad health status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(24.08%) 1148</td> <td>3621</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(33.3%) 84</td> <td>169</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 0.64, 95% CI 0.49 to 0.84, p=0.0011</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Hearing problems</th> <th>No hearing problems</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(5.8%) 277</td> <td>4492</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(4.09%) 10</td> <td>243</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.50, 95% CI 0.79 to 2.85, p=0.2183</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Skin problems</th> <th>No skin problems</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(10.91%) 520</td> <td>4249</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(9.13%) 23</td> <td>230</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.22, 95% CI 0.79 to 1.90 p=0.3663</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Headaches, eye strain</th> <th>No headaches, eyestrain</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(44.89%) 2150</td> <td>2619</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(36.23%) 92</td> <td>161</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.44, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.87 p=0.0068</p>		Non-precious n=13,663	Highly precarious 4 th quartile n=4769	Unemployed n=253	Bad health status	20.8 (16.79 to 23.82)	24.08 (20.06 to 28.61)	33.3 (24.4 to 43.5)	Hearing problems	4.10 (3.03 to 5.54)	5.80 (4.82 to 6.96)	4.09 (1.90 to 8.55)	Skin problems	6.58 (5.28 to 8.18)	10.91 (9.07 to 13.07)	9.13 (5.21 to 15.53)	Headaches, eyestrain	37.18 (30.13 to 44.83)	44.89 (37.08 to 52.96)	36.23 (29.20 to 43.89)	Anxiety	14.21 (10.29 to 19.29)	22.19 (14.47 to 32.46)	21.14 (14.63 to 29.54)	Fatigue	35.91 (27.98 to 44.69)	48.27 (36.49 to 60.25)	38.95 (30.43 to 48.20)	Backache	41.63 (37.54 to 45.84)	52.56 (47.22 to 57.85)	47.20 (39.32 to 55.22)	Muscular pain in upper limbs	39.46 (35.40 to 44.65)	50.75 (45.12 to 56.36)	40.28 (33.07 to 47.93)	Muscular pain in lower limbs	28.92 (23.90 to 34.51)	37.46 (32.86 to 42.30)	35.54 (28.94 to 42.74)	Injuries	6.58 (5.29 to 8.17)	10.83 (8.63 to 13.51)	6.18 (3.59 to 10.4)		Bad health status	Not bad health status	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(24.08%) 1148	3621	Unemployed (n=253)	(33.3%) 84	169		Hearing problems	No hearing problems	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(5.8%) 277	4492	Unemployed (n=253)	(4.09%) 10	243		Skin problems	No skin problems	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(10.91%) 520	4249	Unemployed (n=253)	(9.13%) 23	230		Headaches, eye strain	No headaches, eyestrain	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(44.89%) 2150	2619	Unemployed (n=253)	(36.23%) 92	161
	Non-precious n=13,663	Highly precarious 4 th quartile n=4769	Unemployed n=253																																																																																	
Bad health status	20.8 (16.79 to 23.82)	24.08 (20.06 to 28.61)	33.3 (24.4 to 43.5)																																																																																	
Hearing problems	4.10 (3.03 to 5.54)	5.80 (4.82 to 6.96)	4.09 (1.90 to 8.55)																																																																																	
Skin problems	6.58 (5.28 to 8.18)	10.91 (9.07 to 13.07)	9.13 (5.21 to 15.53)																																																																																	
Headaches, eyestrain	37.18 (30.13 to 44.83)	44.89 (37.08 to 52.96)	36.23 (29.20 to 43.89)																																																																																	
Anxiety	14.21 (10.29 to 19.29)	22.19 (14.47 to 32.46)	21.14 (14.63 to 29.54)																																																																																	
Fatigue	35.91 (27.98 to 44.69)	48.27 (36.49 to 60.25)	38.95 (30.43 to 48.20)																																																																																	
Backache	41.63 (37.54 to 45.84)	52.56 (47.22 to 57.85)	47.20 (39.32 to 55.22)																																																																																	
Muscular pain in upper limbs	39.46 (35.40 to 44.65)	50.75 (45.12 to 56.36)	40.28 (33.07 to 47.93)																																																																																	
Muscular pain in lower limbs	28.92 (23.90 to 34.51)	37.46 (32.86 to 42.30)	35.54 (28.94 to 42.74)																																																																																	
Injuries	6.58 (5.29 to 8.17)	10.83 (8.63 to 13.51)	6.18 (3.59 to 10.4)																																																																																	
	Bad health status	Not bad health status																																																																																		
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(24.08%) 1148	3621																																																																																		
Unemployed (n=253)	(33.3%) 84	169																																																																																		
	Hearing problems	No hearing problems																																																																																		
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(5.8%) 277	4492																																																																																		
Unemployed (n=253)	(4.09%) 10	243																																																																																		
	Skin problems	No skin problems																																																																																		
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(10.91%) 520	4249																																																																																		
Unemployed (n=253)	(9.13%) 23	230																																																																																		
	Headaches, eye strain	No headaches, eyestrain																																																																																		
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(44.89%) 2150	2619																																																																																		
Unemployed (n=253)	(36.23%) 92	161																																																																																		

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																						
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Anxiety</th> <th>No anxiety</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(22.19%) 1058</td> <td>3711</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(21.14%) 53</td> <td>200</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.08, 95% CI 0.78 to 1.47 p=0.6444</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Fatigue</th> <th>No fatigue</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(48.27%) 2302</td> <td>2467</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(38.95%) 99</td> <td>154</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.45, 95% CI 1.12 to 1.88, p=0.0048</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Backache</th> <th>No backache</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(52.56%) 2507</td> <td>2262</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(47.20%) 119</td> <td>134</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.25, 95% CI 0.97 to 1.60, p=0.0865</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Muscular pain upper limbs</th> <th>No muscular pain upper limbs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(50.75%) 2420</td> <td>2349</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(40.28%) 102</td> <td>151</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.53, 95% CI 1.18 to 1.97, p=0.0013</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Muscular pain lower limbs</th> <th>No muscular pain lower limbs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(37.46%) 1786</td> <td>2983</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(35.54%) 90</td> <td>163</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.08, 95% CI 0.83 to 1.41, p=0.5476</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Injuries</th> <th>No injuries</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly precarious employment (n=4769)</td> <td>(10.83%) 516</td> <td>4253</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (n=253)</td> <td>(6.18%) 16</td> <td>237</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Odds ratio 1.80, 95% CI 1.07 to 3.00, p=0.0255</p>		Anxiety	No anxiety	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(22.19%) 1058	3711	Unemployed (n=253)	(21.14%) 53	200		Fatigue	No fatigue	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(48.27%) 2302	2467	Unemployed (n=253)	(38.95%) 99	154		Backache	No backache	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(52.56%) 2507	2262	Unemployed (n=253)	(47.20%) 119	134		Muscular pain upper limbs	No muscular pain upper limbs	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(50.75%) 2420	2349	Unemployed (n=253)	(40.28%) 102	151		Muscular pain lower limbs	No muscular pain lower limbs	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(37.46%) 1786	2983	Unemployed (n=253)	(35.54%) 90	163		Injuries	No injuries	Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(10.83%) 516	4253	Unemployed (n=253)	(6.18%) 16	237
	Anxiety	No anxiety																																																								
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(22.19%) 1058	3711																																																								
Unemployed (n=253)	(21.14%) 53	200																																																								
	Fatigue	No fatigue																																																								
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(48.27%) 2302	2467																																																								
Unemployed (n=253)	(38.95%) 99	154																																																								
	Backache	No backache																																																								
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(52.56%) 2507	2262																																																								
Unemployed (n=253)	(47.20%) 119	134																																																								
	Muscular pain upper limbs	No muscular pain upper limbs																																																								
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(50.75%) 2420	2349																																																								
Unemployed (n=253)	(40.28%) 102	151																																																								
	Muscular pain lower limbs	No muscular pain lower limbs																																																								
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(37.46%) 1786	2983																																																								
Unemployed (n=253)	(35.54%) 90	163																																																								
	Injuries	No injuries																																																								
Highly precarious employment (n=4769)	(10.83%) 516	4253																																																								
Unemployed (n=253)	(6.18%) 16	237																																																								

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																																																	
Minelli L et al. 2014 Cross sectional	Survey on Household Income, Italy, 2006 to 2010 15 to 64 years	Insecure employment (included apprenticeships, on-project jobs and seasonal jobs) General health	Fixed effects (FE) and random effects (RE) ordered Logit models for self-reported health status M2 includes the interaction of employment condition and time. Coefficients and standard errors. Those significant at 10% level are in bold <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">M1</td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">M2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ref: Permanent</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">RE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">RE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Temporary</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.147 (0.100)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-4.000 (0.058)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.159 (0.159)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.455 (0.095)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.317 (0.150)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.710 (0.086)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.366 (0.237)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.820 (0.143)</td> </tr> </table> Fixed-effects ordered logit models for self-reported health status by gender. Coefficients and standard errors. Those significant at 10% level are in bold. (table 4) <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Male</td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Female</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ref: Permanent</td> <td style="text-align: center;">M1 (s.e)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">M2 (s.e.)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">M1 (s.e)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">M2 (s.e)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Temporary</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.288 (0.145)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.331 (0.234)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.080 (0.163)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.159 (0.247)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.423 (0.212)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.371 (0.326)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.160 (0.245)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.456 (0.385)</td> </tr> </table>		M1		M2		Ref: Permanent	FE	RE	FE	RE	Temporary	-0.147 (0.100)	-4.000 (0.058)	-0.159 (0.159)	-0.455 (0.095)	Unemployed	-0.317 (0.150)	-0.710 (0.086)	-0.366 (0.237)	-0.820 (0.143)		Male		Female		Ref: Permanent	M1 (s.e)	M2 (s.e.)	M1 (s.e)	M2 (s.e)	Temporary	-0.288 (0.145)	-0.331 (0.234)	0.080 (0.163)	0.159 (0.247)	Unemployed	-0.423 (0.212)	-0.371 (0.326)	-0.160 (0.245)	-0.456 (0.385)	Yes: Being unemployed had a significantly greater negative effect on perceived health when compared with being in temporary employment. Data from table 1, page 4. Does not give number of participants so have used number of observations as stated in text <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>N =37,782 total observations</td> <td>Poor/very poor health</td> <td>Not very poor health</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Temporary employment (7.72%) n= 2917</td> <td>(0.07% + 1.20%) 37</td> <td>2880</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployed (3.95%) n= 1492</td> <td>(0.47% + 3.96) 66</td> <td>1426</td> </tr> </table> Odds ratio 0.27, 95% CI 0. 18 to 0.42 p<0.0001	N =37,782 total observations	Poor/very poor health	Not very poor health	Temporary employment (7.72%) n= 2917	(0.07% + 1.20%) 37	2880	Unemployed (3.95%) n= 1492	(0.47% + 3.96) 66	1426
	M1		M2																																																		
Ref: Permanent	FE	RE	FE	RE																																																	
Temporary	-0.147 (0.100)	-4.000 (0.058)	-0.159 (0.159)	-0.455 (0.095)																																																	
Unemployed	-0.317 (0.150)	-0.710 (0.086)	-0.366 (0.237)	-0.820 (0.143)																																																	
	Male		Female																																																		
Ref: Permanent	M1 (s.e)	M2 (s.e.)	M1 (s.e)	M2 (s.e)																																																	
Temporary	-0.288 (0.145)	-0.331 (0.234)	0.080 (0.163)	0.159 (0.247)																																																	
Unemployed	-0.423 (0.212)	-0.371 (0.326)	-0.160 (0.245)	-0.456 (0.385)																																																	
N =37,782 total observations	Poor/very poor health	Not very poor health																																																			
Temporary employment (7.72%) n= 2917	(0.07% + 1.20%) 37	2880																																																			
Unemployed (3.95%) n= 1492	(0.47% + 3.96) 66	1426																																																			
Park SJ et al. 2020 Cross sectional	Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey, 2013 to 2017 15 to 59 years	Insecure employment Mental health, general health, health behaviours	Association between employment status and health after adjusting for the explanatory variables. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Temporary job is reference <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Health related variable</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Unemployed vs temporary All</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Unemployed vs temporary Men</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Unemployed vs temporary Women</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Current smoking</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.88 (0.70 - 1.11)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.90 (0.70 to 1.16)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.90 (0.59 to 1.38)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High risky alcohol use</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.70 (0.54 to 0.91)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.66 (0.47 to 0.92)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.86 (0.58 to 1.27)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental health service use</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.49 (0.92 to 2.42)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.33 (1.10 to 4.95)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.10 (0.57 to 2.13)</td> </tr> </table> <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Health related QOL (coefficient + SE)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.002 (0.002)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.003 (0.003)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-0.001 (0.003)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Perceived poor health</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.32 (1.06 to 1.65)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.28 (0.92 to 1.89)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.34 (0.98 to 1.83)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High daily perceived stress</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.85 (0.72 to 1.01)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.84 (0.64 to 1.10)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.89 (0.72 to 1.10)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depressed mood</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.36 (1.02 to 1.81)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.35 (0.85 to 2.14)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.35 (0.93 to 1.97)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depression symptoms</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.22 (0.76 to 1.97)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.68 (0.26 to 1.77)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.66 (0.93 to 1.97)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ever been diagnosed with depression</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.85 (0.58 to 1.27)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.19 (0.61 to 2.32)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.65 (0.40 to 1.06)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Suicide ideation or planning</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.14 (0.73 to 1.77)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.65 (0.87 to 3.14)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.80 (0.43 to 1.47)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Suicide attempt</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.95 (0.30 to 3.04)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.42 (0.52 to 11.13)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No result</td> </tr> </table> Adjusted for age, education, household income and marital status	Health related variable	Unemployed vs temporary All	Unemployed vs temporary Men	Unemployed vs temporary Women	Current smoking	0.88 (0.70 - 1.11)	0.90 (0.70 to 1.16)	0.90 (0.59 to 1.38)	High risky alcohol use	0.70 (0.54 to 0.91)	0.66 (0.47 to 0.92)	0.86 (0.58 to 1.27)	Mental health service use	1.49 (0.92 to 2.42)	2.33 (1.10 to 4.95)	1.10 (0.57 to 2.13)	Health related QOL (coefficient + SE)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.003 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.003)	Perceived poor health	1.32 (1.06 to 1.65)	1.28 (0.92 to 1.89)	1.34 (0.98 to 1.83)	High daily perceived stress	0.85 (0.72 to 1.01)	0.84 (0.64 to 1.10)	0.89 (0.72 to 1.10)	Depressed mood	1.36 (1.02 to 1.81)	1.35 (0.85 to 2.14)	1.35 (0.93 to 1.97)	Depression symptoms	1.22 (0.76 to 1.97)	0.68 (0.26 to 1.77)	1.66 (0.93 to 1.97)	Ever been diagnosed with depression	0.85 (0.58 to 1.27)	1.19 (0.61 to 2.32)	0.65 (0.40 to 1.06)	Suicide ideation or planning	1.14 (0.73 to 1.77)	1.65 (0.87 to 3.14)	0.80 (0.43 to 1.47)	Suicide attempt	0.95 (0.30 to 3.04)	2.42 (0.52 to 11.13)	No result	No: Compared to those in temporary employment those who were unemployed were less likely to report current smoking, risky alcohol consumption, high daily perceived stress, to have ever been diagnosed with depression or to report a suicide attempt but more likely to report mental health service use, depression symptoms or suicide ideation or planning. Only the difference in reported alcohol consumption is likely to be statistically significant. Yes: Compared to those in temporary employment, those who were unemployed were more likely to report perceived poor health, this difference may be statistically significant Unemployed people were more like to report a lower health related quality of life score when compared with those in temporary employment	
Health related variable	Unemployed vs temporary All	Unemployed vs temporary Men	Unemployed vs temporary Women																																																		
Current smoking	0.88 (0.70 - 1.11)	0.90 (0.70 to 1.16)	0.90 (0.59 to 1.38)																																																		
High risky alcohol use	0.70 (0.54 to 0.91)	0.66 (0.47 to 0.92)	0.86 (0.58 to 1.27)																																																		
Mental health service use	1.49 (0.92 to 2.42)	2.33 (1.10 to 4.95)	1.10 (0.57 to 2.13)																																																		
Health related QOL (coefficient + SE)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.003 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.003)																																																		
Perceived poor health	1.32 (1.06 to 1.65)	1.28 (0.92 to 1.89)	1.34 (0.98 to 1.83)																																																		
High daily perceived stress	0.85 (0.72 to 1.01)	0.84 (0.64 to 1.10)	0.89 (0.72 to 1.10)																																																		
Depressed mood	1.36 (1.02 to 1.81)	1.35 (0.85 to 2.14)	1.35 (0.93 to 1.97)																																																		
Depression symptoms	1.22 (0.76 to 1.97)	0.68 (0.26 to 1.77)	1.66 (0.93 to 1.97)																																																		
Ever been diagnosed with depression	0.85 (0.58 to 1.27)	1.19 (0.61 to 2.32)	0.65 (0.40 to 1.06)																																																		
Suicide ideation or planning	1.14 (0.73 to 1.77)	1.65 (0.87 to 3.14)	0.80 (0.43 to 1.47)																																																		
Suicide attempt	0.95 (0.30 to 3.04)	2.42 (0.52 to 11.13)	No result																																																		
Scheuring S et al. 2021 Cohort	German Socio-Economic panel 1995 to 2017 18 to 65 years	Fixed term employment Life satisfaction	Spill-over effects of fixed-term employment on the well-being of partners (life satisfaction), transition from unemployment to fixed-term employment. Beta coefficient (figure 1, page 11) For transitions by men beta coefficient 0.30, error bars do not cross zero For transition by women beta coefficient 0.08, error bars cross zero	Yes: For women their partners transition from unemployment to fixed term employment was associated with a significant improvement in their life satisfaction compared with their partners remaining unemployed No: For men their partners transition from unemployment to fixed term employment was not associated with a significant improvement in their life satisfaction compared with their partner remaining unemployed																																																	
Sumner RC et al. 2020 Cross sectional	Understanding Society Survey, UK, 2010 to 2011 16 to 64 years	Insecure employment Biomarkers C-reactive protein and fibrinogen	Multiple linear regressions for the association between employment subtypes and CRP and fibrinogen Reference is unemployment Beta coefficient and SE <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">C-reactive protein</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Fibrinogen</td> </tr> </table>	C-reactive protein	Fibrinogen	No: There was no significant difference in C-reactive protein or fibrinogen levels between those who were unemployed and those employed in temporary jobs																																															
C-reactive protein	Fibrinogen																																																				

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables																														
			Permanent -0.04 (0.08) p = 0.625 -0.13 (0.04) p = 0.004 Temporary -0.03 (0.13) p = 0.796 -0.04 (0.06) p = 0.467 Adjusted for individual, socio-economic and health behaviours and conditions characteristics																															
Van Aerden K, Gadeyne S, Vanrolen C. 2017 Cross sectional	Belgian Generations and Gender Study, 2008 to 2010 18 to 64 years	Precarious employment; unstable, low income and involuntary part-time employment Mental health, general health	Relationship between labour market position and poor general health. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Reference is standard jobs Precarious jobs 1.53 (1.04 to 2.26)* Unemployed 1.85 (1.29 to 2.65)** Relations between labour market position and poor mental health. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Reference is standard jobs Precarious jobs 1.74 (1.10 to 2.75)* Unemployed 2.70*** (1.77 to 4.13) Adjusted for sex, age, household situation and social support * p <0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001	No: Those who were unemployed were more likely to report poor general and mental health than those in precarious jobs, but these differences are unlikely to be statistically significant																														
Yoo et al. 2016 Cohort	Korean Welfare Panel Study 2008 to 2011 18 years and above	Insecure employment Depression	Association between employment status change and depression. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Reference is permanent to permanent Employment status Precarious to precarious 1.54 (1.30 to 1.83) Unemployment to unemployment 1.45 (1.23 to 1.70) Unemployment to precarious 1.34 (1.07 to 1.68) Precarious to unemployment 1.65 (1.32 to 2.06) Association between employment status change and depression by sex and head of household. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals). Reference is permanent to permanent <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="2">Men</th> <th colspan="2">Women</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Non-head of household</th> <th>Head of household</th> <th>Non-head of household</th> <th>Head of household</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Precarious to precarious</td> <td>2.36 (1.26 to 4.45)</td> <td>1.47 (1.09 to 2.0)</td> <td>1.26 (0.97 to 1.65)</td> <td>2.07 (1.28 to 3.36)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment to unemployment</td> <td>1.84 (1.02 to 3.32)</td> <td>1.72 (1.21 to 2.45)</td> <td>1.03 (0.81 to 1.30)</td> <td>2.71 (1.63 to 4.51)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unemployment to precarious</td> <td>2.65 (1.29 to 5.45)</td> <td>1.22 (0.74 to 2.02)</td> <td>0.93 (0.66 to 1.29)</td> <td>2.04 (1.12 to 3.69)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precarious to unemployment</td> <td>2.33 (1.11 to 4.91)</td> <td>1.64 (1.05 to 2.58)</td> <td>1.39 (0.99 to 1.95)</td> <td>1.83 (1.02 to 3.30)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, region, education, self-rated health, smoking, alcohol, lag(CES-D) and year		Men		Women			Non-head of household	Head of household	Non-head of household	Head of household	Precarious to precarious	2.36 (1.26 to 4.45)	1.47 (1.09 to 2.0)	1.26 (0.97 to 1.65)	2.07 (1.28 to 3.36)	Unemployment to unemployment	1.84 (1.02 to 3.32)	1.72 (1.21 to 2.45)	1.03 (0.81 to 1.30)	2.71 (1.63 to 4.51)	Unemployment to precarious	2.65 (1.29 to 5.45)	1.22 (0.74 to 2.02)	0.93 (0.66 to 1.29)	2.04 (1.12 to 3.69)	Precarious to unemployment	2.33 (1.11 to 4.91)	1.64 (1.05 to 2.58)	1.39 (0.99 to 1.95)	1.83 (1.02 to 3.30)	No: Those who remained in precarious employment at baseline and follow up were more likely to have depression than those who were unemployed at baseline and follow up but the difference is unlikely to be significant. Those who moved from unemployment to precarious employment were more likely to have depression than those who moved from precarious employment to unemployment but this difference is unlikely to be statistically significant (NB not limited to new onset depression but the analysis controlled for the previous years depression score)
	Men		Women																															
	Non-head of household	Head of household	Non-head of household	Head of household																														
Precarious to precarious	2.36 (1.26 to 4.45)	1.47 (1.09 to 2.0)	1.26 (0.97 to 1.65)	2.07 (1.28 to 3.36)																														
Unemployment to unemployment	1.84 (1.02 to 3.32)	1.72 (1.21 to 2.45)	1.03 (0.81 to 1.30)	2.71 (1.63 to 4.51)																														
Unemployment to precarious	2.65 (1.29 to 5.45)	1.22 (0.74 to 2.02)	0.93 (0.66 to 1.29)	2.04 (1.12 to 3.69)																														
Precarious to unemployment	2.33 (1.11 to 4.91)	1.64 (1.05 to 2.58)	1.39 (0.99 to 1.95)	1.83 (1.02 to 3.30)																														
Yoon et al. 2017 Cohort This study not included in synthesis	Korean Welfare Panel Study 2011 to 2015 Age not given	Insecure employment Suicidal ideation (among workers without lifetime suicide ideation at baseline)	Change in employment status and its association with suicidal ideation among workers without lifetime suicidal ideation at baseline. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. N=3423. Reference is permanent employment at baseline and follow up. Full time precarious 2.33 (1.09 to 4.99)* Part time precarious 3.94 (1.46 to 10.64)* Unemployed 1.89 (0.21 to 17.48) * p<0.05 Adjusted for gender, age, marital status, equalised household income, residential area, chronic disease, disability and occupation and baseline depressive symptoms	No: In those who were in permanent employment at baseline those who were unemployed at follow up were less likely to report suicide ideation than those in full or part time precarious employment. This difference is not statistically significant. Data from table 3, page 461 <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Suicide ideation</th> <th>No suicide ideation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Precarious employment (full and part time) n= 706</td> <td>20</td> <td>686</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Suicide ideation	No suicide ideation	Precarious employment (full and part time) n= 706	20	686																								
	Suicide ideation	No suicide ideation																																
Precarious employment (full and part time) n= 706	20	686																																

Reference/ Study design	Data source/ Population range	Poor/bad job definition Outcome	Results from paper	Is any job better than no job? Where OR has been calculated by OES exposure is bad/poor job, is unadjusted and data used to calculate may have been derived from percentages in study results tables						
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="2122 279 2323 338">Unemployment n= 59</td> <td data-bbox="2323 279 2525 338">1</td> <td data-bbox="2525 279 2736 338">58</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="2122 338 2736 369">Relative risk 1.67, 95% CI 0.23 to 12.24, p=0.6131</td> </tr> </table>	Unemployment n= 59	1	58	Relative risk 1.67, 95% CI 0.23 to 12.24, p=0.6131		
Unemployment n= 59	1	58								
Relative risk 1.67, 95% CI 0.23 to 12.24, p=0.6131										

Table S2: Results by objectivity of outcome measure

Reference	Outcome	Is any job better than no job?		
		Poor job significantly better	No significant difference	Poor job significantly worse
OBJECTIVE MEASURES				
Chandola and Zhang 2018	Allostatic load – biomarkers related to chronic stress			✓ allostatic load transition into poor quality job vs remaining unemployed
Guseva Canu et al. 2019	Suicide	✓ death from suicide, lowest skilled and unskilled employees vs unemployed		
Kim et al. 2020	Biomarker C-reactive protein		✓ C-reactive protein unemployment vs temporary job	
Sumner et al. 2020	Biomarkers C-reactive protein and fibrinogen		✓ C-reactive protein or fibrinogen, unemployment vs temporary job	
VALIDATED MEASURES – SELF-REPORT				
Butterworth et al. 2013	Common mental disorders (CIS-R)		✓ common mental disorders (CIS-R), poorest quality jobs vs unemployed	
Butterworth et al. 2011	Mental health (MHI from SF-36)			✓ decline in mental health over time (SF-36), poorest quality jobs vs unemployed
Chandola and Zhang 2018	Physical and mental wellbeing (component scores from SF-36)		✓ SF-12 mental component score transition into poor quality work vs remaining unemployed ✓ GHQ-12 score transition into low quality job vs remaining unemployed	
Cortes-Franch et al. 2019	Mental wellbeing (WHO-5)	✓ mental well-being (WHO-5) women, unemployed vs low quality job	✓ mental well-being (WHO-5) men, unemployed vs low quality job	

Reference	Outcome	Is any job better than no job?		
		Poor job significantly better	No significant difference	Poor job significantly worse
	well-being index)			
Cortes-Franch et al. 2018	Mental health (GHQ-12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ mental health (GHQ-12) men with a temporary contract vs unemployed (any length of time) ✓ mental health (GHQ-12) men with no contract vs unemployed ≤ 2 years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ mental health (GHQ-12) men with no contract vs unemployed > 2 years ✓ mental health (GHQ-12) women no or temporary contract vs any length of unemployment 	
Fiori et al. 2016	Mental health (SF 12-MHI)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ unable to establish significance, mental health (SF-12) fixed term or atypical contract vs looking for a new job 	
Flint et al. 2013	Psychological wellbeing (GHQ-12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ lower level of psychological wellbeing (GHQ-12) spell of insecure employment vs spell of unemployment 		
Jang et al. 2015	Severe depressive symptoms (CES-D)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ severe depressive symptoms (CES-D) transition to precarious employment vs transition to unemployment 		
Yoo et al. 2016	Depression (CES-D)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ depression (CES-D), precarious employment vs unemployment ✓ depression (CES-D), transition from unemployment to precarious employment vs transition from precarious employment to unemployment 	
MIXED – VALIDATED TOOL, SELF-REPORT AND SUBJECTIVE MEASURES (NOT USING VALIDATED TOOL)				
Gebel and Vosemer 2014	Psychological and physical health (SF-12 and self-report)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ psychological health (SF-12) transition from temporary work to unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ physical health (self-report) transition from temporary work to unemployment 	
Grzywacz and Dooley 2003	Physical health (self report) Depression (Composite International Diagnostic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ for fair/poor health (self-report) inadequate employment vs unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ for depression (CIDI-SF) inadequate employment vs unemployment 	

Reference	Outcome	Is any job better than no job?		
		Poor job significantly better	No significant difference	Poor job significantly worse
	Interview – short form)			
Inanc 2018	Psychological wellbeing (GHQ-12) and life satisfaction (single survey question)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ husbands own psychological well-being (GHQ-12) and life satisfaction (self-report) temporary employment vs unemployed ✓ wives own psychological well-being and life satisfaction temporary employment vs unemployed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ impact of husbands temporary employment on wives psychological well-being and life satisfaction vs unemployed ✓ impact of wives temporary employment on husbands life satisfaction vs unemployed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ impact of wives temporary employment on husbands psychological well-being vs unemployed
Park et al. 2020	Mental health (PHQ-9), general health (EuroQol-5D, health behaviours (self report)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ perceived poor health (EuroQol-5D) and health related quality of life temporary employment vs unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ self-reported smoking, high daily perceived stress, ever diagnosed with depression, depression symptoms (PHQ-9), suicide attempt, planning or ideation, mental health service use, temporary employment vs unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ risky alcohol consumption (self-report), temporary employment vs unemployment
SUBJECTIVE MEASURES – SELF-REPORT NOT USING A VALIDATED TOOL				
Bently et al. 2020	Smoking (yes/no), drinking (yes/no), risky drinking (number of drinks per week)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ smoking, drinking and risky drinking insecure employment vs unemployment 	
Fornell et al. 2018	General health (self-report)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ self-reported bad health unemployment vs precarious employment 	
Griep et al. 2016	Psychological complaints, self-rated health, life satisfaction (all self report)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ life satisfaction (self-report) insecure employment vs short or long term unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ psychological complaints (self-report), insecure employment vs long term unemployment ✓ self-rated health insecure employment vs short or long term unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ psychological complaints (self-report) insecure employment vs short term unemployment

Reference	Outcome	Is any job better than no job?		
		Poor job significantly better	No significant difference	Poor job significantly worse
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ subjective complaints insecure employment vs long or short term employment 	
Kim et al. 2019	Suicidal ideation in the past year (single question)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ suicide ideation remained in precarious employment vs those who remained unemployed ✓ suicide ideation remained in precarious employment vs those who moved from precarious employment to unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ suicide ideation transitioned from unemployment to precarious employment vs those who transitioned from precarious employment to unemployment ✓ suicide ideation transitioned from unemployment to precarious employment vs those who remained unemployed 	
Maeda et al. 2019	Insomnia related symptoms (self report)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ insomnia related symptoms, not regularly employed vs unemployed 		
Matilla-Santander et al. 2020	Bad health, health problems (self report)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ for bad health status (self-report) unemployed vs precarious employment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ for hearing problems, skin problems, anxiety, backache and muscular pain in lower limbs (self-report) unemployed vs precarious employment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ for headaches or eyestrain, fatigue, muscular pain in upper limbs and injuries (self-report) unemployed vs precarious employment
Minelli et al 2014	General health (self report)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ perceived health (self-report), unemployed vs temporary employment 		
Scheuring et al. 2021	Life satisfaction (self-report)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ life satisfaction for women associated with their partners transition from unemployment to fixed term employment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ life satisfaction for men associated with their partners transition from unemployment to fixed term employment 	
Van Aerden, Gadeyne and Vanrolen. 2017	Mental health, general health (both self report)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ general and mental health (self-report), precarious job vs unemployment 	

Table S3: Results by welfare state regime

Reference	Is any job better than no job?		
	Poor job significantly better	No significant difference	Poor job significantly worse
LIBERAL (UK AND IRELAND)			
Butterworth et al. 2013		✓ common mental disorders (CIS-R), poorest quality jobs vs unemployed	
Chandola and Zhang 2018		✓ SF-12 mental component score transition into poor quality work vs remaining unemployed ✓ GHQ-12 score transition into low quality job vs remaining unemployed	✓ allostatic load transition into poor quality job vs remaining unemployed
Cortes-Franch et al. 2019	✓ mental well-being (WHO-5) women, unemployed vs low quality job	✓ mental well-being (WHO-5) men, unemployed vs low quality job	
Flint et al. 2013	✓ lower level of psychological wellbeing (GHQ-12) spell of insecure employment vs spell of unemployment		
Inanc 2018	✓ husbands own psychological well-being (GHQ-12) and life satisfaction (self-report) temporary employment vs unemployed ✓ wives own psychological well-being and life satisfaction temporary employment vs unemployed	✓ impact of husbands temporary employment on wives psychological well-being and life satisfaction vs unemployed ✓ impact of wives temporary employment on husbands life satisfaction vs unemployed	✓ impact of wives temporary employment on husbands psychological well-being vs unemployed
Sumner et al. 2020		✓ C-reactive protein or fibrinogen, unemployment vs temporary job	
SOUTHERN EUROPEAN (ITALY AND SPAIN)			
Cortes-Franch et al. 2018	✓ mental health (GHQ-12) men with a temporary contract ✓ mental health (GHQ-12) men with no contract vs unemployed > 2 years	✓ mental health (GHQ-12) men with no contract vs unemployed ≤ 2 years ✓ mental health (GHQ-12) women no or temporary contract any length of unemployment	

Reference	Is any job better than no job?		
	Poor job significantly better	No significant difference	Poor job significantly worse
Fiori et al. 2016		✓ unable to establish significance, mental health (SF-12) fixed term or atypical contract vs looking for a new job	
Fornell et al. 2018		✓ self-reported bad health unemployment vs precarious employment	
Minelli et al. 2014	✓ perceived health (self-report), unemployed vs temporary employment		
CONSERVATIVE (SWITZERLAND, GERMANY AND BELGIUM)			
Gebel and Vosemer 2014	✓ psychological health (SF-12) transition from temporary work to unemployment	✓ physical health (self-report) transition from temporary work to unemployment	
Guseva Canu et al. 2019	✓ death from suicide, lowest skilled and unskilled employees vs unemployed		
Scheuring et al. 2021	✓ life satisfaction for women partners transition from unemployment to fixed term employment	✓ life satisfaction for men partners transition from unemployment to fixed term employment	
Van Aerden, Gadeyne and Vanrolen 2017		✓ general and mental health (self-report), precarious job vs unemployment	
SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC (FINLAND)			
Griep et al. 2016	✓ life satisfaction (self-report) insecure employment vs short or long term unemployment	✓ psychological complaints (self-report), insecure employment vs long term unemployment ✓ self-rated health insecure employment vs short or long term unemployment ✓ subjective complaints insecure employment vs long or short term employment	✓ psychological complaints (self-report) insecure employment vs short term unemployment

